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1. Introduction

Coronavirus is an infectious disease caused by a novel strain of virus which originated in Wuhan China, in late March 2019. It was diagnosed in three patients with pneumonia connected to a cluster of acute respiratory illness. As of May 21, 2022, Ghana recorded 161,370 confirmed cases, 159,881 recoveries, and 1,445 deaths [1]. The transmission routes of the virus include environment-to-human and human-to-human. The virus is spread by a close contact (susceptible individual) with an infected person who sneezes, or cough, or by an infected environment such as door holdings, curtains, and cutlery. Some common symptoms of COVID-19 infection include dry cough, fever, fatigue, breathing difficulty, and bilateral lung infiltration in severe cases similar to those caused by SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV infections [2]. Mostly, the infected people develop symptoms within an incubation period ranging from 2 to 14 days [3]. Currently, in Ghana, the combination of azithromycin, vitamin C, zinc, and some fever and pain killer medications seems to be working. Several measures have already been put in place which include travel bans, social distancing, use of facemask, and personal hygiene through frequent hand washing and use of appropriate hand sanitizers [4]. Despite efforts being made to curb the spread of the COVID-19 disease, the disease is still endemic in many parts of the world including Ghana. In early 2020, several companies began to develop vaccines against the COVID-19 virus, and a number of vaccines have been successfully developed. These vaccines have proven to be highly efficacious against COVID-19 (estimated at 91.6%, 95%, 82%, and 94.1% for Sputnik V, Pfizer, AstraZeneca, and Moderna, respectively) [5–8]. Since a large number of people in the world need to be vaccinated to reduce the spread and mortality, vaccines are in limited supply. Developing countries find it difficult to secure large quantities of vaccines, thereby leading to a small portions of the population being vaccinated. As of May 24, 2022, Ghana Health Service administered a total of 15,032,815 vaccine doses (with 9,724,157 persons receiving at least 1 dose and 6,329,702 persons fully vaccinated) [1]. One needs to take double-dose shots of the vaccine to be fully vaccinated.

A lot of research has been conducted employing mathematical modelling to understand dynamics of the disease...
and to propose strategies of combating it [9]. Specifically, [10] used a mathematical model combined with an optimization algorithm to determine optimal allocation strategies with one and two doses of vaccine to minimize five metrics of disease burden under various degrees of viral transmission. They suggested that it is crucial to find out the efficacy and durability of single-dose vaccines, as mixed or single-dose vaccination may have the potential to contain the pandemic much more quickly. [11] studied the nature of the virus, in which they may have the potential to contain the pandemic much more quickly. 

11 studied the nature of the virus, in which they may have the potential to contain the pandemic much more quickly. They study the impact of isolation and vaccination on the spread of this new coronavirus. A new mathematical model formulated by [12] to study the global dynamics of COVID-19 revealed that, in India and Pakistan, the ratio of transmission and death rate is fast as compared to the other two countries (Bangladesh and Sri Lanka) because huge population produces greater chance to more people infected. [13] formulated and qualitatively analyzed a COVID-19 mathematical model, taking into consideration the available therapeutic measures, vaccination of susceptible, and treatment of hospitalized/infected individuals. The results showed that vaccination and treatment are very effective in mitigating the spread of COVID-19 and concurrently applying personal preventive measures (nonpharmaceutical public health interventions) such as face masks, hand washing, and social distancing should continue to be encouraged. [14] research showed that, when self-quarantined is adopted, then the process may go on reverse direction and infection will be decreasing and hence healthy community may be restored. In [15], the results show that the trend of epidemics mainly depends on quarantined and suspected cases. It will reveal that it is important to continue enhancing the quarantine and isolation strategy and improving the detection rate in mainland China. To the best of our knowledge, none of the literature has considered double-dose vaccination and quarantine. Therefore, this study develops a deterministic compartmental COVID-19 model which incorporates double-dose vaccination and quarantine to simulate the prevalence of COVID-19 disease in Ghana. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. The proposed model is formulated in Section 2, and analytical analysis is presented in Section 3. Numerical simulations performed to support the analytical results are presented in Section 4. The conclusion is presented in Section 5.

2. Model Formulation

Annas et al. [16] proposed the following SEIR model to study the impact of isolation and vaccination on the spread of COVID-19 in Indonesia:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS}{dt} &= \gamma - (\nu + \delta + \beta I)S, \\
\frac{dE}{dt} &= \beta IS - (\delta + \mu)E, \\
\frac{dI}{dt} &= \mu E - (\alpha + \delta + \delta_i)I, \\
\frac{dR}{dt} &= \alpha I + \nu S - \delta R.
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

In this paper, the work of Annas et al. [16] is extended to study the impact of quarantine and double-dose vaccination for the COVID-19 transmission in Ghana. The total population \( N \) is divided into the following epidemiological classes: susceptible (individuals who are uninfected but may get infected upon contact with the infectious agent), \( S(t) \) (individuals who have received the first dose of vaccine), \( V_1(t) \) (individuals who have received the second dose of vaccine), \( V_2(t) \) (exposed individuals—individuals who are exposed to the virus), \( E(t) \) (individuals who are exposed and have been identified and quarantined), \( Q(t) \) (individuals who are infected and infectious), \( I(t) \) (individuals who have successfully recovered from infection), and \( R(t) \). It has been observed that the rate of infection among those who have been vaccinated is lower than those who have not been vaccinated. The total population size \( N(t) \) is thus given by \( N(t) = S(t) + V_1(t) + V_2(t) + E(t) + Q(t) + I(t) + R(t) \). Recruitments into the population are assumed to be from only susceptibles at rate \( \gamma \). The natural death rate and COVID-19 induced death rates are given as \( \delta \) and \( \delta_i \), respectively. Susceptible individuals are assumed to receive first COVID-19 vaccination and move to the vaccinated compartment \( V_1 \) at rate \( \pi \). Single-dose-vaccinated persons lose protection from COVID-19 and rejoin the susceptibles at rate \( \epsilon \), while those who receive a second dose move to the \( V_2 \) compartment at rate \( \tau \). The parameter \( g \) is assumed to be the waiting rate of immunity following double vaccination. Susceptible individuals may be infected at rate \( \beta S(I + E) \) following effective contact with viral sources. Those who are exposed are quarantined at rate \( \omega \) and it is assumed that a proportion \( f \) of the quarantined recovers at rate \( \kappa \), while the remainder join the infected class at a rate \( (1 - f) \kappa \). Those who are exposed become infectious at a rate \( \mu \), and those with clinical symptoms recover at rate \( \omega \). Those who recover may lose immunity at some rate \( \sigma \) and move back to the susceptible compartment. The dynamics described so far are presented in Figure 1. The description of the model variables and parameters are presented in Table 1.

The dynamics of COVID-19 described thus far is represented by the following system of nonlinear differential equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS}{dt} &= \gamma - \epsilon(V_1 + (1 - g)V_2) + \sigma R - \beta(I + E)S - (\pi + \delta)S, \\
\frac{dV_1}{dt} &= \pi S - \beta(I + E)(1 - \eta_1) V_1 - (\epsilon + \delta + \tau) V_1, \\
\frac{dV_2}{dt} &= \tau V_1 - \beta(I + E)(1 - \eta_2) V_2 - (\delta + (1 - g) \epsilon) V_2, \\
\frac{dE}{dt} &= \beta(I + E)S + (1 - \eta_1) V_1 + (1 - \eta_2) V_2 - (\omega + \delta + \mu)E, \\
\frac{dQ}{dt} &= \omega E - (\delta + \kappa)Q, \\
\frac{dI}{dt} &= \mu E - \kappa(1 - f)Q - (\alpha + \delta + \delta_i)I, \\
\frac{dR}{dt} &= \alpha I + f\kappa Q - (\sigma + \delta)R,
\end{align*}
\]

(2)

with nonnegative initial conditions.
In the subsequent discussions, where necessary, the following conventions are used:

\[ k_1 = (\pi + \delta), \]
\[ k_2 = (\varepsilon + \delta + \tau), \]
\[ k_3 = (\delta + (1 - g)\varepsilon), \]
\[ k_4 = (\omega + \delta + \mu), \]
\[ k_5 = (\delta + \kappa), \]
\[ k_6 = (\alpha + \delta + \delta_i), \]
\[ k_7 = (\sigma + \delta), \]

\[ \Gamma = k_3 k_4 k_1 - \pi\varepsilon((1 - g)\tau + k_3). \]  

3. Qualitative Properties

3.1. Positivity and Boundedness of Solutions of Model (2)

**Lemma 1.** The following result concerns the nature of solutions of model (2).

(1) If the initial values of state variables of model (2) are nonnegative, then all future values of state variables are also positive.

*Table 1: Parameters estimates of the model.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Para.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value (per day)</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\kappa)</td>
<td>Rate at which individuals leave the quarantined class</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mu)</td>
<td>Rate of exposed person to infectious</td>
<td>0.3739</td>
<td>Estimated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha)</td>
<td>Rate of recovery</td>
<td>0.610</td>
<td>Estimated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\beta)</td>
<td>Transmission rate</td>
<td>0.0369</td>
<td>Estimated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\delta)</td>
<td>Natural death rate</td>
<td>0.0078</td>
<td>[21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\delta_i)</td>
<td>COVID-19-induced death rate</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\sigma)</td>
<td>Immunity loss rate</td>
<td>0.0167</td>
<td>Estimated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\omega)</td>
<td>Rate at which exposed persons are quarantined</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>Estimated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\pi)</td>
<td>First dose of vaccine rate</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>Estimated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\gamma)</td>
<td>Recruitment rate</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>Estimated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\tau)</td>
<td>Second dose of vaccine rate</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>Estimated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\varepsilon)</td>
<td>Rate of waning of immunity after first does</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>Estimated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\eta_1)</td>
<td>Efficacy of first dose of vaccine</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>[7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\eta_2 \geq \eta_1)</td>
<td>Efficacy of second dose of vaccine</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>[7]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 1: Flowchart for COVID-19 model with double-dose vaccination and quarantine.*
The set defined by \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n_+ \) defined by \( \Omega = \{ (S, V_1, V_2, E, Q, I, R) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ | 0 \leq N(t) \leq \gamma/\delta \} \) is a positively invariant region for model (2).

Proof. It should be noted that the right-hand side of all components of model (2) is continuous and also locally Lipschitz at \( t = 0 \). Therefore, every nonnegative initial conditions of model (2) has a unique nonnegative solution in \( \mathbb{R}^n_+ \) for all \( t > 0 \), concluding the first part of the lemma.

Furthermore, it is easy to show that if \( X = (S(t), V_1(t), V_2(t), E(t), Q(t), I(t), R(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ \) and \( X_t = 0 \), then \( (dX/dt) \geq 0 \). Therefore, from Theorem A.4 of [17], the region \( \mathbb{R}^n_+ \) is positively invariant under the flow induced by model (2).

Now, summing all equations in model (2) gives

\[
\frac{dN}{dt} = \gamma - \delta N - \delta I \leq \gamma - \delta N,
\]

so that we have \( \lim_{t \to \infty} \sup_{t \geq 0} N(t) \leq (\gamma/\delta) \). Since \( N(t) \) is bounded, then each component of \( X \) is also bounded, and hence, the feasible set of the model is given by \( \Omega \). \hfill \Box

As for the invariance of \( \Omega \), it can be noted that from \( (dN/dt) \leq \gamma - \delta N \), it is easy to show that \( (dN/dt) < 0 \) whenever \( N > (\gamma/\delta) \). This shows that \( \Omega \) is positively invariant under the flow induced by model (2), concluding the proof of the second part of the lemma.

Therefore, model (2) is mathematically and epidemiologically well posed [18] in \( \Omega \), and all analyses are therefore considered to be inside of \( \Omega \).

3.2. Equilibrium Points of the Model. It is easy to see that model (2) has a COVID-19-free equilibrium point \( e_0 = (S^0, V_1^0, V_2^0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \), where \( S^0 = \gamma k_1 k_3 / \mu \), \( V_1^0 = \pi \gamma k_3 / \mu \), and \( V_2^0 = \pi \gamma / \mu \).

The basic reproduction number \( (R_0) \) is defined as the average number of secondary COVID-19 infections caused by a single COVID-19 case throughout his/her entire period of infectiousness. This threshold is determined using the next-generation matrix technique which defines \( \mathcal{F} \) as transmission matrix and \( \mathcal{V} \) as the transition matrix [19]. The matrices \( \mathcal{F} \) and \( \mathcal{V} \) are given as

\[
\mathcal{F} = \begin{pmatrix} R_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\mathcal{V} = \begin{pmatrix} k_4 & 0 & 0 \\ -\omega & k_5 & 0 \\ -\mu & -\kappa(1 - f) & k_6 \end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( R_0 = \beta [S^0 + (1 - \eta_1) V_1^0 + (1 - \eta_2) V_2^0] \).

Therefore,

\[
\mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}^{-1} = R_0 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{k_4} \left( \frac{\mu k_5 + \kappa \omega (1 - f)}{k_5 k_6} \frac{1}{k_6} \right) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

and the basic reproduction number is obtained as the largest eigenvalue of \( \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}^{-1} \) and is given by

\[
R_0 = \frac{R_0}{k_4} \left( 1 + \frac{\mu k_5 + \kappa \omega (1 - f)}{k_5 k_6} \right). \tag{7}
\]

Let \( e^* = (S^*, V_1^*, V_2^*, E^*, Q^*, I^*, R^*) \) be a fixed point of model (2). Then,

\[
S^* = \frac{\psi_3}{k_4}, \quad V_1^* = \frac{\psi_3}{k_4}, \quad V_2^* = \frac{\psi_3}{k_4}, \quad E^* = \frac{\psi_3}{k_4}, \quad Q^* = \frac{\psi_3}{k_4}, \quad I^* = \frac{\psi_3}{k_4}, \quad R^* = \frac{\psi_3}{k_4},
\]

where

\[
\psi_0 = \frac{\alpha k_3 (R_0 - R_0/k_4) + f \kappa \omega}{k_5 k_6}, \quad \psi_1 = (1 - \eta_1) \lambda^* + k_2, \quad \psi_2 = (1 - \eta_2) \lambda^* + k_3,
\]

and \( \lambda^* \) satisfies the following polynomial equation:

\[
(\psi_3(\lambda^*))^3 + \psi_2(\lambda^*)^2 + \psi_1(\lambda^* + \psi_0)\lambda^* = 0, \tag{10}
\]

where

\[
\psi_3 = (1 - \eta_1)(1 - \eta_2)(\psi_0 - k_4), \quad \psi_2 = (1 - \eta_1)(1 - \eta_2) \left( \frac{\beta k_4 R_0}{k_4} + \pi \psi_0 - k_1 k_4 \right) - (k_4 - \psi_0) [k_3 (1 - \eta_1) + k_2 (1 - \eta_2)],
\]
3.3. Local Stability of Equilibria.

The local stability of the equilibria of model (2) is determined by the roots of the characteristic polynomial of the Jacobian matrix. For the disease-free equilibrium, the characteristic polynomial is given by

$$
\Psi_1 = \frac{\beta y k_4 \mathcal{R}_0}{\mathcal{B}_0} \left[ k_3 (1 - \eta_1) + k_2 (1 - \eta_2) \right] - k_2 k_3 k_4 + k_2 k_3 \Psi_1 + k_4 \pi (1 - \eta_2) + \pi (1 - \eta_2) \left( \frac{\beta y k_4 \mathcal{R}_0}{\mathcal{B}_0} \right) + \pi \Psi_1 \left( k_3 (1 - \eta_1) + \pi (1 - \eta_2) \right) ,
$$

or

$$
\Psi_0 = k_4 \pi (k_3 + \pi (1 - g)) - k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4 + \frac{\beta y k_4 \mathcal{R}_0}{\mathcal{B}_0} \left( k_3 k_4 + k_5 (1 - \eta_1) + \pi \tau (1 - \eta_2) \right) .
$$

Equation (10) has four roots, namely, $\lambda^* = 0$ which corresponds to the disease-free equilibrium and the positive real zeros of the following equation:

$$
\Psi_3 (\lambda^*)^3 + \Psi_2 (\lambda^*)^2 + \Psi_1 \lambda^* + \Psi_0 ,
$$

which correspond to the endemic equilibrium of model (2).

Since all parameter values of the model are positive, $\Psi_3 > 0$ for $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$, which implies the existence of at least one positive root for Equation (10). Therefore, the number of positive roots of Equation (10) and the number of endemic equilibrium points of model (2) depend on the signs of $\Psi_2$, $\Psi_1$, and $\Psi_0$.

The following result about the endemic equilibrium of model (2) is thus in the following order.

**Theorem 2.**

1. There is a critical threshold $\mathcal{R}^* = \mathcal{R}_0 / k_4$ such that model (2) has an endemic equilibrium only when $\mathcal{R}_0 > \mathcal{R}^*$
2. Model (2) has three endemic equilibria whenever $\Psi_i > 0, \forall i = 0, 1, 2, 3$
3. Model (2) has a unique endemic equilibrium for cases 1, 3, and 4 as given in Table 2

3.3. Local Stability of Equilibria. In this section, we investigate the local asymptotic stability of the equilibria.

**Theorem 3.** The COVID-19-free fixed point $\varepsilon_0$ of model (2) is locally asymptotically stable whenever $\mathcal{R}_0 \leq 1$ and unstable otherwise.

**Proof.** The Jacobian matrix of (2) at the COVID-19-free equilibrium point is given by

$$
\mathcal{J}(\varepsilon_0) = \begin{bmatrix}
-\beta y & \varepsilon (1 - g) & -\beta y \varepsilon & \varepsilon & -\beta y \varepsilon & 0 \\
\pi & -k_2 & 0 & -\beta (1 - \eta_1) \varepsilon & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \tau & -k_2 & 0 & -\beta (1 - \eta_1) \varepsilon & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\mathcal{R}_0 & 0 & -k_2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \omega & -k_2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \mu (1 - f) & -k_2 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
$$

The following is the characteristic polynomial of the Jacobian matrix.

$$
(\zeta + k_7) \left( \varepsilon^3 + a_1 \varepsilon^2 + a_2 \varepsilon + a_3 \right) \left( \varepsilon^3 + b_1 \varepsilon^2 + b_2 \varepsilon + b_3 \right) = 0,
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
a_1 &= \left( \omega \times g (1 - f) + \mu \times k_2 \right) k_1 (1 - \mathcal{R}_0) k_2 k_3 k_4 + k_2 + k_3, \\
a_2 &= \frac{\left( k_3 + k_2 \omega \times g (1 - f) + \left( k_2 \mu \times k_2 \times k_1 \left( 1 - \mathcal{R}_0 \right) \kappa (1 - k_3) \right) \right) + k_2 k_5}{k_1 k_2 + \omega \times g (1 - f) + \mu k_2}, \\
a_3 &= \left( 1 - \mathcal{R}_0 \right) k_4 k_3 k_4, \\
b_1 &= k_1 + k_2 + k_3, \\
b_2 &= k_2 k_4 - \varepsilon \tau k_3 k_2 + k_1 k_4, \\
b_3 &= k_3 k_4 k_1 - \varepsilon \varepsilon (1 - g) + k_3.
\end{align*}
$$

The normalized forward sensitivity index (NFSI) defined as follows.

$$
PR_{\mathcal{R}_0} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{R}_0}{\partial y} \times \frac{y}{\mathcal{R}_0}.
$$

3.4. Sensitivity Analysis of $\mathcal{R}_0$. Estimates for some parameters are varied one at a time to investigate the impact on the basic reproduction number ($\mathcal{R}_0$). This is done using the normalized forward sensitivity index (NFSI) as defined above.

Using the parameter values in Table 1, the sensitivity indexes of the model parameters determining $\mathcal{R}_0$ are presented in Table 3. The simulation and analysis made are based on data obtained from literature as displayed in Table 1.
increase the prevalence of COVID-19 disease. On the other hand, the parameters \( \alpha, \pi, \tau, \omega \), and \( \delta_i \) have a negative correlation with \( R_0 \), which indicates that increasing (decreasing) these parameters will decrease the prevalence of COVID-19 disease.

3.5. Bifurcation Analysis. Let \( \mathbf{v} = [v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7]^T \) be the left and right eigenvectors, respectively, of the Jacobian matrix \( \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{e}_0) \). Then,

\[
\begin{align*}
-k_1w_1 + \varepsilon w_2 + \varepsilon(1-g)w_3 - \beta S^0(w_4 + w_8) + f\kappa w_5 + \sigma w_7 &= 0, \\
\pi w_1 - k_2w_2 - \beta (1-\eta_1)V^0_1(w_4 + w_8) &= 0, \\
\tau w_2 - k_3w_3 - \beta (1-\eta_2)V^0_2(w_4 + w_8) &= 0, \\
-k_4w_4 + R_0(w_1 + w_6) &= 0, \\
\omega w_4 - k_5w_5 &= 0, \\
\mu w_4 + \kappa(1-f)w_5 - k_6w_6 &= 0, \\
f\kappa w_5 + \alpha w_6 - k_7w_7 &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

From the last three equations in (17), we get

\[
\begin{align*}
w_5 &= \omega k_5^{-1}w_4, \\
w_6 &= \left(\frac{\mu k_5 + \omega(1-f)}{k_5k_6}\right)w_4, \\
w_7 &= \frac{f\kappa k_5 + \alpha(\mu k_5 + \omega(1-f))}{k_5k_6k_7}w_4.
\end{align*}
\]

Substituting \( w_5, w_6, \) and \( w_7 \) into the first three equations of (17) gives the following set of equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
-k_1w_1 + \varepsilon w_2 + \varepsilon(1-g)w_3 &= K_1w_4, \\
\pi w_1 - k_2w_2 &= K_2w_4, \\
\tau w_2 - k_3w_3 &= K_3w_4,
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
K_1 &= \left[ \beta S^0\left(\frac{k_5k_6 + \omega(1-f)}{k_5k_6} + \frac{f\kappa}{k_5k_6} + \omega(\mu k_5 + \omega(1-f))}{k_5k_6} \right) - \frac{f\kappa}{k_5k_6} - \frac{\omega f\kappa}{k_5k_6} + \frac{\omega(\mu k_5 + \omega(1-f))}{k_5k_6} \right], \\
K_2 &= \beta - \frac{f\kappa}{k_5k_6} - \frac{\omega f\kappa}{k_5k_6} + \frac{\omega(\mu k_5 + \omega(1-f))}{k_5k_6} + \frac{\omega k_5}{k_5k_6} + \omega(1-f), \\
K_3 &= \beta - \frac{f\kappa}{k_5k_6} - \frac{\omega f\kappa}{k_5k_6} + \frac{\omega(\mu k_5 + \omega(1-f))}{k_5k_6} + \frac{\omega k_5}{k_5k_6} + \omega(1-f).
\end{align*}
\]

The left eigenvectors of \( \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{e}_0) \) satisfy the following set of equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
-k_1v_1 + \pi v_2 &= 0, \\
\varepsilon v_2 - k_2v_3 + \tau v_4 &= 0, \\
\varepsilon(1-g)v_4 - k_3v_5 &= 0, \\
-\beta S^0v_1 + (1-\eta_1)V^0_1v_2 + (1-\eta_2)V^0_2v_3 + (R_0 - k_4)v_4 + \omega v_5 + \mu v_6 &= 0, \\
f\kappa v_1 - k_5v_2 + \kappa(1-f)v_3 + f\kappa v_4 &= 0, \\
-\beta S^0v_1 - \beta(1-\eta_1)V^0_1v_2 - \beta(1-\eta_2)V^0_2v_3 + R_0v_4 - k_6v_5 + \alpha v_7 &= 0, \\
\sigma v_1 - k_7v_2 &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

From (21), we get

\[
\begin{align*}
v_1 &= 0, \\
v_2 &= 0, \\
v_3 &= 0, \\
v_4 &= 0, \\
v_5 &= \frac{R_0}{k_6}v_4, \\
v_6 &= \frac{R_0}{k_6}v_4, \\
v_7 &= \frac{R_0}{k_6}v_4.
\end{align*}
\]

where \( v_4 \) and \( v_5 \) are chosen such that \( \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{v} = 1 \). Thus,

\[
\left(1 + R_0\left(\frac{\omega(1-f) + \mu k_5 + \omega(1-f)}{k_5^2k_6^2} + \frac{\omega(\mu k_5 + \omega(1-f))}{k_5k_6^2} \right)\right)v_4w_4 = 1.
\]

Table 3: Sensitivity signs of \( R_0 \) to the parameters in Equation (7).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Sensitivity index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \beta )</td>
<td>+1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma )</td>
<td>+1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \varepsilon )</td>
<td>+0.716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mu )</td>
<td>+0.324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \kappa )</td>
<td>+0.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha )</td>
<td>-0.980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \pi )</td>
<td>-0.450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \tau )</td>
<td>-0.176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \omega )</td>
<td>-0.123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta_i )</td>
<td>-0.007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The bifurcation coefficients are thus obtained as

\[ a = \sum_{i,k=1}^{n} v_i w_i \frac{\partial^2 f_k}{\partial x_i \partial x_k}, \]

\[ b = \sum_{i,k=1}^{n} v_i w_i \frac{\partial^2 f_k}{\partial x_i \partial \beta}, \]

\[ a = v_4 \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} w_i w_j \frac{\partial^2 f_4}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}, \]

\[ f_4 = \beta (x_4 + x_6) |x_1 + (1 - \eta_1)x_2 + (1 - \eta_2)x_3| - (\omega + \delta + \mu)x_4. \]  

(24)

The nonzero derivatives in \( b \) are

\[ \frac{\partial^2 f_4}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \frac{\partial^2 f_4}{\partial x_i \partial x_6} = \beta, \]

\[ \frac{\partial^2 f_4}{\partial x_j \partial x_1} = \frac{\partial^2 f_4}{\partial x_j \partial x_6} = \beta (1 - \eta_1), \]

\[ \frac{\partial^2 f_4}{\partial x_j \partial x_2} = \frac{\partial^2 f_4}{\partial x_j \partial x_3} = \beta (1 - \eta_2), \]

\[ a = 2\beta (w_6 + w_4) (w_1 + (1 - \eta_1)w_2 + (1 - \eta_2)w_3)v_4. \]  

(25)

Since \( v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = v_4 = 0 \) and \( f_5 \) and \( f_6 \) do not have nonlinear terms, then \( b \) can be reduced to

\[ b = v_4 \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \frac{\partial^2 f_4}{\partial x_i \partial \beta}. \]  

(26)

Also, the nonzero derivatives in \( b \) are

\[ \frac{\partial^2 f_4}{\partial x_4 \partial \beta} = \frac{\partial^2 f_4}{\partial x_6 \partial \beta} = R_0. \]  

(27)

Therefore,

\[ b = v_4 (w_6 + w_4) R_0 > 0. \]  

(28)

Therefore, the nature of the bifurcation depends on the sign of \( a \). When \( a > 0 \), the bifurcation is subcritical (backward), and when \( a < 0 \), there is forward bifurcation.

4. Numerical Simulation and Discussion

In this section, numerical simulations are carried out to support the analytical results and to assess the impact of some model parameters. Numerical simulation for the model of Equation (2) is done using MATLAB R2007b ODE45. The parameter values are given in Table 2, and the initial conditions \( S(0) = 0.98, V_1(0) = 0.02, V_2(0) = 0.01, E(0) = 0.2, Q(0) = 0, I(0) = 0, \) and \( R(0) = 0.01. \) The trend of \( \beta \) and \( \mu \) on infected population and \( \pi, \omega, \) and \( \tau \) on infected population are given in Figures 2 and 3.

In Figure 4, it is observed that \( \beta, \gamma, \) and \( \epsilon \) are positively correlated with \( R_0 \), thus increasing (decreasing) \( \beta, \gamma, \) or \( \epsilon \) by say 5% will lead to an increase (decrease) in \( R_0 \). Similarly, when we increase (decrease) \( \tau, \pi, \alpha, \) or \( \delta \), by say 5%, \( R_0 \) will decrease (increase). This implies that \( \tau, \pi, \alpha, \) and \( \delta \) are negatively correlated with \( R_0 \).

Figure 2 shows that the effect of transmission rate \( (\beta) \) and progression rate \( (\mu) \) on COVID-19 prevalence. It can
Figure 4: Correlation between the reproduction number ($R_0$) and the model parameters $\beta$, $\alpha$, $\epsilon$, $\gamma$, $\tau$, and $\delta_i$.
be seen in these figures that as the transmission ($\beta$) and progression rates ($\mu$) increase, then COVID-19 prevalence (infected population) increases, which means that these are directly related with COVID-19 outbreak. Efforts needs to be made to reduce the transmission rate ($\beta$) which can be done through mass vaccination.

Figure 3 shows that the increase in first dose vaccination ($\pi$), quarantined ($\omega$), and second dose vaccination rates ($\tau$) decrease the COVID-19 prevalence (infected population) and decrease the risk of an outbreak, which means that these measures should be put in place to minimize the spread of the virus.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, a deterministic model is formulated to investigate the spread of COVID-19 in Ghana, taking into account double-dose vaccination and quarantine. Qualitative analysis, bifurcation analysis, and some numerical simulations are conducted on the model. The local stability analysis of the COVID-19-free and endemic equilibria are examined using the Lyapunov second technique. The equilibria are found to be locally asymptotically stable if $R_0 < 1$ and $R_0 > 1$, respectively. Figure 3 shows that the disease is expected to become extinct when the basic reproduction number is sufficiently low, which can be achieved by decreasing the parameter $\beta$ or increasing the parameter $\tau$. The authors in [16] research showed that isolation and vaccination can reduce the spread of the disease. Sensitivity analysis and numerical simulations confirm that the implementation of double-dose vaccination and quarantine will help minimize the spread of COVID-19. This really implies that, when precautionary measures are put in place, the spread of the virus will be minimized as stated by [23]. Therefore, more education should be done for people to avail themselves for vaccination, and mass vaccination should be done to cover most of the population to reduce spread of COVID-19 in Ghana.
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