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The memristor as the fourth circuit element, it can capture some key aspects of biological synaptic plasticity. So, it is significant that the characteristic of memristors is considered in neural networks. This paper investigates input-to-state stability (ISS) of a class of memristive simplified Cohen–Grossberg bidirectional associative memory (BAM) neural networks with variable time delays. In the sense of Filippov solution, some novel sufficient criteria for ISS are obtained based on differential inclusions and differential inequalities; when the input is zero, the stability of the total system is state stable. Furthermore, numerical simulations are illustrated to show the feasibility of our results.

1. Introduction

In 1988, Kosko proposed a class of bidirectional associative memory (BAM) neural networks [1]. Because of potential applications of associate memory and pattern recognition, many research studies are increasingly concerned about dynamics behaviors of such neural networks. There have been many results on the stability for BAM neural networks with or without delays [2–8]. At the same time, in 1983, Cohen and Grossberg discussed a class of competitive neural networks, which is called Cohen–Grossberg neural networks. A lot of models can be described by this model, such as Hopfield-type neural networks, population biology. Meanwhile, this model has also been applied in some areas such as associate memory, pattern recognition, and optimization problems. Therefore, stability problems have attracted many researchers’ attentions [9–16]. Based on nonsmooth analysis and stability theory, we obtained sufficient conditions of the globally asymptotical stability of the mix-delayed Cohen–Grossberg neural networks with nonlinear impulse [13]. Considering characteristics of BAM neural networks and Cohen–Grossberg neural networks, many researchers investigated qualitative analysis of Cohen–Grossberg BAM neural networks [16–18]. Bao [16] investigated the existence and exponential stability of periodic solutions for fuzzy Cohen–Grossberg BAM neural networks with mixed delays by M-matrix theory and inequality techniques. Rao et al. [17] considered stability results for p-Laplace dynamical equations including Cohen–Grossberg BAM neural networks by constructing suitable Lyapunov functional, M-matrix technique, and Yang inequality. Chinnathambi et al. [18] explored finite-time stabilization of delayed Cohen–Grossberg BAM neural networks under suitable control schemes by using Lyapunov function and some algebraic conditions.

In 1971, Chua predicted memristors as the fourth circuit element [19]. Strukov et al. found the first practical memristor device in 2008 [20]. It can remember its past dynamic history, similar to biological synapse. Because of the characteristic of its memory, it attracted some theoretical researchers’ interests and notices [21–25]. The stability of neural networks with memristors has become a hot topic [24–29]. Considering the characteristic of memristors is significant in neural networks, it can give a potential hope to build brain-like computer and emulate human brain.

In practice, information transmission unavoidably exists in delays. In neural networks, finite propagation velocity often results in delays. Delayed neural network reduces to
more complex dynamical behaviors, such as periodic, instability, and chaos. Thus, considering time delays is significant in neural networks [2–14, 16, 18, 24–34]. Recently, dynamics analysis for memristive neural networks with delays has attracted considerable attention [21–34]. Wu and Zeng [27] investigated the Lagrange stability of memristive neural networks by the nonsmooth analysis and control theory, which is considered to discuss the stability of the total system and not only the stability of the equilibria. Zhong et al. [29] obtained some sufficient conditions for the input-to-state stability of a class of memristive neural networks with variable time delays, which include some known results as particular cases. Zhong et al. [29] obtained some sufficient conditions for the input-to-state stability of a class of memristive neural networks with time-varying delays. Furthermore, Zhao et al. [30] discussed dynamics of memristive BAM neural networks with variable time delays and obtained some novel sufficient conditions of the input-to-state stability.

From these papers, we know the input-to-state stability is general stability. When the inputs are bounded, it is also called bounded-input bounded-output (BIBO) stable. When the inputs are zero, the state of the system is asymptotically stable. Therefore, considering input-to-state stability of system (1) is necessary and significant. Thus, inspired by the above discussions, we will here investigate stability problems of memristive simplified Cohen–Grossberg BAM neural networks with variable time delays:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_i(t) &= c_i(x_i(t))[-x_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{ji}(x_i(t)) f_j(y_j(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{ji}(x_i(t)) f_j(y_j(t - \tau(t))) + I_i(t)], \\
\dot{y}_j(t) &= d_j(y_j(t))[-y_j(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{ij}(y_j(t)) g_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{ij}(y_j(t)) g_i(x_i(t - \tau(t))) + J_j(t)],
\end{align*}
\]

where \(c_i(\cdot)\) and \(d_j(\cdot)\) are the amplification functions, \(f_j(\cdot)(j = 1, 2, \ldots, m)\) and \(g_i(\cdot)(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)\) denote the signal transmission functions, and \(I_i(t)(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)\) and \(J_j(t)(j = 1, 2, \ldots, m)\) are the external inputs. The delays \(\tau(t) \geq 0\) satisfy \(0 < \tau(t) < \tau, \tau(t) \leq \mu < 1\). \(a_{ji}(x_i(t)), b_{ji}(x_i(t)), c_{ij}(y_j(t)), \) and \(d_{ij}(y_j(t))\) represent memristor-based weights, and

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_{ji}(x_i(t)) &= \frac{W_{ji}}{C_i} \times \text{sgn}_{ij}, \\
    b_{ji}(x_i(t)) &= \frac{M_{ji}}{C_i} \times \text{sgn}_{ij}, \\
    \text{sgn}_{ij} &= \begin{cases} 
1, & i \neq j, \\
-1, & i = j,
\end{cases} \\
    c_{ij}(y_j(t)) &= \frac{W_{ij}}{C_j} \times \text{sgn}_{ij}, \\
    d_{ij}(y_j(t)) &= \frac{M_{ij}}{C_j} \times \text{sgn}_{ij}, \\
    \text{sgn}_{ij} &= \begin{cases} 
1, & i \neq j, \\
-1, & i = j.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

According to the feature of a memristor and its current-voltage characteristic, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_{ji}(x_i(t)) &= \begin{cases} 
\alpha_{ji}, & \frac{\text{sgn}_{ji}}{n} \frac{\text{d} f_j(y_j(t))}{\text{d} t} \frac{\text{d} x_i(t)}{\text{d} t} \leq 0, \\
\beta_{ji}, & \frac{\text{sgn}_{ji}}{n} \frac{\text{d} f_j(y_j(t))}{\text{d} t} \frac{\text{d} x_i(t)}{\text{d} t} > 0,
\end{cases} \\
    b_{ji}(x_i(t)) &= \begin{cases} 
\alpha_{ji}, & \frac{\text{sgn}_{ji}}{n} \frac{\text{d} f_j(x_i(t - \tau(t)))}{\text{d} t} \frac{\text{d} x_i(t)}{\text{d} t} \leq 0, \\
\beta_{ji}, & \frac{\text{sgn}_{ji}}{n} \frac{\text{d} f_j(x_i(t - \tau(t)))}{\text{d} t} \frac{\text{d} x_i(t)}{\text{d} t} > 0,
\end{cases} \\
    c_{ij}(y_j(t)) &= \begin{cases} 
\alpha_{ij}, & \frac{\text{sgn}_{ij}}{n} \frac{\text{d} g_i(x_i(t))}{\text{d} t} \frac{\text{d} y_j(t)}{\text{d} t} \leq 0, \\
\beta_{ij}, & \frac{\text{sgn}_{ij}}{n} \frac{\text{d} g_i(x_i(t))}{\text{d} t} \frac{\text{d} y_j(t)}{\text{d} t} > 0,
\end{cases} \\
    d_{ij}(y_j(t)) &= \begin{cases} 
\alpha_{ij}, & \frac{\text{sgn}_{ij}}{n} \frac{\text{d} g_i(x_i(t - \tau(t)))}{\text{d} t} \frac{\text{d} y_j(t)}{\text{d} t} \leq 0, \\
\beta_{ij}, & \frac{\text{sgn}_{ij}}{n} \frac{\text{d} g_i(x_i(t - \tau(t)))}{\text{d} t} \frac{\text{d} y_j(t)}{\text{d} t} > 0.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

This system includes some well-known systems as particular cases. The solution of system (1) is represented by \((x(t), y(t))^T = (x_1(t), \ldots, x_n(t), y_1(t), \ldots, y_m(t))^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}\).

System (1) is supplemented with the initial values
\[ x_i(s) = \varphi_i(s), \quad s \in (-r,0], i = 1,2,\ldots,n, \]
\[ y_j(s) = \psi_j(s), \quad s \in (-r,0], j = 1,2,\ldots,m, \]
where \( \varphi_i(s), \psi_j(s) \) denotes a real-valued continuous function defined on \( (-r,0] \).

To obtain our solutions of system (1), furthermore, we assume as follows:

(H1) \( c_i(\cdot): R \rightarrow R \) is positive, continuous, and bounded such that \( 0 < c_i \leq c_i(\cdot) \leq c_i < \infty \).

(H2) \( d_i(\cdot): R \rightarrow R \) is positive, continuous, and bounded such that \( 0 < d_i \leq d_i(\cdot) \leq d_i < \infty \).

(H3) \( f_i(\cdot) \) and \( g_i(\cdot) \) satisfy globally Lipschitz conditions with positive constants \( k_i > 0 \) and \( l_i > 0 \), respectively, such that

\[
\begin{aligned}
|f_j(x) - f_j(y)| &\leq k_j|x - y|, \\
|g_j(x) - g_j(y)| &\leq l_j|x - y|,
\end{aligned}
\]
for any \( x(t), y(t) \in R \).

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some notations, definitions, and some preliminary results. In Section 3, we present sufficient conditions for the input-to-state stability of system (1). Finally, in Section 4, an example illustrates the feasibility of our results.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some notations, definitions, and some preliminaries, which will be necessary for our main results.

In this paper, the solutions of all systems are considered in Filippov’s sense [35], \( \text{co}[\hat{a}, \hat{a}] \) denotes the closure of the convex hull generated by the real numbers \( \hat{a} \) and \( \hat{a} \). \( x(t) = (x_1(t), \ldots, x_n(t))^T \in R^n \) denotes a column vector, \( \|x\| = \max_{i \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}} |x_i| \), \( I(t) \in L_{\text{un}}^c \).

\( R^n \rightarrow R^n \) with \( \|I\|_{\text{sup}} = \sup \|I(t)\|, t \geq 0 < +\infty \); \( J(t) \in L_{\text{un}}^c \). \( R^n \rightarrow R^n \) with \( \|I\|_{\text{sup}} = \sup \|I(t)\|, t \geq 0 < +\infty \). Let \( \hat{a}_{ij} = \max \{[\hat{a}_{ij}], [\hat{a}_{ji}], [\hat{b}_{ij}], [\hat{b}_{ji}]\} \), for \( i, j = 1,2,\ldots,n \).

In what follows, we also need to introduce some definitions:

Definition 1. Let \( E \subset R^n \), \( x \rightarrow F(x) \) be called a set-valued map from \( E \rightarrow R^n \), if for \( x \in E \), there is a corresponding nonempty set \( F(x) \subset R^n \).

Definition 2. For the system \( dx/dt = g(x), x \in R^n \), with discontinuous right-hand sides, a set-valued map is defined as

\[
\phi(x) = \bigcap_{\delta > 0} \bigcup_{\mu(N) > 0} \text{co} \left[ \frac{g(B(x,\delta))}{N} \right],
\]
where \( \text{co}[E] \) is the closure of the convex hull of the set \( E \), \( B(x,\delta) = \{ y : y - x \leq \delta \} \), and \( \mu(N) \) is a Lebesgue measure of the set \( N \). A solution in Filippov’s sense of the Cauchy problem for this system with initial condition \( x(0) = x_0 \) is an absolutely continuous function \( x(t) \), \( t \in [0,T] \), which satisfies \( x(0) = x_0 \) and the differential inclusion [36]

\[
\frac{dx}{dt} \in \phi(x), \quad \text{for a.e.} \; t \in [0,T].
\]

Definition 3 (see [29, 37]). A scalar continuous function \( \alpha(r) \) defined for \( r \in [0,a] \) is said to belong to the class \( \kappa \) if it is strictly increasing, and \( \alpha(0) = 0 \). It is said to belong to the class \( \kappa_{\infty} \) for all \( r \geq 0 \) and also \( \alpha(r) \rightarrow +\infty \) as \( r \rightarrow +\infty \).

Definition 4 (see [29, 37]). A function \( \beta(r,s) \), defined for \( r \in [0,a] \) and \( s \in [0,\infty) \) is said to belong to the class \( \kappa L \) if for each fixed \( s \geq 0 \), the mapping \( \beta (r,s) \) belongs to the class \( K \) with respect to \( r \) and for each fixed \( r \), and the mapping \( \beta (r,s) \) is decreasing with respect to \( s \) and \( \beta (r,s) \rightarrow 0 \) as \( s \rightarrow \infty \).

Definition 5 (see [29, 37]). System (1) is said to be input-to-state stable if there exists a \( \kappa L \) function \( \beta \) and a \( \kappa_{\infty} \) function \( \alpha \) such that

\[
\|x(t;x_0,I(t))\| + \|y(t;y_0,J(t))\| \leq \beta(\|x_0\|_{\text{sup}}, \|y_0\|_{\text{sup}}, t) + a(\|I(t)\|_{\text{sup}}, \|J(t)\|_{\text{sup}}), \quad t \geq 0,
\]
for any \( x_0 \in R^n, y_0 \in R^n, I(t), J(t) \in L_{\text{un}}^c \).

Remark 1. When the inputs \( I(t) \) and \( J(t) \) are bounded, note that \( \beta \) is a \( \kappa_{\infty} \) function and is also bounded. When the inputs \( I(t) \) and \( J(t) \) are zero, system (1) is asymptotically stable. From (8), \( \|x(t;x_0,I(t))\| + \|y(t;y_0,J(t))\| \) is bounded. Therefore, system (1) is input-to-state stable and also called bounded-input bounded-output (BIBO) stable.

3. Main Results

We will now discuss the input-to-state stability of system (1) based on differential inclusions and set-valued maps [30, 31]. System (1) can be rewritten as follows:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}_i(t) &\in c_i(x_i(t)) \left[-x_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m \text{co}(\hat{a}_{ji})f_i(y_j(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^m \text{co}(\hat{b}_{ji})f_j(y_j(t) - \tau(t)) + l_i(t) \right], \quad t \geq 0, i = 1,2,\ldots,n, \\
\dot{y}_j(t) &\in d_j(y_j(t)) \left[-y_j(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n \text{co}(\hat{c}_{ij})g_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \text{co}(\hat{d}_{ij})g_j(x_i(t) - \tau(t)) + l_j(t) \right], \quad t \geq 0, j = 1,2,\ldots,m.
\end{aligned}
\]
Equivalently, for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) and \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, m \), there exist \( \Phi_{ji} \in \text{co}(\tilde{a}_{ji}, \tilde{a}_{ij}) \) and \( \Psi_{ji} \in \text{co}(\tilde{b}_{ji}, \tilde{b}_{ij}), \Theta_{ij} \in \text{co}(\tilde{c}_{ij}, \tilde{c}_{ij}) \) and \( \Lambda_{ij} \in \text{co}(\tilde{d}_{ij}, \tilde{d}_{ij}) \) such that

\[
\dot{x}_i(t) = c_i(x_i(t)) \left[ -x_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \text{co}(\tilde{a}_{ji}, \tilde{a}_{ij}) f_j(y_j(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \text{co}(\tilde{b}_{ji}, \tilde{b}_{ij}) f_j(y_j(t - \tau(t))) + I_i(t) \right], \quad t \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \tag{10}
\]

\[
\dot{y}_j(t) = d_j(y_j(t)) \left[ -y_j(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Theta_{ij} g_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Lambda_{ij} g_i(x_i(t - \tau(t))) + J_j(t) \right], \quad t \geq 0, j = 1, 2, \ldots, m. \tag{11}
\]

The above parameters \( \Phi_{ji}, \Psi_{ji}, \Theta_{ij} \) and \( \Lambda_{ij} \) \((i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \text{ and } j = 1, 2, \ldots, m)\) in system (10) depend on the initial conditions of system (1) and time \( t \). Clearly, in Filippov’s sense, the solution of system (1) with initial values given by

\[
\dot{x}_i(t) \in c_i(x_i(t)) \left[ -x_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \text{co}(\tilde{a}_{ji}, \tilde{a}_{ij}) f_j(y_j(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \text{co}(\tilde{b}_{ji}, \tilde{b}_{ij}) f_j(y_j(t - \tau(t))) + I_i(t) \right], \quad t \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \tag{12}
\]

\[
\dot{y}_j(t) \in d_j(y_j(t)) \left[ -y_j(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{co}(\tilde{c}_{ij}, \tilde{c}_{ij}) g_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{co}(\tilde{d}_{ij}, \tilde{d}_{ij}) g_i(x_i(t - \tau(t))) + J_j(t) \right], \quad t \geq 0, j = 1, 2, \ldots, m. \tag{13}
\]

It is obvious that for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) and \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, m \), the set-valued map

\[
x_i(t) \rightarrow c_i(x_i(t)) \left[ -x_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \text{co}(\tilde{a}_{ji}, \tilde{a}_{ij}) f_j(y_j(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \text{co}(\tilde{b}_{ji}, \tilde{b}_{ij}) f_j(y_j(t - \tau(t))) + I_i(t) \right], \quad t \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \tag{14}
\]

\[
y_j(t) \rightarrow d_j(y_j(t)) \left[ -y_j(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{co}(\tilde{c}_{ij}, \tilde{c}_{ij}) g_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{co}(\tilde{d}_{ij}, \tilde{d}_{ij}) g_i(x_i(t - \tau(t))) + J_j(t) \right], \quad t \geq 0, j = 1, 2, \ldots, m,
\]

has nonempty compact convex values. Furthermore, it is upper semicontinuous. Thus, the solution \((x(t), y(t))^T\) in system (10) with initial values (11) can exist in Filippov’s sense, refer to [35].

**Theorem 1.** Assume that (H1)–(H3) hold. Then, system (1) is input-to-state stable if

\[
\tau_i - 2\tilde{c}_i + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left( \tilde{a}_{ij} + \tilde{b}_{ij} \right) k_i \tilde{z}_i + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left( \tilde{c}_{ij} + \tilde{d}_{ij} \right) l_i \tilde{d}_j < 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \tag{14}
\]

\[
\tilde{d}_j - 2\tilde{d}_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \tilde{c}_{ij} + \tilde{d}_{ij} \right) l_i \tilde{d}_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \tilde{a}_{ij} + \tilde{b}_{ij} \right) k_i \tilde{z}_i < 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, m.
\]
Proof. Taking (14), we choose a sufficiently small positive constant $\epsilon > 0$ such that

\[
\varepsilon + \tau_i - 2c_i + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (\overline{a}_{ji} + b_{ji}) k_j \varepsilon_i + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left( e_{ij} + \frac{d_{ij}}{1 - \mu} \right) l_j \overline{a}_j < 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n,
\]

\[
\varepsilon + \overline{a}_j - 2d_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (e_{ij} + \overline{a}_{ji}) l_i \overline{a}_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \overline{a}_{ij} + \frac{d_{ij}}{1 - \mu} \right) k_i \varepsilon_i < 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, m,
\]

and consider Lyapunov function

\[
V(x(t), y(t), t) = e^{\epsilon t} (x^T (t)x(t) + y^T (t)y(t)),
\]

where $x(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), \ldots, x_n(t))^T$, $y(t) = (y_1(t), y_2(t), \ldots, y_m(t))^T$. Then,

\[
V(x(t), y(t), t)
\]

\[
\leq V(x(0), y(0), 0) + \int_0^t e^\epsilon s \left[ -2c_i x_i^2(s) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \overline{a}_{ji} k_j x_i(s) + y_j^2(s) \right] ds
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=1}^{m} \varepsilon \overline{b}_{ji} k_j \left[ x_i^2(s) + y_j^2(s - \tau(s)) + \tau_i x_i(s) + l_i^2(s) \right] ds
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=1}^{m} \varepsilon \overline{a}_{ji} l_i \left[ y_j^2(s) + x_i^2(s - \tau(s)) + \overline{a}_j l_j^2(s) \right] ds
\]

\[
\leq V(x(0), y(0), 0) + \int_0^t e^\epsilon s \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \tau_i - 2c_i \right) x_i^2(s) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \overline{a}_{ji} k_j x_i(s) + y_j^2(s) \right] ds
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=1}^{m} \varepsilon \overline{b}_{ji} k_j \left[ x_i^2(s) + y_j^2(s - \tau(s)) + \tau_i x_i(s) + l_i^2(s) \right] ds
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=1}^{m} \varepsilon \overline{a}_{ji} l_i \left[ y_j^2(s) + x_i^2(s - \tau(s)) + \overline{a}_j l_j^2(s) \right] ds
\]

\[
= V(x(0), y(0), 0) + \int_0^t e^\epsilon s \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \tau_i - 2c_i \right) x_i^2(s) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \overline{a}_{ji} k_j x_i(s) + y_j^2(s) \right] ds
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=1}^{m} \varepsilon \overline{b}_{ji} k_j \left[ x_i^2(s) + y_j^2(s - \tau(s)) + \tau_i x_i(s) + l_i^2(s) \right] ds
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=1}^{m} \varepsilon \overline{a}_{ji} l_i \left[ y_j^2(s) + x_i^2(s - \tau(s)) + \overline{a}_j l_j^2(s) \right] ds.
\]
By exchanging the integrals, we get

\[
\int_0^t \epsilon^{\alpha_2} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{d}_{ij} \chi_i^2(s) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \mu} \int_0^t \epsilon^{(s+\tau)} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{d}_{ij} \chi_i^2(s) ds + \frac{1}{1 - \mu} \int_0^t \epsilon^{(s+\tau)} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{d}_{ij} \chi_i^2(s) ds,
\]

(18)

Combining (17) and (18), then

\[
V(x(t), y(t), t) \leq V(x(0), y(0), 0) + \int_0^t \epsilon^{\alpha_2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i^2(s) + \sum_{j=1}^m \chi_j^2(s) \right) ds + \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha_2}}{1 - \mu} \int_0^t \epsilon^{\alpha_2} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{d}_{ij} \chi_i^2(s) ds
\]

\[
+ \int_0^t \epsilon^{\alpha_2} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \left[ \tilde{c}_i - 2 \tilde{d}_i + \sum_{j=1}^m \left( \tilde{a}_{ij} + \tilde{b}_{ij} \chi_j \chi_i \right) + \epsilon^{\alpha_2} \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{d}_{ij} \chi_j \chi_i \right] \right\} ds + \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha_2}}{1 - \mu} \int_0^t \epsilon^{\alpha_2} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{b}_{ij} \chi_i \chi_j \chi_i \chi_j \chi_j \chi_i ds.
\]

(19)
Thus, it follows that

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} y_j^2(t) \leq e^{-\alpha t} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2(0) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} y_j^2(0) + \frac{1}{1 - \mu} \int_{-\tau}^{0} e^{\epsilon(s+t)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (\overline{d}_{ij} \overline{d}_{ij}) x_i^2(s) + b_i k \bar{c}_i y_j^2(s) \, ds \right\}.
\]

Furthermore, \(|x|_\infty + |y|_\infty \leq \sqrt{2} e^{-(\epsilon/2)t} \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2(0) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} y_j^2(0) + \frac{1}{1 - \mu} \int_{-\tau}^{0} e^{\epsilon(s+t)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (\overline{d}_{ij} \overline{d}_{ij}) x_i^2(s) + b_i k \bar{c}_i y_j^2(s) \, ds \right)^{1/2} \right] + \left( \frac{\sqrt{2e \epsilon}}{\mu} \right) \|I\|_{\infty} + \frac{2\epsilon m}{\mu} \|I\|_{\infty},
\]

and from the definition, system (1) is input-to-state stable.

Now, we consider some special cases. For \(\tau(t) = 0\), system (1) becomes

\[
\begin{cases}
\dot{x}_i(t) = c_i(x_i(t)) \left[ -x_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{ij}(x_i(t)) f_j(y_j(t)) + I_i(t) \right], \\
\dot{y}_j(t) = d_j(y_j(t)) \left[ -y_j(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{ij}(y_j(t)) g_i(x_i(t)) + f_j(t) \right], \\
t \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n; j = 1, 2, \ldots, m.
\end{cases}
\]

Corollary 1. Assume that (H1)–(H3) hold. Then, system (22) is input-to-state stable if

\[
\begin{align*}
\tau_i - 2\epsilon \xi_i + \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{ij} \xi_j k_j + \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{ij} d_{ij} l_j &< 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \\
\delta_j - 2d_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{ij} d_{ij} l_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij} \xi_j k_j &< 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, m.
\end{align*}
\]

When \(\tau(t) = \tau\), system (1) becomes

\[
\begin{cases}
\dot{x}_i(t) = c_i(x_i(t)) \left[ -x_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{ij}(x_i(t)) f_j(y_j(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{ij}(x_i(t)) f_j(y_j(t - \tau)) + I_i(t) \right], \\
\dot{y}_j(t) = d_j(y_j(t)) \left[ -y_j(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{ij}(y_j(t)) g_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{ij}(y_j(t)) g_i(x_i(t - \tau)) + f_j(t) \right], \\
t \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n; j = 1, 2, \ldots, m.
\end{cases}
\]

Corollary 2. Assume that (H1)–(H3) hold. Then, system (24) is input-to-state stable if

\[
\begin{align*}
\tau_i - 2\epsilon \xi_i + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (a_{ij} + b_{ij}) \xi_j k_j + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (c_{ij} + d_{ij}) \bar{d}_{ij} l_j &< 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \\
\delta_j - 2d_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (c_{ij} + d_{ij}) \bar{d}_{ij} l_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_{ij} + b_{ij}) \xi_j k_j &< 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, m.
\end{align*}
\]
Remark 2. It is worth noting that the result of Theorem 1 can be improved and simplified. Next, we give related results, which are less conservative than Theorem 1 and are more useful.

Theorem 2. Assume that (H1)-(H3) hold. Then, system (1) is input-to-state stable if

\[-\xi + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau_{j} \left( \frac{\tilde{a}_{i}}{1-\mu} + \frac{\tilde{b}_{j}}{1-\mu} \right) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \]

\[-d_{j} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau_{i} \left( \frac{\bar{a}_{i}}{1-\mu} + \frac{\bar{b}_{j}}{1-\mu} \right) k_{j} \leq 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, m. \]  

(26)

Proof. Consider Lyapunov function

\[ V(x(t), y(t), t) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i}(t)| + \sum_{j=1}^{m} |y_{j}(t)| \right) + \frac{1}{1-\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{t-\tau}^{t} \left| \tau_{j} \tilde{b}_{ji} f_{j} (y_{j}(s)) \right| ds. \]  

(27)

Then, the time derivative of V along the trajectory of (9) or (10) gives

\[ D^{+}V(x(t), y(t), t) \]

\[ \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ -\xi |x_{i}(t)| + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_{j} \tilde{a}_{ji} f_{j}(y_{j}(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_{j} \tilde{b}_{ji} f_{j}(y_{j}(t - \tau(t))) + \tau_{i} |I_{i}(t)| \right] \]

\[ + \frac{1}{1-\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_{j} \tilde{b}_{ji} |f_{j}(y_{j}(t)) - f_{j}(y_{j}(t - \tau(t)))| (1 - \mu) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ -d_{i} |y_{i}(t)| + \sum_{j=1}^{m} d_{j} \tilde{c}_{ij} |g_{i}(x_{i}(t))| \right] \]

\[ + \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} |f_{i}(t)| + \frac{1}{1-\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} d_{j} \tilde{a}_{ij} |g_{i}(x_{i}(t))| \]

\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ -\xi |x_{i}(t)| + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_{j} \tilde{a}_{ji} f_{j}(y_{j}(t)) + \tau_{i} |I_{i}(t)| \right] + \frac{1}{1-\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_{j} \tilde{b}_{ji} |f_{j}(y_{j}(t))| + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ -d_{i} |y_{i}(t)| + \sum_{j=1}^{m} d_{j} \tilde{c}_{ij} |g_{i}(x_{i}(t))| \right] \]

\[ + \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} |f_{i}(t)| \]

\[ \leq -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi |x_{i}(t)| + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_{j} \left( \tilde{a}_{ji} + \frac{1}{1-\mu} \tilde{b}_{ji} \right) k_{j} |y_{j}(t)| + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau_{i} |I_{i}(t)| - \sum_{j=1}^{m} d_{j} |y_{j}(t)| + \sum_{j=1}^{m} d_{j} \tilde{c}_{ij} \right) l_{i} |x_{i}(t)| \]

\[ + \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} |f_{i}(t)| \]

\[ \leq -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi |x_{i}(t)| - \sum_{j=1}^{m} d_{j} \left( \tilde{c}_{ij} + \frac{1}{1-\mu} \tilde{d}_{ij} \right) l_{i} |x_{i}(t)| + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau_{i} |I_{i}(t)| - \sum_{j=1}^{m} d_{j} - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_{j} \left( \tilde{a}_{ji} + \frac{1}{1-\mu} \tilde{b}_{ji} \right) k_{j} |y_{j}(t)| + \sum_{j=1}^{m} d_{j} |f_{j}(t)| \]

\[ \leq -\delta \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i}(t)| + \sum_{j=1}^{m} |y_{j}(t)| \right) + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau_{i} |I_{i}(t)| + \sum_{j=1}^{m} d_{j} |f_{j}(t)| \right), \]  

(28)

where \( \delta = \min \{ \eta, \nu \}, \eta = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} (\xi - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tilde{d}_{ij} (\tilde{c}_{ij} + (1/(1-\mu)) \tilde{d}_{ij}) l_{i}) > 0, \nu = \min_{1 \leq j \leq m} (d_{j} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau_{i} (\tilde{a}_{ji} + (1/(1-\mu)) \tilde{b}_{ji}) k_{j}) > 0. \) For any \( 1 \leq i \leq n, \) choose \( \epsilon_{i} > 0 \) and any \( 1 \leq j \leq m, \) choose \( \epsilon_{j} > 0, \) respectively, and we correspondingly have
\[-\delta + \epsilon + \frac{1}{1-\mu} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \bar{d}_{ji} l_i \epsilon_t e^{\epsilon_t t} = 0, \tag{29}\]

\[-\delta + \epsilon + \frac{1}{1-\mu} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{e}_{ji} k_j \epsilon_t e^{\epsilon_t t} = 0. \tag{30}\]

Let \( \epsilon = \min \{ \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} (\epsilon_i), \min_{1 \leq j \leq m} (\epsilon_j) \} > 0. \)

For any \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) and any \( 1 \leq j \leq m \), respectively, we correspondingly obtained

\[
D^* W(x(t), y(t), t) = e^{\epsilon t} V(x(t), y(t), t) + e^{\epsilon t} D^* V(x(t), y(t), t)
\]

\[
\leq e^{\epsilon t} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} y_j(t) + \frac{1}{1-\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_i \bar{e}_{ji} \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} [f(j, y_j(s)) + \bar{d}_{ji} g_i(x_i(s))] \, ds - \delta e^{\epsilon t} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} y_j(t) \right) \right]
\]

\[
+ e^{\epsilon t} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau_i |I_i(t)| + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \bar{d}_{ji} |J_j(t)| \right)
\]

\[
\leq (-\delta + \epsilon) e^{\epsilon t} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} y_j(t) \right) + \frac{1}{1-\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} e^{\epsilon t} \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} \left( \tau_i \bar{e}_{ji} |y_j(s)| + \bar{d}_{ji} g_i(x_i(s)) \right) \, ds
\]

\[
+ e^{\epsilon t} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau_i |I_i(t)| + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \bar{d}_{ji} |J_j(t)| \right).
\tag{31}\]

Integrating both sides of (31), we have

\[
W(x(t), y(t), t)
\]

\[
\leq W(x_0, y_0, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-\delta + \epsilon) \int_{0}^{t} e^{\epsilon s} |x_i(s)| \, ds + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (-\delta + \epsilon) \int_{0}^{t} e^{\epsilon s} |y_j(s)| \, ds
\]

\[
+ \frac{\epsilon}{1-\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_i \bar{e}_{ji} \int_{0}^{t} e^{\epsilon s} \int_{s-r}^{s} |y_j(v)| \, dvds + \bar{d}_{ji} g_i \int_{0}^{t} e^{\epsilon s} \int_{s-r}^{s} |x_i(v)| \, dvds \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t} e^{\epsilon s} \tau_i |I_i(s)| \, ds + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{t} e^{\epsilon s} \bar{d}_{ji} |J_j(s)| \, ds
\]

\[
\leq W(x_0, y_0, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-\delta + \epsilon) \int_{0}^{t} e^{\epsilon s} |x_i(s)| \, ds + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (-\delta + \epsilon) \int_{0}^{t} e^{\epsilon s} |y_j(s)| \, ds
\]

\[
+ \frac{\epsilon}{1-\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_i \bar{e}_{ji} \int_{0}^{t} e^{\epsilon s} \int_{s-r}^{s} |y_j(v)| \, dvds + \bar{d}_{ji} g_i \int_{0}^{t} e^{\epsilon s} \int_{s-r}^{s} |x_i(v)| \, dvds \right) + \left( \frac{\tau n}{\epsilon} \| \mathbb{I} \|_{\text{sup}} + \frac{\bar{d} m}{\epsilon} \| \mathbb{I} \|_{\text{sup}} \right) e^{\epsilon t}.
\tag{32}\]

While
\[
\frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \mu} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{ij} k_j \int_{t}^{s} e^{\varepsilon t} |y_j(v)| dv ds \\
= \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \mu} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{ij} k_j \int_{t}^{s} |y_j(v)| dv ds \\
\leq \frac{\varepsilon e^{\varepsilon t}}{1 - \mu} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{ij} k_j \int_{t}^{s} |e^{\varepsilon t} y_j(v)| dv \\
= \frac{\varepsilon e^{\varepsilon t}}{1 - \mu} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{ij} k_j \int_{t}^{s} |e^{\varepsilon t} y_j(v)| dv ds + \frac{\varepsilon e^{\varepsilon t}}{1 - \mu} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{ij} k_j \int_{0}^{t} e^{\varepsilon t} |y_j(s)| ds, \\
\leq \frac{\varepsilon e^{\varepsilon t}}{1 - \mu} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \bar{d}_{ij} l_i \int_{0}^{t} e^{\varepsilon t} |x_i(v)| dv ds \\
= \frac{\varepsilon e^{\varepsilon t}}{1 - \mu} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \bar{d}_{ij} l_i \int_{t}^{s} |x_i(v)| dv ds \leq \frac{\varepsilon e^{\varepsilon t}}{1 - \mu} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \bar{d}_{ij} l_i \int_{t}^{s} |e^{\varepsilon t} x_i(v)| dv \\
= \frac{\varepsilon e^{\varepsilon t}}{1 - \mu} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \bar{d}_{ij} l_i \int_{0}^{s} e^{\varepsilon t} |x_i(s)| ds + \frac{\varepsilon e^{\varepsilon t}}{1 - \mu} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \bar{d}_{ij} l_i \int_{0}^{t} e^{\varepsilon t} |x_i(s)| ds. \\
\tag{33a}
\]

Combining (32) and (33a) and (33b) leads to

\[
W(x(t), y(t), t) \\
\leq V(x_0, y_0, 0) + \frac{\varepsilon e^{\varepsilon t}}{1 - \mu} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \bar{d}_{ij} l_i \int_{0}^{t} e^{\varepsilon t} |x_i(s)| ds + \frac{\varepsilon e^{\varepsilon t}}{1 - \mu} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \bar{d}_{ij} l_i \int_{0}^{t} e^{\varepsilon t} |y_j(s)| ds \\
+ \frac{\varepsilon e^{\varepsilon t}}{1 - \mu} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \bar{d}_{ij} l_i \int_{0}^{t} e^{\varepsilon t} |x_i(s)| ds \leq V(x_0, y_0, 0) + \frac{\varepsilon e^{\varepsilon t}}{1 - \mu} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \bar{d}_{ij} l_i \int_{0}^{t} e^{\varepsilon t} |x_i(s)| ds + \frac{\varepsilon e^{\varepsilon t}}{1 - \mu} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \bar{d}_{ij} l_i \int_{0}^{t} e^{\varepsilon t} |y_j(s)| ds \\
+ \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} ||I||_{sup} + \frac{\bar{m}}{\varepsilon} ||I||_{sup} \right) e^{\varepsilon t}. \\
\tag{34}
\]

Thus, it follows that
Assume that \( \text{Lipschitzian} \). Let

\[
\frac{-\varepsilon \tau e^t}{1 - \mu} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} d_i d_j \int_{-\tau}^{0} e^{\varepsilon t} |x_i(s)| ds + \frac{-\varepsilon \tau e^t}{1 - \mu} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \varepsilon_i j b_j k_j \int_{-\tau}^{0} e^{\varepsilon t} |y_j(s)| ds \leq V(x(t), y(t))
\]

Furthermore, \( \|x\|_\infty + \|y\|_\infty \leq (\sum_{i=1}^{n}|x_i(t)| + \sum_{j=1}^{m}|y_j(t)|) \leq V(x(t), y(t), t) \) such that

\[
\|x\|_\infty + \|y\|_\infty \leq \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i(0)| + \sum_{j=1}^{m} |y_j(0)| \right] + \frac{1}{1 - \mu} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{-\tau}^{0} \left( \varepsilon_i j b_j k_j |y_j(s)| + d_i d_j |x_i(s)| \right) ds \nonumber
\]

\[
+ \frac{-\varepsilon \tau e^t}{1 - \mu} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} d_i d_j \int_{-\tau}^{0} e^{\varepsilon t} |x_i(s)| ds + \frac{-\varepsilon \tau e^t}{1 - \mu} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \varepsilon_i j b_j k_j \int_{-\tau}^{0} e^{\varepsilon t} |y_j(s)| ds \leq V(x(t), y(t), t)
\]

and from the definition, system (1) is input-to-state stable.

Similar to Theorem 1, we have related corollaries for special cases as follows.

**Corollary 3.** Assume that (H1)–(H3) hold. Then, system (22) is input-to-state stable if

\[
-\varepsilon_i j + \sum_{j=1}^{m} d_j c_{ij} l_i < 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n;
\]

\[
-\varepsilon_i j + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_i j a_i k_j < 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m.
\]

**Corollary 4.** Assume that (H1)–(H3) hold. Then, system (24) is input-to-state stable if

\[
-\varepsilon_i j + \sum_{j=1}^{m} d_j (c_{ij} + d_{ij}) l_i < 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n;
\]

\[
-\varepsilon_i j + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_i j (a_{ij} + b_{ij}) k_j < 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m.
\]

### 4. A Numerical Example

Next, we give an illustrative example to show the effectiveness of our results.

We consider the memristive Cohen–Grossberg BAM neural networks with variable delays as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1(t) &= c_1(x_1(t)) [-x_1(t) + a_{11}(x_1(t)) f_2(x_2(t)) + a_{12}(x_1(t)) f_2(x_2(t - \tau(t)))] + I_1(t), \\
\dot{x}_2(t) &= c_2(x_2(t)) [-x_2(t) + b_{21}(x_2(t)) f_1(x_1(t)) + b_{22}(x_2(t)) f_1(x_1(t - \tau(t)))] + I_2(t),
\end{align*}
\]

for \( t \geq 0 \), where \( c_1(x_1(t)) = 1 + (1/16)\cos x_1(t) \), \( c_2(x_2(t)) = 1 + (1/8)\sin x_2(t) \), \( \tau(t) = e^{-3t} \), \( I_1(t) = 0.5 \sin t \), and \( I_2(t) = 0.6 \cos t \). \( f_1(x) = f_2(x) = \tanh x \) is globally Lipschitzian.
Based on the calculation, it is easy to know that the conditions of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 are satisfied. Thus, system (39) is input-to-state stable. When initial values $x(0) = [0.06; 0.05]^T$, Figure 1 shows that time evolutions of system (39) with periodic inputs are bounded. Therefore, it is input-to-state stable. When inputs are zeros, Figure 2 shows that the state of system (39) with identical initial values is exponentially stable.
5. Conclusions

Memristors can show synaptic dynamic characteristic of the human brain, so it can become ideal implementation of the artificial synapse. Based on the memristors, some theoretical researchers look forward to propose some better model for understanding and simulating the characteristic of the human brain. In this paper, based on nonsmooth analysis, set-valued maps, and differential inequality analysis, we investigate input-to-state stability of a class of memristive simplified Cohen–Grossberg bidirectional associative memory (BAM) neural networks with variable time delays. Some sufficient conditions guarantee the input-to-state stability of such networks. Furthermore, when the input is zero, the stability of the total system is state stable. Our results extended to some known results, which can be applied in wider situations [6, 8, 24–26]. At the same time, an illustrative example shows the feasibility and effectiveness of our results.
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