Solution of the Systems of Delay Integral Equations in Heterogeneous Data Communication through Haar Wavelet Collocation Approach
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In this work, the Haar collocation scheme is used for the solution of the class of system of delay integral equations for heterogeneous data communication. The Haar functions are considered for the approximation of unknown function. By substituting collocation points and applying the Haar collocation technique to system of delay integral equations, we have obtained a linear system of equations. For the solution of this system, an algorithm is developed in MATLAB software. The method of Gauss elimination is utilized for the solution of this system. Finally, by using these coefficients, the solution at collocation points is obtained. The convergence of Haar technique is checked on some test problems.

1. Introduction

Integral equations (IEs) are equations in which the unknown functions appear under one or more integral signs [1]. Delay integral equations (DIEs) are those IEs in which the solution of the unknown function is given in the previous time interval [2]. DIEs are further classified into two main types: Fredholm DIEs and Volterra DIEs on the basis of the limits of integration. Fredholm DIEs are those IEs in which limits of the integration are constant, while in Volterra DIEs, one of the limits of the integration is a constant and the other is a variable. A Volterra-Fredholm DIEs consist of disjoint Volterra and Fredhom IEs [1]. The DIEs play an important role in mathematics [3]. These equations are used for modelling of various phenomena such as modelling of systems with memory [4], mathematical modelling, electric circuits, and mechanical systems [5].

Several researchers are trying to find out the numerical solution of delay IEs. Darania [6] used the multistep collocation method for solving DIEs. For each subinterval, the solution is obtained through a fixed number of collocation points and of previous steps in the current and next subintervals. Avaji et al. [7] used the variational iteration method for approximate solution of nonlinear and linear Volterra DIEs. The Volterra DIEs are constructed using general Lagrange multipliers that are defined by the variational theory and the initial approximations. Zhao et al. [8] used the Sinc collocation method for solving the DIEs. This technique reduces the DIEs of Volterra type to an explicit algebraic equation. The solution of these algebraic equations gives the solution of the Volterra DIEs. Yuzbasi and Ismailov [9] solved Volterra IEs with proportion delays by the method of differential transformation. In this technique, the solutions obtained are in the series form. The solution of the series expanded to Taylor series to find the unknown coefficients. For the system of nonlinear Volterra DIEs, Sekar and Murugesan [10] used the Walsh series method. This technique reduces the Volterra IEs into a system of
equations. The solution of an algebraic equation leads to the solution of the Volterra IEs. Kurkcu [11] used generalized Mott polynomials for the approximate solution of DIFEs with variable bounds. Maleknejad et al. [12] developed the numerical method for the solution Volterra IEs of first, second, and singular type of equations by the use of Bernstein approximation. Raza and Khan [13] found solution of neutral delay differential equations. Ghasemia and Kajani [14] utilized Chebyshev wavelets to find the solution time delay systems. The method is based on the expansion of various time functions and truncated Chebyshev wavelets. Wang [15] used hybrid functions for the solution of system of DIFEs. The hybrid functions consist of Legendre polynomials and block-pulse function. Samadi and Tohidi [16] used the spectral method for solution of systems of Volterra IEs; also, they used Spectral Galerkin approach for solution of two-dimensional Volterra IEs [17]. Tohidi [18] utilized Taylor matrix technique for solution of linear two-dimensional Fredholm IEs [19]. Demko et al. [19] presented data-type agnostic algorithm calculating a concept lattice from heterogeneous and complex data. Luo et al. [20] investigated the bounded consensus tracking problem of heterogeneous nonlinear multiagent systems based on heterogeneous data in real time. Alqarni et al. [23] proposed a semicontrolled environment system which overcomes the limitations of users’ age, gender, and smartwatch wearing style. Mazzara et al. [24] proposed a surveys’ Internet of things and smart and software-defined buildings’ technologies and their cooperation towards the realization of smart spaces. Sohaib et al. [25] enhanced a new technology acceptance-based research with the artificial neural network method to enable more precise and in-depth research results as compared to the single-step SEM method. The Haar technique for the solution of Fredholm and Volterra IEs was used by Aziz and Islam [26]. Haar technique is used for solution of different problems in literature. Some of the recent are fractional-order delay differential equations [27], distributed order time-fractional differential equations [28], second-order linear and nonlinear integro-differential equations [29], third-order linear and nonlinear boundary value problems of integro-differential equations [30], and second-order delay differential equations [31]. Amin et al. [32] developed Haar technique for the approximate solution of delay IEs. In this work, we will extend [32] for a system of delay IEs by using Haar wavelet collocation (HWC) technique.

In this study, the HWC scheme is used for the solution of system of linear delay IEs in heterogeneous data communication. The accuracy and efficiency will be checked on some test problems. The system of delay Volterra-Fredholm IE (DVFIE) \( \xi > 0 \) is [33]

\[
W(t) = \begin{cases} 
W(t - \xi) + \int_0^t K(t, s)W(s)ds + \int_0^1 M(t, s)W(s - \xi)ds + \int_0^{t-\xi} N(t, s)W(s)ds + F(t), 
\end{cases}
\]

with initial conditions \( w_1(0) = \lambda_1 \) and \( w_2(0) = \lambda_2 \), where \( W(t) = \begin{pmatrix} w_1(t) \\ w_2(t) \end{pmatrix} \) is vector function of the solution of system (1), \( \Phi(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1(t) \\ \Phi_2(t) \end{pmatrix} \) is the delay conditions, \( K = [k_{i,j}]_{2 \times 2} \) and \( M = [m_{i,j}(t, s)]_{2 \times 2} \) are sufficiently smooth functions known as kernels of integration, and \( F(t) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(t) \\ f_2(t) \end{pmatrix} \) are given functions. For explicit derivation of the HWC technique, we consider \( \xi = 1 \).

2. Numerical Method

In this section, the HWC scheme is developed for the solution of the system of DVFIE (1). Let \( W(t) = W(t) = \begin{pmatrix} w_1(t) \\ w_2(t) \end{pmatrix} \in L_2[0, 1] \); then,

\[
w_1(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i h_i(t),
\]

\[
w_2(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N b_i h_i(t).
\]

The system of DVFIE (1) can be written as

\[
w_1(t) = \begin{cases} 
w_1(t - \xi) + \int_0^t k_{11}(t, s)w_1(s)ds + \int_0^t k_{12}(t, s)w_2(s)ds + \int_0^1 m_{11}(t, s)w_1(s - \xi)ds \\
+ \int_0^1 m_{12}(t, s)w_2(s - \xi)ds + \int_0^{t-\xi} n_{11}(t, s)w_1(s)ds + \int_0^{t-\xi} n_{12}(t, s)w_2(s)ds + f_1(t), 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\Phi_1(t), \quad t \in [-\xi, 0),
\]
\[ w_2(t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\Phi_2(t), & \text{if } t \in [-\xi, 0], \\
\Phi_2(t) + \int_0^1 m_{21}(t,s)w_2(s)ds + \int_0^1 m_{22}(t,s)w_2(s)ds + \int_0^1 n_{21}(t,s)\xi(s)ds + \int_0^1 n_{22}(t,s)\xi(s)ds + f_2(t), & \text{for } t > 0,
\end{array} \right. \]

By applying Haar approximation to the above system, we get the expression as

\[ \sum_{i=1}^N a_i h_i(t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\Phi_1(t - \xi) + \int_0^1 k_{11}(t,s) a_i h_i(s)ds + \int_0^1 k_{12}(t,s) b_i h_i(s)ds \\
+ \int_0^1 m_{11}(t,s)\xi(s)ds + \int_0^1 m_{12}(t,s)\xi(s)ds \\
+ \int_0^{t-\xi} n_{11}(t,s)\xi(s)ds + \int_0^{t-\xi} n_{12}(t,s)\xi(s)ds + f_1(t), & \text{for } t < 0,
\end{array} \right. \]

\[ \sum_{i=1}^N b_i h_i(t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\Phi_2(t - \xi) + \int_0^1 k_{21}(t,s) a_i h_i(s)ds + \int_0^1 k_{22}(t,s) b_i h_i(s)ds \\
+ \int_0^1 m_{21}(t,s)\xi(s)ds + \int_0^1 m_{22}(t,s)\xi(s)ds \\
+ \int_0^{t-\xi} n_{21}(t,s)\xi(s)ds + \int_0^{t-\xi} n_{22}(t,s)\xi(s)ds + f_2(t), & \text{for } t > 0,
\end{array} \right. \]

discretizing the above two equations at CPs \( t_j \); where \( j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, N \), we get the following expression:
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i h_i(t_j) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\Phi_1(t_j - \xi) + \int_{0}^{t_j} k_{11}(t_j, s) \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i h_i(s) ds + \int_{0}^{t_j} k_{12}(t_j, s) \sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i h_i(s) ds
+ \int_{0}^{t_j} m_{11}(t_j, s) \Phi_1(s - \xi) ds + \int_{0}^{t_j} m_{12}(t_j, s) \Phi_2(s - \xi) ds
+ \int_{0}^{t_j} n_{11}(t_j, s) \Phi_1(s) ds + \int_{0}^{t_j} n_{12}(t_j, s) \Phi_2(s) ds + f_1(t_j), \quad \text{for } t_j < 0,
\end{array} \right.
\]

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i h_i(t_j) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\Phi_2(t_j - \xi) + \int_{0}^{t_j} k_{21}(t_j, s) \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i h_i(s) ds + \int_{0}^{t_j} k_{22}(t_j, s) \sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i h_i(s) ds
+ \int_{0}^{t_j} m_{21}(t_j, s) \Phi_1(s - \xi) ds + \int_{0}^{t_j} m_{22}(t_j, s) \Phi_2(s - \xi) ds
+ \int_{0}^{t_j} n_{21}(t_j, s) \Phi_1(s) ds + \int_{0}^{t_j} n_{22}(t_j, s) \Phi_2(s) ds + f_2(t_j), \quad \text{for } t_j > 0,
\end{array} \right.
\]

and let

\[
L_{11}(t_j) = \int_{0}^{t_j} k_{11}(t_j, s) h_i(s) ds,
L_{12}(t_j) = \int_{0}^{t_j} k_{12}(t_j, s) h_i(s) ds,
L_{13}(t_j) = \int_{0}^{t_j} m_{11}(t_j, s) \Phi_1(s - \xi) ds,
L_{14}(t_j) = \int_{0}^{t_j} m_{12}(t_j, s) \Phi_2(s - \xi) ds,
L_{15}(t_j) = \int_{0}^{t_j} n_{11}(t_j, s) \Phi_1(s) ds,
L_{16}(t_j) = \int_{0}^{t_j} n_{12}(t_j, s) \Phi_2(s) ds,
L_{17}(t_j) = \int_{0}^{t_j} m_{21}(t_j, s) h_i(s - \xi) ds,
L_{18}(t_j) = \int_{0}^{t_j} m_{22}(t_j, s) h_i(s - \xi) ds,
L_{19}(t_j) = \int_{0}^{t_j} n_{21}(t_j, s) h_i(s) ds,
L_{20}(t_j) = \int_{0}^{t_j} n_{22}(t_j, s) h_i(s) ds,
L_{21}(t_j) = \int_{0}^{t_j} n_{12}(t_j, s) h_i(s) ds,
L_{22}(t_j) = \int_{0}^{t_j} n_{22}(t_j, s) h_i(s) ds.
\]
We get the $2N \times 2N$ linear system of algebraic equations with unknowns $a_i$ and $b_i$. Solving this system, we obtain the values of unknown constants $a_i$ and $b_i$. The required solution is obtained by putting these unknowns in equation (2).

Remark 1. If we take $K = 0$ and $N = 0$, then system (1) is known as system of delay Fredholm IEs (DFIEs), and if we take $M = 0$, then system (1) is known as system of delay Volterra IEs (DVIEs). Similarly, HWC technique can be developed for DFIEs and DVIEs.

3. Test Problems

In this section, the HWC scheme is used for solution of some examples. To show the convergence of this scheme, the maximum absolute errors with a different choice of CPs is given in tables. If $W_{\text{exa}}(t)$ denotes the exact solution and $W_{\text{appr}}(t)$ denotes the approximate solution at CPs, then $L_\infty$ maximum absolute error is $L_\infty = \max|W_{\text{exa}}(t) - W_{\text{appr}}(t)|$, and the $M_{cp}$ mean square root error in CPs is defined as $M_{cp} = \sqrt{1/N (\sum_{j=1}^{N} |W_{\text{exa}}(t) - W_{\text{appr}}(t)|^2)}$. 
**Problem 1.** Consider the following system of delay VIEs [33]:

\[
W(t) = \begin{cases} 
F(t) + \int_0^t \left( \frac{s}{1} \begin{array}{cc} 1 \\ s \\ 1 \end{array} \right) w(s) ds + \int_0^{t-1} \left( \frac{s-1}{1} \begin{array}{cc} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) w(s) ds, & 0 \leq t < 1, \\
\left( e^{-t} \begin{array}{c} t^2 \\ t^3 \end{array} \right), & -1 \leq t < 0.
\end{cases}
\]  

The function \( F(t) \) is so that the exact solution is

\[
W(t) = \left( e^{-t} \begin{array}{c} t^2 \\ t^3 \end{array} \right).
\]  

**Problem 2.** Consider the following system of delay VIEs [34]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{w}_1(t) + tw_2(t) &= \sin t + t \cos t - \sin (t-1) + \int_0^t (t^2 \cos sw_1(s) - t^2 \sin sw_2(s)) ds + w_1(t-1), \\
\dot{w}_2(t) - 2w_1(t) &= \cos t - 2t \sin t - \cos (t-1) + \int_0^t (\sin t \cos sw_1(s) - \sin t \sin sw_2(s)) ds + w_2(t-1),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( w_1(0) = 0 \) and \( w_2(0) = 1 \) and delay condition \( w_1(t) = \sin t \) and \( w_2(t) = \cos t \) for \(-1 < t \leq 0\), and the exact solution is \( w_1(t) = \sin t \) and \( w_2(t) = \cos t \)

**Problem 3.** Consider the following system of delay VIEs [34]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{w}_1(t) &= \frac{1}{4} (\cos (t-1) - \cos (t+1)) + \cos t (2 + \sin t - \cos t) - \frac{t}{2} \sin (t-1) - 1 \\
&- \cos (t-1) + w_1(t-1) + \int_0^t (\sin ((t-s) - 1)w_1(s) + (1 - s \cos t)w_2(s)) ds, \\
\dot{w}_2(t) &= w_2(t-1) + \sin t - \sin (t-1) + w_2(t-1) + \int_0^t w_1(s) + (t-s)w_2(s) ds,
\end{align*}
\]

where initial conditions \( w_1(0) = 1 \) and \( w_2(0) = 0 \) and delay conditions \( w_1(t) = \cos t \) and \( w_2(t) = \sin t \) for \(-1 < t \leq 0\). The exact solution is \( w_1(t) = \cos t \) and \( w_2(t) = \sin t \).

**Problem 4.** Consider the following system of delay FIEs:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{w}_1(t) - tw_2(t) &= e^{2t} - t \cos (2t) - \frac{1}{2} t (2 + \sin 2t) - e^{-2(t-1)} + \int_0^1 (te^{-2t}w_1(s) + tw_2(s)) ds + w_1(t-1), \\
tw_1(t) + w_2(t) &= te^{2t} + \cos (2t) - \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 + 3e^t \left( 1 + e^2 \right) \right) - \cos 2 + 2(-1 + t) \sin 2 - \cos (2t - 2) \\
&+ \int_0^1 (t-s)w_1(s) + (t+s)^2w_2(s) ds + w_2(t-1),
\end{align*}
\]
### Table 1: $L_\infty$ and $M_{cp}$ errors for Problem 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>J</th>
<th>$N = 2^j + 1$</th>
<th>$w_1 (t) \times 10^{-02}$</th>
<th>$w_2 (t) \times 10^{-02}$</th>
<th>$w_3 (t) \times 10^{-02}$</th>
<th>$w_4 (t) \times 10^{-02}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.22777</td>
<td>3.535106</td>
<td>3.642416</td>
<td>6.42416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.651301</td>
<td>1.949725</td>
<td>1.331555</td>
<td>1.331555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.021651</td>
<td>1.171350</td>
<td>5.361894</td>
<td>5.361894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>9.590612</td>
<td>5.258632</td>
<td>1.911783</td>
<td>1.911783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>4.865599</td>
<td>2.383070</td>
<td>7.213247</td>
<td>7.213247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>2.360761</td>
<td>1.363338</td>
<td>2.513415</td>
<td>2.513415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>1.174814</td>
<td>6.766049</td>
<td>8.963455</td>
<td>8.963455</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: $L_\infty$ and $M_{cp}$ errors for Problem 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>J</th>
<th>$N = 2^j + 1$</th>
<th>$w_1 (t) \times 10^{-03}$</th>
<th>$w_2 (t) \times 10^{-02}$</th>
<th>$w_3 (t) \times 10^{-03}$</th>
<th>$w_4 (t) \times 10^{-03}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.971049</td>
<td>5.864174</td>
<td>1.393799</td>
<td>4.181707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.810205</td>
<td>4.057245</td>
<td>9.051023</td>
<td>2.029205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.164381</td>
<td>2.319242</td>
<td>4.353520</td>
<td>9.135788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6.956396</td>
<td>1.312505</td>
<td>1.796679</td>
<td>3.464783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3.726557</td>
<td>6.850666</td>
<td>7.173199</td>
<td>1.363234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1.964274</td>
<td>3.565292</td>
<td>2.635402</td>
<td>4.894977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>1.000512</td>
<td>1.804582</td>
<td>9.855819</td>
<td>1.826391</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: $L_\infty$ and $M_{cp}$ errors for Problem 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>J</th>
<th>$N = 2^j + 1$</th>
<th>$w_1 (t) \times 10^{-02}$</th>
<th>$w_2 (t) \times 10^{-02}$</th>
<th>$w_3 (t) \times 10^{-03}$</th>
<th>$w_4 (t) \times 10^{-03}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.668465</td>
<td>2.845448</td>
<td>3.815950</td>
<td>2.076129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.219307</td>
<td>3.246160</td>
<td>2.143767</td>
<td>1.862447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.291424</td>
<td>3.324980</td>
<td>9.682159</td>
<td>1.804969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.260881</td>
<td>3.159590</td>
<td>3.464271</td>
<td>9.04071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>6.573418</td>
<td>1.744693</td>
<td>1.377181</td>
<td>3.610467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>3.399905</td>
<td>1.098218</td>
<td>4.897071</td>
<td>1.544038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>1.723213</td>
<td>5.586336</td>
<td>1.832585</td>
<td>5.548257</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4: $L_\infty$ and $M_{cp}$ errors for Problem 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>J</th>
<th>$N = 2^j + 1$</th>
<th>$w_1 (t) \times 10^{-03}$</th>
<th>$w_2 (t) \times 10^{-03}$</th>
<th>$w_3 (t) \times 10^{-03}$</th>
<th>$w_4 (t) \times 10^{-03}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.640119</td>
<td>1.372997</td>
<td>1.736979</td>
<td>1.230985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.953929</td>
<td>3.415118</td>
<td>4.329946</td>
<td>3.057921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.784413</td>
<td>8.547046</td>
<td>2.703951</td>
<td>1.907584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>4.519613</td>
<td>2.136780</td>
<td>1.081759</td>
<td>7.633199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1.137303</td>
<td>5.341455</td>
<td>6.759601</td>
<td>4.768514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>2.852556</td>
<td>1.335397</td>
<td>1.689883</td>
<td>1.192101</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5: $L_\infty$ and $M_{cp}$ errors for Problem 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>J</th>
<th>$N = 2^j + 1$</th>
<th>$w_1 (t) \times 10^{-02}$</th>
<th>$w_2 (t) \times 10^{-02}$</th>
<th>$w_3 (t) \times 10^{-02}$</th>
<th>$w_4 (t) \times 10^{-02}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.519016</td>
<td>4.831041</td>
<td>2.519685</td>
<td>3.029705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.115079</td>
<td>1.559781</td>
<td>1.340721</td>
<td>1.093659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.035756</td>
<td>4.267191</td>
<td>5.730156</td>
<td>2.242606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.126852</td>
<td>2.023585</td>
<td>2.119183</td>
<td>8.120494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>5.900960</td>
<td>5.002232</td>
<td>7.759655</td>
<td>2.083728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>3.019204</td>
<td>3.746060</td>
<td>2.803629</td>
<td>8.009401</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
where initial conditions $w_1(0) = w_2(0) = 1$ and delay conditions $w_1(t) = e^{2t}$ and $w_2(t) = \cos(2t)$, for $-1 < t \leq 0$. The exact solution is $w_1(t) = e^{2t}$ and $w_2(t) = \cos(2t)$.

**Problem 5.** Consider the following system of delay VFIEs:

\[
\begin{aligned}
    w_1(t) &= e^{-2t} - e^{-2(t-1)} - \frac{-3 - 2t + e^t (1 + t (2 + 4t - 2 \cos 2 + \sin 2))}{4e^2} + t \cos^2 t \sin^2 t \\
    + \int_0^1 ((t+s)w_1(s) + tsw_2(s))ds + w_1(t-1) + \int_0^t (te^{2s}w_1(s) + t \cos(2s)w_2(s))ds, \\
    w_2(t) &= \sin(2t-2) - \frac{1}{4} \left((-1 + 4 (-1 + e)e^{-1t} + e^{-2t} + \cos t - \cos(3t) + t \left(2 + \sin^2(2)\right)\right) + w_2(t-1) \\
    + \int_0^1 (e^{2s}w_1(s) + t \cos(2s)w_2(s))ds + \int_0^t ((t-s)w_1(s) + t \cos(t)w_2(s))ds, \\
\end{aligned}
\]

Figure 1: Comparison of exact and approximate solution for $N = 32$ for Problem 1.

Figure 2: Comparison of exact and approximate solution for $N = 32$ for Problem 2.
where initial conditions \( w_1(0) = 1 \) and \( w_2(0) = 0 \) and delay conditions \( w_1(t) = e^{-2t} \) and \( w_2(t) = \sin(2t) \), for \(-1 < t \leq 0\).

The exact solution is \( w_1(t) = e^{-2t} \) and \( w_2(t) = \sin t \).

### 4. Results and Discussion

\( L_\infty \) and \( M_{cp} \) errors are calculated for each example using different number of CPs. From results, we see that both \( L_\infty \) and \( M_{cp} \) errors are decreased by increasing number of CPs. Even better accuracy can be obtained by taking more CPs.

The results are presented in Table 1 for Problem 1, Table 2 for Problem 2, Table 3 for Problem 3, Table 4 for Problem 4, and Table 5 for Problem 5, demonstrating the proposed techniques improved accuracy and efficiency. The \( L_\infty \) errors of [33] are decreased up to \( 10^{-05} \), while the result of our method is also decreased up to \( 10^{-05} \). Figures 1–5 show a comparison of approximate and exact solutions for various numbers of CPs for Problems 1–5 respectively. We see from the figures that the approximate and exact solutions coincide.
5. Conclusion

For the numerical solution of system of linear delay Volterra-Fredholm IEs using ICs in heterogeneous data communication, a HWC technique is developed. The numerical technique is used to test the accuracy and efficiency of the HWC scheme on several examples. Tables shows the $L_{\infty}$ and $M_{\infty}$ errors of each example for various numbers of CPs. Comparison of exact and approximate solution is also shown in figures. The Haar technique can be applied to the system of nonlinear IEs, the system of integro-differential equations, and the system of integro-partial differential equations.
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