

Research Article

Dynamics of a Stochastic Epidemic Model with Vaccination and Multiple Time-Delays for COVID-19 in the UAE

H. J. Alsakaji (D, F. A. Rihan (D, and A. Hashish

United Arab Emirates University, Al Ain, UAE

Correspondence should be addressed to H. J. Alsakaji; heba.sakaji@uaeu.ac.ae

Received 21 December 2021; Accepted 8 April 2022; Published 25 April 2022

Academic Editor: Georgi Georgiev

Copyright © 2022 H. J. Alsakaji et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In this paper, we study the dynamics of COVID-19 in the UAE with an extended SEIR epidemic model with vaccination, timedelays, and random noise. The stationary ergodic distribution of positive solutions is examined, in which the solution fluctuates around the equilibrium of the deterministic case, causing the disease to persist stochastically. It is possible to attain infection-free status (extinction) in some situations, in which diseases die out exponentially and with a probability of one. The numerical simulations and fit to real observations prove the effectiveness of the theoretical results. Combining stochastic perturbations with time-delays enhances the dynamics of the model, and white noise intensity is an important part of the treatment of infectious diseases.

1. Introduction

COVID-19 is a disease caused by SARS-CoV-2 that can trigger a respiratory tract infection. It spreads likewise other coronaviruses do, basically through person-to-person contact. Infections range from mild to deadly [1, 2]. To combat the spreading of all infectious diseases, vaccination is one of the most important procedures [3, 4]. Vaccines generally expose the immune system to harmless parts of the pathogen so that the immune system learns to recognize it and may be able to tamp down the infection before any symptoms appear [5, 6]. COVID-19 vaccines, such as Pfizer, AstraZeneca, and Sinopharm, are now widely available for people aged five years and older, and all the currently authorized COVID-19 vaccines are effective and reduce the risk of severe illness [7]. It is normal for a virus to mutate as it infects people, and SARS-CoV-2 has mutated so [8-10]. There are various variants which are now spreading, such as Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta, and Omicron. An initial study showed Omicron variant reduced the antibody protection by some vaccines, but a booster shot is likely to protect people from severe disease, and research works are still in proceedings in this field [11].

Up to date, more than 4.41 billion people worldwide have received a dose of the COVID-19 vaccine, equal to about 57.4 percent of the world population [12]. A vaccinated person refers to someone who has received at least one dose of a vaccine, and a fully vaccinated person has completed receiving the vaccine, whether that is one dose or two, and two weeks have passed. A COVID-19 booster shot is an additional dose of a vaccine given after the protection provided by the original shot(s) has begun to decline [13]. The booster is recommended to help people keep up their level of immunity for longer. In the UAE, more than 99 percent of the population at least have one dose of the vaccine, 91 percent of the population are fully vaccinated, and 32.3 percent of the population are booster given [14]; therefore, the number of confirmed cases of COVID-19 in the UAE has decreased significantly.

Modeling infectious diseases provides a controlled environment in which complex relationships between environmental and biological factors can be examined. In public health science, mathematical models of infectious diseases can be used to analyze various scenarios, and the results can inform policy, programs, and practices [15, 16]. Researchers are working to develop mathematical models that can be used to predict vaccination strategies for controlling epidemic diseases [3, 4, 17, 18].

Human virus diseases are highly affected by stochastic perturbations. Because human contact can change from one person to another, epidemic growth and spread in human disease are normally random, and the population is subject to factors that are either not fully understood or difficult to model precisely. A model that ignores these phenomena will negatively affect the analysis of the studied biological systems. Stochastic differential equation models (SDEs) are more suitable for modeling epidemic dynamics under certain conditions [19-21]. Increasingly, deterministic models need to be extended to stochastic models that can account for more complex variations in dynamics [22]. Furthermore, delay differential equations (DDEs) are extensively used to describe the dynamics of infectious diseases. Due to the fact that timedelay is relevant to hidden mechanisms such as the incubation period and the recovery of infected individuals [23-25].

In this paper, we study the dynamics of the COVID-19 epidemic in the UAE, using a modified stochastic delayed SEIRV (Susceptible-Exposed-Infected-Recovered-Vaccinated) model. The model incorporates white noise and timedelays. This model assumes that individuals can become infected during vaccination, but then become healthy afterwards. A stochastic Lyapunov function and Ito's formula are used to determine the existing results of stationary distribution and extinction of the disease. Combining stochastic perturbations and time-delays can provide a more realistic view of disease dynamics. The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents the model formulation. In Sections 3 and 4, this model derives the stationary distribution and extinction results. In Section 5, numerical simulations are presented to verify the theory. In Section 6, conclusions are provided.

2. The Model

For the dynamics of COVID-19 in the UAE, we propose an extended SEIR epidemic model with vaccination, time-

delays, and random noise [26, 27]. The basic model categorized people into four classes: susceptible (S): individuals not yet infected; exposed (E): individuals experiencing incubation duration; infectious (I): confirmed cases; and removed (R): recovered individuals. We assume that the recovered individuals will remain in the class R(t). Therefore, the SEIR model has the following equations system:

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \Lambda - \beta_1 SE - \alpha S,$$

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \beta_1 SE - \beta_2 EI - (\kappa + \alpha)E,$$

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \beta_2 EI - (d + r + \alpha)I,$$

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = rI + \kappa E - \alpha R.$$
(1)

Here, Λ is the recruitment rate; β_1 is the transmission rate of susceptible into exposed class; β_2 is the rate of transmission of exposed into infected class; α and d are natural and disease death rates; κ is the transmission rate of exposed into recovered class; and r is the transmission rate of infected into recovered class. Many researchers develop the above model to include vaccination strategies to control epidemic diseases realistically [17, 18]. There is evidence that individuals can become infected during vaccination and go on to be healthy afterward [28]. Incorporating time lags in epidemic models makes the systems much more realistic and enriches the dynamics of the model. Therefore, we include time-delays τ_1 and τ_2 to represent the incubation period; while τ_3 stands for the time required for the infected individuals to become recovered. Hence, the deterministic SEIR model with vaccination and time-delays takes the form (see Figure 1).

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}S}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \Lambda - \beta_1 SE - (\beta_3 + \alpha)S, \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \beta_4 VE + \beta_1 SE - \beta_2 EI(t - \tau_1) - (\kappa + \alpha)E, \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}I}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \beta_5 VI(0) + \beta_2 EI(t - \tau_1) - (d + r + \alpha)I, \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}R}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \beta_6 V + rI(t - \tau_3) + \kappa E - \alpha R, \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}V}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \beta_3 S - (\beta_6 + \alpha)V - \beta_4 VE - \beta_5 VI(t - \tau_2). \end{aligned}$$
(2)



FIGURE 1: Flow chart of the model (2).

 β_3 , β_4 , β_5 , and β_6 are the transmission rates of susceptible into vaccinated class; vaccinated into exposed class; vaccinated into infected class; and β_6 vaccinated into recovered class, respectively.

The basic reproduction number,

$$\mathscr{R}_{0} = \frac{\beta_{1}\Lambda}{(\beta_{3}+\alpha)(\kappa+\alpha)} + \frac{\Lambda\beta_{3}\beta_{4}}{(\beta_{3}+\alpha)(\kappa+\alpha)(\beta_{6}+\alpha)},$$
(3)

of model (2), has a significant impact in epidemiology since it decides whether an epidemic occurs or the disease dies out [29]. If $\mathscr{R}_0 < 1$, then model (2) has only a disease-free equilibrium $\mathscr{C}_0 = (S^+, 0, 0, R^+, V^+) = (\Lambda/\beta_3 + \alpha, 0, 0, \Lambda\beta_3)$

 $\beta_6/\alpha(\beta_6 + \alpha)(\beta_3 + \alpha), \Lambda\beta_3/(\beta_6 + \alpha)(\beta_3 + \alpha))$ and it is globally asymptotically stable; while if $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$, then, \mathcal{E}_0 is unstable and there is a unique endemic equilibrium $\mathcal{E}^* = (S^*, E^*, I^*, R^*, V^*)$ which is globally asymptotically stable [28].

Because some factors cannot be measured precisely, stochastic models always provide an estimate of these uncertainties based on approximate estimates [1, 30–32]. Therefore, we introduce randomness into model (2) by adding white noise to the state of the SEIR model with vaccination and time-delays. The modified model takes the form:

$$dS = [\Lambda - \beta_{1}SE - (\beta_{3} + \alpha)S]dt + \nu_{1}S \ dW_{1},$$

$$dE = [\beta_{4}VE + \beta_{1}SE - \beta_{2}EI(t - \tau_{1}) - (\kappa + \alpha)E]dt + \nu_{2}E \ dW_{2},$$

$$dI = [\beta_{5}VI(t - \tau_{2}) + \beta_{2}EI(t - \tau_{1}) - (d + r + \alpha)I]dt + \nu_{3}I \ dW_{3},$$

$$dR = [\beta_{6}V + rI(t - \tau_{3}) + \kappa E - \alpha R]dt + \nu_{4}R \ dW_{4},$$

$$dV = [\beta_{3}S - (\beta_{6} + \alpha)V - \beta_{4}VE - \beta_{5}VI(t - \tau_{2})]dt + \nu_{5}V \ dW_{5},$$

(4)

with

$$S(\theta) = \phi_1(\theta), E(\theta) = \phi_2(\theta), I(\theta) = \phi_3(\theta), R(\theta)$$
$$= \phi_4(\theta), V(\theta) = \phi_5(\theta), \tag{5}$$
$$\theta \in [-\tau, 0], \quad \tau = \max\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3\},$$

 $\phi_i(0) > 0$ and $\phi_i(\theta)$, i = 1, ..., 5, are non-negative continuous initial functions on $[-\tau, 0]$. $W_i(t)$, i = 1, ..., 5, represent the independent Brownian motions defined on a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{U}, \{\mathcal{U}\}_{t\geq 0}, P)$ with a filtration $\{\mathcal{U}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ satisfying the usual conditions (it is right continuous and \mathcal{U}_0 contains all P- null sets), where ν_i , i = 1, ..., 5 are the intensities of white noise.

3. Stationary Distribution and Ergodicity

Among the most important and significant characteristics of the stochastic epidemic model (4) is its ergodic property. Under some conditions of white noise, the stochastic model fluctuates in the neighborhood of the infected equilibrium of the corresponding deterministic model for all time regardless of the starting conditions. First, we need to show that there is a global non-negative solution of model (4), which is as follows:

Theorem 1. For any given initial value (5), system (4) has a unique solution (S(t), E(t), I(t), R(t), V(t)) on $t \ge -\tau$, and the solution will remain in \mathbb{R}^5_+ with probability one.

Proof. Since the system coefficients (4) satisfy linear growth and Lipschitzian conditions and based Khasminskii Lyapunov functional approach, we can show that system (4) has a global positive solution. The main challenge is to establish a Lyapunov function, so we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{G}(S, E, I, R, V) &= \mathscr{G}(.) = (S - 1 - \ln S) + (E - 1 - \ln E) \\ &+ (I - 1 - \ln I) + (R - 1 - \ln R) \\ &+ (V - 1 - \ln V) + \beta_2 \int_{t}^{t + \tau_1} I(s - \tau_1) ds \\ &+ \beta_5 \int_{t}^{t + \tau_2} I(s - \tau_2) ds \\ &+ r \int_{t}^{t + \tau_3} I(s - \tau_3) ds. \end{aligned}$$
(6)

By Itô's formula on \mathcal{G} ,

where

$$d\mathscr{G}(.) = \mathscr{L}Gdt + \nu_1 (S - 1)dW_1(t) + \nu_2 (E - 1)dW_2(t) + \nu_3 (I - 1)dW_3(t)$$
(7)
+ $\nu_4 (R - 1)dW_4(t) + \nu_5 (V - 1)dW_5(t),$

$$\mathscr{L}G = \Lambda - \alpha S - \frac{\Lambda}{S} + \beta_{1}E + (\beta_{3} + \alpha) - (\kappa + \alpha)E - \beta_{4}V - \beta_{1}S + \beta_{2}I + (\kappa + \alpha)$$

$$- (d + r + \alpha)I - \frac{\beta_{5}VI(t - \tau_{2})}{I} - \frac{\beta_{2}EI(t - \tau_{2})}{I} + (d + r + \alpha) + \beta_{6}V + rI + \kappa E - \alpha R$$

$$- \frac{\beta_{6}V}{R} - \frac{rI(t - \tau_{3})}{R} - \frac{\kappa E}{R} + \alpha - (\beta_{6} + \alpha)V - \frac{\beta_{3}S}{V} + (\beta_{6} + \alpha) + \beta_{4}E + \beta_{5}I$$

$$+ \frac{\nu_{1}^{2} + \nu_{2}^{2} + \nu_{3}^{2} + \nu_{4}^{2} + \nu_{5}^{2}}{2}$$

$$\leq \Lambda + \beta_{3} + \kappa + d + r + \beta_{6} + 5\alpha - (\alpha + \beta_{1})S + (\beta_{1} + \beta_{4} - \alpha)E + (\beta_{2} + \beta_{5} - d - \alpha)I$$

$$- \alpha R - (\beta_{4} + \alpha)V + \frac{\nu_{1}^{2} + \nu_{2}^{2} + \nu_{3}^{2} + \nu_{4}^{2} + \nu_{5}^{2}}{2}$$

$$\leq \mathscr{A},$$
(8)

where \mathscr{A} is a positive constant. It follows that $\mathscr{L}G$ is bounded. Hence, the rest of the proof is standard [33], so it is omitted.

Theorem 2. Define

$$\widehat{\mathscr{R}}_0 = \frac{\beta_1 \Lambda}{\widehat{\nu}_1 \widehat{\nu}_2} + \frac{\Lambda \beta_3 \beta_4}{\widehat{\nu}_1 \widehat{\nu}_2 \widehat{\nu}_5},\tag{9}$$

where $\hat{\nu}_1 = \beta_3 + \alpha + \nu_1^2/2$, $\hat{\nu}_2 = \kappa + \alpha + \nu_2^2/2$, $\hat{\nu}_3 = d + r + \alpha + \nu_3^2/2$, $\hat{\nu}_4 = \alpha + \nu_4^2/2$, and $\hat{\nu}_5 = \beta_6 + \alpha + \nu_5^2/2$. If $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_0 > 1$, then, system (4) has a unique stationary distribution π (.) and it admits the ergodic property.

Proof. Let Y(t) is a regular time-homogenous Markov process in \mathbb{R}^n , defined by the stochastic delay differential equation:

$$dY(t) = f(Y(t), Y(t-\tau), t)dt + \sum_{r=1}^{n} g_r(Y(t), t)dW_r(t).$$
(10)

The diffusion matrix of the process Y(t) is

$$\Pi(y) = (\varsigma_{ij}(y)),$$

$$\varsigma_{ij}(y) = \sum_{r=1}^{n} g_r^i(y) g_r^j(y).$$
(11)

Lemma 1 ([see 34]). The Markov process Y (t) has a unique ergodic stationary distribution $\pi(.)$ if there exists a bounded domain $\mathscr{B} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with regular boundary Δ and

- (i) there is a positive number \mathcal{X} such that $\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \zeta_{ij}(y) \xi_i \xi_j \ge \mathcal{X} |\xi|^2$, $5y \in \mathcal{B}$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- (ii) there exists a non-negative \mathscr{C}^2 -function V such that $\mathscr{L}V$ is negative for any $\mathbb{R}^n \smallsetminus \mathscr{B}$.

With a view to prove Theorem 2, we need to guarantee the validity of conditions (i) and (ii) of Lemma 1. Clearly, condition (i) satisfies; we need to check condition (ii). Define $\mathscr{F}_5 = \mathscr{F}_3 + \mathscr{F}_4$, where

$$\mathcal{F}_{1} = -\ln E - \omega_{1} \ln S + \beta_{2} \int_{t}^{t+\tau_{1}} I(s-\tau_{1}) ds,$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{2} = \mathcal{F}_{1} - \omega_{2} \ln S - \omega_{3} \ln V + \omega_{3} \beta_{5} \int_{t}^{t+\tau_{3}} I(s-\tau_{3}) ds,$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{3} = \mathcal{N} \mathcal{F}_{2} + (-2\ln S - \ln R - \ln I),$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{4} = \frac{1}{\eta+1} (S+E+I+R+V)^{\eta+1}.$$
(12)

$$\begin{split} &\omega_1 = \beta_1 \Lambda / \hat{\nu}_1^2, \quad \omega_2 = \beta_3 \beta_4 \Lambda / \hat{\nu}_1^2 \hat{\nu}_5, \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_3 = \beta_3 \beta_4 \Lambda / \hat{\nu}_1 \hat{\nu}_5^2, \\ &0 < \eta < 4\alpha / \nu_1^2 \lor \nu_2^2 \lor \nu_3^2 \lor \nu_4^2 \lor \nu_5^2, \text{ where } \mathcal{N} \text{ is a positive constant} \\ &\text{so that} \end{split}$$

$$-\mathscr{N}\widehat{\nu}_{2}(\widehat{\mathscr{R}}_{0}-1)+D\leq-2, \qquad (13) \qquad \mathsf{W}$$

where
$$D = \max\{D_1, D_2\}$$
 such that

$$D_{0} = \sup_{(S,E,I,R,V)\in\mathbb{R}^{5}_{+}} \left\{ \Lambda \left(S + E + I + R + V\right)^{\eta} - \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{2} \left(S + E + I + R + V\right)^{\eta+1} \right\},$$

$$D_{1} = \sup_{(S,E,I,R,V)\in\mathbb{R}^{5}_{+}} \left\{ \mathcal{N} \left(\beta_{2} + \omega_{3}\beta_{5}\right)I + 2\beta_{1}E + 2\hat{\nu}_{1} + \hat{\nu}_{3} + \hat{\nu} - \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{4}E^{\eta+1} + D_{0} \right\},$$

$$D_{2} = \sup_{(S,E,I,R,V)\in\mathbb{R}^{5}_{+}} \left\{ \mathcal{N} \left(\omega_{1}\beta_{1} + \omega_{2}\beta_{1} + \omega_{3}\beta_{4}\right)E + 2\beta_{1}E + 2\hat{\nu}_{1} + \hat{\nu}_{3} + \hat{\nu} - \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{4}I^{\eta+1} + D_{0} \right\},$$

$$D_{3} = \sup_{(S,E,I,R,V)\in\mathbb{R}^{5}_{+}} \left\{ \mathcal{N} \left(\omega_{1}\beta_{1} + \omega_{2}\beta_{1} + \omega_{3}\beta_{4}\right)E + \mathcal{N} \left(\beta_{2} + \omega_{3}\beta_{5}\right)I + 2\beta_{1}E + 2\hat{\nu}_{1} + \hat{\nu}_{3} + \hat{\nu} - \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{4}\left(E^{\eta+1} + I^{\eta+1}\right) + D_{0} \right\}.$$

$$(14)$$

In addition, \mathscr{F}_5 is continuous and tends to $+\infty$ as (S, E, I, R, V) approaches the boundary of \mathbb{R}^5_+ and $\|(S, E, I, R, V)\| \longrightarrow \infty$. Hence, \mathscr{F}_5 must have a minimum point in the interior of \mathbb{R}^5_+ . We define a \mathscr{C}^2 -function $\mathscr{F}(.)$: $\mathbb{R}^5_+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ as

$$\mathcal{F}(S, E, I, R, V) = \mathcal{F}_{5}(S, E, I, R, V) - \mathcal{F}_{5}(S(0), E(0), I(0), R(0), V(0)).$$
(15)

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{LF}_{1} &= -\beta_{4}V - \beta_{1}S + \beta_{2}I + \bar{\nu}_{2} + \omega_{1} \left(-\frac{\Lambda}{S} + \beta_{1}E + \bar{\nu}_{1} \right) \\ &\leq -\frac{\beta_{1}\Lambda}{\bar{\nu}_{1}} + \bar{\nu}_{2} - \beta_{4}V + \beta_{2}I + \omega_{1}\beta_{1}E, \\ \mathscr{LF}_{2} &\leq -\frac{\beta_{1}\Lambda}{\bar{\nu}_{1}} + \bar{\nu}_{2} - \beta_{4}V + \beta_{2}I + \omega_{1}\beta_{1}E + \omega_{2} \left(-\frac{\Lambda}{S} + \beta_{1}E + \bar{\nu}_{1} \right) + \omega_{3} \left(-\frac{\beta_{3}S}{V} + \bar{\nu}_{5} + \beta_{4}E + \beta_{5}I \right) \\ &\leq -\frac{\beta_{1}\Lambda}{\bar{\nu}_{1}} + \bar{\nu}_{2} + (\omega_{1}\beta_{1} + \omega_{2}\beta_{1} + \omega_{3}\beta_{4})E + (\beta_{2} + \omega_{3}\beta_{5})I + \omega_{2}\bar{\nu}_{1} + \omega_{3}\bar{\nu}_{5} - 3\sqrt[3]{\Lambda\beta_{3}\beta_{4}\omega_{2}\omega_{3}} \\ &\leq -\bar{\nu}_{2} \left(\frac{\beta_{1}\Lambda}{\bar{\nu}_{2}} + \frac{\Lambda\beta_{3}\beta_{4}}{\bar{\nu}_{1}\bar{\nu}_{2}\bar{\nu}_{3}} - 1 \right) + (\omega_{1}\beta_{1} + \omega_{2}\beta_{1} + \omega_{3}\beta_{4})E + (\beta_{2} + \omega_{3}\beta_{5})I \\ &= -\bar{\nu}_{2} \left(\frac{\beta_{0}}{\bar{\omega}_{0}} - 1 \right) + (\omega_{1}\beta_{1} + \omega_{2}\beta_{1} + \omega_{3}\beta_{4})E + (\beta_{2} + \omega_{3}\beta_{5})I \\ &= -\bar{\nu}_{2} \left(\frac{\beta_{0}}{\bar{\omega}_{0}} - 1 \right) + \mathcal{N} \left(\omega_{1}\beta_{1} + \omega_{2}\beta_{1} + \omega_{3}\beta_{4} \right)E + \mathcal{N} \left(\beta_{2} + \omega_{3}\beta_{5} \right)I \\ &= -\bar{\nu}_{2} \left(\frac{\beta_{0}}{\bar{\omega}_{0}} - 1 \right) + \mathcal{N} \left(\omega_{1}\beta_{1} + \omega_{2}\beta_{1} + \omega_{3}\beta_{4} \right)E + (\beta_{2} + \omega_{3}\beta_{5})I \\ &= -\bar{\nu}_{2} \left(\frac{\beta_{0}}{\bar{\omega}_{0}} - 1 \right) + \mathcal{N} \left(\omega_{1}\beta_{1} + \omega_{2}\beta_{1} + \omega_{3}\beta_{4} \right)E + \mathcal{N} \left(\beta_{2} + \omega_{3}\beta_{5} \right)I \\ &= -\bar{\nu}_{2} \left(\frac{\beta_{0}}{\bar{\omega}_{0}} - 1 \right) + \mathcal{N} \left(\omega_{1}\beta_{1} + \omega_{2}\beta_{1} + \omega_{3}\beta_{4} \right)E + \mathcal{N} \left(\beta_{2} + \omega_{3}\beta_{5} \right)I \\ &= -\bar{\nu}_{2} \left(\frac{\beta_{0}}{\bar{\omega}_{0}} - 1 \right) + \mathcal{N} \left(\omega_{1}\beta_{1} + \omega_{2}\beta_{1} + \omega_{3}\beta_{4} \right)E + \mathcal{N} \left(\beta_{2} + \omega_{3}\beta_{5} \right)I \\ &= \frac{\beta_{3}VI \left(t - \tau_{2} \right)}{I} - \frac{\beta_{2}EI \left(t - \tau_{1} \right)}{I} + \bar{\nu}_{3} - \frac{\beta_{6}V}{R} - \frac{rI \left(t - \tau_{3} \right)}{R} - \frac{\kappa E}{R} + \bar{\nu}_{4}, \\ \mathscr{LF}_{4} = \left(S + E + I + R + V \right)^{\eta} \left(\Lambda - \alpha S - \alpha E - \left(d + \alpha \right)I - \alpha R - \alpha V \right) \\ &+ \frac{\eta}{2} \left(S + E + I + R + V \right)^{\eta - \alpha} \left(S + E + I + R + V \right)^{\eta + 1} \\ &= \Lambda \left(S + E + I + R + V \right)^{\eta} - \alpha \left(S + E + I + R + V \right)^{\eta + 1} \\ &= \Lambda \left(S + E + I + R + V \right)^{\eta} - \left[\alpha - \frac{\eta}{4} \left(\nu_{1}^{\gamma} \nu_{2}^{\gamma} \nu_{3}^{\gamma} \nu_{4}^{\gamma} \nu_{5}^{\gamma} \right) \right] \left(S + E + I + R + V \right)^{\eta + 1} \\ &= \Lambda \left(S + E + I + R + V \right)^{\eta} - \alpha \left(S + E + I + R + V \right)^{\eta + 1} \\ &= \Lambda \left(S + E + I + R + V \right)^{\eta} - \alpha \left(S$$

such that $\hat{\alpha} = \alpha - \eta/4 (\nu_1^2 \lor \nu_2^2 \lor \nu_3^2 \lor \nu_4^2 \lor \nu_5^2)$. Hence,

$$\mathscr{LF} = L\mathcal{F}_{5} \leq -\mathcal{N}\widehat{\nu}_{2}(\widehat{\mathscr{R}}_{0}-1) + \mathcal{N}(\omega_{1}\beta_{1}+\omega_{2}\beta_{1}+\omega_{3}\beta_{4})E + \mathcal{N}(\beta_{2}+\omega_{3}\beta_{5})I - \frac{2\Lambda}{S} + 2\beta_{1}E + 2\widehat{\nu}_{1}$$

$$+\widehat{\nu}_{3} - \frac{\beta_{6}V}{R} - \frac{rI}{R} - \frac{\kappa E}{R} + \widehat{\nu}_{4} + \Lambda(S+E+I+R+V)^{\eta} - \widehat{\alpha}(S+E+I+R+V)^{\eta+1}.$$
(17)

Define a closed bounded set.

$$\mathscr{B} = \left\{ (S, E, I, R, V) \in \mathbb{R}^{5}_{+} : \epsilon \leq S \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}, \epsilon \leq E \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}, \epsilon \leq I \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}, \epsilon^{2} \leq R \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}, \epsilon \leq V \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right\}.$$
(18)

By Lemma 1, we need to show that $\mathscr{DF} \leq -1$ for $(S, E, I, R, V) \in \mathbb{R}^5_+ \backslash \mathscr{B}_{\epsilon}$. such that $\mathbb{R}^5_+ \backslash \mathscr{B}_{\epsilon} = \bigcup_{i=1}^9 \mathscr{B}_i$, where

$$\mathscr{B}_{1} = \left\{ (S, E, I, R, V) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{5} : 0 < E < \epsilon \right\}, \ \mathscr{B}_{2} = \left\{ (S, E, I, R, V) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{5} : 0 < I < \epsilon \right\},
\mathscr{B}_{3} = \left\{ (S, E, I, R, V) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{5} : 0 < S < \epsilon \right\}, \ \mathscr{B}_{4} = \left\{ (S, E, I, R, V) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{5} : 0 < V < \epsilon, R > \epsilon^{2} \right\},
\mathscr{B}_{5} = \left\{ (S, E, I, R, V) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{5} : 0 < R < \epsilon^{2}, V \le \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right\}, \ \mathscr{B}_{6} = \left\{ (S, E, I, R, V) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{5} : R > \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \right\},$$

$$\mathscr{B}_{7} = \left\{ (S, E, I, R, V) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{5} : I > \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right\}, \ \mathscr{B}_{8} = \left\{ (S, E, I, R, V) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{5} : S > \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right\},$$

$$\mathscr{B}_{9} = \left\{ (S, E, I, R, V) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{5} : V > \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right\}, \ \mathscr{B}_{10} = \left\{ (S, E, I, R, V) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{5} : E > \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right\}.$$

$$(19)$$

Case 1. If $(S, E, I, R, V) \in \mathcal{B}_1$, then $\mathscr{LF} \leq -\mathscr{N}\widehat{v}_2(\widehat{\mathscr{R}}_0 - 1) + \mathscr{N}(\omega_1\beta_1 + \omega_2\beta_1 + \omega_3\beta_4)E$ $+\mathscr{N}(\beta_2 + \omega_3\beta_5)I + 2\beta_1E + 2\widehat{v}_1$

$$+ \hat{\nu}_{3} + \hat{\nu}_{4} - \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{4} E^{\eta+1} + D_{0}$$

$$\leq -\mathcal{N}\hat{\nu}_{2}(\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{0} - 1) + \mathcal{N}(\omega_{1}\beta_{1} + \omega_{2}\beta_{1} + \omega_{3}\beta_{4})E + D_{1}$$

$$\leq -\mathcal{N}\hat{\nu}_{2}(\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{0} - 1) + \mathcal{N}(\omega_{1}\beta_{1} + \omega_{2}\beta_{1} + \omega_{3}\beta_{4})\epsilon + D_{1} \leq -1,$$

$$(20)$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{from} \quad \text{condition} \quad (10) \quad \text{and} \quad -\mathcal{N}\widehat{\nu}_2\,(\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_0-1) + \\ \mathcal{N}\,(\omega_1\beta_1+\omega_2\beta_1+\omega_3\beta_4)\epsilon + D_1 \leq -1, \text{ we obtain } \mathcal{LF} \leq -1. \end{array}$

Case 2. If
$$(S, E, I, R, V) \in \mathscr{B}_2$$
, we have

$$\mathscr{LF} \leq -\mathscr{N}\hat{\gamma}_2(\widehat{\mathscr{R}}_0 - 1) + \mathscr{N}(\omega_1\beta_1 + \omega_2\beta_1 + \omega_3\beta_4)E + \mathscr{N}(\beta_2 + \omega_3\beta_5)I + 2\beta_1E + 2\hat{\gamma}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_3 + \hat{\gamma}_4 - \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{4}I^{\eta+1} + D_0 \qquad (21)$$

$$\leq -\mathscr{N}\hat{\gamma}_2(\widehat{\mathscr{R}}_0 - 1) + \mathscr{N}(\beta_2 + \omega_3\beta_5)I + D_2 + (21) + \mathscr{N}\hat{\gamma}_2(\widehat{\mathscr{R}}_0 - 1) + \mathscr{N}(\beta_2 + \omega_3\beta_5)\hat{\varepsilon} + D_2 \leq -1,$$

which is obtained from (13) and
$$-\mathcal{N}\hat{\nu}_2(\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_0 - 1) + \mathcal{N}(\beta_2 + \omega_3\beta_5)\epsilon + D_2 \leq -1.$$

Case 3. If $(S, E, I, R, V) \in \mathcal{B}_3$, we get

$$\mathscr{LF} \leq \mathscr{N} \left(\omega_1 \beta_1 + \omega_2 \beta_1 + \omega_3 \beta_4 \right) E + \mathscr{N} \left(\beta_2 + \omega_3 \beta_5 \right) I - \frac{2\Lambda}{S} + 2\beta_1 E + 2\hat{\nu}_1 + \hat{\nu}_3 + \hat{\nu}_4 + D_0 - \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{4} \left(I^{\eta+1} + E^{\eta+1} \right) \leq -\frac{2\Lambda}{S} + D_3 \leq -\frac{2\Lambda}{\epsilon} + D_3 \leq -1,$$
(22)

such that $0 < \epsilon \le 2\Lambda/D_3 + 1$.

Case 4. Let $(S, E, I, R, V) \in \mathscr{B}_4$, one may obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{DF} &\leq -\frac{\beta_6 V}{R} + \mathscr{N} \left(\omega_1 \beta_1 + \omega_2 \beta_1 + \omega_3 \beta_4 \right) E \\ &+ \mathscr{N} \left(\beta_2 + \omega_3 \beta_5 \right) I + 2\beta_1 E + 2\hat{\nu}_1 \\ &+ \hat{\nu}_3 + \hat{\nu}_4 + D_0 - \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{4} \left(I^{\eta+1} + E^{\eta+1} \right) \\ &\leq D_3 - \frac{\beta_6}{\epsilon} \leq -1, \end{aligned}$$

$$(23)$$

where $0 < \epsilon \leq \beta_6/D_3 + 1$.

Case 5. If $(S, E, I, R, V) \in \mathcal{B}_5$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{LF} &\leq -\frac{\beta_6 V}{R} + \mathscr{N} \left(\omega_1 \beta_1 + \omega_2 \beta_1 + \omega_3 \beta_4 \right) E \\ &+ \mathscr{N} \left(\beta_2 + \omega_3 \beta_5 \right) I + 2\beta_1 E + 2\widehat{\nu}_1 \\ &+ \widehat{\nu}_3 + \widehat{\nu}_4 + D_0 - \frac{\widehat{\alpha}}{4} \left(I^{\eta+1} + E^{\eta+1} \right) \\ &\leq D_3 - \frac{\beta_6}{\epsilon^3} \leq -1, \end{aligned}$$

$$(24)$$

where $0 < \epsilon \le \sqrt[3]{\beta_6/D_3 + 1}$.

Case 6. If $(S, E, I, R, V) \in \mathcal{B}_6$, we have

$$\mathcal{LF} \leq -\frac{\widehat{\alpha}}{4} R^{\eta+1} + D_3$$

$$\leq D_3 - \frac{\widehat{\alpha}}{4} \epsilon^{-2(\eta+1)} \leq -1,$$
(25)

where $0 < \epsilon \le [\hat{\alpha}/4(D_3 + 1)]^{1/2(1+\eta)}$.

Case 7. If
$$(S, E, I, R, V) \in \mathcal{B}_7$$
, one may obtain

$$\mathscr{LF} \leq -\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{4} I^{\eta+1} + D_3$$

$$\leq D_3 - \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{4} \epsilon^{-(\eta+1)} \leq -1.$$
(26)

where $0 < \epsilon \le [\hat{\alpha}/4(D_3 + 1)]^{1/(1+\eta)}$.

Cases 8, 9, and 10 are the same as Case 7.

Thus, condition (ii) of Lemma 1 holds; hence, system (4) identifies a unique stationary distribution $\pi(.)$.

4. Extinction of the Disease

In this section, we discuss conditions that predict the extinction of the disease. From the formula of the reproduction number, we can conclude that $\widehat{\mathscr{R}}_0 < \mathscr{R}_0$. First, we go through the following Lemmas [21, 32]

Lemma 2. Let (S(t), E(t), I(t), R(t), V(t)) be the solution of (4) with initial conditions (5), then

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{S(t)}{t} = 0,$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{E(t)}{t} = 0,$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{I(t)}{t} = 0,$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{R(t)}{t} = 0,$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{V(t)}{t} = 0.$$
(27)

Lemma 3. Assume that $\alpha > 1/2 (\nu_1^2 \vee \nu_2^2 \vee \nu_3^2 \vee \nu_4^2 \vee \nu_5^2)$. Let (S(t), E(t), I(t), R(t), V(t)) be the solution of (4) with initial conditions (4), we have

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\int_{0}^{t} S(r) dW_{1}(r)}{t} = 0, \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\int_{0}^{t} E(r) dW_{2}(r)}{t} = 0, \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\int_{0}^{t} I(r) dW_{3}(r)}{t} = 0,$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\int_{0}^{t} R(r) dW_{4}(r)}{t} = 0, \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\int_{0}^{t} V(r) dW_{5}(r)}{t} = 0.$$
(28)

Theorem 3. Assume $\alpha > 1/2 (\nu_1^2 \lor \nu_2^2 \lor \nu_3^2 \lor \nu_4^2 \lor \nu_5^2)$. Let (S(t), E(t), I(t), R(t), V(t)) be the solution of (4) with initial conditions (5). If $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$, then

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \left[\frac{\log E(t)}{t} + \beta_2 \langle I(t) \rangle \right] \le (\kappa + \alpha) \left(\mathscr{R}_0 - 1 \right) < 0 \quad \text{a.s.}, \qquad (29)$$

which means E(t) and I(t) tend to zero exponentially almost surely. In other words the disease dies out with probability one. Moreover,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} (S(t) + R(t) + V(t)) = \frac{\Lambda}{\alpha} = S^{+} + R^{+} + V^{+}.$$
 (30)

Proof. Taking integration of the first and fifth equations of (4), we obtain

$$\frac{S(t) - S(0)}{t} = \Lambda - \beta_1 \langle S(t)E(t) \rangle - (\beta_3 + \alpha) \langle S(t) \rangle + \nu_1 \frac{\int_0^t S(t) dW_1(t)}{t},$$

$$\frac{V(t) - V(0)}{t} = \beta_3 \langle S(t) \rangle - (\beta_6 + \alpha) \langle V(t) \rangle - \beta_4 \langle V(t)E(t) \rangle - \beta_5 \langle V(t)I(t) \rangle + \nu_5 \frac{\int_0^t V(t) dW_5(t)}{t}.$$
(31)

Therefore,

$$\langle S(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{(\beta_3 + \alpha)} \left[\Lambda - \beta_1 \langle S(t)E(t) \rangle + \nu_1 \frac{\int_0^t S(r) dW_1(r)}{t} - \frac{S(t) - S(0)}{t} \right]$$

$$\leq \frac{\Lambda}{(\beta_3 + \alpha)} + \frac{1}{(\beta_3 + \alpha)} \left(\nu_1 \frac{\int_0^t S(r) dW_1(r)}{t} - \frac{S(t) - S(0)}{t} \right) \coloneqq \frac{\Lambda}{(\beta_3 + \alpha)} + \varphi_1(t),$$

$$(32)$$

so that $\lim_{t \to \infty} \varphi_1(t) = 0$.

Additionally, we have

$$\begin{split} \langle V(t) \rangle &= \frac{1}{\left(\beta_{6} + \alpha\right)} \left[\beta_{3} \langle S(t) \rangle - \beta_{4} \langle V(t)E(t) \rangle - \beta_{5} \langle V(t)I(t) \rangle + \nu_{5} \frac{\int_{0}^{t} V(r) dW_{5}(r)}{t} - \frac{V(t) - V(0)}{t} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{\beta_{3} \langle S(t) \rangle}{\left(\beta_{6} + \alpha\right)} + \frac{1}{\left(\beta_{6} + \alpha\right)} \left(\nu_{5} \frac{\int_{0}^{t} V(r) dW_{5}(r)}{t} - \frac{V(t) - V(0)}{t} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\beta_{3} \Lambda}{\left(\beta_{6} + \alpha\right) \left(\beta_{3} + \alpha\right)} + \frac{\beta_{3}}{\left(\beta_{6} + \alpha\right)} \varphi_{1}(t) + \frac{1}{\left(\beta_{6} + \alpha\right)} \left(\nu_{5} \frac{\int_{0}^{t} V(r) dW_{5}(r)}{t} - \frac{V(t) - V(0)}{t} \right) \\ &\coloneqq \frac{\beta_{3} \Lambda}{\left(\beta_{6} + \alpha\right) \left(\beta_{3} + \alpha\right)} + \frac{\beta_{3}}{\left(\beta_{6} + \alpha\right)} \varphi_{1}(t) + \varphi_{2}(t), \end{split}$$
(33)

where $\lim_{t\to\infty}\varphi_2(t) = 0$. Applying *Itô*'s formula to the second equation of system (4) yields

Integrating equation (34) from 0 to t results in

$$d\log(E(t)) = \left(\beta_4 V(t) + \beta_1 S(t) - \beta_2 I(t) - \left(\kappa + \alpha + \frac{\nu_2^2}{2}\right)\right) dt$$

$$+ \nu_2 dW_2(t).$$
(34)

$$\frac{\log E(t) - \log E(0)}{t} = \beta_4 \langle V(t) \rangle + \beta_1 \langle S(t) \rangle - \beta_2 \langle I(t) \rangle - \left(\kappa + \alpha + \frac{\nu_2^2}{2}\right) + \frac{\nu_2 dW_2(t)}{t}.$$
(35)

Complexity

Then, from (32) and (33), we have

$$\frac{\log E(t)}{t} + \beta_2 \langle I(t) \rangle \leq \beta_4 \left[\frac{\beta_3 \Lambda}{(\beta_6 + \alpha) (\beta_3 + \alpha)} + \frac{\beta_3}{(\beta_6 + \alpha)} \varphi_1(t) + \varphi_2(t) \right] + \beta_1 \left[\frac{\Lambda}{(\beta_3 + \alpha)} + \varphi_1(t) \right] - (\kappa + \alpha) + \frac{\nu_2 dW_2(t)}{t} + \frac{\log E(0)}{t} = \frac{\beta_4 \beta_3 \Lambda}{(\beta_6 + \alpha) (\beta_3 + \alpha)} + \frac{\beta_1 \Lambda}{(\beta_3 + \alpha)} - (\kappa + \alpha) + \left(\frac{\beta_3 \beta_4}{\beta_6 + \alpha} + \beta_1 \right) \varphi_1(t) + \beta_4 \varphi_2(t) + \frac{\nu_2 dW_2(t)}{t} + \frac{\log E(0)}{t} \approx \frac{\beta_4 \beta_3 \Lambda}{(\beta_6 + \alpha) (\beta_3 + \alpha)} + \frac{\beta_1 \Lambda}{(\beta_3 + \alpha)} - (\kappa + \alpha) + \varphi_3(t),$$
(36)

and $\lim_{t\to\infty}\varphi_3(t) = 0$ a.s. If $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$, from (36),

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \left[\frac{\log E(t)}{t} + \beta_2 \langle I(t) \rangle \right] \le \frac{\beta_4 \beta_3 \Lambda}{(\beta_6 + \alpha)(\beta_3 + \alpha)} + \frac{\beta_1 \Lambda}{(\beta_3 + \alpha)} - (\kappa + \alpha) = (\kappa + \alpha) \left(\mathcal{R}_0 - 1 \right) < 0.$$
(37)

Therefore, $\lim_{t\to\infty} E(t) = \lim_{t\to\infty} I(t) = 0$. From model (4), we get

$$d(S(t) + E(t) + I(t) + R(t) + V(t)) = [\Lambda - \alpha(S(t) + E(t) + I(t) + R(t) + V(t)) - dI(t)]dt + \nu_1 S(t) dW_1(t) + \nu_2 E(t) dW_2(t) + \nu_3 I(t) dW_3(t) + \nu_4 R(t) dW_4(t) + \nu_5 V(t) dW_5(t).$$
(38)

Taking integration of (38) from 0 to t, one obtains

where

$$\langle S(t) + E(t) + I(t) + R(t) + V(t) \rangle = \frac{\Lambda}{\alpha} + \varphi_4(t), \qquad (39)$$

$$\varphi_{4}(t) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left[\frac{1}{t} \left(S(0) + E(0) + I(0) + R(0) + V(0) \right) - \frac{1}{t} \left(S(t) + E(t) + I(t) + R(t) + V(t) \right) \right. \\ \left. - d\langle I(t) \rangle + \frac{\nu_{1} \int_{0}^{t} S(r) dW_{1}(r)}{t} + \frac{\nu_{2} \int_{0}^{t} E(r) dW_{2}(r)}{t} \right.$$

$$\left. + \frac{\nu_{3} \int_{0}^{t} I(r) dW_{3}(r)}{t} + \frac{\nu_{4} \int_{0}^{t} R(r) dW_{4}(r)}{t} + \frac{\nu_{5} \int_{0}^{t} V(r) dW_{5}(r)}{t} \right].$$

$$(40)$$

One can easily obtain that $\lim_{t \to \infty} \varphi_4(t) = 0$, and since $\lim_{t \to \infty} E(t) = \lim_{t \to \infty} I(t) = 0$, we have

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \langle S(t) + E(t) + I(t) + R(t) + V(t) \rangle = \frac{\Lambda}{\alpha}, \quad (41)$$

which implies that $\lim_{t\to\infty} (S(t) + R(t) + V(t)) = \Lambda/\alpha = S^+ + R^+ + V^+$, as required; hence, the proof is completed.

5. Numerical Simulations

In this section, we numerically simulate the solution of the stochastic system (4) using Milstein's higher-order method [35]. The discretization transformation takes the form:

$$S_{j+1} = S_{j} + \left[\Lambda - \beta_{1}S_{j}E_{j} - (\beta_{3} + \alpha)S_{j}\right]h + \nu_{1}S_{j}\xi_{1,j}\sqrt{h} + \frac{\nu_{1}^{2}}{2}S_{j}\left[\xi_{1,j}^{2} - 1\right]h,$$

$$E_{j+1} = E_{j} + \left[\beta_{4}V_{j}E_{j} + \beta_{1}S_{j}E_{j} - \beta_{2}E_{j}I_{(j-n_{1})} - (\kappa + \alpha)E_{j}\right]h + \nu_{2}E_{j}\xi_{2,j}\sqrt{h} + \frac{\nu_{2}^{2}}{2}E_{j}\left[\xi_{2,j}^{2} - 1\right]h,$$

$$I_{j+1} = I_{j} + \left[\beta_{5}V_{j}I_{(j-n_{2})} + \beta_{2}E_{j}I_{(j-n_{1})} - (d + r + \alpha)I_{j}\right]h + \nu_{3}I_{j}\xi_{3,j}\sqrt{h} + \frac{\nu_{3}^{2}}{2}I_{j}\left[\xi_{3,j}^{2} - 1\right]h,$$

$$R_{j+1} = R_{j} + \left[\beta_{6}V_{j} + rI_{(j-n_{3})} + \kappa E_{j} - \alpha R_{j}\right]h + \nu_{4}R_{j}\xi_{4,j}\sqrt{h} + \frac{\nu_{4}^{2}}{2}R_{j}\left[\xi_{4,j}^{2} - 1\right]h,$$

$$V_{j+1} = V_{j} + \left[\beta_{3}S_{j} - (\beta_{6} + \alpha)V_{j} - \beta_{4}V_{j}E_{j} - \beta_{5}V_{j}I_{(j-n_{2})}\right]h + \nu_{5}V_{j}\xi_{5,j}\sqrt{h} + \frac{\nu_{5}^{2}}{2}V_{j}\left[\xi_{5,j}^{2} - 1\right]h,$$

where $\xi_{i,j}$, i = 1, ..., 5 are mutually independent N(0, 1)random variables, n_1, n_2 , and n_3 are integers such that the time-delays can be expressed in terms of the step-size as $\tau_1 = n_1h$, $\tau_2 = n_2h$, and $\tau_3 = n_3h$. We choose a set of parameters $\Lambda = 0.5$, $\beta_1 = 0.9905$, $\beta_2 = 1.9$, $\beta_3 = 0.6$, $\beta_4 = 0.928$, $\beta_5 = 1.93$, $\beta_6 = 0.9092$, $\alpha = 0.5$, $\kappa = 0.003$, d = 0.01, and r = 0.001, with $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = 3$ and $\tau_3 = 4$.

Figure 2 indicates that the system has a unique stationary distribution and the disease is persistent by Theorem 2, such that the intensities of white noise are relatively small where $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_0 > 1$ with $v_i = 0.01, i = 1, \dots, 5$. In Figure 3, we increase slightly the intensities of white noise to $v_1 = 0.09$, $v_2 = 0.05$, $v_3 = 0.08$, $v_4 = 0.09$, and $v_5 = 0.1$ with the the same set of parameters as in Figure 2, that is the positive equilibrium is globally asymptotically stable such that the stochastic solution fluctuates around the deterministic steady state value and the disease still persistent. However, we increase the intensities of white noise $v_1 = 0.1$, $v_2 = 0.5$, and $v_3 = v_4 = v_5 = 0.2$ such that $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$. Figure 4 implies that the disease will ultimately tend to extinction under the relatively strong white noises $v_1 = 0.1, v_2 = 0.5, v_3 = 0.3, v_4 = 0.4$, and $v_5 = 0.2$ confirmed by Theorem 3. In Figure 5, we investigate the impact of the transition rate from susceptible into vaccinated class with different values of β_3 , which indicates that the number of susceptible, exposed, and infected individuals decrease as β_3 increases, while the recovered individuals increase as β_3 increases, other parameter values are the same as in Figure 2.

Remark 1. Under certain criteria with a large magnitude of white noises, the disease can be eradicated, whereas the small intensity of white noises can preserve a stationary distribution.

5.1. Fitting the DDEs Model to Real Data. To investigate the reality of the deterministic model (2), we fit real data for the number of the confirmed cases of COVID-19 in the UAE during June 22, 2021, to August 11, 2021 [36] with model (2) using least-square approach [37, 38].

Given a set of real data in Table 1 and a mathematical model (2), the objective function (weighted least squares function) is as follows:

$$\Phi_{H}(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{5} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left[x^{i}(t_{j}, p) - X_{j}^{i} \right]^{2} h_{ij}.$$
 (43)

Here, x^i , i = 1, ..., 5 represents the variables *S*, *E*, *I*, *R*, *V*; *p* is the model parameter to be estimated. Thus, we then try to attain the optimum parameter \hat{p} that satisfies $\Phi(\hat{p}) \leq \min_p \Phi(p) \equiv \max_p \mathcal{L}(p)$, where $\mathcal{L}(p)$ is the likelihood function [37, 38]. However, the estimation of the parameters that appear in the undisturbed model (2) is considered as an optimization problem. Herein, the data are scaled in ten thousands.

Parameters estimates are $\hat{\beta}_2 = 1.99854$, $\hat{\beta}_5 = 1.9813$, $\hat{\beta}_6 = 0.219092$, $\hat{\kappa} = 0.01099$, and $\hat{r} = 0.047$; therefore, $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_0 = 1.54 > 1$, see Figure 6; while Figure 7 illustrates the



FIGURE 2: Numerical simulations of model (4), which shows that model (4) has a unique ergodic stationary distribution where the disease is persistent and $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_0 > 1$ with $\gamma_i = 0.01$, i = 1, ..., 5.



FIGURE 3: The solutions of the stochastic system (4) and the undisturbed system (2) left banners such that $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_0 > 1$. While right banners show the density function diagram of S(t), R(t), and V(t).



FIGURE 4: Numerical simulations of model (4) shows that the disease dies out when the white noise is relatively large such that $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_0 < 1$.



FIGURE 5: The impact of the transition rate from susceptible into vaccinated class with different values of β_3 , which indicates that the number of susceptible, exposed, and infected individuals decrease as β_3 increases, while the recovered individuals increase as β_3 increases.

Time (days)	June 21	June 22	June 23	June 24	June 25	June 26	June 27
Infected cases	1,850	1,964	2,167	1,988	2,161	2,223	2,282
Time (days)	June 28	June 29	June 30	July 1	July 2	July 3	July 4
Infected cases	2,122	1,747	1,675	1,663	1,632	1,599	1,573
Time (days)	July 5	July 6	July 7	July 8	July 9	July 10	July 11
Infected cases	1,552	1,513	2,04	2,184	1,539	1,529	1,52
Time (days)	July 12	July 13	July 14	July 15	July 16	July 17	July 18
Infected cases	1,518	1,542	1,522	1,529	1,508	1,541	1,506
Time (days)	July 19	July 20	July 21	July 22	July 23	July 24	July 25
Infected cases	1,547	1,521	1,507	1,529	1,549	1,539	1,55
Time (days)	July 26	July 27	July 28	July 29	July 30	July 31	August 1
Infected cases	1,52	1,537	1,519	1,537	1,548	1,52	1,537
Time (days)	August 2	August 3	August 4	August 5	August 6	August 7	August 8
Infected cases	1,519	1,537	1,548	1,519	1,508	1,52	1,545
Time (days)	August 9	August 10	August 11				
Infected cases	1,41	1,321	1,334				

TABLE 1: Number of recorded COVID-19 cases in the UAE, from June 21, 2021, to August 11, 2021 [36].



FIGURE 6: The fitted curve of DDEs model (2) and the confirmed COVID-19 cases in the UAE from June 22, 2021 to August 11, 2021. The estimated parameters are $\hat{\beta}_2 = 1.99854$, $\hat{\beta}_5 = 1.9813$, $\hat{\beta}_6 = 0.219092$, $\hat{\kappa} = 0.01099$, and $\hat{r} = 0.047$.



FIGURE 7: The response of the stochastic model (4) with the estimated parameters $\hat{\beta}_2 = 1.99854$, $\hat{\beta}_5 = 1.9813$, $\hat{\beta}_6 = 0.219092$, $\hat{\kappa} = 0.01099$, and $\hat{r} = 0.047$, such that the intensities of white noises are $\nu_1 = 0.03$, $\nu_2 = 0.02$, $\nu_3 = 0.02$, $\nu_4 = 0.04$, and $\nu_5 = 0.04$.

response of the stochastic model (4) with the estimated parameters; therefore, the stochastic fluctuations enhance the consistency of the model with the real data.

The steps of parameter estimations are summarized as follows:

- (1) Guess an initial parameter estimate p_0 ;
- (2) We then solve the system using a deterministic model (2) using the current parameters;
- (3) A minimization routine, such as OPTIMTOOL in Matlab, is then used to adjust the parameter values;
- (4) When the value Φ(p) cannot be further reduced, the best fit parameter values have been determined;
- (5) Determine if the chosen set of parameters is acceptable or not.

6. Concluding Remarks

In this paper, we extended the classical SEIR epidemic model to include vaccination and time-delays that incorporate randomness into the equations by including white noise perturbations on some parameters. The model has been examined by fitting to real observations in UAE, during June 22, 2021, to August 11, 2021. The study found that disease extinction is more likely if the noise intensity is high, and this can be used to develop some effective control strategies. Biological systems models should include random influences as they deal with real-life subsystems, which cannot be adequately isolated from factors outside the system. The addition of white noise and time-delays adds complexity to the model and enriches its dynamics.

Our conclusions are as follows.

- (i) When the intensity of white noise is relatively low, the disease will persist as long as $\widehat{\mathscr{R}}_0 > 1$ (see Figures 2 and 3) and will die out with greater white noise; see Figure 4.
- (ii) The stochastic fluctuations improve the consistency of the model with the real data; see Figure 7.
- (iii) It is shown that the disease can be controlled efficiently if the level of vaccination is increased. Therefore, as β_3 is increased, the solution of model (4) fluctuates around the disease-free equilibrium.
- (iv) If the stochastic perturbations $v_i = 0$, i = 1, ..., 5, then, the threshold of the stochastic model (4) can be reduced to that of the deterministic counterpart. Therefore, $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_0 > 1$ is a generalized result indicating the persistence of the disease.
- (v) Using mathematical models to develop, manufacture, and deliver vaccines is more efficient and results in safer and more efficient vaccines.

Future research will focus on stochastic epidemic models with Markovian switching and time-delays.

Data Availability

The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

This research was funded by the UAEU-ZU Joint Research, fund # 12S107- 2022.

References

- F. A. Rihan and H. J. Alsakaji, "Dynamics of a stochastic delay differential model for covid-19 infection with asymptomatic infected and interacting people: case study in the uae," *Results in Physics*, vol. 28, p. 104658, 2021.
- [2] A. Din, Y. Li, T. Khan, and G. Zaman, "Mathematical analysis of spread and control of the novel corona virus (covid-19) in China," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 141, p. 110286, 2020.
- [3] J. Wang, G. Huang, Y. Takeuchi, and S. Liu, "SVEIR epidemiological model with varying infectivity and distributed delays," *Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering*, vol. 8, no. 3, p. 875, 2011.
- [4] O. Chiekezi Zephaniah, U.-I. Ruth Nwaugonma, I. Simeon Chioma, and O. Adrew, "A mathematical model and analysis of an SVEIR model for streptococcus pneumonia with

saturated incidence force of infection," *Mathematical Modelling and Applications*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 16–38, 2020.

- [5] F. Andre, R. Booy, H. Bock et al., "Vaccination greatly reduces disease, disability, death and inequity worldwide," *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, vol. 86, no. 2, pp. 140–146, 2008.
- [6] R. M. Anderson, C. Vegvari, J. Truscott, and B. S. Collyer, "Challenges in creating herd immunity to sars-cov-2 infection by mass vaccination," *The Lancet*, vol. 396, no. 10263, pp. 1614–1616, 2020.
- [7] National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases, "COVID-19 vaccination clinical & professional resources," 2021, https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/vaccines/ different-vaccines.html.
- [8] Who, "Tracking SARS-CoV-2 variants," 2021, https://www. who.int/en/activities/tracking-SARS-CoV-2-variants/.
- [9] P. Wang, M. S. Nair, L. Liu et al., "Antibody resistance of sarscov-2 variants b. 1.351 and b. 1.1. 7," *Nature*, vol. 593, pp. 1–6, 2021.
- [10] A. S. Lauring and E. B. Hodcroft, "Genetic variants of SARS-CoV-2-what do they mean?" JAMA, 2021.
- [11] UNICEF, "What we know about the Omicron variant," 2021, https://www.unicef.org/coronavirus/what-we-know-aboutomicron-variant.
- [12] The New York Times, "Tracking coronavirus vaccinations around the world," 2021, https://www.nytimes.com/ interactive/2021/world/covid-vaccinations-tracker.html.
- [13] A. Singanayagam, S. Hakki, J. Dunning et al., "Community Transmission and Viral Load Kinetics of the Sars-Cov-2 delta (B. 1.617. 2) Variant in Vaccinated and Unvaccinated Individuals in the uk: A Prospective, Longitudinal, Cohort Study," *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 183–195, 2021.
- [14] Our World, "Data. Coronavirus (COVID-19) vaccinations," 2021, https://ourworldindata.org/covid-vaccinations? country= ARE.
- [15] A. L. Costa, M. A. Pires, R. L. Resque, and S. Almeida, "Mathematical modeling of the infec-tious diseases: key concepts and applications," *Journal of Infectious Diseases and Epidemiology*, vol. 7, p. 209, 2021.
- [16] O. Diekmann, H. Heesterbeek, and T. Britton, Mathematical Tools for Understanding Infectious Disease Dynamics, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 2012.
- [17] A. Nabti and B. Ghanbari, "Global stability analysis of a fractional SVEIR epidemic model," *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, vol. 44, no. 11, pp. 8577–8597, 2021.
- [18] S. A. Rella, Y. A. Kulikova, E. T. Dermitzakis, and F. A. Kondrashov, "Rates of SARS-CoV-2 transmission and vaccination impact the fate of vaccine-resistant strains," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 1–10, 2021.
- [19] Q. Liu and D. Jiang, "Stationary distribution and extinction of a stochastic SIR model with nonlinear perturbation," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 73, pp. 8–15, 2017.
- [20] C. Ji, "The stationary distribution of hepatitis B virus with stochastic perturbation," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 100, p. 106017, 2020.
- [21] F. A. Rihan, H. J. Alsakaji, and C. Rajivganthi, "Stability and hopf bifurcation of three-species prey-predator system with time delays and allee effect," *Complexity*, vol. 2020, no. 1, pp. 1–15, Article ID 7306412, 2020.
- [22] N. S. Goel and N. Richter-Dyn, Stochastic Models in Biology, Academic Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1974.
- [23] M. S. Ali, M. Usha, Q. Zhu, and S. Shanmugam, "Synchronization analysis for stochastic ts fuzzy complex networks

with Markovian jumping parameters and mixed time-varying delays via impulsive control," *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, vol. 2020, Article ID 9739876, 27 pages, 2020.

- [24] F. A. Rihan, Delay Differential Equations and Applications to Biology, Springer, Heidelberg, Germany, 2021.
- [25] X. Luo, N. Shao, J. Cheng, and W. Chen, "Modeling the trend of outbreak of covid-19 in the diamond princess cruise ship based on a time-delay dynamic system," *Mathematical Modeling and Its Applications*, vol. 9, pp. 15–22, 2020.
- [26] S. Feng, Z. Feng, C. Ling, C. Chang, and Z. Feng, "Prediction of the covid-19 epidemic trends based on seir and ai models," *PLoS One*, vol. 16, no. 1, p. e0245101, 2021.
- [27] L. Lo'pez and X. Rodo, "A modified SEIR model to predict the COVID-19 outbreak in Spain and Italy: simulating control scenarios and multi-scale epidemics," *Results in Physics*, vol. 21, p. 103746, 2021.
- [28] W. Ahmad, M. Abbas, M. Rafiq, and D. Baleanu, "Mathematical analysis for the effect of voluntary vaccination on the propagation of corona virus pandemic," *Results in Physics*, vol. 31, p. 104917, 2021.
- [29] P. L. Delamater, E. J. Street, T. F. Leslie, Y. T. Yang, and K. H. Jacobsen, "Complexity of the basic reproduction number (R0)," *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 1–4, 2019.
- [30] K. Hattaf, M. Mahrouf, J. Adnani, and N. Yousfi, "Qualitative analysis of a stochastic epidemic model with specific functional response and temporary immunity," *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications*, vol. 490, pp. 591–600, 2018.
- [31] X. Zhang and H. Peng, "Stationary distribution of a stochastic cholera epidemic model with vaccination under regime switching," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 102, p. 106095, 2020.
- [32] Y. Zhao and D. Jiang, "The threshold of a stochastic SIS epidemic model with vaccination," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 243, pp. 718–727, 2014.
- [33] F. A. Rihan and H. J. Alsakaji, "Stochastic Delay Differential Equations of Three-Species Prey-Predator System with Cooperation Among Prey Species," *Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems-S*, vol. 15, p. 245, 2020.
- [34] R. Z. Hasminskii, Stochastic Stability of Differential Equations, Springer, Heidelberg, Germany, 1980.
- [35] E. Buckwar, "Introduction to the numerical analysis of stochastic delay differential equations," *Journal of Computational* and Applied Mathematics, vol. 125, no. 1-2, pp. 297–307, 2000.
- [36] Who, "The current COVID-19 situation in the UAE," 2021, https://www.who.int/countries/are/.
- [37] Y. Bard, Nonlinear Parameter Estimation, New York Academic Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1974.
- [38] F. A. Rihan, A. A. Azamov, and H. J. Al-Sakaji, "An inverse problem for delay differential equations: parameter estimation, nonlinearity, sensitivity," *Applied Mathematics & Information Sciences*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 63–74, 2018.