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1. Introduction

Since the introduction of direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) into the market at the beginning of the century, they have rapidly risen to become one of the most commonly prescribed medications by clinicians [1]. Their utility in the prevention of systemic embolization and stroke in atrial fibrillation or in the treatment of venous thromboembolism, or even their simpler use in comparison to warfarin, has led medical doctors to prefer DOACs as their molecule of choice, to the detriment of the well-known vitamin K antagonist [1].

However, since the introduction of DOACs in clinical practice, some authors have highlighted the risk of renal dysfunction associated with the use of DOACs [2–10]. Warfarin has also been associated with acute and chronic renal failure (often in the setting of overanticoagulation, i.e. INR >3), but this has been considered an uncommon complication up to now [8, 11–17]. In the literature, two types of kidney injury induced by DOACs are reported. The first is immune-mediated (namely, tubulointerstitial nephritis) and associated with different immuno-allergic mechanisms [18–20]. The second, first described by Brodsky et al. in 2009 and known as anticoagulant-related nephropathy (previously called warfarin-related nephropathy), is due to tubular obstruction by red blood cell casts, secondary to glomerular injury [11]. Anticoagulant-related nephropathy is hypothesized to be associated with the lack of
an endothelial trophic factor (that can be caused either by DOACs or warfarin), which leads to the disruption of the glomerular barrier and causes glomerular hemorrhage and an inflammatory response, further aggravating renal injury [8, 11, 12]. In an animal model, Brodsky and colleagues showed that warfarin and dabigatran can cause renal dysfunction and progressive hemorrhage in a dose-dependent manner [15–17]. It should be noted, however, that the general underlying physiopathological mechanisms of anticoagulant-related nephropathy are not yet fully understood and that further research is required in this area.

So, the aim of the present work is to present the case of a patient who developed rivaroxaban-induced hypersensitivity syndrome with reversible acute renal failure (ARF), to review the cases associating rivaroxaban with renal dysfunction that have already been reported in the literature and to search the pharmacovigilance data to establish if there is, indeed, an increased risk of renal injury associated with rivaroxaban and other DOACs, when compared to antivitamin K.

2. Case Report

An 82-year-old Caucasian woman with a known history of metabolic syndrome (hypertension, dyslipidemia, type II diabetes, and hyperuricemia) developed atrial fibrillation 15 days before admission to our hospital and received 20 mg of rivaroxaban once a day, in addition to the usual treatment that she had regularly been undergoing for a long time and that remained unchanged, namely, moxonidine 0.2 mg/day, metoprolol 200 mg/day, losartan 100 mg/day, spirinolactone 50 mg/day, furosemide 20 mg/day, simvastatin 40 mg/day, ezetimibe 10 mg/day, allopurinol 100 mg/day, 500 mg of calcium, and 400 UI of cholecalciferol/day.

Three days before admission, she noticed petechial lesions in the legs and developed progressive bilateral pitting edema in the lower limbs, associated with a weight gain of 4–5 kg, which made her fall twice at home. At admission, the clinical examination was remarkable for a petechial rash on the legs and the massively swollen lower limbs with pitting edema. The patient had low-grade fever with a temperature of 38.0°C. The blood pressure was 132/70 mmHg, heart rate was regular at 92/min, and oxygen saturation was 94%. The remainder of the exam was unremarkable. Diuresis was conserved at a rate of 0.55–0.60 ml/kg/hour. Table 1 summarizes the results of the laboratory analysis performed at admission. There was marked acute renal dysfunction (serum creatinine = 215 µmol/l) with a moderate inflammatory response (C-reactive protein = 129 mg/l), a mild hepatic dysfunction, and a marked lymphopenia at 0.11 G/l (normal >1.0 G/l) but no eosinophilia. The urine exam showed massive leucocyturia (without eosinophils), no hematuria, and a mild tubular proteinuria of 0.72 g/day (25% albumin). The renal ultrasound and the posteroanterior and the lateral chest X-ray were normal. The echocardiography showed a normal ejection fraction (60%) without signs of diastolic dysfunction. As the patient had fallen before admission, a native cerebral CT scan was also performed and showed two small asymptomatic subdural hematomas (4 mm left-parietal and 1 mm right-parietal). The autoimmune screening was negative for antinuclear antibodies, rheumatoid factor, antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies, antiglomerular basement membrane antibodies, and cryoglobulins. C3 and C4 were within the normal range. The serologies for human immunodeficiency virus, hepatitis B, and hepatitis C were negative. The urine and blood cultures were sterile.

At admission, rivaroxaban was immediately stopped. In the first 48 hours of the hospitalization, the diuretics were stopped, and the patient received cautious intravenous hydration with vitamin K supplementation. Over the following days, we observed a rapid clinical and biological improvement. The petechial lesions in the lower limbs improved, while the edema affecting the legs rapidly diminished under low-dose diuretics (5 mg/day of torasemide), with a weight loss of 4.3 kg in five days. At the same time, the blood analysis showed a rapid and spontaneous improvement in the renal and hepatic dysfunction, as well as in the inflammatory syndrome (see Table 1 and Figure 1). As the renal function rapidly and spontaneously improved, neither a renal biopsy was performed nor were steroids prescribed.

3. Discussion

The patient we report presented with features of a drug-induced hypersensitivity syndrome fulfilling the RegiSCAR diagnostic criteria for DRESS [21], including reaction suspected to be drug-related occurring two weeks after the prescription of a new medications, hospitalization, petechial rash in the legs, low-grade fever, renal and hepatic dysfunction, and severe lymphopenia. From a nephrological point of view, the renal findings were consistent with an acute tubulo-interstitial nephritis (ARF with sterile leukocyturia and tubular proteinuria) [18]. As the renal function rapidly improved after rivaroxaban was stopped, a kidney biopsy was finally not performed in accordance with the algorithm for management of drug-induced acute interstitial nephritis of the Yale University [19]. It should also be noted that a rechallenge with rivaroxaban to confirm the relation between the prescription of rivaroxaban and the hypersensitivity syndrome [22] was not performed for ethical reasons.

Over the recent years, some publications have pointed out that there may be an increased risk of renal dysfunction in patients receiving DOACs. However, we found only five fully published case reports reporting an association between rivaroxaban and ARF in our review of the literature [2–6]. The main features of these five cases are summarized in Table 2. Overall, when taking into account all these case reports, including the one presented here, four of the cases involved men, with a median age of 76 years; two had pre-existing chronic kidney disease, and five out of six cases exhibited several cardiovascular risk factors. In fact, older people and those with cardiovascular risk factors are the people who are most at the risk for ARF induced by DOACs [7, 9]. ARF developed within two days to two months after the prescription of rivaroxaban in all these cases, with one exception. Renal histology showed tubulo-interstitial
nephritis (TIN) in two patients, anticoagulant-related nephropathy in two others, and IgA nephropathy in the last one. From a prognostic point of view, among these five cases, renal function improved in two (who had TIN at renal biopsy and who had received low-dose corticotherapy), while two of the three others had to undergo chronic dialysis (see Table 2).

In the process of carrying out the abovementioned review, we were surprised by the small number of cases that could be retrieved from the literature, which is in contrast to the alarming tone of some recent articles associating anticoagulation with ARF [7–17]. So, we questioned whether renal injury associated with anticoagulants, including rivaroxaban, might be greatly underdiagnosed and/or underreported, as has already been suggested by some authors [10, 12, 13]. Therefore, we consulted VigiAccess, which is an international pharmacovigilance database that collects data from 134 countries that are members of the World Health Organization Program for International Drug Monitoring [23]. The data we retrieved from the VigiAccess database concerning rivaroxaban are reported in Table 3. It is interesting to note that, from 2006 (when the first case was reported) to the end of April 2019, 121,038 adverse drug events associated with the prescription of rivaroxaban have been reported in VigiAccess. Among them, 4,323 (3.5%) were kidney-related adverse events. Renal side effects were the 8th most frequent type of adverse drug reaction reported for rivaroxaban; the side effects were equally distributed among genders and were more frequent in patients older than 65 years. According to the VigiAccess database, dabigatran and rivaroxaban are the drugs for which the proportion of kidney-related adverse events is higher: 4.6% and 3.5%, respectively, compared to only 2.0% for apixaban and 1.7% for edoxaban [23]. Table 3 shows that the clinical presentation was ARF (54.3%) in the majority of the cases reported for rivaroxaban, with only a small number developing CKD or ESRD (2.1%). Also, these data suggest that the mechanism of renal injury most frequently associated with rivaroxaban seems to be anticoagulant-related nephropathy (as it is characteristically associated with renal hemorrhage, which occurred in 363 cases), rather than tubulointerstitial nephritis with only 24 cases reported.

Therefore, even though we are aware of the limitations of the accuracy of the data obtained from registries, renal side effects associated with rivaroxaban and other DOACs seem to be more frequent than one might consider based only on the small number of published cases. In a recent paper, Glassock suggested that warfarin-/anticoagulation-related nephropathy were “the real McCoy” but that they are “rather uncommon but likely underdiagnosed clinicopathologic entities” [13]. Therefore, we also analyzed the renal adverse

---

**Table 1: Laboratory results of the patient at admission and at discharge from our hospital.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blood analysis (reference ranges)</th>
<th>At admission</th>
<th>At discharge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin (120–160 g/l)</td>
<td>105 g/l</td>
<td>109 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucocytes (4.0–10.0 G/l)</td>
<td>7.2 G/l</td>
<td>5.8 G/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophils (0–0.7 G/l)</td>
<td>0.36 G/l</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytes (1.0–4.0 G/l)</td>
<td>0.11 G/l</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets (150–300 G/l)</td>
<td>156 G/l</td>
<td>317 G/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea (2.8–7.0 mmol/l)</td>
<td>23.2 mmol/l</td>
<td>9.7 mmol/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (50–95 µmol/l)</td>
<td>215 µmol/l</td>
<td>86 µmol/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium (136–146 mmol/l)</td>
<td>133 mmol/l</td>
<td>139 mmol/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium (3.7–5.0 mmol/l)</td>
<td>4.1 mmol/l</td>
<td>4.2 mmol/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin (37–51 g/l)</td>
<td>30.2 g/l</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspartate transaminase (&lt;44 U/l)</td>
<td>77 U/l</td>
<td>48 U/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alanine aminotransferase (&lt;44 U/l)</td>
<td>70 U/l</td>
<td>58 U/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline phosphatase (35–105 U/l)</td>
<td>107 U/l</td>
<td>75 U/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamma-glutamyl transferase (&lt;40 U/l)</td>
<td>54 U/l</td>
<td>40 U/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bilirubin (3.1–18.8 µmol/l)</td>
<td>25.7 µmol/l</td>
<td>14.3 µmol/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct bilirubin (&lt;3.4 µmol/l)</td>
<td>19.6 µmol/l</td>
<td>9.7 µmol/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prothrombin time % (75–100%)</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial thromboplastin time (26–36 sec)</td>
<td>58 sec</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor VII (70–120%)</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine kinase (&lt;170 U/l)</td>
<td>210 U/l</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-reactive protein (&lt;5 mg/l)</td>
<td>129 mg/l</td>
<td>24 mg/l</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1:** Time course of creatinine after stopping rivaroxaban from admission up to day 9, the day of discharge from our hospital.
events associated with anticoagulation reported in the VigiAccess database, not only for the four abovementioned DOACs but also those reported for antivitamin K drugs (warfarin, acenocoumarol, and phenprocoumanone). This analysis is reported in Table 4 and shows that the reported annual rate of renal adverse events is almost ten-fold higher for NOACs (7,725 cases in 15 years) than that reported for antivitamin K drugs (2,145 cases reported in 50 years). Of course, this difference may be related, to some extent, to bias in diagnosing and/or reporting the side effects for these

### Table 2: Clinical characteristics of the full-published case reports of rivaroxaban-associated acute renal failure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Comorbidities</th>
<th>Nephrological signs</th>
<th>Time to appearance</th>
<th>Renal biopsy</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Evolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>France (2017) [2]</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Hypertension, Dyslipidemia, Heart failure, Atrial fibrillation, Stroke in the past Carotid artery stenosis Arteriopathy of the lower limbs</td>
<td>ARF with conserved diuresis, Proteinuria 1 g/l Microscopic hematuria Leucocyturia</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>TIN</td>
<td>Steroids (0.5 mg/kg for 1 month followed by a taper schedule)</td>
<td>Partial recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands (2017) [3]</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Hypertension, Pacemaker for a third-degree atrioventricular block Atrial fibrillation CKD (eGFR 39 ml/min/1.73 m2)</td>
<td>ARF with decreased diuresis Proteinuria 0.3 g /24 h Microscopic hematuria Leucocyturia but concomitant urinary infection</td>
<td>3 weeks</td>
<td>TIN</td>
<td>Steroids (40 mg prednisone for 2 weeks followed by a taper schedule of 5 mg/week)</td>
<td>Full recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal (2017) [4]</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>CKD (eGFR 52.4 ml/min/1.73 m2)</td>
<td>ARF with conserved diuresis Macrophagic nephropathy Proteinuria 0.56 g/24 h</td>
<td>2 months</td>
<td>Anticoagulant-related nephropathy N-Acetylcystein 600 mg/day</td>
<td>Chronic hemodialysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia (2018) [5]</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Asthma</td>
<td>ARF with conserved diuresis Nephrotic range proteinuria Microscopic hematuria RBC casts</td>
<td>7 days</td>
<td>IgA nephropathy Ramipril CKD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan (2019) [6]</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Hypertension, Diabetes mellitus Atrial fibrillation Stroke in the past IgA vasculitis</td>
<td>ARF Nephrotic range proteinuria Macrophagic hematuria RBC and granular casts</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>Anticoagulant-related nephropathy No specific treatment was initiated</td>
<td>Chronic hemodialysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F, female; M, male; ARF, acute renal failure; CKD, chronic kidney disease; TIN, tubulointerstitial nephritis; RBC, red blood cells.
different classes of anticoagulants. Nevertheless, the data from the pharmacovigilance registries reported above may suggest that anticoagulation-related nephropathy, although probably sometimes underdiagnosed, was probably a rare complication in the era of antivitamin K drugs, but that this may no longer be the case in the DOACs era.

This statement is at odds with the conclusions of some recent studies, suggesting that the risk of DOAC-associated renal dysfunction may be lower than for warfarin [7, 24–29]. However, it should be emphasized that several of these retrospective cohort studies have methodological flaws with respect, for example, to the doses of the anticoagulant prescribed or the degree of chronic renal dysfunction already present points that are critical to the correct interpretation of the results. This fact has been well-established in a recent meta-analysis conducted by de Aquino Moura et al. [30] who concluded that studies concerning anticoagulant-related nephropathy are “scarce and heterogeneous and present significant methodological limitations”. For example, the majority of the participants in the two studies carried out by Chan et al. [7, 24] received low doses of DOACs (61% for apixaban, 89% for dabigatran, and 93% for rivaroxaban), and this was the case for 22.6% of the patients reported on by Hernandez et al. [25], an element that can clearly reduce the risk of complications as, according to an animal model, the risk of renal dysfunction is dose-dependent [15–17]. It is, therefore, clear that only further well-planned prospective studies will be able to satisfactorily clarify this question.

In summary, although we found only a few case reports of rivaroxaban-associated renal dysfunction reported in the literature, the data from the international pharmacovigilance register, VigiAccess, suggest that the frequency of renal adverse events associated with the prescription of rivaroxaban and other DOACs may be appreciably higher than that presently considered by clinicians. According to the reported data, the mechanism of renal injury most frequently involved in rivaroxaban-associated ARF seems to be anticoagulant-related nephropathy, rather than tubulointerstitial nephritis. From a practical point of view, it is, therefore, important that clinicians are aware of the risks of renal dysfunction in patients receiving DOACs and anticoagulant drugs in general. As already proposed by Wheeler et al. [31], we agree that systematic screening of the renal function is required in patients taking DOACs and that regular monitoring of renal function should be performed in all patients receiving therapeutic anticoagulation.
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