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Unusual Isolated Parapharyngeal Second Branchial Cleft Cyst: A Case Report and Literature Review
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Second branchial cleft cysts (BCCs) are congenital malformations arising from incomplete involution of branchial remnants. Around 90%–95% represent second branchial cleft anomalies that usually become clinically evident at ages 20 to 40 years [1–4].

Second BCCs are classified into four types according to Bailey-Proctor classification [5, 6]. Type-I cysts are situated along anterior border of sternocleidomastoid muscle beneath superficial cervical fascia. Type-II ones are the commonest and lie just laterally to great vessels beneath enveloping fascia of the neck. Type-III ones pass between internal and external carotid arteries. Type-IV cysts are situated in the pharyngeal mucosal space just deep to palatine tonsil and medial to great neck vessels, often extending upward towards skull base. Most second BCCs comprise the first three types, while type-IV cysts are extremely rare [4, 7, 8].

This report documents a large type-IV second BCC located in the parapharyngeal space presenting as a submucosal oropharyngeal swelling completely medial to great neck vessels. All reported cases in the world literature are reviewed, and different diagnostic and therapeutic modalities are discussed.

1. Introduction

Branchial cleft cysts (BCCs) are congenital malformations arising from incomplete involution of branchial remnants. Around 90%–95% represent second branchial cleft anomalies that usually become clinically evident at ages 20 to 40 years [1–4].

Second BCCs are classified into four types according to Bailey-Proctor classification [5, 6]. Type-I cysts are situated along anterior border of sternocleidomastoid muscle beneath superficial cervical fascia. Type-II ones are the commonest and lie just laterally to great vessels beneath enveloping fascia of the neck. Type-III ones pass between internal and external carotid arteries. Type-IV cysts are situated in the pharyngeal mucosal space just deep to palatine tonsil and medial to great neck vessels, often extending upward towards skull base. Most second BCCs comprise the first three types, while type-IV cysts are extremely rare [4, 7, 8].

This report documents a large type-IV second BCC located in the parapharyngeal space presenting as a submucosal oropharyngeal swelling completely medial to great neck vessels. All reported cases in the world literature are reviewed, and different diagnostic and therapeutic modalities are discussed.
2. Case Presentation

A 26-year-old female presented with a 3-month history of right referred otalgia and pain on side-to-side head rotation. Sensation of throat fullness and odynophagia started later. Oropharyngeal examination showed a significant right smooth lateral parapharyngeal wall submucosal swelling just behind the palatopharyngeal fold with normal overlying mucosa. Neck examination demonstrated no palpable neck swelling except two small tender right upper deep cervical lymph nodes (largest 2 × 1 cm). There was no fever or other signs of acute inflammation. Neurological symptoms were absent, and cranial nerve examination was normal. She had received broad-spectrum antibiotic and anti-inflammatory treatment for one week. Blood picture was normal with no leukocytosis.

The patient came with previously ordered contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT) scan that showed a large right parapharyngeal cystic lesion with thin walls and mild mural enhancement. It averaged 3.1 × 3.4 × 5.4 cm in maximum anteroposterior, side-to-side, and craniocaudal dimensions, respectively. The lesion exerted a mass effect with indentation of nasopharyngeal/oropharyngeal walls and posterolateral displacement of right carotid sheath vessels with upper extension reaching skull base. Her preliminary imaging diagnosis of suspected right parapharyngeal space abscess was not entirely consistent with her clinical presentation; thus, we additionally ordered a neck magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). It revealed a well-defined right parapharyngeal cystic lesion with nearly same dimensions, slightly T1 hyperintense with contents showing no contrast enhancement and heterogeneously T2 hyperintense with fluid-fluid level, demonstrating restricted diffusion of contents (indicating high proteinaceous material) but not in cyst wall, suggesting a rare second BCC location (Figure 1).

Being a young female, the patient’s request was to avoid any external approach if possible. After patient counseling, a decision of transoral surgical excision was taken with consent for transcervical incision if needed.

Under oral intubation general anesthesia with patient supine and neck extended, a Dingman mouth retractor was inserted. Adequate oropharyngeal exposure was achieved via soft palate catheter retraction and suture retraction of right tonsillar pillars. Surgery was performed under surgical loupe 3.5X visualization. Intraoperative aspiration revealed turbid golden-yellow fluid, which was sent for microbiological culture and sensitivity. A vertical mucosal incision overlying the oropharyngeal bulge was carried out with subsequent meticulous dissection over the cyst until its wall was identified. The large nature of the cyst required a deliberate evacuation of its contents for decompression to ease lateral and superior dissection from major neurovascular structures. Finally, the cyst wall was completely delivered from pharyngeal incision and sent for histopathological assessment. There was no evidence of an associated tract. Several layers of haemostatic Fibrillar™Surgicel™ (Ethicon, LLC, San Lorenzo, Puerto Rico, USA) were applied to protect and obliterate the surgical cavity.

Simple 4-0 Vicryl sutures were taken for pharyngeal incision closure (Figure 2).

The patient was hospitalized for 48 hours postoperatively under broad-spectrum IV antibiotic coverage until oral feeding started. Early postoperative period was uneventful except for severe odynophagia that improved one week after surgery. No postoperative complications were encountered including wound hematoma or cranial nerve palsies. Final histopathological specimen examination confirmed BCC diagnosis (Figure 3). Microbiological aspirated fluid assessment yielded no growth excluding an active suppurative process. The patient has been followed-up for 24-months with no evidence of recurrence to date (Figures 4 and 5).

3. Discussion

The parapharyngeal space is a deep potential neck space having an inverted pyramid shape extending from skull base above to hyoid bone below [9]. It is classically divided into pre- and poststyloid parts. Generally, poststyloid lesions are usually salivary tumors displacing carotid sheath posterolaterally and poststyloid masses are more likely to be neurogenic in nature such as schwannomas and vascular neoplasms [10]. Isolated parapharyngeal type-IV second BCCs are exceptionally rare and usually described as case reports [11]. They expand predictably in path of least resistance in the soft tissue plane resulting in an oropharyngeal bulge. Table 1 summarizes our PubMed and ScienceDirect search of reported cases in current world literature (starting 1989) in an attempt to compare our case to other reported cases regarding diagnostic and management strategies. Thirty cases were identified in 23 separate case reports found.

Although congenital in nature, type-IV cysts (as other BCCs) usually manifest in adulthood after gradually increasing in size following upper respiratory tract infections. Nevertheless, presentation age extremes were reported with Thaler et al. [16] having a 3-month infant and Howlett et al. [11] describing a 70-year-old case. Pediatric presentations are exceptional [16, 24, 27]. Symptoms are frequently insignificant and related to mass size with main presentation being sense of throat lump eventually leading to dysphagia or odynophagia without an external neck swelling [18, 20, 25, 32]. Unusually, Saussez et al. [29] reported a case with one-year history of snoring and no dysphagia. Recurrent deep neck abscess formation is another common alarming presentation leading to repeated aspiration and drainage procedures delaying diagnosis [18, 21, 31]. Severe infections might lead to multiple cranial nerve affection [14, 17, 23]. Interestingly, our case first symptom was referred otalgia and painful side-to-side neck mobility (probably from deep neck muscle irritation) of several months duration preceding odynophagia.

Cross-sectional imaging has become the mainstay diagnosis for BCCs. Radiologically, they can be distinguished from other lesions by their solitary, ovoid, sharply outlined, fluid-containing features [22]. CT with contrast enhancement is valuable in accurately delineating the cyst’s location and extent. However, as our case demonstrates, MRI is
considered the best diagnostic imaging modality for parapharyngeal space masses [15]. MRI BCC features include a well-marginated cystic mass that is CSF isointense on T1- and T2-weighted images with no significant postcontrast enhancement. Signal intensity may be increased on T1-images and show fluid-fluid level due to high protein content within the cyst. If infected, a thin rim of enhancement is possible. MRI is also superior in delineating the cyst’s
relation to great neck vessels and essential for differentiation from commoner parapharyngeal masses including deep lobe parotid lesions, parapharyngeal schwannomas with cystic degeneration, minor salivary gland tumors, and vascular neoplasms [9, 23].

After clinical and radiological diagnosis suspicion, BCC is confirmed histologically by a cystic cavity lined by epithelium and underlying connective tissue showing germinal centers. The lining is generally stratified squamous epithelium, and the germinal centers are contained within abundant lymphoid tissue. The connective tissue stroma underlying the epithelium contains areas of lymphoid tissue with reactive germinal centers but lacking true lymph node architecture as demonstrated in our case [33–35].

Several conservative methods have been used in treatment of BCCs including repeated aspiration, incision and drainage, marsupialization and injection of sclerosant agents. All give only temporary relief and carry high incidence of recurrence [22, 28]. Consequently, complete surgical excision remains the main treatment. The route of surgical removal, however, should carefully consider morbidity from the surgical procedure itself. Two main approaches have been described in managing parapharyngeal BCCs, transcervical and transoral (Table 1). Each has its own pros and cons.

The transcervical route is considered the traditional approach for parapharyngeal masses [26]. Its obvious advantage is the wider operative field with better control on great neck vessels making dissection safer. It can include transparotid or transmandibular components allowing more access according to parapharyngeal mass location. Piccin et al. [10] reported a parapharyngeal BCC excised through a combined transcervical/transmandibular approach associated with tracheotomy. Although this provides the most complete exposure, associated morbidity in our opinion is unjustifiable. Ironically, the only complication in our world literature search was reported by Papay et al. [19] using the

![Figure 3: Histopathological examination of resected specimen. (a) Low power view of an invaginated part of the cyst, lined by attenuated stratified squamous epithelium with abundant subepithelial lymphoid tissue (H & E, ×100). (b) Close up view of a focally ulcerated area of the surface squamous epithelium encroached upon by heavy lymphocytic infiltrate including reactive lymphoid follicles (H & E, ×400).](image)

![Figure 4: Postoperative (3-months) intraoral view showing complete incision healing (arrows).](image)

![Figure 5: Postoperative (18-months) magnetic resonance imaging axial T1 postcontrast with fat suppression showing complete excision of right parapharyngeal cyst with no evidence of recurrence.](image)
Transcervical/transparotid approach for a parapharyngeal BCC with nasopharyngeal extension, which was followed by sympathetic chain and cranial nerve X and XII paresis postoperatively.

Transoral excision of a type-IV BCC was first described by Takimoto et al. [12] with the obvious advantage being esthetic. Another advantage is direct access to the medially located cyst avoiding major neck neurovascular dissections. The downside is poorer visibility and lack of vascular control on major neck vessels. Several authors proposed performing tonsillectomy to improve intraoral surgical access [11, 13, 31]. We found that using the Dingman mouth gag, tonsillar pillar retraction sutures, and soft palate catheter retraction all increases visibility and widens the access avoiding tonsillectomy morbidity. Intraoperative cyst fluid aspiration or even deliberate evacuation of its contents (as we did in our case) facilitates lateral and superior blunt dissection of cyst wall off major carotid sheath neurovascular structures, thus allowing complete safe resection of even large-sized cysts. Vidhyadharan et al. [30] in 2012 reported a single type-IV parapharyngeal BCC case in which transoral robotic resection was successfully achieved. Robotic surgical arms enabled safe tissue grasping and dissection. The ability of an assistant to introduce further surgical tools further facilitated intraoral excision. Unfortunately, robotic surgery is considered expensive and its use still limited to few medical centers in the world.

### Table 1: Type-IV second BCC cases reported in the world literature (since 1989).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (year)</th>
<th>Number of cases</th>
<th>Age (y), sex</th>
<th>Surgical approach</th>
<th>Complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Takimoto et al. [12] (1989)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14, M</td>
<td>Transoral</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilkes et al. [13] (1990)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>42, M</td>
<td>Tonsillectomy then transoral</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gatot et al. [14] (1991)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>27, M, 19, M</td>
<td>Transcervical</td>
<td>XII CN palsy on presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruscito et al. [15] (1993)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27, F</td>
<td>Transoral</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thaler et al. [16] (1993)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>M, M</td>
<td>Transoral, Transcervical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durrant et al. [17] (1994)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20, F</td>
<td>Transcervical</td>
<td>IX, X &amp; XII CN palsies on presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Güneri et al. [18] (1994)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30, F, 53, M</td>
<td>Transcervical/transparotid, Transcervical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papay et al. [19] (1994)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29, M</td>
<td>Transcervical/transparotid</td>
<td>Horner’s syndrome, X &amp; XII CN paresis PO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilgen et al. [22] (2001)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>65, M</td>
<td>Transcervical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shin et al. [23] (2001)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35, F</td>
<td>Transcervical</td>
<td>IX, X &amp; XII CN palsies on presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choo et al. [24] (2002)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2, F</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallego Aranda et al. [25] (2002)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34, M</td>
<td>Transcervical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dernis et al. [26] (2004)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29, M</td>
<td>Transcervical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghosh et al. [27] (2006)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8, F</td>
<td>Combined transcervical/transoral</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Díaz-Manzano et al. [28] (2008)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40, M</td>
<td>Transoral</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piccin et al. [10] (2008)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>48, M</td>
<td>Combined transcervical/ transmandibular</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sausse et al. [29] (2009)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>54, M</td>
<td>Transoral</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vidhyadharan et al. [30] (2012)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>56, M</td>
<td>Transoral robotic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jung et al. [31] (2016)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>57, F, 46, M</td>
<td>Transoral ± tonsillectomy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magdy et al. (2020) “present case”</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26, F</td>
<td>Transoral</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>31</strong></td>
<td><strong>Age: range: 3 months–70 years (mean: 33.3 ± 18 years)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Sex: M = 19/F = 12 (M: F ratio = 1.6:1)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Abbreviations: Y, years; M, male; CN, cranial nerve; F, female; PO, postoperative.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Conclusions
Isolated parapharyngeal BCC is extremely rare and hence is often misdiagnosed and treated as a deep neck abscess. Although congenital in origin, it mainly presents in adults with nonspecific symptoms. MRI is mandatory to differentiate from other more common parapharyngeal lesions. Complete surgical excision is the main line of treatment and most could be safely and effectively excised transoral unlike other parapharyngeal masses.
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