

# Research Article Existence of Positive Periodic Solutions for *n*-Dimensional Nonautonomous System

# Youjun Liu,<sup>1</sup> Huanhuan Zhao,<sup>1</sup> and Jurang Yan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> College of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Shanxi Datong University, Datong, Shanxi 037009, China
 <sup>2</sup> School of Mathematical Sciences, Shanxi University, Taiyuan, Shanxi 030006, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Youjun Liu; lyj9791@126.com

Received 25 February 2014; Revised 8 May 2014; Accepted 13 June 2014; Published 17 August 2014

Academic Editor: Guang Zhang

Copyright © 2014 Youjun Liu et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In this paper we consider the existence, multiplicity, and nonexistence of positive periodic solutions for *n*-dimensional nonautonomous functional differential system  $\mathbf{x}'(t) = \mathbf{H}(t, \mathbf{x}(t)) - \lambda \mathbf{B}(t)\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}(t - \tau(t)))$ , where  $h_i$  are  $\omega$ -periodic in *t* and there exist  $\omega$ -periodic functions  $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in C(R, R_+)$  such that  $\alpha_i(t) \leq (h_i(t, \mathbf{x})/x_i) \leq \beta_i(t), \int_0^{\omega} \alpha_i(t)dt > 0$ , for  $\mathbf{x} \in R_+^n$  all with  $x_i > 0$ , and  $t \in R$ ,  $\lim_{x_i \to 0^+} (h_i(t, \mathbf{x})/x_i)$  exist for  $t \in R$ ;  $b_i \in C(R, R_+)$  are  $\omega$ -periodic functions and  $\int_0^{\omega} b_i(t)dt > 0$ ;  $f_i \in C(R_+^n, R_+), f_i(\mathbf{x}) > 0$  for  $\|\mathbf{x}\| > 0$ ;  $\tau \in (R, R)$  is an  $\omega$ -periodic function. We show that the system has multiple or no positive  $\omega$ -periodic solutions for sufficiently large or small  $\lambda > 0$ , respectively.

# **1. Introduction**

In this paper, we consider the first-order *n*-dimensional nonautonomous functional differential system

$$\mathbf{x}'(t) = \mathbf{H}(t, \mathbf{x}(t)) - \lambda \mathbf{B}(t) \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}(t - \tau(t))), \qquad (1)$$

where  $\lambda > 0$  is a parameter;

$$\mathbf{x} = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]^{\top},$$
  

$$\mathbf{B}(t) = \operatorname{diag}[b_1(t), b_2(t), \dots, b_n(t)];$$
  

$$\mathbf{H}(t, \mathbf{x}) = [h_1(t, \mathbf{x}), h_2(t, \mathbf{x}), \dots, h_n(t, \mathbf{x})]^{\top},$$
  

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) = [f_1(\mathbf{x}), f_2(\mathbf{x}), \dots, f_n(\mathbf{x})]^{\top}.$$
(2)

Let

$$R = (-\infty, +\infty),$$
  $R_{+} = [0, +\infty),$   $R_{+}^{n} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} R_{+},$  (3)

and for any  $\mathbf{x} = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ , the norm of  $\mathbf{x}$  is defined as  $\|\mathbf{x}\| = \max_{1 \le i \le n} |x_i|$ .

Throughout this paper, we use i = 1, 2, ..., n, unless otherwise stated.

For the system (1), we assume that

 $(H_1) \ \tau \in (R, R)$  is an  $\omega$ -periodic function,  $b_i \in C(R, R_+)$  are  $\omega$ -periodic functions, and

$$\int_0^{\omega} b_i(t) dt > 0; \tag{4}$$

 $(H_2)$   $f_i \in C(R_+^n, R_+), f_i(\mathbf{x}) > 0$  for  $\|\mathbf{x}\| > 0$ ;  $h_i \in C(R \times R_+^n, R_+), h_i$  are  $\omega$ -periodic in t and there exist  $\omega$ -periodic functions  $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in C(R, R_+)$  such that

$$\alpha_{i}(t) \leq \frac{h_{i}(t,\mathbf{x})}{x_{i}} \leq \beta_{i}(t), \qquad \int_{0}^{\omega} \alpha_{i}(t) dt > 0,$$
  
$$\forall \mathbf{x} \in R_{+}^{n} \text{ with } x_{i} > 0, \quad t \in R.$$
 (5)

In addition,  $\lim_{x_i \to 0^+} (h_i(t, \mathbf{x})/x_i)$  exist for  $t \in R$ .

We note that in (1) F(x) may have a singularity near x = 0; that is,

$$\lim_{\mathbf{x}\to\mathbf{0}^+}f_i(\mathbf{x})=\infty.$$
 (6)

As we well know, the system (1) is sufficiently general to include particular mathematical models which describe multiple population dynamics. Recently, due to the theoretical and practical significance, the existence of positive periodic solution of some particular cases of periodic system (1) has been extensively studied; see, for example, [1–15]. Cheng and Zhang [1], Kang and Cheng [2], Kang et al. [3], Kang and Zhang [4], and Liu et al. [5] studied the existence, multiplicity, and nonexistence of positive periodic solutions. The existence of positive periodic solutions of the scalar functional differential equation

$$x'(t) = a(t) g(x(t)) x(t) - \lambda b(t) f(x(t - \tau(t)))$$
(7)

has been studied by Wang [6]. By employing behaviours of the quotient f(x)/x as  $x \to 0^+$  and  $x \to \infty$ , several interesting results on the existence and nonexistence of positive periodic solutions of (7) have been obtained. In [7], Weng and Sun studied more general scalar periodic functional differential equation

$$x'(t) = h(t, x) - \lambda b(t) f(x(t - \tau(t))),$$
(8)

where the existence theorems of positive periodic solutions of (8) are obtained by employing the behaviours of f(x)/x at any point  $x \in (0, +\infty)$  and  $x \rightarrow 0^+, x \rightarrow \infty$ . The result in [7] generalized and improved those in [6]. O'Regan and Wang [8] investigated the *n*-dimensional periodic system

$$\mathbf{x}'(t) = \mathbf{A}(t) \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}(t)) - \lambda \mathbf{B}(t) \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}(t - \tau(t))).$$
(9)

By employing behaviours of  $f(\mathbf{x})/||\mathbf{x}||$  as  $||\mathbf{x}|| \to 0^+$  and  $||\mathbf{x}|| \to \infty$ , under quite general conditions, several existence theorems of positive periodic solutions are proved.

A solution  $\mathbf{x} = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]^{\top}$ ,  $t \in R$  of (1) is said to be positive if its all components  $x_i(t)$  are positive;  $\mathbf{x}$  is said to be  $\omega$ -periodic ( $\omega > 0$ ) if  $x_i(t) = x_i(t + \omega)$ ,  $t \in R$ .

#### 2. Preliminary

**Lemma 1** (see [9]). Let *E* be a Banach space and *K* a cone in *E*. For r > 0, define  $K_r = \{u \in K : ||x|| < r\}$ . Assume that  $T : \overline{K}_r \to K$  is completely continuous such that  $Tx \neq x$  for  $x \in \partial K_r = \{u \in K : ||x|| = r\}$ .

(i) If 
$$||Tx|| \ge ||x||$$
 for  $x \in \partial K_r$ , then  
 $i(T, K_r, K) = 0.$  (10)

(ii) If 
$$||Tx|| \le ||x||$$
 for  $x \in \partial K_r$ , then

$$i\left(T,K_{r},K\right)=1.$$
(11)

**Lemma 2** (see [9, 10]). Let X be a Banach space and K a cone in X. Assume  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$  are open subsets of X with  $0 \in \Omega_1, \overline{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2$ . Let

$$T: K \cap \left(\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1\right) \longrightarrow K \tag{12}$$

be a completely continuous operator such that one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (a)  $||Ty|| \le ||y||$  for  $y \in K \cap \partial \Omega_1$  and  $||Ty|| \ge ||y||$  for  $y \in K \cap \partial \Omega_2$ ;
- (b)  $||Ty|| \ge ||y||$  for  $y \in K \cap \partial \Omega_1$  and  $||Ty|| \le ||y||$  for  $y \in K \cap \partial \Omega_2$ .

Then T has at least one fixed point in  $K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$ .

In order to apply Lemmas 1 and 2 to system (1), we take

$$X = \left\{ \mathbf{x}(t) : \mathbf{x}(t) \in C(R, R^{n}), \mathbf{x}(t+\omega) = \mathbf{x}(t), t \in R \right\},$$
(13)

endowed with the norm  $\|\mathbf{x}\| = \max_{1 \le i \le n} |x_i|_0$ , where  $|x_i|_0 = \sup_{t \in [0,\omega]} |x_i(t)|$ ; then X is a Banach space.

Define the operator

$$T_{\lambda}: X \longrightarrow X \tag{14}$$

by

$$(T_{\lambda}\mathbf{x})(t) = \left(\left(T_{\lambda}\mathbf{x}\right)_{1}(t), \left(T_{\lambda}\mathbf{x}\right)_{2}(t), \dots, \left(T_{\lambda}\mathbf{x}\right)_{n}(t)\right)^{\top}, \quad (15)$$

where

$$(T_{\lambda}\mathbf{x})_{i}(t) = \lambda \int_{t}^{t+\omega} G_{i}(t,s) b_{i}(s) f_{i}(\mathbf{x}(s-\tau(s))) ds, \quad (16)$$

$$G_{i}(t,s) = \frac{\exp\left(-\int_{t}^{\omega} \left(h_{i}(\theta, \mathbf{x}(\theta)) / x_{i}(\theta)\right) d\theta\right)}{1 - \exp\left(-\int_{0}^{\omega} \left(h_{i}(\theta, \mathbf{x}(\theta)) / x_{i}(\theta)\right) d\theta\right)}, \quad (17)$$
$$t \le s \le t + \omega.$$

Let  $m = \min_{1 \le i \le n} \min_{t,s \in [0,\omega]} G_i(t,s)$  and  $M = \max_{1 \le i \le n} \max_{t,s \in [0,\omega]} G_i(t,s)$ , clearly;

$$0 < m \le G_i(t,s) \le M, \quad t \le s \le t + \omega.$$
(18)

Define a set by

Κ

$$= \left\{ \mathbf{x} \left( t \right) = \left( x_1, x_2 \dots, x_n \right)^\top \in X : x_i \left( t \right) \ge \sigma \left\| \mathbf{x} \right\|, t \in [0, \omega] \right\},$$
  
where  $\sigma = \frac{m}{M}.$ 
(19)

We use the following notations.

Let r > 0 be a constant, and  $\mathbf{x} \in K$ , defining

$$\begin{split} \Omega_r &= \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in X : \|\mathbf{x}\| < r \right\}, \qquad \partial \Omega_r = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in X : \|\mathbf{x}\| = r \right\}, \\ \rho^{\mu}\left(r\right) &:= \max_{1 \le i \le n} \sup_{\|\mathbf{x}\| = r} \frac{f_i\left(\mathbf{x}\right)}{\|\mathbf{x}\|}, \qquad \rho^l\left(r\right) := \min_{1 \le i \le n} \inf_{\|\mathbf{x}\| = r} \frac{f_i\left(\mathbf{x}\right)}{\|\mathbf{x}\|}, \\ f_i^0 &= \lim_{\|\mathbf{x}\| \to 0^+} \frac{f_i\left(\mathbf{x}\right)}{\|\mathbf{x}\|}, \qquad f_i^\infty = \lim_{\|\mathbf{x}\| \to \infty} \frac{f_i\left(\mathbf{x}\right)}{\|\mathbf{x}\|}, \\ \mathbf{F}_0 &= \max_{1 \le i \le n} \left\{ f_i^0 \right\}, \qquad \mathbf{F}_\infty = \max_{1 \le i \le n} \left\{ f_i^\infty \right\}, \end{split}$$

 $I_0$  = number of zeros in the set { $\mathbf{F}_0, \mathbf{F}_{\infty}$ },

 $I_{\infty}$  = number of infinities in the set { $\mathbf{F}_0, \mathbf{F}_{\infty}$ },

$$b^{\mu} := \max_{1 \le i \le n} \int_{0}^{\omega} b_{i}(t) dt, \qquad b^{l} := \min_{1 \le i \le n} \int_{0}^{\omega} b_{i}(t) dt.$$
(20)

**Lemma 3.** Assume that  $(H_1)$ - $(H_2)$  hold; then  $T_{\lambda}(K) \subset K$  and  $T_{\lambda}: K \rightarrow K$  is continuous and completely continuous.

*Proof.* In view of the definition of *K*, for  $\mathbf{x} \in K$ , we have

It is easy to see that  $\int_{t}^{t+\omega} b_i(s) f_i(\mathbf{x}(s-\tau(s))) ds$  is a constant because of the periodicity of  $b_i(t) f_i(\mathbf{x}(t-\tau(t)))$ .

Notice that, for  $\mathbf{x} \in K$  and  $t \in [0, \omega]$ ,

$$(T_{\lambda}\mathbf{x})_{i}(t) = \lambda \int_{t}^{t+\omega} G_{i}(t,s) b_{i}(s) f_{i}(\mathbf{x}(s-\tau(s))) ds$$
  

$$\geq \lambda m \int_{t}^{t+\omega} b_{i}(s) f_{i}(\mathbf{x}(s-\tau(s))) ds$$
  

$$= \lambda m \int_{0}^{\omega} b_{i}(s) f_{i}(\mathbf{x}(s-\tau(s))) ds$$
  

$$= \frac{m}{M} \lambda M \int_{0}^{\omega} b_{i}(s) f_{i}(\mathbf{x}(s-\tau(s))) ds$$
  

$$\geq \frac{m}{M} \max_{t \in [0,\omega]} |(T_{\lambda}\mathbf{x})_{i}(t)|.$$
(22)

Thus  $T_{\lambda}(K) \subset K$  and it is easy to show that  $T_{\lambda} : K \to K$  is continuous and completely continuous. 

**Lemma 4.** Assume that  $(H_1)$ - $(H_2)$  hold; then a function  $\mathbf{x}(t) \in$ *K* is a positive  $\omega$ -periodic solution of (1) if and only if  $T_{\lambda}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in K$ .

Proof. If 
$$\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in K$$
 and  $T_{\lambda} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$ , then  
 $x'_i(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \lambda \int_t^{t+\omega} G_i(t, s) b_i(s) f_i(\mathbf{x} (s - \tau (s))) ds \right)$   
 $\geq \lambda G_i(t, t + \omega) b_i(t + \omega) f_i(\mathbf{x} (t + \omega - \tau (t + \omega)))$   
 $-\lambda G_i(t, t) b_i(t) f_i(\mathbf{x} (t - \tau (t))) + \frac{h_i(t, \mathbf{x})}{x_i(t)} (T_{\lambda} \mathbf{x})_i(t)$   
 $= \lambda [G_i(t, t + \omega) - G_i(t, t)] b_i(t) f_i(\mathbf{x} (t - \tau (t)))$   
 $+ h_i(t, \mathbf{x})$   
 $= h_i(t, \mathbf{x}) - \lambda b_i(t) f_i(\mathbf{x} (t - \tau (t))).$ 
(23)

Thus **x** is a positive  $\omega$ -periodic solution of (1). On the other hand, if  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  is a positive  $\omega$ -periodic solution of (1), then  $x'_i(t) = h_i(t, \mathbf{x}) - \lambda b_i(t) f_i(\mathbf{x}(t - \tau(t)))$  and

$$(T_{\lambda}\mathbf{x})_{i}(t) = \lambda \int_{t}^{t+\omega} G_{i}(t,s) b_{i}(s) f_{i}(\mathbf{x}(s-\tau(s))) ds$$

$$= \int_{t}^{t+\omega} G_{i}(t,s) \left(h_{i}(s,\mathbf{x}) - x_{i}'(s)\right) ds$$

$$= \int_{t}^{t+\omega} G_{i}(t,s) h_{i}(s,\mathbf{x}) ds - \int_{t}^{t+\omega} G_{i}(t,s) x_{i}'(s) ds$$

$$= \int_{t}^{t+\omega} G_{i}(t,s) h_{i}(s,\mathbf{x}) ds - G_{i}(t,s) x_{i}(s) \Big|_{t}^{t+\omega}$$

$$- \int_{t}^{t+\omega} G_{i}(t,s) h_{i}(s,\mathbf{x}) ds = x_{i}(t) .$$

$$(24)$$

Thus,  $T_{\lambda}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$ ; furthermore, in view of the proof of Lemma 3, we also have  $x_i(t) \ge (m/M) \max_{t \in [0,\omega]} |(T_\lambda \mathbf{x})_i(t)|$  for  $t \in [0,\omega]$ . That is, **x** is a fixed point of  $T_{\lambda}$  in *K*. 

**Lemma 5.** Assume that  $(H_1)$ - $(H_2)$  hold; for any  $\eta > 0$  and  $\mathbf{x} \in K$ , if there exists a component  $f_i$  of  $\mathbf{F}$  such that  $f_i(\mathbf{x}(t)) \geq d$  $x_i(t)\eta$ , then

$$\|T_{\lambda}\mathbf{x}\| \ge \frac{\lambda\eta m^2 b^l}{M} \|\mathbf{x}\|.$$
(25)

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbf{x} \in K$  and  $f_i(\mathbf{x}(t)) \ge x_i(t)\eta$ , we have

$$(T_{\lambda}\mathbf{x})_{i}(t) = \lambda \int_{0}^{\omega} G_{i}(t,s) b_{i}(s) f_{i}(\mathbf{x}(s-\tau(s))) ds$$
  

$$\geq \lambda m \int_{0}^{\omega} b_{i}(s) f_{i}(\mathbf{x}(s-\tau(s))) ds$$
  

$$\geq \lambda \eta m \int_{0}^{\omega} b_{i}(s) x_{i}(s-\tau(s)) ds$$
  

$$\geq \frac{\lambda \eta m^{2} b^{l}}{M} \|\mathbf{x}\|.$$
(26)

Thus  $||T_{\lambda}\mathbf{x}|| \ge (\lambda \eta m^2 b^l / M) ||\mathbf{x}||$ . The proof is complete. 

For each i = 1, 2, ..., n, let  $\hat{f}(r) : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$  be the function given by

$$\widehat{f}_{i}(r) = \max\left\{f_{i}(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in R_{+}^{n}, \|\mathbf{x}\| \le r, t \in [0, \omega]\right\}.$$
(27)

Let  $\hat{f}_i^0 = \lim_{r \to 0} (\hat{f}_i(r)/r)$  and  $\hat{f}_i^\infty = \lim_{r \to \infty} (\hat{f}_i(r)/r)$ .

**Lemma 6** (see [11]). Assume  $(H_2)$  holds. Then  $\hat{f}_i^0 = f_i^0$  and  $\widehat{f}_i^{\infty} = f_i^{\infty}.$ 

**Lemma 7.** Assume that  $(H_1)$ - $(H_2)$  hold and let r > 0. If  $\mathbf{x} \in$  $\partial \Omega_r$  and there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$ , such that  $\hat{f}_i(r) \leq \epsilon r$  for  $t \in$  $[0, \omega]$ , then

$$\|T_{\lambda}\mathbf{x}\| \le \lambda \epsilon M b^{\mu} \|\mathbf{x}\|.$$
(28)

*Proof.* From the definition of  $T_{\lambda}$ , for  $\mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega_r$ , we have

$$(T_{\lambda}\mathbf{x})_{i}(t) = \int_{0}^{\omega} G_{i}(t,s) b_{i}(s) f_{i}(\mathbf{x}(s-\tau(s))) ds$$
  

$$\leq \lambda M \int_{0}^{\omega} b_{i}(s) f_{i}(\mathbf{x}(s-\tau(s))) ds$$
  

$$\leq \lambda M \int_{0}^{\omega} b_{i}(s) \hat{f}_{i}(r) ds$$
  

$$\leq \lambda \epsilon M b^{\mu} \|\mathbf{x}\|.$$
(29)

That is,  $||T_{\lambda}\mathbf{x}|| \le \lambda \epsilon M b^{\mu} ||\mathbf{x}||$ . The proof is complete.

The following two lemmas are weak forms of Lemmas 5 and 7.

**Lemma 8.** Assume that  $(H_1)$ - $(H_2)$  hold. If  $\mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega_r, r > 0$ , then

$$\|T_{\lambda}\mathbf{x}\| \ge \lambda m b^l \widehat{m}_r, \tag{30}$$

where  $\widehat{m}_r = \min\{f_i(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n_+, mr/M \le \|\mathbf{x}\| \le r\}.$ 

**Lemma 9.** Assume that  $(H_1)$ - $(H_2)$  hold. If  $\mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega_r, r > 0$ , then

$$\left\|T_{\lambda}\mathbf{x}\right\| \le \lambda M b^{\mu} \widehat{M}\left(r\right),\tag{31}$$

where  $\widehat{M}_r = \max\{f_i(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in R_+^n, \|\mathbf{x}\| \le r\}.$ 

# 3. The Main Results

**Theorem 10.** Assume that  $(H_1)$ - $(H_2)$  hold and there exist positive constants  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  with  $r_1 < r_2$  such that

$$mb^{l}\rho^{l}(r_{2}) > Mb^{\mu}\rho^{\mu}(r_{1});$$
 (32)

then for

$$\frac{1}{mb^{l}\rho^{l}\left(r_{2}\right)} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{Mb^{\mu}\rho^{\mu}\left(r_{1}\right)},$$
(33)

the system (1) has at least a positive  $\omega$ -periodic solution  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  satisfying  $r_1 \leq ||\mathbf{x}|| \leq r_2$ .

*Proof.* From (32) for  $\lambda$  satisfying (33) we have that

$$\lambda m b^l \rho^l \left( r_2 \right) \ge 1, \qquad \lambda M b^\mu \rho^\mu \left( r_1 \right) < 1, \qquad (34)$$

or

$$\lambda m b^l \rho^l (r_2) > 1, \qquad \lambda M b^u \rho^\mu (r_1) \le 1.$$
 (35)

Let  $\mathbf{x} \in K$  and  $\|\mathbf{x}\| = r_1$ ; by (16) and (34), we have

$$(T_{\lambda}\mathbf{x})_{i}(t) = \lambda \int_{t}^{t+\omega} G_{i}(t,s) b_{i}(s) f_{i}(\mathbf{x}(s-\tau(s))) ds$$

$$\leq \lambda M \int_{t}^{t+\omega} b_{i}(s) f_{i}(\mathbf{x}(s-\tau(s))) ds$$

$$\leq \lambda M \int_{t}^{t+\omega} b_{i}(s) \frac{f_{i}(\mathbf{x}(s-\tau(s)))}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \|\mathbf{x}\| ds$$

$$\leq \lambda M b^{\mu} \rho^{\mu}(r_{1}) \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|.$$
(36)

That is,  $||T_{\lambda}\mathbf{x}_i|| \leq ||\mathbf{x}||$ . This implies that  $||T_{\lambda}\mathbf{x}|| \leq ||\mathbf{x}||$  for  $\mathbf{x} \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{r_1}$ .

If  $\mathbf{x} \in K$  and  $\|\mathbf{x}\| = r_2$ , by (16) and (35), we have

$$(T_{\lambda}\mathbf{x})_{i}(t) = \lambda \int_{t}^{t+\omega} G_{i}(t,s) b_{i}(s) f_{i}(\mathbf{x}(s-\tau(s))) ds$$
  

$$\geq \lambda m \int_{t}^{t+\omega} b_{i}(s) f_{i}(\mathbf{x}(s-\tau(s))) ds$$
  

$$\geq \lambda m \int_{t}^{t+\omega} b_{i}(s) \frac{f_{i}(\mathbf{x}(s-\tau(s)))}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \|\mathbf{x}\| ds$$
  

$$\geq \lambda m b^{l} \rho^{l}(r_{2}) \|\mathbf{x}\| \geq \|\mathbf{x}\|.$$
(37)

This implies that  $||T_{\lambda}\mathbf{x}|| \geq ||\mathbf{x}||$  for  $\mathbf{x} \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{r_2}$ . By Lemma 2(a),  $T_{\lambda}$  has a fixed point in  $K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_{r_2} \setminus \Omega_{r_1})$ . It follows from Lemma 2 that (1) has an  $\omega$ -periodic solution  $\mathbf{x}$  with  $r_1 \leq ||\mathbf{x}|| \leq r_2$ . The proof is complete.

**Theorem 11.** Assume that  $(H_1)$ - $(H_2)$  hold and there exist positive constants  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  with  $r_1 < r_2$  such that

$$mb^{l}\rho^{l}(r_{1}) > Mb^{\mu}\rho^{\mu}(r_{2});$$
 (38)

then for

$$\frac{1}{mb^{l}\rho^{l}\left(r_{1}\right)} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{Mb^{\mu}\rho^{\mu}\left(r_{2}\right)},\tag{39}$$

the system (1) has at least a positive  $\omega$ -periodic solution  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  satisfying  $r_1 \leq ||\mathbf{x}|| \leq r_2$ .

*Proof.* The proof of Theorem 11 is similar to that of Theorem 10, so we omit it. The proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 12.** Assume that  $(H_1)$ - $(H_2)$  hold.

- (a) If  $I_0 = 1$  or  $I_0 = 2$ , then (1) has  $I_0$  positive  $\omega$ -periodic solution(s) for  $\lambda > 1/mb^l \widehat{m}_1 > 0$ .
- (b) If I<sub>∞</sub> = 1 or I<sub>∞</sub> = 2, then (1) has I<sub>∞</sub> positive ω-periodic solution(s) for 0 < λ < 1/Mb<sup>μ</sup> M
  <sub>1</sub>.
- (c) If  $I_0 = 0$  or  $I_{\infty} = 0$ , then (1) has no positive  $\omega$ -periodic solution for sufficiently large or small  $\lambda > 0$ , respectively.

*Proof.* (a) Choose a number  $r_1 = 1$ . By Lemma 8 we infer that there exists  $\lambda_0 = 1/mb^l \widehat{m}_1 > 0$  such that

$$\|T_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x})\| \ge \|\mathbf{x}\| \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega_{r_{1}}, \ \lambda > \lambda_{0}.$$
(40)

If  $\mathbf{F}_0 = 0$ , this implies that  $f_i^0 = 0$ . It follows from Lemma 6 that  $\hat{f}_i^0 = 0$ ; therefore, we choose  $0 < r_2 < r_1$  so that  $\hat{f}_i(r_2) \le \epsilon r_2$ , where the constant  $\epsilon > 0$  satisfies  $\lambda \epsilon M b^{\mu} < 1$ . By Lemma 7, it follows that

$$\|T_{\lambda}\mathbf{x}\| \le \lambda \epsilon M b^{\mu} \|\mathbf{x}\| < \|\mathbf{x}\|, \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega_{r_2}, \ t \in [0, \omega];$$
(41)

it follows from Lemma 1 that

$$i\left(T_{\lambda},\Omega_{r_{1}},K\right)=0, \qquad i\left(T_{\lambda},\Omega_{r_{2}},K\right)=1.$$
(42)

Thus  $i(T_{\lambda}, \Omega_{r_1} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{r_2}, K) = -1$ , which implies  $T_{\lambda}$  has a fixed point in  $\Omega_{r_1} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{r_2}$ , which is positive  $\omega$ -periodic solution of (1) for  $\lambda > \lambda_0$ .

If  $\mathbf{F}_{\infty} = 0$ , then  $f_i^{\infty} = 0$ . It follows from Lemma 6 that  $\hat{f}_i^{\infty} = 0$ . Therefore, we choose  $r_3 > 2r_1$  such that  $\hat{f}_i(t,r_3) \leq \epsilon r_3$ , where the constant  $\epsilon > 0$  satisfies  $\lambda \epsilon M b^{\mu} < 1$ . By Lemma 7, it follows that

$$\|T_{\lambda}\mathbf{x}\| \le \lambda \varepsilon M b^{\mu} \|\mathbf{x}\| < \|\mathbf{x}\|, \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega_{r_{3}}, \ t \in [0, \omega];$$
(43)

it follows from Lemma 1 that

$$i\left(T_{\lambda},\Omega_{r_{1}},K\right)=0, \qquad i\left(T_{\lambda},\Omega_{r_{3}},K\right)=1; \qquad (44)$$

thus  $i(T_{\lambda}, \Omega_{r_3} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{r_1}, K) = 1$ , which implies  $T_{\lambda}$  has a fixed point in  $\Omega_{r_3} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{r_1}$ , which is positive  $\omega$ -periodic solution of (1) for  $\lambda > \lambda_0$ .

If  $\mathbf{F}_0 = \mathbf{F}_{\infty} = 0$ , it is easy to see from the above proof that  $T_{\lambda}$  has fixed points  $\mathbf{x}_1$  in  $\Omega_{r_1} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{r_2}$  and  $\mathbf{x}_2$  in  $\Omega_{r_3} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{r_1}$  such that

$$r_2 < \|\mathbf{x}_1\| < r_1 < \|\mathbf{x}_2\| < r_3.$$
(45)

Consequently, (1) has two positive  $\omega$ -periodic solutions for  $\lambda > \lambda_0$ .

(b) Choose a number  $r_1 = 1$ ; by Lemma 9 we infer that there exists a  $\lambda_0 = 1/Mb^{\mu}\widehat{M}_1 > 0$  such that

$$\|T_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x})\| < \|\mathbf{x}\| \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega_{r_{1}}, \ 0 < \lambda < \lambda_{0}.$$
(46)

If  $\mathbf{F}_0 = \infty$ , there exists a component  $f_i$  such that  $f_i^0 = \infty$ . Therefore there is a positive number  $r_2 < r_1$  such that

$$f_i(\mathbf{x}) \ge \eta \|\mathbf{x}\|, \quad \text{for } \|\mathbf{x}\| \le r_2, \ t \in [0, \omega],$$
 (47)

where the constant  $\eta > 0$  satisfies  $\lambda \eta m^2 b^l M > 1$ . Lemma 5 implies that

$$\|T_{\lambda}\mathbf{x}\| \ge \lambda \eta M b^{\mu} \|\mathbf{x}\| > \|\mathbf{x}\|, \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega_{r_2}, \ t \in [0, \omega].$$
(48)

It follows from Lemma 1 that

$$i\left(T_{\lambda},\Omega_{r_{1}},K\right)=1,$$
  $i\left(T_{\lambda},\Omega_{r_{2}},K\right)=0.$  (49)

Thus  $i(T_{\lambda}, \Omega_{r_1} \setminus \Omega_{r_2}, K) = 1$  which implies  $T_{\lambda}$  has a fixed point in  $\Omega_{r_1} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{r_2}$ , which is positive  $\omega$ -periodic solution of (1) for  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ .

If  $\mathbf{F}_{\infty} = \infty$ , there exists a component  $f_i$  such that  $f_i^{\infty} = \infty$ . Therefore there is a positive number  $\widehat{H}$  such that

$$f_i(\mathbf{x}) \ge \eta \|\mathbf{x}\|, \quad \text{for } \|\mathbf{x}\| \ge H, \tag{50}$$

where the constant  $\eta > 0$  satisfies  $\lambda \eta m^2 b^l M > 1$ . Let  $r_3 = \max\{2r_1, M\widehat{H}/m\}$ ; if  $\mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega_{r_3}$ , then

$$\min_{t \in [0,\omega]} x_i(t) \ge \frac{m}{M} \|\mathbf{x}\| = \frac{m}{M} r_3 \ge \widehat{H}.$$
 (51)

Hence,

$$f_i\left(\mathbf{x}\right) \ge \eta x_i\left(t\right). \tag{52}$$

Again, it follows from Lemma 5 that

$$\|T_{\lambda}\mathbf{x}\| \ge \lambda \eta M b^{\mu} \|\mathbf{x}\| > \|\mathbf{x}\|, \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega_{r_{3}}, \ t \in [0, \omega].$$
(53)

It follows from Lemma 1 that

$$i(T_{\lambda},\Omega_{r_1},K) = 1, \qquad i(T_{\lambda},\Omega_{r_3},K) = 0.$$
 (54)

Thus  $i(T_{\lambda}, \Omega_{r_3} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{r_1}, K) = -1$ , which implies  $T_{\lambda}$  has a fixed point in  $\Omega_{r_3} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{r_1}$ , which is positive  $\omega$ -periodic solution of (1) for  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ .

If  $\mathbf{F}_0 = \mathbf{F}_{\infty} = \infty$ , it is easy to see from the above proof that  $T_{\lambda}$  has fixed points  $\mathbf{x}_1$  in  $\Omega_{r_1} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{r_2}$  and  $\mathbf{x}_2$  in  $\Omega_{r_3} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{r_1}$  such that

$$r_2 < \|\mathbf{x}_1\| < r_1 < \|\mathbf{x}_2\| < r_3.$$
(55)

Consequently, (1) has two positive  $\omega$ -periodic solutions for  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ .

(c) If  $I_0 = 0$ , then  $\mathbf{F}_0 > 0$  and  $\mathbf{F}_{\infty} > 0$ ; there exist two components  $f_i$  and  $f_j$  such that

$$f_i^0 > 0, \qquad f_i^\infty > 0.$$
 (56)

It is easy to show (see [11]) that positive numbers  $\eta, r_1$  exist such that

$$f_i(\mathbf{x}) \ge \eta \|\mathbf{x}\|, \quad \|\mathbf{x}\| \le r_1, \tag{57}$$

$$f_j(\mathbf{x}) \ge \eta \|\mathbf{x}\|, \quad \|\mathbf{x}\| \ge \frac{m}{M} r_1.$$
(58)

Assume  $\mathbf{y}(t) = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]$  is a positive  $\omega$ -periodic solution of (1), we will show that this leads to a contradiction for  $\lambda > \lambda_0 = M/\eta m^2 b^l$ . In fact, if  $\|\mathbf{y}\| \le r_1$ , (57) implies that

$$f_i(\mathbf{y}) \ge \eta y_i(t), \quad \text{for } t \in [0, \omega].$$
 (59)

On the other hand, if  $||\mathbf{y}|| > r_1$ , then

$$\min_{t \in [0,\omega]} y_i(t) \ge \sigma \|\mathbf{y}\| \ge \sigma r_1, \tag{60}$$

which together with (58), implies that

$$f_{j}(\mathbf{y}) \ge \eta y_{j}(t), \quad \text{for } t \in [0, \omega].$$
 (61)

Since  $T_{\lambda}(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{y}(t)$ , for  $t \in [0, \omega]$ , it follows from Lemma 5 that, for  $\lambda > \lambda_0$ ,

$$\|\mathbf{y}\| = \|T_{\lambda}(\mathbf{y})\| \ge \frac{\lambda \eta m^2 b^l}{M} \|\mathbf{y}\| > \|\mathbf{y}\|, \qquad (62)$$

which is a contradiction.

If  $I_{\infty} = 0$ , then  $\mathbf{F}_0 < \infty$  and  $\mathbf{F}_{\infty} < \infty$ ; there exist two components  $f_i$  and  $f_j$  such that  $f_i^0 < \infty$  and  $f_j^\infty < \infty$ . It is easy to show (see [11]) that positive numbers  $\epsilon$  exist such that

$$f_i\left(\mathbf{x}\right) \le \epsilon \left\|\mathbf{x}\right\|. \tag{63}$$

$$\|\mathbf{y}\| = \|T_{\lambda}(\mathbf{y})\| \le \lambda \epsilon M b^{\mu} \|\mathbf{y}\| < \|\mathbf{y}\|, \qquad (64)$$

which is a contradiction. The proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

Theorem 13 is a direct consequence of the proof of Theorem 12(c). Under the conditions of Theorem 13, we are able to give explicit intervals of  $\lambda$  such that (1) has no positive  $\omega$ -periodic solution.

#### **Theorem 13.** Assume that $(H_1)$ - $(H_2)$ hold.

- (a) If there is a c<sub>1</sub> > 0 such that f<sub>i</sub>(**x**) ≥ c<sub>1</sub> ||**x**||, **x** ∈ R<sup>n</sup><sub>+</sub>, then there exists a λ<sub>0</sub> = M/m<sup>2</sup>c<sub>1</sub>b<sup>1</sup> such that, for all λ > λ<sub>0</sub>, (1) has no positive ω-periodic solution.
- (b) If there is a c<sub>2</sub> > 0 such that f<sub>i</sub>(**x**) ≤ c<sub>2</sub>||**x**||, **x** ∈ R<sup>n</sup><sub>+</sub>, then there exists a λ<sub>0</sub> = 1/Mc<sub>2</sub>b<sup>μ</sup> such that, for all 0 < λ < λ<sub>0</sub>, (1) has no positive ω-periodic solution.

**Theorem 14.** Assume that  $(H_1)$ - $(H_2)$  hold and  $I_0 = I_{\infty} = 0$ . If

$$\frac{M}{\max\left\{\mathbf{F}_{0},\mathbf{F}_{\infty}\right\}m^{2}b^{l}} < \lambda < \frac{1}{\min\left\{\mathbf{F}_{0},\mathbf{F}_{\infty}\right\}Mb^{\mu}},\qquad(65)$$

then (1) has a positive  $\omega$ -periodic solution.

*Proof.* (a) If  $\mathbf{F}_{\infty} > \mathbf{F}_{0}$ , then there exist two components  $f_{i}$  and  $f_{j}$  such that  $f_{i}^{0} < f_{j}^{\infty}$ . It is easy to see that there exists an  $0 < \epsilon < f_{i}^{\infty}$  such that

$$\frac{M}{m^2 b^l \left(f_j^{\infty} - \epsilon\right)} < \lambda < \frac{1}{M b^{\mu} \left(f_i^0 + \epsilon\right)}.$$
(66)

Now, turning to  $f_i^0$  and  $f_j^\infty$ , there is an  $r_1 > 0$  such that

$$f_i(\mathbf{x}) \le \left(f_i^0 + \epsilon\right) \|\mathbf{x}\|, \quad \text{for } 0 < \|\mathbf{x}\| < r_1.$$
 (67)

Thus

$$f_i(\mathbf{x}) \le \left(f_i^0 + \epsilon\right) \|\mathbf{x}\|, \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega_{r_1}, \ t \in [0, \omega].$$
 (68)

We have by Lemma 7 that

$$\|T_{\lambda}\mathbf{x}\| \leq \lambda \left(f_{i}^{0} + \epsilon\right) M b^{\mu} \|\mathbf{x}\| < \|\mathbf{x}\|,$$
  
for  $\mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega_{r_{1}}, \quad t \in [0, \omega].$  (69)

On the other hand, there is an  $\widehat{H} > r_1$  such that

$$f_j(\mathbf{x}) \ge \left(f_j^{\infty} - \epsilon\right) \|\mathbf{x}\|, \quad \text{for } \|\mathbf{x}\| \ge \widehat{H}.$$
 (70)

Let  $r_2 = \max\{2r_1, m\widehat{H}/M\}$ . It follows that

$$x_i(t) \ge \frac{m}{M} \|\mathbf{x}\| \ge \widehat{H}, \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega_{r_2}, \ t \in [0, \omega].$$
 (71)

Thus  $f_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq (f_j^{\infty} - \epsilon) \|\mathbf{x}\|$ , for  $\mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega_{r_2}$  and  $t \in [0, \omega]$ . In view of Lemma 7, we have

$$\|T_{\lambda}\mathbf{x}\| \ge \lambda \left(f_{j}^{\infty} - \epsilon\right) \frac{m^{2}b^{l}}{M} \|\mathbf{x}\| > \|\mathbf{x}\|,$$
  
for  $\mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega_{r_{2}}, \quad t \in [0, \omega].$  (72)

It follows from Lemma 1 that

$$i(T_{\lambda}, \Omega_{r_1}, K) = 1, \qquad i(T_{\lambda}, \Omega_{r_2}, K) = 0.$$
 (73)

Thus  $i(T_{\lambda}, \Omega_{r_2} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{r_1}, K) = -1$ . Hence,  $T_{\lambda}$  has a fixed point in  $\Omega_{r_2} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{r_1}$ . Consequently, (1) has a positive  $\omega$ -periodic solution.

(b) If  $\mathbf{F}_{\infty} > \mathbf{F}_{0}$ . The remaining part of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 14(a); therefore it is omitted. The proof is complete.

## 4. Remarks

*Remark 15.* Based on the condition *H*2 of [8], we may obtain the inequality sequence of

$$la_{i}(t) \leq A(t) G(\mathbf{x}) \leq La_{i}(t), \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n.$$
 (74)

Comparatively, in terms of condition of  $H_1$  presented in this paper, we have the inequality sequence of

$$\alpha_i(t) x_i \le h_i(t, \mathbf{x}) \le \beta_i(t) x_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n;$$
(75)

it is clear that result of this paper can be applied to even more wider domain. Additionally, an extra requirement of

$$0 < l < G\left(\mathbf{x}\right) < L,\tag{76}$$

included in *H*2 of [8], is not demanded here. For example, when letting

$$H(t, \mathbf{x}) = A(t) G(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} a_1(t) & 0\\ 0 & a_2(t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (2 + \sin x_1) x_1\\ (2 + \cos x_2) x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
(77)

and then

$$G(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} (2 + \sin x_1) x_1 \\ (2 + \cos x_2) x_2 \end{bmatrix},$$
(78)

we can obtain the formula of

$$h_{1}(t, \mathbf{x}) = a_{1}(t) (2 + \sin x_{1}) x_{1},$$
  

$$h_{2}(t, \mathbf{x}) = a_{2}(t) (2 + \cos x_{2}) x_{2}.$$
(79)

According to condition  $H_1$ , the formulas would be further achieved as

$$a_{1}(t) \leq \frac{h_{1}(t, \mathbf{x})}{x_{1}} = \frac{a_{1}(t)(2 + \sin x_{1})x_{1}}{x_{1}} \leq 3a_{1}(t),$$

$$a_{2}(t) \leq \frac{h_{2}(t, \mathbf{x})}{x_{2}} = \frac{a_{2}(t)(2 + \cos x_{2})x_{2}}{x_{2}} \leq 3a_{2}(t).$$
(80)

However, there do not exist l > 0 such that

$$0 < l < g_1(x_1) = (2 + \sin x_1) x_1.$$
(81)

Therefore, function of  $H(t, \mathbf{x}) = A(t)G(\mathbf{x})$  cannot satisfy the conditions proposed in [8].

Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society

*Remark 16.* The technique of proof of theorems in this paper differs from that of theorems in [8]. What is more, the condition of Theorems 10 and 11 is obviously weaker here than proposed in [8].

*Remark 17.* In this paper the application scope of Theorems 12–14 is relatively wider than that of Theorems 1.1–1.3 in [6], respectively.

## **Conflict of Interests**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

# Acknowledgments

The authors are very grateful to the referee for their valuable comments and suggestions. They appreciate the generous aid from Professor Jianwen Zhang in the process of revision.

# References

- S. S. Cheng and G. Zhang, "Existence of positive periodic solutions for non-autonomous functional differential equations," *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 59, pp. 1–8, 2001.
- [2] S. Kang and S. S. Cheng, "Existence and uniqueness of periodic solutions of mixed monotone functional differential equations," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2009, Article ID 162891, 13 pages, 2009.
- [3] S. Kang, B. Shi, and G. Wang, "Existence of maximal and minimal periodic solutions for first-order functional differential equations," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 22– 25, 2010.
- [4] S. Kang and G. Zhang, "Existence of nontrivial periodic solutions for first order functional differential equations," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 101–107, 2005.
- [5] X. Liu, G. Zhang, and S. S. Cheng, "Existence of triple positive periodic solutions of a functional differential equation depending on a parameter," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, no. 10, pp. 897–905, 2004.
- [6] H. Y. Wang, "Positive periodic solutions of functional differential equations," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 202, no. 2, pp. 354–366, 2004.
- [7] A. Weng and J. Sun, "Positive periodic solutions of first-order functional differential equations with parameter," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 229, no. 1, pp. 327– 332, 2009.
- [8] D. O'Regan and H. Wang, "Positive periodic solutions of systems of first order ordinary differential equations," *Results in Mathematics*, vol. 48, no. 3-4, pp. 310–325, 2005.
- [9] K. Deimling, Nonlinear Functional Analysis, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1985.
- [10] M. Krasnoseliskii, Positive Solutions of Operator Equations, Noordhoff, Groningen, The Netherlands, 1964.
- [11] H. Wang, "On the number of positive solutions of nonlinear systems," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 281, no. 1, pp. 287–306, 2003.
- [12] D. Jiang, J. Wei, and B. Zhang, "Positive periodic solutions of functional differential equations and population models,"

- [13] Z. Zeng, L. Bi, and M. Fan, "Existence of multiple positive periodic solutions for functional differential equations," *Journal* of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 325, no. 2, pp. 1378–1389, 2007.
- [14] D. Ye, M. Fan, and H. Wang, "Periodic solutions for scalar functional differential equations," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 62, no. 7, pp. 1157–1181, 2005.
- [15] D. Bai and Y. Xu, "Periodic solutions of first order functional differential equations with periodic deviations," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 53, no. 9, pp. 1361–1366, 2007.



The Scientific World Journal





**Decision Sciences** 







Journal of Probability and Statistics



Hindawi Submit your manuscripts at





International Journal of Differential Equations





International Journal of Combinatorics





Mathematical Problems in Engineering



Abstract and Applied Analysis



Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society







Journal of Function Spaces



International Journal of Stochastic Analysis



Journal of Optimization