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#### Abstract

In this paper, we firstly discuss the existence of the least energy sign-changing solutions for a class of p -Kirchhoff-type problems with a $(2 p-1)$-linear growth nonlinearity. The quantitative deformation lemma and Non-Nehari manifold method are used in the paper to prove the main results. Remarkably, we use a new method to verify that $\mathscr{M}_{b} \neq \emptyset$. The main results of our paper are the existence of the least energy sign-changing solution and its corresponding energy doubling property. Moreover, we also give the convergence property of the least energy sign-changing solution as the parameter $b \searrow 0$.


## 1. Introduction and the Main Results

In this paper, we are devoted to investigating the existence of the least energy sign-changing solutions for the following p-Kirchhoff-type problem with a (2p-1)-linear growth nonlinearity:

$$
\begin{align*}
&-\left(a+b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d x\right) \Delta_{p} u=\lambda|u|^{p-2} u+|u|^{2 p-2} u \\
& x \in \Omega  \tag{1}\\
& u=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $R^{N}(N=1,2,3), a, b>0$, $\lambda<a \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}$ is the first eigenvalue of the following problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta_{p} \phi & =\lambda|\phi|^{p-2} \phi, \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{2}\\
\phi & =0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega
\end{align*}
$$

In fact, the related problems have been studied extensively, especially on the existence of the positive solutions, multiple solutions, ground state solutions, and least energy sign-changing solutions. In [1], Li and Sun studied the existence and multiplicity of solutions for the Kirchhoff equations with asymptotically linear nonlinearities; the mountain pass
theorem was used in the paper. Guo, Ma, and Zhang [2] studied a class of autonomous Kirchhoff-type equation. By a simple transformation, they found that the solutions of autonomous Kirchhoff-type equation or system could be obtained by using the known solutions of the corresponding local equation or system, which is very interesting. In [3], Ying Li and Lin Li considered the existence and multiplicity of solutions to a class of $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x})$-Laplacian-like equations. They introduced a revised Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition and obtained that the problem had a nontrivial solution and infinitely many solutions, respectively. Meanwhile, in [4], Luca Vilasi proved an eigenvalue theorem for a stationary $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x})$-Kirchhoff problem by using variational techniques, and the author also provided an estimate for the range of such eigenvalues. For more details, we refer the reader to [5-30].

In [31, 32], the authors studied the following Kirchhofftype problems in bounded domains:

$$
\begin{align*}
-\left(a+b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}\right) \Delta u & =f(u), \quad x \in \Omega,  \tag{3}\\
u & =0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega
\end{align*}
$$

under different assumptions on $f(u)$, the authors mainly use the quantitative deformation lemma and the degree theory to get the existence of the least energy sign-changing solution
and its corresponding convergence property as the parameter $b \searrow 0$. From the assumptions on $f(u)$, we can easily find that both in [31, 32] $f(u)$ satisfies 3-superlinear growth at infinity and superlinear growth at zero.

Later, some scholars made some expanding work; we can find some details in [33]. In [33], we know that the nonlinearity $f$ satisfies ( $2 p-1$ )-superlinear growth condition at infinity.

Motivated by the above works, a natural question is that if there exists a ground state sign-changing solution for problem (1). However, up to now, no paper has appeared in the literature which discusses the existence and convergence property of the solution for the p-Kirchhoff-type problem with a $(2 p-1)$-linear growth nonlinearity. This paper attempts to fill this gap in the literature.

Throughout this paper, we will make full use of the following notations. Let $W=W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ be the usual Sobolev space equipped with the following norm:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|=\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\|\cdot\|_{s}$ denotes the usual Lebesgue space $L^{s}(\Omega)$ norm. $S$ is the best Sobolev constant for the embedding of $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ in $L^{2 p}(\Omega)$; that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{2 p} \leq S^{-1 / p}\|u\| . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the above definition, we give the energy functional corresponding to problem (1) by

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{b}(u)= & \frac{a}{p} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d x+\frac{b}{2 p}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d x\right)^{2}  \tag{6}\\
& -\frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d x-\frac{1}{2 p} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2 p} d x
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly, $I_{b}$ is well defined on $W$ and is of $C^{1}$ class. For each $u, v \in W$, by a simple calculation, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle I_{b}^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\left(a+b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d x\right) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v d x  \tag{7}\\
& \quad-\int_{\Omega} \lambda|u|^{p-2} u v d x-\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2 p-2} u v d x .
\end{align*}
$$

Obviously, the critical points of $I_{b}$ are corresponding to the weak solutions of problem (1). If $u \in W$ is a sign-changing solution of problem (1), then
(i) $u$ is a solution of problem (1), that is, $u$ is a critical point of $I_{b}$;
(ii) $u^{ \pm} \neq 0$, where $u^{+}=\max \{u(x), 0\}, u^{-}=\min \{u(x), 0\}$.

For $u=u^{+}+u^{-}$, from (6) and (7), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{b}(u) & =I_{b}\left(u^{+}\right)+I_{b}\left(u^{-}\right)+\frac{b}{p}\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p} ;  \tag{8}\\
\left\langle I_{b}^{\prime}(u), u^{+}\right\rangle & =\left\langle I_{b}^{\prime}\left(u^{+}\right), u^{+}\right\rangle+b\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p} ;  \tag{9}\\
\left\langle I_{b}^{\prime}(u), u^{-}\right\rangle & =\left\langle I_{b}^{\prime}\left(u^{-}\right), u^{-}\right\rangle+b\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p} . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

When $b=0$, problem (1) reduces to the following problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
-a \Delta_{p} u & =\lambda|u|^{p-2} u+|u|^{2 p-2} u, \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{11}\\
u & =0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega
\end{align*}
$$

The corresponding energy functional $I_{0}: W \longrightarrow R$ is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{0}(u)= & \frac{a}{p} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d x-\frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d x  \tag{12}\\
& -\frac{1}{2 p} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2 p} d x
\end{align*}
$$

Also, we can compute that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle I_{0}^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle= & \int_{\Omega} a|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega} \lambda|u|^{p-2} u v d x-\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2 p-2} u v d x . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

For $b>0$, problem (1) is called a nonlocal problem since the appearance of the nonlocal term $\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d x\right) \Delta_{p} u$. The differences posed by the nonlocal term make the method in solving problem (11) cannot be applied to solve problem (1), which makes the study of our paper very interesting and meaningful.

In our paper, we restrict $u$ in the following sets to find the ground state sign-changing solutions of (1) and (11),

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathscr{M}_{b} & =\left\{u \in W: u^{ \pm} \neq 0,\left\langle I_{b}^{\prime}(u), u^{+}\right\rangle=\left\langle I_{b}^{\prime}(u), u^{-}\right\rangle\right. \\
& =0\}, \\
M_{0} & =\left\{u \in W: u^{ \pm} \neq 0,\left\langle I_{0}^{\prime}(u), u^{+}\right\rangle=\left\langle I_{0}^{\prime}(u), u^{-}\right\rangle\right.  \tag{14}\\
& =0\},
\end{align*}
$$

and we define $m_{b}=\inf _{u \in \mathscr{M}_{b}} I_{b}(u)$ and $m_{0}=\inf _{u \in \mathscr{M}_{0}} I_{0}(u)$.
To get the ground state solutions, we define the following sets:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{N}_{b}=\left\{u \in W: u \neq 0,\left\langle I_{b}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=0\right\}, \\
& \mathscr{N}_{0}=\left\{u \in W: u \neq 0,\left\langle I_{0}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=0\right\} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

and consider the following minimization problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{b}=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}_{b}} I_{b}(u),  \tag{16}\\
& c_{0}=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}_{0}} I_{0}(u) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\mathscr{M}_{b} \subset \mathcal{N}_{b}$, we can immediately get $m_{b} \geq c_{b}$. The main results of the paper are described as follows.

Theorem 1. For $b \in\left(0,1 / 2 S^{2}\right)$ and $\lambda<a \lambda_{1}$, problem (1) has at least one ground state sign-changing solution, which precisely has two nodal domains. Moreover, $m_{b}>2 c_{b}$.

Theorem 2. For each $\lambda<a \lambda_{1}$, for any sequence $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ small enough with $b_{n} \searrow 0$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, there exists a subsequence still denoted by $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$, such that $u_{b_{n}}$ convergent to $u_{0}$ strongly in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, where $u_{0}$ is a ground state sign-changing solution of problem (11), which changes sign only once.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some preliminary lemmas are given to prove the main results. In Sections 3 and 4, we are devoted to proving the main results of the paper.

## 2. Some Critical Preliminaries

The following several lemmas are crucial to prove our main results.

Lemma 3. If $b>0, \lambda<a \lambda_{1}, u \in W$ satisfies $u^{ \pm} \neq 0$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& b\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}+b\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}<\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{+}\right|^{2 p} d x, \\
& b\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}+b\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}<\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2 p} d x, \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

then there exists a unique pair $\left(s_{u}, t_{u}\right)$ of positive numbers such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (i) } s_{u} u^{+}+t_{u} u^{-} \in \mathscr{M}_{b} \text {; } \\
& \text { (ii) } I_{b}\left(s_{u} u^{+}+t_{u} u^{-}\right)=\max _{s, t \geq 0} I_{b}\left(s u^{+}+t u^{-}\right) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. (i) If $s u^{+}+t u^{-} \in \mathscr{M}_{b}$, then from (7), (9), and (10), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle I_{b}^{\prime}\left(s u^{+}+t u^{-}\right), s u^{+}\right\rangle \\
& \quad=a s^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}+b s^{2 p}\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{2 p} \\
& \quad+b s^{p} t^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}-s^{p} \int_{\Omega} \lambda\left|u^{+}\right|^{p} d x  \tag{18}\\
& \quad-s^{2 p} \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{+}\right|^{2 p} d x=0
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle I_{b}^{\prime}\left(s u^{+}+t u^{-}\right), t u^{-}\right\rangle \\
& \quad=a t^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}+b t^{2 p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{2 p} \\
& \quad+b s^{p} t^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}-t^{p} \int_{\Omega} \lambda\left|u^{-}\right|^{p} d x  \tag{19}\\
& \quad-t^{2 p} \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2 p} d x=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $S=s^{p}$ and $T=t^{p}$, the above equations correspond to the following system:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{+}\right|^{2 p} d x-b S\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}-b T\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p} \\
& \quad=a\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\int_{\Omega} \lambda\left|u^{+}\right|^{p} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& T \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2 p} d x-b T\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}-b S\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p} \\
& \quad=a\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\int_{\Omega} \lambda\left|u^{-}\right|^{p} d x . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Obviously, if we can prove that system (20) has the unique solution $(S, T)$, then $\left(s=S^{1 / p}, t=T^{1 / p}\right)$ is the unique solution for (18) and (19).

Let
D

$$
=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{+}\right|^{2 p} d x-b\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{2 p} & -b\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}  \tag{21}\\
-b\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p} & \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2 p} d x-b\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}
\end{array}\right|
$$

$>0$.

For $\lambda<a \lambda_{1}$, we have $a\left\|\nabla u^{ \pm}\right\|_{p}^{p}>\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{ \pm}\right|^{p}$. Since $\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2 p}-$ $b\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}>0$, then
$D_{S}$

$$
=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\int_{\Omega} \lambda\left|u^{+}\right|^{p} d x & -b\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}  \tag{22}\\
a\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\int_{\Omega} \lambda\left|u^{-}\right|^{p} d x & \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2 p} d x-b\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}
\end{array}\right|
$$

$>0$.

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{T} \\
& =\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc}
\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{+}\right|^{2 p} d x-b\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{2 p} & a\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\int_{\Omega} \lambda\left|u^{+}\right|^{p} d x \\
-b\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p} & a\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\int_{\Omega} \lambda\left|u^{-}\right|^{p} d x \mid
\end{array}\right. \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

$>0$.

From (21)-(23), we have $S=D_{S} / D>0, T=D_{T} / D>0$, and $(S, T)$ is the unique solution for system (20). Accordingly, ( $s=S^{1 / p}, t=T^{1 / p}$ ) is the unique positive solution for (18) and (19). Thus, (i) is proved.
(ii) Next, we give the proof of (ii).

From (6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{b}\left(s u^{+}+t u^{-}\right)= & \frac{a s^{p}}{p}\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}+\frac{b s^{2 p}}{2 p}\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{2 p} \\
& -\frac{s^{p}}{p} \int_{\Omega} \lambda\left|u^{+}\right|^{p} d x \\
& -\frac{s^{2 p}}{2 p} \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{+}\right|^{2 p} d x+\frac{a t^{p}}{p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{b t^{2 p}}{2 p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}-\frac{t^{p}}{p} \int_{\Omega} \lambda\left|u^{-}\right|^{p} d x \\
& -\frac{t^{2 p}}{2 p} \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2 p} d x \\
& +\frac{b s^{p} t^{p}}{p}\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p} . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

By a simple computation, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2} I_{b}}{\partial s^{2}}=(p-1) s^{p-2}\left\{a\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{+}\right|^{p} d x\right. \\
& \left.\quad+b t^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{\mathrm{p}}^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right\}+(2 p-1)  \tag{25}\\
& \quad \cdot s^{2 p-2}\left(b\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}-\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{+}\right|^{2 p} d x\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2} I_{b}}{\partial t^{2}}=(p-1) t^{p-2}\left\{a\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{-}\right|^{p} d x\right. \\
& \left.\quad+b s^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right\}+(2 p-1)  \tag{26}\\
& \quad \cdot t^{2 p-2}\left(b\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}-\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2 p} d x\right)
\end{align*}
$$

From $s_{u} u^{+}+t_{u} u^{-} \in \mathscr{M}_{b}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{\partial^{2} I_{b}}{\partial s^{2}}\right|_{\left(s_{u}, t_{u}\right)}=p s_{u}^{2 p-2}\left(b\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}-\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{+}\right|^{2 p} d x\right)<0,  \tag{27}\\
& \left.\frac{\partial^{2} I_{b}}{\partial t^{2}}\right|_{\left(s_{u}, t_{u}\right)}=p t_{u}^{2 p-2}\left(b\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}-\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2 p} d x\right)<0,
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial^{2} I_{b}}{\partial t \partial s}\right|_{\left(s_{u} t_{u}\right)}=p b s_{u}^{p-1} t_{u}^{p-1}\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the Hessian matrix of $I_{b}\left(s u^{+}+t u^{-}\right)$; then from (17), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|H\left(s_{u}, t_{u}\right)\right|=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial^{2} I_{b}}{\partial s^{2}} & \frac{\partial^{2} I_{b}}{\partial s \partial t} \\
\frac{\partial^{2} I_{b}}{\partial s \partial t} & \frac{\partial^{2} I_{b}}{\partial t^{2}}
\end{array}\right| \\
& \quad=p^{2} s_{u}^{2 p-2} t_{u}^{2 p-2}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{+}\right|^{2 p} d x-b\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}\right)  \tag{29}\\
& \quad \cdot\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2 p} d x-b\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}\right) \\
& \quad-p^{2} b^{2} s_{u}^{2 p-2} t_{u}^{2 p-2}\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}>0
\end{align*}
$$

The above deduction implies that $\left(s_{u}, t_{u}\right)$ is a maximal point of $I_{b}\left(s u^{+}+t u^{-}\right)$for $s, t \geq 0$. Since we cannot get the maximal
point of $I_{b}$ on the boundary of $R^{+},\left(s_{u}, t_{u}\right)$ is the unique maximal point; that is, $I_{b}\left(s_{u} u^{+}+t_{u} u^{-}\right)=\max _{s, t \geq 0} I_{b}\left(s u^{+}+\right.$ $\left.t u^{-}\right)$.

Lemma 4. Assume that $\lambda<a \lambda_{1}$ and $u \in \mathscr{M}_{b}$, then (17) holds.
Proof. For $u=u^{+}+u^{-} \in \mathscr{M}_{b}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle I_{b}^{\prime}(u), u^{+}\right\rangle= & a\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}+b\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p} \\
& -\int_{\Omega} \lambda\left|u^{+}\right|^{p} d x-\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{+}\right|^{2 p} d x=0 \\
\left\langle I_{b}^{\prime}(u), u^{-}\right\rangle= & a\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}+b\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}  \tag{30}\\
& -\int_{\Omega} \lambda\left|u^{-}\right|^{p} d x-\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2 p} d x=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\lambda<a \lambda_{1}$, we have $a\left\|\nabla u^{ \pm}\right\|_{p}^{p}>\int_{\Omega} \lambda\left|u^{ \pm}\right|^{p} d x$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& b\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}+b\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}<\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{+}\right|^{2 p} d x  \tag{31}\\
& b\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}+b\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}<\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2 p} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 5. Assume that $b>0, \lambda<a \lambda_{1}, u \in W$ with $u^{ \pm} \neq 0$ and $\left\langle I_{b}^{\prime}(u), u^{ \pm}\right\rangle \leq 0$, there exists a unique pair $\left(s_{u}, t_{u}\right) \in(0,1] \times$ $(0,1]$ such that $s_{u} u^{+}+t_{u} u^{-} \in \mathscr{M}_{b}$.

Proof. If $u \in W$ with $u^{ \pm} \neq 0$ and $\left\langle I_{b}^{\prime}(u), u^{ \pm}\right\rangle \leq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& a\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}+b\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p} \\
& \quad \leq \int_{\Omega} \lambda\left|u^{+}\right|^{p} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{+}\right|^{2 p} d x  \tag{32}\\
& a\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}+b\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p} \\
& \quad \leq \int_{\Omega} \lambda\left|u^{-}\right|^{p} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2 p} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $a\left\|\nabla u^{ \pm}\right\|_{p}^{p}>\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{ \pm}\right|^{p} d x$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& b\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}<\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{+}\right|^{2 p} d x \\
& b\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}<\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2 p} d x . \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

From Lemma 3, there is a unique pair $\left(s_{u}, t_{u}\right)$ of positive numbers such that $s_{u} u^{+}+t_{u} u^{-} \in \mathscr{M}_{b}$, which implies that $\left(s_{u}^{p}, t_{u}^{p}\right)$ is the solution of system (20). Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{s_{u}^{p}}=\left(a\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\int_{\Omega} \lambda\left|u^{+}\right|^{p} d x\right) \\
& \quad \cdot\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2 p} d x-b\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}\right)+b\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \cdot\left(a\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\int_{\Omega} \lambda\left|u^{-}\right|^{p} d x\right) \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{+}\right|^{2 p} d x-b\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right) \\
& \cdot\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2 p} d x-b\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}\right)+b\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p} \\
& \cdot\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2 p} d x-b\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right) \\
& =\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{+}\right|^{2 p} d x-b\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}\right) \\
& \cdot\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2 p} d x-b\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}\right)-b^{2}\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{2 p} \\
& \cdot\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}=D . \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we have $s_{u}^{p}=D_{s_{u}^{p}} / D \leq 1$. Similarly, we have $t_{u}^{p}=$ $D_{t_{u}^{p}} / D \leq 1$. Thus, there exists a unique pair $\left(s_{u}, t_{u}\right) \in(0,1] \times$ $(0,1]$ such that $s_{u} u^{+}+t_{u} u^{-} \in \mathscr{M}_{b}$.

Lemma 6. If $\lambda<a \lambda_{1}$ for any $u \in W$ with $b\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{2 p}<$ $\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2 p} d x$, there exists a unique $\overline{s_{u}}>0$ such that $\overline{s_{u}} u \in \mathcal{N}_{b}$. Moreover, $I_{b}\left(\overline{s_{u}} u\right)>I_{b}(s u)$ for all $s \geq 0$ and $s \neq \overline{s_{u}}$.

Proof. If $\lambda<a \lambda_{1}$ and $u \in W$ satisfies $b\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{2 p}<\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2 p} d x$, $s u \in \mathscr{N}_{b}$ implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle I_{b}^{\prime}(s u), s u\right\rangle= & a s^{p}\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}+b s^{2 p}\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{2 p} \\
& -s^{p} \int_{\Omega} \lambda|u|^{p} d x-s^{2 p} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2 p} d x \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
=0
$$

Thus, there exists a unique $\overline{s_{u}}=\left(\left(a\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}-\int_{\Omega} \lambda|u|^{p} d x\right) /\right.$ $\left.\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2 p} d x-b\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{2 p}\right)\right)^{1 / p}>0$ satisfying (35). From (6), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{b}(s u)= & \frac{a s^{p}}{p}\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}+\frac{b s^{2 p}}{2 p}\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{2 p}-\frac{s^{p}}{p} \int_{\Omega} \lambda|u|^{p} d x  \tag{36}\\
& -\frac{s^{2 p}}{2 p} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2 p} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

By a simple deduction, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{\partial^{2} I_{b}}{\partial s^{2}}\right|_{\overline{s_{u}}}=\left((p-1) s^{p-2}\left\{a\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}-\int_{\Omega} \lambda|u|^{p} d x\right\}\right. \\
& \left.+(2 p-1) s^{2 p-2}\left(b\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{2 p}-\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2 p} d \mathrm{x}\right)\right)\left.\right|_{\overline{s_{u}}}  \tag{37}\\
& \quad=-p{\overline{s_{u}}}^{p-2}\left(a\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}-\int_{\Omega} \lambda|u|^{p} d x\right)<0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $I_{b}(s u)$ attains its maximal point at $s=\overline{s_{u}}$. In other words, we have $I_{b}\left(\overline{s_{u}} u\right)>I_{b}(s u)$ for all $s \geq 0$ and $s \neq \overline{s_{u}}$.

Lemma 7. Assume $\lambda<a \lambda_{1}$; we have that
(i) if $0<b<1 / S^{2}, c_{b}>0$ is attained by some $v_{b} \in \mathcal{N}_{b}$ and $v_{b}$ is a constant sign critical point of $I_{b}$, where $S$ is given by (5);
(ii) if $0<b<1 / 2 S^{2}, m_{b}>0$ is attained by some $u_{b} \in \mathscr{M}_{b}$ and $u_{b}$ is a sign-changing critical point of $I_{b}$.

Proof. (i) Firstly, we will show that for all $0<b<1 / S^{2}$, there exists $u \in W$ such that $b\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{2 p}<\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2 p} d x$, which implies $\mathcal{N}_{b} \neq \emptyset$. From (5), we know that there exists $e_{1} \in W$ such that $\left\|e_{1}\right\|_{2 p}=S^{-1 / p}\left\|e_{1}\right\|$. For $0<b<1 / S^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b\left\|\nabla e_{1}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}<\frac{1}{S^{2}}\left\|\nabla e_{1}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}=\int_{\Omega}\left|e_{1}\right|^{2 p} d x . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have $\mathcal{N}_{b} \neq \emptyset$.
For each $u \in \mathcal{N}_{b}$, it follows from $\lambda<a \lambda_{1}$ and (5) that

$$
\begin{align*}
a\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}+b\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{2 p} & =\lambda \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d x+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2 p} d x \\
& \leq \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{1}}\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}+\frac{1}{S^{2}}\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{2 p} \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, $\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p} \geq\left(a-\lambda / \lambda_{1}\right) /\left(1 / S^{2}-b\right)$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{b}(u) & =I_{b}(u)-\frac{1}{2 p}\left\langle I_{b}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{2 p}\left(a\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}-\int_{\Omega} \lambda|u|^{p} d x\right)  \tag{40}\\
& \geq \frac{1}{2 p}\left(a-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{1}}\right)\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p} \geq \frac{\left(a-\lambda / \lambda_{1}\right)^{2} S^{2}}{2 p\left(1-b S^{2}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

that is, $c_{b}=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}_{b}} I_{b}(u) \geq\left(a-\lambda / \lambda_{1}\right)^{2} S^{2} / 2 p\left(1-b S^{2}\right)>0$ and $I_{b}$ is coercive and bounded below on $\mathscr{N}_{b}$ for $0<b<1 / S^{2}$ and $\lambda<a \lambda_{1}$.

Let $\left\{v_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{b}$ be a minimizing sequence for $I_{b}$. From $I_{b}\left(v_{n}\right)=I_{b}\left(\left|v_{n}\right|\right)$ and $\left|v_{n}\right| \in \mathcal{N}_{b}$, we assume that $v_{n}(x) \geq 0$ in $\Omega$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $I_{b}$ is coercive and bounded below on $\mathcal{N}_{b}$, the sequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $W$, so that, up to subsequences, $v_{n} \rightharpoonup v_{b}$ in $W$ and $v_{b} \geq 0$. Next, we will prove that $v_{n} \longrightarrow v_{b}$ strongly in $W$. We suppose by contradiction that $\left\|v_{b}\right\|<\lim _{\inf }^{n \rightarrow \infty} ⿵ ⺆ v_{n} \|$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left\|\nabla v_{b}\right\|_{p}^{p}+b\left\|\nabla v_{b}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}<\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{b}\right|^{p} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|v_{b}\right|^{2 p} d x \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $v_{b}=0$, the above inequality makes a contradiction. Thus, we have $v_{b} \neq 0$ in $\Omega$. From the fact that $a\left\|\nabla v_{b}\right\|_{p}^{p}>$ $\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{b}\right|^{p} d x$, we have $b\left\|\nabla v_{b}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}<\int_{\Omega}\left|v_{b}\right|^{2 p} d x$. By Lemma 6,
there exists a unique $s_{v}>0$ such that $s_{v} v_{b} \in \mathcal{N}_{b}$ and $I_{b}\left(s_{v} v_{n}\right) \leq$ $I_{b}\left(v_{n}\right)$ for all $v_{n} \in \mathcal{N}_{b}$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{b} & \leq I_{b}\left(s_{v} v_{b}\right)=\frac{a}{p}\left\|\nabla\left(s_{v} v_{b}\right)\right\|_{p}^{p}+\frac{b}{2 p}\left\|\nabla\left(s_{v} v_{b}\right)\right\|_{p}^{2 p}-\frac{\lambda}{p} \\
& \cdot \int_{\Omega}\left|s_{v} v_{b}\right|^{p} d x-\frac{1}{2 p} \int_{\Omega}\left|s_{v} v_{b}\right|^{2 p} d x \\
& <\liminf _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{a}{p}\left\|\nabla\left(s_{v} v_{n}\right)\right\|_{p}^{p}+\frac{b}{2 p}\left\|\nabla\left(s_{v} v_{n}\right)\right\|_{p}^{2 p}\right.  \tag{42}\\
& \left.-\frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\Omega}\left|s_{v} v_{n}\right|^{p} d x-\frac{1}{2 p} \int_{\Omega}\left|s_{v} v_{n}\right|^{2 p} d x\right) \\
& =\liminf _{n \longrightarrow \infty} I_{b}\left(s_{v} v_{n}\right) \leq \liminf _{n \longrightarrow \infty} I_{b}\left(v_{n}\right)=c_{b}
\end{align*}
$$

which leads to a contradiction. Therefore, we have $\left\|v_{b}\right\|=$ $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|v_{n}\right\|, v_{n} \longrightarrow v_{b}$ strongly in $W$ and $I_{b}\left(v_{b}\right)=$ $c_{b}$. Then, by a standard argument, which is similar to the discussion in [34], we can deduce that $v_{b}$ is a constant sign critical point of $I_{b}$.
(ii) From a similar deduction as (i), we know that for $0<$ $b<1 / 2 S^{2}$, there exists $u_{1} \in W$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b\left\|\nabla u_{1}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}<\frac{1}{2 S^{2}}\left\|\nabla u_{1}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{1}\right|^{2 p} d x \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, if $u \in W$ such that $u_{1}$ satisfies (43), then $\left|u_{1}\right| \in W$ also satisfies (43). Therefore, we assume that $u_{1}(x) \geq 0$ a.e. in $W$. We let supp $u_{1} \subset B_{\rho}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and define $u_{2}(x)=-u_{1}(-x)$ for all $x \in B_{\rho}\left(-x_{0}\right)$, where $B_{\rho}\left(x_{0}\right)=\left\{x \in \Omega:\left|x-x_{0}\right|<\rho\right\}$ and $\rho>0$. Then, from (43), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{1}\right|^{2 p} d x}{\left\|\nabla u_{1}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}}=\frac{\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{2}\right|^{2 p} d x}{\left\|\nabla u_{2}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}}>2 b . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u=u_{1}+u_{2}$; we can obtain that $u \in W$ and $u^{+}=u_{1}, u^{-}=$ $u_{2}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
b \| & \nabla u^{+}\left\|_{p}^{2 p}+b\right\| \nabla u^{+}\left\|_{p}^{p}\right\| \nabla u^{-} \|_{p}^{p} \\
& <\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{1}\right|^{2 p} d x+\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{2 p}^{p}\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{2 p}^{p}  \tag{45}\\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{1}\right|^{2 p} d x
\end{align*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}+b\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}<\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{+}\right|^{2 p} d x \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}+b\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}<\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2 p} d x \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 3, we know that $\mathscr{M}_{b} \neq \emptyset$ for $0<b<1 / 2 S^{2}$.
Assume that $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \mathscr{M}_{b}$ is a minimizing sequence for $I_{b}$, such that $I_{b}\left(u_{n}\right) \longrightarrow m_{b}$. Since $I_{b}$ is coercive on $\mathcal{N}_{b}$,
the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $W$; going if necessary to a subsequence, still denoted by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, we can assume that there exists a $u_{b} \in W$ such that for $n$ sufficiently large,

$$
u_{n}^{ \pm} \rightharpoonup u_{b}^{ \pm} \quad \text { weakly in } W
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{n}(x) & \longrightarrow u_{b}(x) \quad \text { almost everywhere on } \Omega  \tag{48}\\
u_{n}^{ \pm} & \longrightarrow u_{\mathrm{b}}^{ \pm} \quad \text { strongly in } L^{s}(\Omega) \text { for } p \leq s<p^{*}
\end{align*}
$$

From $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \mathscr{M}_{b}$, we have $\left\langle I_{b}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}^{ \pm}\right\rangle=0$; that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& a\left\|\nabla u_{n}^{ \pm}\right\|_{p}^{p}+b\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u_{n}^{ \pm}\right\|_{p}^{p} \\
& \quad=\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}^{ \pm}\right|^{p} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}^{ \pm}\right|^{2 p} d x . \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left\|\nabla u_{n}^{ \pm}\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq \lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}^{ \pm}\right|^{p} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}^{ \pm}\right|^{2 p} d x \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same way, we have $\left(a-\lambda / \lambda_{1}\right)\left\|\nabla u_{n}^{ \pm}\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq\left(1 / S^{2}\right)\left\|\nabla u_{n}^{ \pm}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}$ and $\left\|\nabla u_{n}^{ \pm}\right\|_{p}^{p} \geq S^{2}\left(a-\lambda / \lambda_{1}\right)>0$. Passing to the limit, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & <S^{2}\left(a-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{2} \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(a-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{1}}\right)\left\|\nabla u_{n}^{ \pm}\right\|_{p}^{p}  \tag{51}\\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{b}^{ \pm}\right|^{2 p} d x
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that $u_{b}^{ \pm} \neq 0$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& a\left\|\nabla u_{b}^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}+b\left\|\nabla u_{b}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u_{b}^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p} \\
& \quad \leq \lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{b}^{+}\right|^{p} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{b}^{+}\right|^{2 p} d x, \\
& a\left\|\nabla u_{b}^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}+b\left\|\nabla u_{b}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u_{b}^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}  \tag{52}\\
& \quad \leq \lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{b}^{-}\right|^{p} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{b}^{-}\right|^{2 p} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

From $a\left\|\nabla u_{b}^{ \pm}\right\|_{p}^{p}>\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{b}^{ \pm}\right|^{p} d x$, Lemmas 3 and 5 , there exists a unique pair $\left(s_{u}, t_{u}\right) \in(0,1] \times(0,1]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{u} u_{b}^{+}+t_{u} u_{b}^{-} \in \mathscr{M}_{b} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the definition of $m_{b}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{b} & \leq I_{b}\left(s_{u} u_{b}^{+}+t_{u} u_{b}^{-}\right)=I_{b}\left(s_{u} u_{b}^{+}+t_{u} u_{b}^{-}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2 p}\left\langle I_{b}^{\prime}\left(s_{u} u_{b}^{+}+t_{u} u_{b}^{-}\right), s_{u} u_{b}^{+}+t_{u} u_{b}^{-}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{2 p}\left(a\left\|\nabla\left(s_{u} u_{b}^{+}+t_{u} u_{b}^{-}\right)\right\|_{p}^{p}\right. \\
& \left.-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|s_{u} u_{b}^{+}+t_{u} u_{b}^{-}\right|^{p} d x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{2 p}\left\{s_{u}^{p}\left(a\left\|\nabla u_{b}^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{b}^{+}\right|^{p} d x\right)\right. \\
& \left.+t_{u}^{p}\left(a\left\|\nabla u_{b}^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{b}^{-}\right|^{p} d x\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2 p}\left\{\left(a\left\|\nabla u_{b}^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{b}^{+}\right|^{p} d x\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(a\left\|\nabla u_{b}^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{b}^{-}\right|^{p} d x\right)\right\}=\frac{1}{2 p}\left(a\left\|\nabla u_{b}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right. \\
& \left.-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{b}\right|^{p} d x\right) \\
& \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{I_{b}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2 p}\left\langle I_{b}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle\right\}=m_{b} . \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $s_{u}=t_{u}=1, u_{b} \in \mathscr{M}_{b}$, and $I_{b}\left(u_{b}\right)=m_{b}, u_{b}$ is the required minimizer.

Next, we will prove that $u_{b}$ is indeed a sign-changing solution; that is, $I_{b}^{\prime}\left(u_{b}\right)=0$. We mainly use the quantitative deformation lemma [35] to prove the results.

If $I_{b}^{\prime}\left(u_{b}\right) \neq 0$, there exists $\delta>0$ and $\alpha>0$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
u & \in W \\
\left\|I_{b}^{\prime}(u)\right\| & \geq \alpha  \tag{55}\\
\forall\left\|u-u_{b}\right\| & \leq 3 \delta
\end{align*}
$$

Let $D=(1 / 2,3 / 2) \times(1 / 2,3 / 2), \psi(s, t)=s u_{b}^{+}+t u_{b}^{-}$, and $(s, t) \in$ $D$. It follows from Lemma 3 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{m_{b}}=\max _{\partial D} I_{b} \circ \psi<m_{b} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varepsilon=\min \left\{\left(m_{b}-\overline{m_{b}}\right) / 3, \alpha \delta / 8\right\}$ and $S_{\delta}=\left\{u \in W:\left\|u-u_{b}\right\| \leq\right.$ $\delta\}$; there exists a deformation $\eta \in C([0,1] \times W, W)$ such that
(i) $\eta(1, u)=u$ if $u \notin I_{b}^{-1}\left(\left[m_{b}-2 \varepsilon, m_{b}+2 \varepsilon\right]\right) \cap S_{2 \delta}$;
(ii) $\eta\left(1, I_{b}^{m_{b}+\varepsilon} \cap S_{\delta}\right) \subset I_{b}^{m_{b}-\varepsilon}$;
(iii) $I_{b}(\eta(1, u)) \leq I_{b}(u), \forall u \in W$.

From (56), Lemma 3 and (ii), we can easily get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{(s, t) \in \bar{D}} I_{b}(\eta(1, \psi(s, t)))<m_{b} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove that $\eta(1, \psi(D)) \cap \mathscr{M}_{b} \neq \emptyset$, which contradicts the definition of $m_{b}$. We define $g(s, t)=\eta(1, \psi(s, t))$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{0}(s, t)=\left(I_{b}^{\prime}(\psi(s, t)) u_{b}^{+}, I_{b}^{\prime}(\psi(s, t)) u_{b}^{-}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(I_{b}^{\prime}\left(s u_{b}^{+}+t u_{b}^{-}\right) u_{b}^{+}, I_{b}^{\prime}\left(s u_{b}^{+}+t u_{b}^{-}\right) u_{b}^{-}\right), \\
& \Phi_{1}(s, t)  \tag{58}\\
& \quad=\left(\frac{1}{s} I_{b}^{\prime}(g(s, t)) g^{+}(s, t), \frac{1}{t} I_{b}^{\prime}(g(s, \mathrm{t})) g^{-}(s, t)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 3 and the degree theory yield $\operatorname{deg}\left(\Phi_{0}, D, 0\right)=1$. From (56), we know that $g=\psi$ on $\partial D$. Consequently, we have $\operatorname{deg}\left(\Phi_{0}, D, 0\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(\Phi_{1}, D, 0\right)=1$. Therefore, $\Phi_{1}\left(s_{0}, t_{0}\right)=0$ for some $\left(s_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in D$; that is, $\eta\left(1, \psi\left(s_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)=g\left(s_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in$ $\mathscr{M}_{b}$, which is a contradiction. From this point, $u_{b}$ is a signchanging critical point of $I_{b}$ and $I_{b}^{\prime}\left(u_{b}\right)=0$.

## 3. The Existence of the <br> Sign-Changing Solutions

In this part, we are devoted to proving Theorem 1.
Proof of Theorem 1. In view of Lemma 7, we know that for $0<b<1 / 2 S^{2}$ and $\lambda<a \lambda_{1}$, there exists a $u_{b} \in \mathscr{M}_{b}$ such that $m_{b}=I_{b}\left(u_{b}\right)$ and $I_{b}^{\prime}\left(u_{b}\right)=0$; that is, $u_{b}$ is a ground state sign-changing solution for problem (1). Then by Lemma 4, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& b\left\|\nabla u_{b}^{+}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}+b\left\|\nabla u_{b}^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u_{b}^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}<\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{b}^{+}\right|^{2 p} d x  \tag{59}\\
& b\left\|\nabla u_{b}^{-}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}+b\left\|\nabla u_{b}^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u_{b}^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}<\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{b}^{-}\right|^{2 p} d x
\end{align*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& b\left\|\nabla u_{b}^{+}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}<\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{b}^{+}\right|^{2 p} d x \\
& b\left\|\nabla u_{b}^{-}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}<\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{b}^{-}\right|^{2 p} d x . \tag{60}
\end{align*}
$$

Then from Lemma 6, there exist $s_{1}, t_{1}>0$ such that $s_{1} u_{b}^{+}, t_{1} u_{b}^{-} \in \mathscr{N}_{b}$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
m_{b} & =I_{b}\left(u_{b}\right) \geq I_{b}\left(s_{1} u_{b}^{+}+t_{1} u_{b}^{-}\right) \\
& =I_{b}\left(s_{1} u_{b}^{+}\right)+I_{b}\left(t_{1} u_{b}^{-}\right)+\frac{b s_{1}^{p} t_{1}^{p}}{p}\left\|\nabla u_{b}^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u_{b}^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}  \tag{61}\\
& >I_{b}\left(s_{1} u_{b}^{+}\right)+I_{b}\left(t_{1} u_{b}^{-}\right) \geq 2 c_{b} .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the energy doubling property is proved.
Next, we prove that $u_{b}$ changes sign only once; that is, $u_{b}$ has exactly two nodal domains. We assume by contradiction that $u_{b}=u_{1}+u_{2}+u_{3}$ with $u_{i} \neq 0, u_{1} \geq 0, u_{2} \leq 0, u_{3} \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{supp}\left(u_{i}\right) \cap \operatorname{supp}\left(u_{j}\right)=\emptyset$ for $i \neq j,(i, j=1,2,3)$.

Since $I_{b}^{\prime}\left(u_{b}\right)=0$, we can get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle I_{b}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}+u_{2}\right), u_{1}\right\rangle= & \left\langle I_{b}^{\prime}\left(u_{b}\right), u_{1}\right\rangle \\
& -b\left\|\nabla u_{1}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u_{3}\right\|_{p}^{p}<0, \\
\left\langle I_{b}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}+u_{2}\right), u_{2}\right\rangle= & \left\langle I_{b}^{\prime}\left(u_{b}\right), u_{2}\right\rangle  \tag{62}\\
& -b\left\|\nabla u_{2}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla u_{3}\right\|_{p}^{p}<0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Then, by Lemma 5 , there exists a pair $\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right) \in(0,1] \times(0,1]$ such that $s^{\prime} u_{1}+t^{\prime} u_{2} \in \mathscr{M}_{b}$ and $I_{b}\left(s^{\prime} u_{1}+t^{\prime} u_{2}\right) \geq m_{b}$.

From $\lambda<a \lambda_{1},\left\langle I_{b}^{\prime}\left(u_{b}\right), u_{b}\right\rangle=0$, and $\left\langle I_{b}^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime} u_{1}+t^{\prime} u_{2}\right), s^{\prime} u_{1}+\right.$ $\left.t^{\prime} u_{2}\right\rangle=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{b} & =I_{b}\left(u_{b}\right)-\frac{1}{2 p}\left\langle I_{b}^{\prime}\left(u_{b}\right), u_{b}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2 p}\left(a\left\|\nabla u_{b}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right. \\
& \left.-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{b}\right|^{p} d x\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 p}\left\{\left(a\left\|\nabla u_{1}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{1}\right|^{p} d x\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\left(a\left\|\nabla u_{2}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{2}\right|^{p} d x\right) \\
& \left.+\left(a\left\|\nabla u_{3}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{3}\right|^{p} d x\right)\right\} \\
& >\frac{1}{2 p}\left\{\left(a\left\|\nabla u_{1}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{1}\right|^{p} d x\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(a\left\|\nabla u_{2}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{2}\right|^{p} d x\right)\right\} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2 p}\left\{\left(s^{\prime}\right)^{p}\left(a\left\|\nabla u_{1}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{1}\right|^{p} d x\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(t^{\prime}\right)^{p}\left(a\left\|\nabla u_{2}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{2}\right|^{p} d x\right)\right\}=I_{b}\left(s^{\prime} u_{1}\right. \\
& \left.+t^{\prime} u_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2 p}\left\langle I_{b}^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime} u_{1}+t^{\prime} u_{2}\right), s^{\prime} u_{1}+t^{\prime} u_{2}\right\rangle \\
& =I_{b}\left(s^{\prime} u_{1}+t^{\prime} u_{2}\right) \geq m_{b} \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

which leads to a contradiction; thus, $u_{b}$ has exactly two nodal domains.

## 4. The Convergence Property of $u_{b}$ as $b \searrow 0$

In this part, we regard $b>0\left(b \in\left(0,1 / 2 S^{2}\right)\right)$ as a small parameter in (1) and discuss the convergence property of the least energy sign-changing solution $u_{b}$, where $u_{b} \in \mathscr{M}_{b}$ and $u_{b}$ changes sign only once.

Proof of Theorem 2. We choose a nonzero function $w_{0} \in$ $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $\gamma>0$ such that $w_{0}^{ \pm} \neq 0$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& a\left\|\nabla w_{0}^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}+\gamma\left\|\nabla w_{0}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla w_{0}^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p} \\
& \quad \leq \lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|w_{0}^{+}\right|^{p} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|w_{0}^{+}\right|^{2 p} d x \\
& a\left\|\nabla w_{0}^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}+\gamma\left\|\nabla w_{0}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla w_{0}^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}  \tag{64}\\
& \quad \leq \lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|w_{0}^{-}\right|^{p} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|w_{0}^{-}\right|^{2 p} d x
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, for any $b \in[0, \gamma]$, we have $\left\langle I_{b}^{\prime}\left(w_{0}\right), w_{0}^{ \pm}\right\rangle \leq 0$. It follows from Lemma 5 that there is a unique pair $\left(s_{b}, t_{b}\right) \in(0,1] \times$ $(0,1]$ such that $s_{b} w_{0}^{+}+t_{b} w_{0}^{-} \in \mathscr{M}_{b}$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{b}\left(s_{b} w_{0}^{+}+t_{b} w_{0}^{-}\right)=I_{b}\left(s_{b} w_{0}^{+}+t_{b} w_{0}^{-}\right) \\
& \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{2 p}\left\langle I_{b}^{\prime}\left(s_{b} w_{0}^{+}+t_{b} w_{0}^{-}\right), s_{b} w_{0}^{+}+t_{b} w_{0}^{-}\right\rangle \\
& \quad \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2 p}\left(a\left\|\nabla\left(s_{b} w_{0}^{+}+t_{b} w_{0}^{-}\right)\right\|_{p}^{p}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|s_{b} w_{0}^{+}+t_{b} w_{0}^{-}\right|^{p} d x\right) \\
& \quad<\frac{a}{2 p}\left\|\nabla\left(s_{b} w_{0}^{+}+t_{b} w_{0}^{-}\right)\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq \frac{a}{2 p}\left\|w_{0}\right\|^{p}=\Theta .
\end{aligned}
$$

For any sequence $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ with $b_{n} \searrow 0$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, there exists $u_{b_{n}} \in \mathscr{M}_{b}$ such that $u_{b_{n}}$ is a ground state sign-changing critical point of $I_{b_{n}}(u)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\Theta+1 & \geq I_{b_{n}}\left(u_{b_{n}}\right)-\frac{1}{2 p}\left\langle I_{b_{n}}^{\prime}\left(u_{b_{n}}\right), u_{b_{n}}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{2 p}\left(a\left\|\nabla u_{b_{n}}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{b_{n}}\right|^{p} d x\right)  \tag{66}\\
& \geq \frac{1}{2 p}\left(a-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{1}}\right)\left\|\nabla u_{b_{n}}\right\|_{p}^{p} .
\end{align*}
$$

The above inequality shows that $u_{b_{n}}$ is bounded in $W$; then there exists a subsequence of $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$, still denoted by $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$, such that $u_{b_{n}} \rightharpoonup u_{0}$ weakly in $W$. By the compactness of the embedding $W \hookrightarrow L^{s}(\Omega)$ for $p \leq s<p^{*}$, using a standard argument, we have that $u_{b_{n}}^{ \pm} \longrightarrow u_{0}^{ \pm}$in $W$ and $u_{0}^{ \pm} \neq 0$. Moreover, we have that for all $u \in W$,

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle I_{b_{n}}^{\prime}\left(u_{b_{n}}\right), u\right\rangle \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\int_{\Omega} a\left|\nabla u_{b_{n}}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{b_{n}} \nabla u d x\right. \\
& +b_{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{b_{n}}\right|^{p} d x \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{b_{n}}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{b_{n}} \nabla u d x \\
& \left.-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{b_{n}}\right|^{p-2} u_{b_{n}} u d x-\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{b_{n}}\right|^{2 p-2} u_{b_{n}} u d x\right\}  \tag{67}\\
& =\int_{\Omega} a\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{0} \nabla u d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}\right|^{p-2} u_{0} u d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}\right|^{2 p-2} u_{0} u d x=\left\langle I_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right), u\right\rangle,
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=0, \quad u_{0} \in \mathscr{M}_{0}, I_{0}\left(u_{0}\right) \geq m_{0} \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Secondly, in the Proof of Theorem $1, b=0$ is allowed; then, there exists a $v_{0} \in \mathscr{M}_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{0}\left(v_{0}\right)=m_{0}=\inf _{u \in \mathscr{M}_{0}} I_{0}(u), \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\nu_{0}$ is a sign-changing solution for (11) which changes sign only once. Similarly, we can pick up $\varepsilon>0$ which is independent of $b_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon\left\|\nabla v_{0}^{+}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}+\varepsilon\left\|\nabla v_{0}^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla v_{0}^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}<\int_{\Omega}\left|v_{0}^{+}\right|^{2 p} d x \\
& \varepsilon\left\|\nabla v_{0}^{-}\right\|_{p}^{2 p}+\varepsilon\left\|\nabla v_{0}^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla v_{0}^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}<\int_{\Omega}\left|v_{0}^{-}\right|^{2 p} d x \tag{70}
\end{align*}
$$

According to Lemma 3, there exists a unique pair $\left(s_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ of positive numbers such that $s_{0} v_{0}^{+}+t_{0} v_{0}^{-} \in \mathscr{M}_{\varepsilon}$.

Let $b_{n} \in[0, \varepsilon]$; we know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle I_{b_{n}}^{\prime}\left(s_{0} v_{0}^{+}+t_{0} \nu_{0}^{-}\right), s_{0} v_{0}^{+}\right\rangle \\
& = \\
& =a\left\|\nabla\left(s_{0} v_{0}^{+}\right)\right\|_{p}^{p}+b_{n}\left\|\nabla\left(s_{0} v_{0}^{+}\right)\right\|_{p}^{2 p} \\
& \quad+b_{n}\left\|\nabla\left(s_{0} v_{0}^{+}\right)\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla\left(t_{0} v_{0}^{-}\right)\right\|_{p}^{p}-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|s_{0} v_{0}^{+}\right|^{p} d x \\
& \quad-\int_{\Omega}\left|s_{0} v_{0}^{+}\right|^{2 p} d x \\
& \leq \\
& \quad a\left\|\nabla\left(s_{0} v_{0}^{+}\right)\right\|_{p}^{p}+\varepsilon\left\|\nabla\left(s_{0} v_{0}^{+}\right)\right\|_{p}^{2 p} \\
& \quad+\varepsilon\left\|\nabla\left(s_{0} v_{0}^{+}\right)\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla\left(t_{0} v_{0}^{-}\right)\right\|_{p}^{p}-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|s_{0} v_{0}^{+}\right|^{p} d x \\
& \quad \\
& \quad-\int_{\Omega}\left|s_{0} v_{0}^{+}\right|^{2 p} d x=\left\langle I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(s_{0} v_{0}^{+}+t_{0} v_{0}^{-}\right), s_{0} v_{0}^{+}\right\rangle \\
& =
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way, we can obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle I_{b_{n}}^{\prime}\left(s_{0} \nu_{0}^{+}+t_{0} \nu_{0}^{-}\right), t_{0} \nu_{0}^{-}\right\rangle \leq\left\langle I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(s_{0} \nu_{0}^{+}+t_{0} v_{0}^{-}\right), t_{0} \nu_{0}^{-}\right\rangle  \tag{72}\\
& \quad=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from Lemma 5 that for all $b_{n} \in[0, \varepsilon]$, there exists a unique pair $\left(s_{n}, t_{n}\right) \in\left(0, s_{0}\right] \times\left(0, t_{0}\right]$ such that $s_{n} \nu_{0}^{+}+t_{n} \nu_{0}^{-} \in$ $\mathscr{M}_{b_{n}}$. Then, for any sequence $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ with $b_{n} \searrow 0$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, we have as $n \longrightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{n} s_{n}^{2 p}\left\|\nabla v_{0}^{+}\right\|_{p}^{2 p} & \longrightarrow 0 \\
b_{n} s_{n}^{p} t_{n}^{p}\left\|\nabla v_{0}^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|\nabla v_{0}^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p} & \longrightarrow 0  \tag{73}\\
b_{n} t_{n}^{2 p}\left\|\nabla v_{0}^{-}\right\|_{p}^{2 p} & \longrightarrow 0
\end{align*}
$$

According to $\left\langle I_{b_{n}}^{\prime}\left(s_{n} \nu_{0}^{+}+t_{n} \nu_{0}^{-}\right), s_{n} \nu_{0}^{+}\right\rangle=\left\langle I_{b_{n}}^{\prime}\left(s_{n} \nu_{0}^{+}+t_{n} \nu_{0}^{-}\right)\right.$, $\left.t_{n} \nu_{0}^{-}\right\rangle=0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& a\left\|\nabla v_{0}^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}+\circ(1)=\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{0}^{+}\right|^{p} d x+s_{n}^{p} \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{0}^{+}\right|^{2 p} d x  \tag{74}\\
& a\left\|\nabla v_{0}^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}+\circ(1)=\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{0}^{-}\right|^{p} d x+t_{n}^{p} \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{0}^{-}\right|^{2 p} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

From $\left\langle I_{0}^{\prime}\left(v_{0}\right), \nu_{0}^{ \pm}\right\rangle=0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& a\left\|\nabla v_{0}^{+}\right\|_{p}^{p}=\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{0}^{+}\right|^{p} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|\nu_{0}^{+}\right|^{2 p} d x \\
& a\left\|\nabla v_{0}^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}=\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{0}^{-}\right|^{p} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|v_{0}^{-}\right|^{2 p} d x . \tag{75}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (74) with (75), we have that as $n \longrightarrow \infty, s_{n} \longrightarrow 1$, $t_{n} \longrightarrow 1$. Lastly, we only need to show $I_{0}\left(u_{0}\right)=I_{0}\left(v_{0}\right)$; then
by (68), $u_{0}$ is a ground state sign-changing solution for (11), which changes sign only once. In fact,

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{0}\left(v_{0}\right) & \leq I_{0}\left(u_{0}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{b_{n}}\left(u_{b_{n}}\right) \\
& \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{b_{n}}\left(s_{n} v_{0}^{+}+t_{n} v_{0}^{-}\right)=I_{0}\left(v_{0}^{+}+v_{0}^{-}\right)  \tag{76}\\
& =I_{0}\left(v_{0}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then, the proof of Theorem 2 is complete.
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