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#### Abstract

The modified first Zagreb connection index $\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}$ is a graph invariant that appeared about fifty years ago within a study of molecular modeling, and after a long time, it has been revisited in two papers ((Ali and Trinajstić, 2018) and (Naji et al., 2017)) independently. For a graph $G$, this graph invariant is defined as $\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(G)=\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_{v} \tau_{v}$, where $d_{v}$ is the degree of the vertex $v$ and $\tau_{v}$ is the connection number of $v$ (that is, the number of vertices having distance 2 from $v$ ). In this paper, the graphs with maximum/minimum $\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}$ value are characterized from the class of all $n$-vertex trees with fixed number of pendent vertices (that are the vertices of degree 1 ).


## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we consider only simple and connected graphs. The vertex set and edge set of a graph $G$ are denoted by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively. The degree of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is the number of edges incident to $v$ and is denoted by $d_{v}(G)$ or simply by $d_{v}$ if the graph under consideration is clear.

Let $\Omega$ be the collection of all graphs. A mapping $f: \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a graph invariant or a topological index, if for every graph $H$ isomorphic to $G$, it holds that $f(G)=f(H)$, where $\mathbb{R}$ is the set of all real numbers. In chemical graph theory, there are many topological indices having different applications in isomer discrimination, QSAR/QSPR investigation, pharmaceutical drug design, etc. There are various topological indices that are extensively studied by a number of researchers. The first Zagreb index $M_{1}$ and the second Zagreb index $M_{2}$ are among these much studied topological indices. These Zagreb indices for a graph $G$ are defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{1}(G)=\sum_{v \in V(G)}\left(d_{v}\right)^{2}, \\
& M_{2}(G)=\sum_{u v \in E(G)} d_{u} d_{v} . \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

To the best of our knowledge, the first Zagreb index firstly appeared in a formula derived in [1] and the second Zagreb index was firstly introduced in [2]. These two Zagreb indices have several chemical applications, for example, see the recent papers [3, 4]. Detail about the mathematical properties of the indices $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ can be found in the recent survey papers [5-8], recent papers [9-22], and related references listed therein.

The following topological index $\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}$ is known as the modified first Zagreb connection index [23]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(G)=\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_{v} \tau_{v}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau_{v}$ is the connection number of the vertex $v$ (that is, the number of vertices having distance 2 from $v$, see [24]).

Actually, this index initially appeared within a certain formula, derived by Gutman and Trinajstić [1]. The index $\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}$ was referred as the third leap Zagreb index in [25]. After the publications of the papers [23, 25], the modified first Zagreb connection index has attracted a considerable attention from researchers, for example, see [25-39].

The main idea of the present paper comes from [40]. In the present paper, the sharp lower and upper bounds on the modified first Zagreb connection index of trees in terms of order and number of pendent vertices are derived and the corresponding extremal trees are characterized.

## 2. Some Definitions and Notations

For $s \geq 1$, let $P=v_{0} v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}$ be a path in a graph $G$ with $d_{v_{1}}=\cdots=d_{v_{s-1}}=2$ unless $s=1$. If $d_{v_{0}}=1$ and $d_{v_{s}} \geq 3$, then $P$ is called a pendent path of $G$ and $s$ is called the length of this pendent path. If $d_{v_{0}}, d_{v_{s}} \geq 3$, then $P$ is called an internal path of $G$. A tree containing exactly one vertex of degree greater than 2 is called a starlike tree. $K_{1, n_{1}}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n_{1}}\right)$ is used to denote the starlike tree of order $n$ which is obtained by attaching paths of lengths $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n_{1}}$ to the pendent vertices of the star $K_{1, n_{1}}$ where $n=n_{1}+1+\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} p_{i}$ and $p_{i} \geq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n_{1}$.
$\mathscr{P} \mathscr{T}_{n, n_{1}}$ is used to denote the set of all trees of order $n \geq 5$ and with $n_{1}$ pendent vertices. Since the path graph is the only member of $\mathscr{P}_{n, 2}$ and the star graph is the unique element of $\mathscr{P} \mathscr{T}_{n, n-1}$, we assume $3 \leq n_{1} \leq n-2$ in the remaining part of the paper. For any $T \in \mathscr{P} \mathscr{T}_{n, n_{1}}$, we assume $V_{1}(T):=\{v: v$ is a pendent vertex of $T\}, V_{2}(T):=\cup_{v \in V_{1}(T)} N(v)$, and $V_{3}(T):=V(T) \backslash\left[V_{1}(T) \cup V_{2}(T)\right]$. Taking $\quad S_{n_{1}}^{n}:=K_{1, n_{1}}$ $\left(0,0, \ldots, n-n_{1}-1\right) \quad$ and $\quad K_{n_{1}}^{n}:=K_{1, n_{1}}(0,0, \ldots, 0$, $\underbrace{1,1, \ldots, 1}_{n-n_{1}-1})$, we assume that $\mathscr{T}_{n_{1}}^{n}:=\left\{K_{1, n_{1}}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.\ldots, p_{n_{1}}\right): p_{i} \geq 1,1 \leq i \leq n_{1}\right\}$. Then, $K_{n_{1}}^{n} \subseteq \mathscr{P} \mathscr{T}_{n, n_{1}}, S_{n_{1}}^{n} \subseteq \mathscr{P} \mathscr{T}_{n, n_{1}}$, and $\mathscr{T}_{n_{1}}^{n} \subseteq \mathscr{P} \mathscr{T}_{n, n_{1}}$ (see Figure 1).

Let $\mathscr{T}_{n_{1}}^{*}:=\left\{T \in \mathscr{P} \mathscr{T}_{2 n_{1}-2, n_{1}}: T\right.$ has $n_{1}-2$ vertices of degree 3, where $\left.n_{1} \geq 4\right\}$, further $E^{*}(T):=\{u v \in$ $\left.E(T): d_{u}=d_{v}=3\right\}$. Let $\mathscr{T}_{n, n_{1}}^{*}$ be a set of trees of order $n$ obtained from $T \in \mathscr{T}_{n_{1}}^{*}$ by replacing each edge of $E^{*}(T)$ by a path with length at least 2 .

## 3. On the Minimum Modified First Zagreb Connection Index of Trees with Fixed Number of Pendent Vertices

Lemma 1 (see [41]). Let $T \in \mathscr{P} \mathscr{T}_{n, n_{1}}$ and $v \in V(T)$, then (i) $d_{v} \leq n_{1}$,
(ii) $d_{v}=n_{1}>2$ which implies that $T$ is a starlike tree.

Lemma 2. Let $T \in \mathscr{P}_{n, n_{1}}$ and $P=v_{0} v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}$ be considered as a suspended path of $T$ such that $v_{0} \in V_{1}(T)$ and $d_{v_{s}}=t \geq 3$. Considering $\left|N\left(v_{s}\right) \cap V_{1}(T)\right|=q \quad$ and $N\left(v_{s}\right) \backslash\left(V_{1}(T) \cup\left\{v_{s-1}\right\}\right)=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{t-q}\right\}$ for $s=1$ and
$N\left(v_{s}\right) \backslash\left(V_{1}(T) \cup\left\{v_{s-1}\right\}\right)=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{t-q-1}\right\}$ for $s \geq 2$ and let $d_{x_{i}}=d_{i} \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq t-q$, then
(a) If $s \geq 2$, then (i) $\sum_{i=1}^{t-q-1}\left(d_{i}\right) \leq n_{1}+t-2 q-2$ and (ii) $q \geq \max \{0,2 t-n\}$.
(b) If $s=1$, then (i) $\sum_{i=1}^{t-q}\left(d_{i}\right) \leq n_{1}+t-2 q$ and $\geq \max \{1,2 t-n+1\}$.

Proof.
(a) See [41].
(b) (i) As $T \backslash\left\{v_{0}, v_{1}\right\}$ contains $t-q$ subtrees $T_{x_{1}}, T_{x_{2}}, \ldots, T_{x_{t-q}}$ containing $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{t-q}$, respectively, where each $T_{x_{i}}$ has at least $d_{i}-1$ pendent vertices of $T$. Therefore, $\sum_{i=1}^{t-q}\left(d_{i}-1\right) \leq n_{1}-q$ or $\sum_{i=1}^{t-q}\left(d_{i}-1\right) \leq n_{1}+t-2 q$.
(ii) Since for $n \geq 2 t$ the result is obvious, so let $n<2 t$, and we observe that $\sum_{i=1}^{t-q-1}\left(d_{i}\right) \leq n-(t+1)$ and also $\sum_{i=1}^{t-q-1}\left(d_{i}\right) \geq t-q$ as $d_{i} \geq 2$.
Hence, $t-q \leq n-t-1$ or $q \geq 2 t-n+1$.

Lemma 3. If $T \in \mathscr{P} \mathscr{T}_{n, n_{1}}$ is a tree such that $Z C_{1}^{*}(T)$ is as small as possible, then $T$ contains at most one pendent path of length greater than 1 .

Proof. We contrarily assume that $P=v_{0} v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}$ and $P^{\prime}=$ $v_{0}^{\prime} v_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{l}^{\prime}(l, s \geq 2)$ are two pendent paths of $T$ such that $v_{0}, v_{0}^{\prime} \in V_{1}(T)$ and $d_{v_{s}}, d_{v_{l}} \geq 3$. If $T^{\prime}=T-v_{s-1} v_{s-2}+v_{s-2} v_{0}^{\prime}$, then $T^{\prime} \in \mathscr{P} \mathscr{T}_{n, n_{1}}$ and we have

$$
\begin{align*}
Z C_{1}^{*}\left(T^{\prime}\right)-Z C_{1}^{*}(T) & =\left(d_{v_{s}}-1\right)-\left(3 d_{v_{s}}-2\right)+4-1 \\
& =2\left(2-d_{v_{s}}\right)<0, \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

which is a contradiction to the choice of $T$.
Let us denote $\mathscr{T}_{n_{1}}=\left\{T: T \in \mathscr{P} \mathscr{T}_{n, n_{1}}\right.$ and $T$ is a generalized star\}.

Lemma 4. For any tree $T \in \mathscr{T}_{n_{1}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T) \geq 4 n+n_{1}^{2}-3 n_{1}-8\right\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality in the above expression holds if and only if $T \cong S_{n_{1}}^{n}$.

Proof. Let $T \iota \in \mathscr{T}_{n_{1}}$ be the tree with minimal $\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}$ among all the members of $\mathscr{T}_{n_{1}}$. Since $T I \cong K_{1, n_{1}}$, therefore it contains at least one pendent path of length greater than 1. By using Lemma 4, we conclude that $T \prime$ contains exactly one pendent path of length greater than 1 . Therefore, $T ı \cong S_{n_{1}}^{n}$. Since $T \prime$ is a starlike tree, and for any $T \in \mathscr{T}_{n_{1}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T) \geq \mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}\left(T_{\prime}\right)=4 n+n_{1}^{2}-3 n_{1}-8 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and equality in the above expression holds if and only if $T \cong S_{n_{1}}^{n}$.


Figure 1: The elements of the class $\mathscr{P}_{n, n_{1}}$. (a) $T \in S_{n_{1}}^{n}$. (b) $T \in K_{n_{1}}^{n}$. (c) $T \in \mathscr{T}_{n_{1}}^{n}$.

Lemma 5. If $T \in\left(\mathscr{P T}_{n, n_{1}} \backslash \mathscr{T}_{n_{1}}\right)$ is a tree such that $Z C_{1}^{*}(T)$ is as small as possible, then $T$ does not contain a pendent path of length greater than 1 .

Proof. We contrarily assume that $P=v_{0} v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}(s \geq 2)$ be a pendent path of $T$ such that $v_{0} \in V_{1}(T)$ and $d_{v_{s}}=q \geq 3$. As $T \in\left(\mathscr{P}_{n, n} \backslash \mathscr{T}_{n_{1}}\right)$, so there must be a vertex $v \in V(T) \backslash\left\{v_{s}\right\}$, with $v \in V(T) \backslash\left\{v_{s}\right\}$. Also, there must be a path between $v$ and $v_{s}$. Let $u$ be a vertex in this path, adjacent to $v_{s}$, and also, let $d_{u}=t \geq 2$. If $T^{\prime}=T-\left\{v_{s} u, v_{0} v_{1}\right\}+\left\{v_{0} v_{s}, v_{1} u\right\}$, then $T^{\prime} \in \mathscr{P} \mathscr{T}_{n, n_{1}} \backslash \mathscr{T}_{n_{1}}$ and we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T \prime)-\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T)=3 t-4+q-2 t q+t+q  \tag{6}\\
=2(q-2)(1-t)<0,
\end{array}
$$

which is a contradiction to the minimality of $T$.
Theorem 1. If $T \in \mathscr{P} \mathscr{T}_{n, n_{1}}$ for $3 \leq n_{1} \leq n-2$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T) \geq 4 n-8, \quad \text { if } n_{1}=3, \text { and } n \geq 5,  \tag{7}\\
& \mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T) \geq 4 n+4 n_{1}-22, \quad \text { if } 4 \leq n_{1} \leq n-2 . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

In the above inequality (7), equality holds if and only if $T \cong S_{3}^{n}$. In (8), equality holds if and only if $n \geq 3 n_{1}-5$ and $T \in \mathscr{T}_{n, n_{1}}^{*}$.

Proof. Let we denote $\phi\left(n, n_{1}\right)=4 n+4 n_{1}-22$. If we take $T \in \mathscr{T}_{n_{1}}$, then by Lemma $4, \mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T) \geq 4 n+n_{1}^{2}-3 n_{1}-8$ and the equality holds if and only if $T \cong S_{3}^{n}$. So, the above theorem holds. Now, we assume that $T \in \mathscr{P} \mathscr{T}_{n, n_{1}} \backslash \mathscr{T}_{n_{1}}$ and $4 \leq n_{1} \leq n-2$. We observe that if $T \in \mathscr{T}_{n, n_{1}}^{*}$, then $n \geq 3 n_{1}-5$ and equality in equation (8) can be obtained by a simple elementary calculation. Now, by applying induction on $n_{1}$, we show that if $T \in \mathscr{P} \mathscr{T}_{n, n_{1}} \backslash \mathscr{T}_{n_{1}}$, then (8) holds and the equality in (8) holds only if $T \in \mathscr{T}_{n, n_{1}}^{*}$. Let us choose $T$ such that $\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T)$ is as small as possible.

If $n_{1}=4$, then by Lemma $5 T \in \mathscr{T}_{4}^{*}$ when $n=6$, or $T \in \mathscr{T}_{n, 4}^{*}$ if $n \geq 7$. Hence, $\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T)=20>\phi\left(n, n_{1}\right)$ if $n=6$ and $\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T)=4 n-6=\phi\left(n, n_{1}\right)$ if $n \geq 7$. Therefore, equality in (8) holds for $n_{1}=4$ only if $n \geq 7$ and $T \in \mathscr{T}_{n, 4}^{*}$. We assume that $n_{1} \geq 5$ and the result is true for all smaller values of $n_{1}$.

Let $u \in V_{2}(T)$ and denote the degree of vertex $u$ by $t$. Considering $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{q}$ and $v_{q+1}, v_{q+2}, \ldots, v_{t}$ as the pendent and nonpendent neighbors of $u$, respectively, then $t$ $q \geq 1$ (because $T \not \equiv K_{1, n-1}$ ). Lemma 5 ensures that (HTML translation failed), and we consider the following cases:

Case I: $t \geq 4$.
Let $T^{\prime}=T-v_{1}$. So, $T^{\prime} \in \mathscr{P}_{n-1, n_{1}-1}$ and we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T) & =\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T \prime)+2 q-2+2 \sum_{i=q+1}^{t} d_{v_{i}}  \tag{9}\\
& \geq \phi\left(n-1, n_{1}-1\right)+2(q-1)+2(2(t-q)) \\
& =\phi\left(n, n_{1}\right)+2 t+2(t-q)-10 \geq \phi\left(n, n_{1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Case II: $t=3$.
If $q=1$, then we take $N(u) \backslash\left\{v_{1}\right\}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$ and let $d_{x_{i}}=$ $d_{i}$ for $i=1,2$.
If $T^{\prime}=T-\left\{v_{1}\right\}$, then $T^{\prime} \in \mathscr{P} \mathscr{T}_{n-1, n_{1}-1}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T) & =\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}\left(T^{\prime}\right)+2 d_{1}+2 d_{2} \\
& \geq \phi\left(n-1, n_{1}-1\right)+8=\phi\left(n, n_{1}\right), \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

and equality holds only if $d_{1}=d_{2}=2$ and $\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}\left(T^{\prime}\right)=\phi\left(n-1, n_{1}-1\right)$. Further, by induction hypothesis, $T^{\prime} \in \mathscr{T}_{n-1, n_{1}-1}^{*}$. As $d_{1}=d_{2}=2$, so there must be an internal path of length at least 4 , connecting $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ in $T^{\prime}$ and $\left|V\left(T^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq 3\left(n_{1}-1\right)-3$. Hence, $n=$ $\left|V\left(T^{\prime}\right)\right|+1 \geq 3 n_{1}-5$ and $T$ belongs to $\mathscr{T}_{n, n_{1}}^{*}$. If we take $q=2$, then $N(u) \backslash\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}=\left\{x_{1}\right\}$ and let $d_{x_{1}}=d_{1}$. Assuming that $P: u_{0}(=u) u_{1}\left(=x_{1}\right) u_{2}, \ldots, u_{l}$ be an internal path of $T$ with $d_{u}=3$ and $d_{u_{l}}=s \geq 3$, having $l \geq 1$, we consider the following cases:
Subcase I. If $l=1$,
we consider $T^{\prime}=T-\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$, then $T^{\prime} \in \mathscr{P} \mathscr{T}_{n-2, n_{1}-1}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T) & =\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}\left(T^{\prime}\right)+2+4 d_{1} \\
& \geq \phi\left(n-2, n_{1}-1\right)+2+4 d_{1}  \tag{11}\\
& =\phi\left(n, n_{1}\right)+4 d_{1}-10>\phi\left(n, n_{1}\right) \\
\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T) & =\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}\left(T^{\prime}\right)+2 s+4 l+2 \\
& \geq \phi\left(n-l-1, n_{1}-1\right)+2 s+4 l+2  \tag{12}\\
& =\phi\left(n, n_{1}\right)+2 s-6 \geq \phi\left(n, n_{1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Subcase II. If $l \geq 2$,
we can obtain a tree $T^{\prime}=T-\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, u_{0}, \ldots, u_{l-2}\right\}$ such as $T^{\prime} \in \mathscr{P}_{n-l-1, n_{1}-1}$ and
To get equality, all the relations considered above should be reduced to equalities. So, we get $\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T)=\phi\left(n-l-1, n_{1}-1\right), s=3$, and $l \geq 2$.
Further, by induction hypothesis, $T^{\prime} \in \mathscr{T}_{n-l-1, n_{1}-1}^{*}$ and $\left|V\left(T^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq 3\left(n_{1}-1\right)-3-l$.

Therefore,
$n=|V(T \prime)|+(l+1) \geq 3 n_{1}-5$ and $T \in \mathscr{T}_{n, n_{1}}^{*}$ which completes the proof.

## 4. On the Maximum Modified First Zagreb Connection Index of Trees with Fixed Number of Pendent Vertices

Lemma 6. Let $T \in \mathscr{P}_{n, n_{1}}$ be a tree that maximizes $Z C_{1}^{*}$, then
(a) For $n \geq 2 n_{1}+1, T$ contains at least one pendent path of length greater than 1 ,
(b) For $n \leq 2 n_{1}, T$ contains at least one pendent path of length 1 .

## Proof.

(a) Let $n \geq 2 n_{1}+1$, and we assume that every pendent path of $T$ has length at most 1 , so we have $d_{u} \geq 3$ for all $u \in V_{2}(T)$. Now, we show that $d_{w} \geq 3$ for all $w \in V_{3}(T)$. Otherwise, there would be a path $P=w_{0} w_{1} w_{2}, \ldots, w_{q} w_{q+1}$, such that for some $l$, $1<l<q, \quad w_{l} \in V_{3}(T), \quad$ and $\quad d_{w_{l}}=2$, where $w_{0}, w_{q+1} \in V_{1}(T)$. Let $d_{w_{i}}=d_{i}, 0 \leq i \leq q+1$. Then, $d_{1}, d_{q} \geq 3$ and $d_{i} \geq 2$ for $2 \leq i \leq q-1$.
If $\quad T^{\prime}=T-\left\{w_{l-1} w_{l}, w_{l} w_{l+1}, w_{0} \quad w_{1}\right\}+\left\{w_{l-1} w_{l+1}\right.$, $\left.w_{0} w_{l}, w_{1} w_{l}\right\}$, then $T^{\prime} \in \mathscr{P} \mathscr{T}_{n, n_{1}}$ and we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}\left(T^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T)= & 1+3 d_{1}-2+2 d_{l-1} d_{l+1}-d_{l-1}-d_{l+1} \\
& -2 d_{1}+1+d_{1}-4 d_{l-1}+2+d_{l-1} \\
& -4 d_{l+1}+2+d_{l+1} \\
= & 2 d_{1}-4+2\left(d_{l-1}-2\right)\left(d_{l+1}-2\right)>0 \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

which is a contradiction to the choice of $T$. Hence, we have the result that $d_{v} \geq 3$ for all $v \in V(T) V_{1}(T)$. Therefore, $2(n-1)=\sum_{v \in V(T)} \geq n_{1}+3\left(n-n_{1}\right)$ which gives $n \leq 2 n_{1}-2$, a contradiction.
(b) Now for $n \leq 2 n_{1}$, if we assume that each pendent vertex of $T$ is adjacent to a vertex of degree 2, then $\left|V_{2}(T)\right|=n_{1}$. Since $T \not \equiv K_{1, n-1}$, we therefore have $\left|V(T) \backslash\left(V_{1}(T) \cup V_{2}(T)\right)\right| \geq 1$. Hence, $n=\left|V_{1}(T)\right|$ $+\left|V_{2} \quad(T)\right|+\left|V(T) \backslash\left(V_{1}(T) \cup V_{2}(T)\right)\right| \geq 2 n_{1}+1, \quad$ a contradiction.

Theorem 2. Let $T$ be a tree such that $T \in \mathscr{P} \mathscr{T}_{n, n_{1}}$, and if $3 \leq n_{1} \leq n-2$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T) \leq 4 n+3 n_{1}^{2}-9 n_{1}-4, \quad \text { if } n \geq 2 n_{1}+1,  \tag{14}\\
& \mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T) \leq n_{1}\left(2 n-n_{1}-3\right), \quad \text { if } n \leq 2 n_{1} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Equalities in (14) and (15) hold if and only if $T \in \mathscr{T}_{n_{1}}^{n}$ and $T \cong K_{n_{1}}^{n}$, respectively.

Proof. We observe that if $T \in \mathscr{T}_{n_{1}}^{n}$ and $T \cong K_{n_{1}}^{n}$, then, respectively, equalities (14) and (15) hold by using simple elementary calculation.

Let us denote $\varphi_{1}\left(n, n_{1}\right)$ : $=4 n+3 n_{1}^{2}-9 n_{1}-4$ and $\varphi_{2}\left(n, n_{1}\right):=n_{1}\left(2 n-n_{1}-3\right)$. Now, by applying induction on $n_{1}$, we show that if $T \in \mathscr{T}_{n, n_{1}}$ for $n_{1} \geq 3$, then (14) and (15) hold and the equalities in (14) and (15) hold only if $T \in \mathscr{T}_{n_{1}}^{n}$ and $T \cong K_{n}^{n}$, respectively. Let $n_{1}=3$, then $T$ is a starlike tree and $n \geq 5$. It can be easily verified that $\mathscr{T}_{5,3}=\left\{T_{1}\right\}$ and $\mathscr{T}_{6,3}=\left\{T_{2}, T_{3}\right\}$ (see Figure 2).

Note that $\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}\left(T_{1}\right)=12=\varphi_{2}(5,3), \quad \mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}\left(T_{2}\right)=$ $16<\varphi_{2}(6,3), \quad \mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}\left(T_{3}\right)=18=\varphi_{2}(6,3), \quad$ and $\quad T_{1} \cong K_{3}^{5}$, $T_{3} \cong K_{3}^{6}$. Therefore, Theorem 2 holds for $n=5,6$, so we assume that $n \geq 7$ or $n \geq 2 n_{1}+1$, and we find the following results:

$$
\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T)= \begin{cases}4 n-4=\varphi_{1}(n, 3), & \text { if } \mathrm{T} \in \mathscr{T}_{3}^{n},  \tag{16}\\ 4 n-8<\varphi_{1}(n, 3), & \text { if } \mathrm{T} \cong S_{3}^{n}, \\ 4 n-6<\varphi_{1}(n, 3), & \text { if } \mathrm{T} \in \mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{n}, 3} \backslash\left(\mathscr{T}_{3}^{n} \cup\left\{S_{3}^{n}\right\}\right) .\end{cases}
$$

So, now we have to consider $n_{1} \geq 4$, as the results hold for the smaller values of $n_{1}$. Let $T \in \mathscr{T}_{n, n_{1}}$ if $T \cong S_{n_{1}}^{n}$, then $\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T)=4 n+n_{1}^{2}-3 n_{1}-8 . \quad$ Therefore, $\quad \mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T)=\varphi_{1}$ $\left(n, n_{1}\right)-2\left(n_{1}-1\right)\left(n_{1}-2\right)<\varphi_{1}\left(n, n_{1}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T)=\varphi_{2}\left(n, n_{1}\right)-2\left(n_{1}-2\right)\left(n-n_{1}-2\right) \leq \varphi_{2}\left(n, n_{1}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that equality in (17) holds if $n=n_{1}+2$. Also, if $n=n_{1}+2$, then $S_{n_{1}}^{n} \cong K_{n_{1}}^{n}$. Now, we consider the case that $T \not \equiv S_{n_{1}}^{n}$ and $T \not \equiv K_{n_{1}}^{n}$ for $4 \leq n_{1} \leq n-3$.

Let $P: v_{0} v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}$ be a pendent path of $T$ such that $v_{0} \in V_{1}(T)$ and $d_{v_{s}}=t \geq 3$. Considering $\left|N\left(v_{s}\right) \cap V_{1}(T)\right|=q$ and $N\left(v_{s}\right) \backslash\left(V_{1}(T)\right) \cup\left\{v_{s-1}\right\}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{t-q-1}\right\}$. Then, $q \geq 0, t-q \geq 2$ (Since $T \not \equiv K_{n_{1}}^{n}$ and $d_{x_{i}}=d_{i} \geq 2$ ). Now, we consider the following two cases:

## Case I. $n \geq 2 n_{1}+1$.

Here, we choose $T$ such that $\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T)$ is as large as possible. Therefore, by Lemma 6, $T$ contains at least one pendent path (say) $P$ of length greater than 1 . Let us consider $T^{\prime}=T-\left\{v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s-1}\right\}$, so $T^{\prime} \in \mathscr{P} \mathscr{T}_{n-s, n_{1}-1}$. Now, for $n-s \geq 2\left(n_{1}-1\right)+1$, Lemma 2 implies that $\sum_{i=1}^{t-q-1}\left(d_{i}\right) \leq n_{1}+t-2 q-2$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T)= & \mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T \prime)+1+4(s-2)+3 t-2+q \\
& -(t-q-1)+2 \sum_{i=1}^{t-q-1} d_{i} \\
\leq & \varphi_{1}\left(n-s, n_{1}-1\right)+1+4(s-2)+3 t-2  \tag{18}\\
& +q-(t-q-1)+2\left(n_{1}+t-2 q-2\right) \\
= & \varphi_{1}\left(n, n_{1}\right)-4 n_{1}+4 t-2 q \\
\leq & \varphi_{1}\left(n, n_{1}\right),
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 2: The trees (a) T 1 , (b) $T_{2}$, and (c) $T_{3}$.
where the equality holds if all the inequalities mentioned in the above argument turn into equalities. Thus, we have $\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}\left(T^{\prime}\right)=\varphi_{1}\left(n-s, n_{1}-1\right)$, if $q=0$ and $n_{1}=t$.
By the induction hypothesis, $T^{\prime} \in \mathscr{T}_{n_{1}-1}^{n-s}$. Here, $T^{\prime}$ contains a unique vertex of degree greater than 2 , and hence $T \in \mathscr{T}_{n_{1}}^{n}$.
Now if $n-s \leq 2\left(n_{1}-1\right)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T)= & \mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T \prime)+1+4(s-2)+3 t-2+q \\
& -(t-q-1)+2 \sum_{i=1}^{t-q-1} d_{i} \\
& \varphi_{2}\left(n-s, n_{1}-1\right)+1+4(s-2)+3 t-2 \\
& +q-(t-q-1)+2\left(n_{1}+t-2 q-2\right) \\
& \varphi_{1}\left(n, n_{1}\right)+\left(2 n_{1}-6\right)(n-s)-4 n_{1}^{2}+10 n_{1} \\
& -6+4 t-2 q \\
& \varphi_{1}\left(n, n_{1}\right)+2\left(n_{1}-1\right)\left(2 n_{1}-6\right)-4 n_{1}^{2}+10 n_{1} \\
& -6+4 t-2 q \\
& \varphi_{1}\left(n, n_{1}\right)-4 n_{1}+4 t-2 n_{1}-6-2 q<\varphi_{1}\left(n, n_{1}\right) \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Case II: $n \leq 2 n_{1}$
By using Lemma 2, we may choose $P$ with $s=1$. Let $T^{\prime}=T-v_{0}$, then $T^{\prime} \in \mathscr{P} \mathscr{T}_{n-1, n_{1}-1}$. So.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T) & =\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}\left(T^{\prime}\right)+2 q-2+2 \sum_{i=1}^{t-q} d_{i}  \tag{20}\\
& \leq \mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}\left(T^{\prime}\right)+2 n_{1}+2 t-2 q-2
\end{align*}
$$

Now if $n=2 n_{1}$, then $n-1=2 n_{1}-1$ and we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T) & \leq \varphi_{1}\left(2 n_{1}-1, n_{1}-1\right)+2 n_{1}+2 t-2 q-2 \\
& =\varphi_{2}\left(2 n_{1}, n_{1}\right)-2 n_{1}+2 t-2 q+2  \tag{21}\\
& \leq \varphi_{2}\left(2 n_{1}, n_{1}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where the equality holds only if $\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}\left(T^{\prime}\right)=$ $\varphi_{1}\left(2 n_{1}-1, n_{1}-1\right), q=1$, and $n_{1}=t$. As $T^{\prime} \cong K_{n_{1}-1}^{2 n_{1}-1}$ and $K_{n_{1}-1}^{2 n_{1}-1}$ contain a unique vertex with degree greater than 2 , so we have $T \cong K_{n_{1}}^{2 n_{1}}$.
If we have $n<2 n_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T) & \leq \varphi_{2}\left(n-1, n_{1}-1\right)+2 n_{1}+2 t-2 q-2 \\
& =\varphi_{2}\left(n, n_{1}\right)-2 n+2 n_{1}+2 t-2 q+2 \\
& \leq \varphi_{2}\left(n, n_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The above inequality follows from Lemma 2. Equality $\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}(T)=\varphi_{2}\left(n, n_{1}\right)$ shows that all the above relations are also equalities. Particularly $\mathrm{ZC}_{1}^{*}\left(T^{\prime}\right)=$ $\varphi_{2}\left(n-1, n_{1}-1\right)$. Therefore, by induction hypothesis, $T^{\prime} \cong K_{n_{1}-1}^{n-1}$. We observe that $K_{n_{1}-1}^{n-1}$ contains a unique vertex of degree greater than 2 and $d_{v_{s}} \geq 3$, hence $T \cong K_{n_{1}}^{n}$. By this result the proof of Theorem 2 is complete.
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