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#### Abstract

In this paper, we approximate the fixed points of multivalued quasi-nonexpansive mappings via a faster iterative process and propose a faster fixed-point iterative method for finding the solution of two-point boundary value problems. We prove analytically and with series of numerical experiments that the Picard-Ishikawa hybrid iterative process has the same rate of convergence as the CR iterative process.


## 1. Introduction

If the existence of the solution of a fixed-point equation involving an operator $T$ is guaranteed, but an exact solution is not possible, then the requirement of approximating the solution becomes very pertinent. This gives rise to the need of different iterative processes [1-3]. In view of theoretical and practical significance of fixed-point iterative schemes, several authors have constructed and applied different fixedpoint iteration schemes in approximating the solution of equations which model certain physical problems (e.g., [2-15]). One of the most important criteria in preferring one fixed-point iteration scheme over the other is the rate of convergence of the iteration scheme. Consequently, a faster fixed-point iteration scheme is always preferred in practice.

In 2018, Bello et al. [16] developed a Mann-type fixedpoint iteration scheme for approximating the solution of two-point boundary value problems. It is worth mentioning that the scheme proposed in [16] is a self-correcting, unlike
the variational or weighted residual methods of approximation which depend on the selection of suitable coordinate or basis functions (e.g., $[16,17])$. They established that the proposed fixed-point iteration method is more suitable to approximate the exact solution than other existing methods. Moreover, a noticeable advantage of this method is that it solves the boundary value problem without constructing Green's function which is always difficult to construct for some problems [16].

The purpose of this paper is to introduce a new faster iterative scheme to approximate the solution of a fixed-point inclusion which involves a multivalued quasi-nonexpansive mapping. A study of a faster fixed-point iterative method for finding the solution of two-point boundary value problems is also carried out. With a series of numerical experiments and an analytical proof, it is established that the Pic-ard-Ishikawa hybrid iterative process, recently introduced by Okeke [3], has the same rate of convergence as the CR iterative process, introduced by Chugh et al. [8]. Our results
improve, extend, and generalize several known results in the literature [18-20].

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $X$ be a Banach space, $x \in X$, and $A \subseteq X$. Define $d(x, A)=$ $\inf \{d(x, y): y \in A\}$.

Definition 1 (see [20]). Suppose $X$ is a real Banach space. A subset $C$ is called proximinal if for all $x \in X$ there exists an element $c \in C$ such that $d(x, c)=\inf \{\|x-y\|: y \in C\}=d(x, C)$.

It is well known that weakly compact convex subsets of a Banach space $X$ and closed convex subsets of a uniformly convex Banach space are proximinal. Let $N(X), C L(X)$, $C B(X), K(X)$, and $2^{X}$ denote the class of all nonvoid subsets, nonvoid closed subsets, nonvoid bounded and closed subsets, nonvoid compact subsets, and subsets of $X$, respectively. Let $\mathscr{P}(\mathscr{A})$ be the class of all nonvoid proximinal bounded subsets of $A$. Suppose that $H$ is the generalized Hausdorff metric on $C B(X)$ which is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(A, B)=\max \left\{\sup _{x \in A} d(x, B), \sup _{y \in B} d(y, A)\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $A, B \in C B(X)$. We say that a point $x^{*} \in X$ is a fixed point of $T: X \longrightarrow C L(X)$ if $x^{*} \in T x^{*}$. We denote by $F(T):=$ $\left\{x^{*} \in X: x^{*} \in T x^{*}\right\}$ the set of all the fixed points of $T$ and $P_{T}(x)=\{y \in T x:\|x-y\|=d(x, T x)\}$ for a multivalued mapping $T: K \longrightarrow \mathscr{P}(K)$. It is known that multivalued fixedpoint theory has applications in economics, differential inclusion, optimization, and control theory [20, 21]. The theory of multivalued mappings is harder than the corresponding theory of single-valued mappings. For further studies of multivalued fixed-point theory, interested reader should see, e.g., [20-25] and the references therein.

The following definitions will be needed in the sequel.

Definition 2. A multivalued mapping $T: A \subseteq X \longrightarrow 2^{X}$ is called
(i) A contraction if there exists $a \in[0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(T x, T y) \leq a\|x-y\|, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $x, y \in A$. If $a>0$, then we say that $T$ is Lipschitzian.
(ii) Nonexpansive if for all $x, y \in A$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(T x, T y) \leq\|x-y\| \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) Quasi-nonexpansive if for each $x \in A$ and $x^{*} \in F(T) \neq \emptyset$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(T x, x^{*}\right) \leq\left\|x-x^{*}\right\| . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 3 (see [26]). A Banach space $X$ is said to satisfy Opial's condition if for each sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ such that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{n \longrightarrow \infty}{\limsup }\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|<\limsup _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}-v\right\| \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $v \in X$ with $v \neq u$.
Definition 4. A multivalued mapping $T: C \longrightarrow C B(C)$ is said to be demiclosed at $y \in C$ if for each sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $C$ weakly converges to $x$ and $y_{n} \in T x_{n}$ strongly converges to $y$, we have $y \in T x$.

In 2012, Chugh et al. [8] introduced the so-called CR iterative scheme and proved that the iterative scheme converges faster than all of Picard [15], Mann [11], Ishikawa [9], Agarwal et al. [5], Noor [12], and SP [14] iterative schemes. The CR iterative process is given as follows.

Suppose $X$ is a Banach space, $T: X \longrightarrow X$, and $u_{0} \in \mathrm{X}$. Define the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{n}=\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) u_{n}+\gamma_{n} T u_{n}  \tag{6}\\
y_{n}=\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) T u_{n}+\beta_{n} T z_{n} \\
u_{n+1}=\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) y_{n}+\alpha_{n} T y_{n} \\
n \in \mathbb{N}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$, and $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}$ are sequences of positive numbers in [0,1] satisfying $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$. Recently, Okeke [3] introduced the Picard-Ishikawa hybrid iterative process. The author proved that this iterative process converges faster than all of Picard [15], Krasnosel'skii [10], Mann [11], Ishikawa [9], Noor [12], Picard-Mann [27], and Pic-ard-Krasnosel'skii [2] iterative processes in the sense of Berinde [1].

Motivated by the investigation of iterative scheme in [3], we introduce the following multivalued fixed-point iterative process. Suppose $X$ is a Banach space, $T: X \longrightarrow 2^{X}$, and $x_{0} \in X$. Define the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{n}=\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) x_{n}+\beta_{n} u_{n},  \tag{7}\\
y_{n}=\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) x_{n}+\alpha_{n} v_{n}, \\
x_{n+1}=w_{n}, \\
n \in \mathbb{N},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ are sequences in $[0,1]$ satisfying appropriate conditions, $u_{n} \in P_{T}\left(x_{n}\right), \quad v_{n} \in P_{T}\left(z_{n}\right)$, and $w_{n} \in P_{T}\left(y_{n}\right)$.

Remark 1. We remark that iteration (7) is the multivalued version of the Picard-Ishikawa hybrid iterative process, recently introduced by Okeke [3].

The multivalued version of the CR iterative process (6) is given as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{n}=\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) u_{n}+\gamma_{n} g_{n}  \tag{8}\\
y_{n}=\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) g_{n}+\beta_{n} h_{n} \\
u_{n+1}=\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) y_{n}+\alpha_{n} s_{n} \\
n \in \mathbb{N}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $g_{n} \in P_{T}\left(u_{n}\right), h_{n} \in P_{T}\left(z_{n}\right)$, and $s_{n} \in P_{T}\left(y_{n}\right)$.
The aim of this paper is to approximate the fixed point of multivalued quasi-nonexpansive mappings via the newly introduced fixed-point iteration scheme (7). We prove that the Picard-Ishikawa hybrid iterative process (7) has the same rate of convergence as the CR iterative process (6) for a certain class of quasi-nonexpansive and contraction mappings.

Suppose that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are two fixed-point iteration processes that converge to a certain fixed point $x^{*} F(T)$ of a mapping $T$; we say that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges faster than $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}([28])$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \leq\left\|v_{n}-x^{*}\right\|, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following definitions are due to Berinde [1].

Definition 5 (see [1]). Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be two sequences of positive numbers that converge to a and $b$, respectively. Assume there exists

$$
\begin{equation*}
l=\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|a_{n}-a\right|}{\left|b_{n}-b\right|} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i) If $l=0$, then it is said that the sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to $a$ faster than the sequence $\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ to $b$
(ii) If $0<l<\infty$, then we say that the sequences $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ have the same rate of convergence.

Definition 6 (see [1]). Suppose that, for two fixed-point iterative processes $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, both converging to the same fixed point $x^{*}$, the error estimates

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left\|u_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \leq a_{n}, & \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \\
\left\|v_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \leq b_{n}, & \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{11}
\end{array}
$$

are available, where $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are two sequences of positive numbers converging to zero. If $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges faster than $\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, then $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges faster than $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ to $x^{*}$.

For the rest of this paper, whenever we make reference to the rate of convergence of iterative processes, we mean the rate of convergence in the sense of Berinde [1] as in Definition 5.

Definition 7 (see [29]). A multivalued nonexpansive mapping $T: C \longrightarrow C B(C)$ is said to satisfy Condition $(I)$ if there exists a continuous nondecreasing function $f:[0, \infty) \longrightarrow[0$, $\infty$ ) with $f(0)=0$ and $f(r)>0$ for all $r \in(0, \infty)$ such that $d(x, T x) \geq f(d(x, F(T)))$ for each $x \in C$.

The following lemma will be needed in this study.

Lemma 1 (see [30]). Suppose that $X$ is a uniformly convex Banach space and $0<p \leq t_{n} \leq q<1$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ be two sequences of $X$ such that lim sup $p_{n} \longrightarrow \infty\left\|x_{n}\right\| \leq r$, $\lim \sup _{n} \rightarrow \infty\left\|y_{n}\right\| \leq r$, and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|t_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-t_{n}\right) y_{n}\right\|=r$ hold for some $r \geq 0$. Then, $\lim _{n} \longrightarrow \infty\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=0$.

Lemma 2 (see [31]). If $T: C \longrightarrow \mathscr{P}(\mathscr{C})$ and $P_{T}(x)=\{y \in T x$ : $\|x-y\|=d(x, T x)\}$. Then, the following are equivalent:
(i) $x \in F(T)$
(ii) $P_{T}(x)=\{x\}$
(iii) $x \in F\left(P_{T}\right)$

Moreover, $F(T)=F\left(P_{T}\right)$.

## 3. Convergence Analysis of the Multivalued Picard-Ishikawa Hybrid Iterative Process

We begin with the following convergence results.

Lemma 3. Suppose that $X$ is a normed linear space and $C$ is a nonvoid closed convex subset of $X$. Let $T: C \longrightarrow \mathscr{P}(\mathscr{C})$ such that $F(T) \neq \varnothing$ and $P_{T}$ is a quasi-nonexpansive mapping. Suppose that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence defined by the iteration scheme (7). Then, $\lim _{n} \rightarrow \infty\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|$ exists for each $x^{*} \in F(T)$ and $\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, P_{T}\left(x_{n}\right)\right)=0$.

Proof. To prove that $\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|$ exists for each $x^{*} \in F(T) \neq \emptyset$, we proceed as follows. Using (4) and (7), we obtain the following estimates:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\| & =\left\|w_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& \leq H\left(P_{T}\left(y_{n}\right), P_{T}\left(x^{*}\right)\right)  \tag{12}\\
& \leq\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\| .
\end{align*}
$$

Next, by (4) and (7), we have the following estimates:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\| & =\left\|\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) x_{n}+\alpha_{n} v_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+\alpha_{n}\left\|v_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+\alpha_{n} H\left(P_{T}\left(z_{n}\right), P_{T}\left(x^{*}\right)\right) \\
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+\alpha_{n}\left\|z_{n}-x^{*}\right\| . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|z_{n}-x^{*}\right\| & =\left\|\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) x_{n}+\beta_{n} u_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& \leq\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+\beta_{n}\left\|u_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& \leq\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+\beta_{n} H\left(P_{T}\left(x_{n}\right), P_{T}\left(x^{*}\right)\right) \\
& \leq\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+\beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& =\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (14) in (13), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\| & \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+\alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& =\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (14) in (12), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that $\left\{\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|\right\}$ is decreasing. Therefore, $\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|$ exists for each $x^{*} \in F(T)$.

Next, we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, P_{T}\left(x_{n}\right)\right)=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|=k \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $k \geq 0$. If $k=0$, then (17) holds trivially. Suppose that $k>0$; because $d\left(x_{n}, P_{T}\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \leq\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|$, it suffices to establish that $\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|=0$. Clearly, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{n}-x^{*}\right\| & \leq H\left(P_{T}\left(x_{n}\right), P_{T}\left(x^{*}\right)\right)  \tag{19}\\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| .
\end{align*}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{n \longrightarrow \infty}{\lim \sup }\left\|u_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \leq k \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (14) and (15), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \leq k,  \tag{21}\\
& \limsup _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \leq k .
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|v_{n}-x^{*}\right\| & \leq H\left(P_{T}\left(z_{n}\right), P_{T}\left(x^{*}\right)\right) \\
& \leq\left\|z_{n}-x^{*}\right\|  \tag{22}\\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|v_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \leq k . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|w_{n}-x^{*}\right\| & \leq H\left(P_{T}\left(y_{n}\right), P_{T}\left(x^{*}\right)\right) \\
& \leq\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\|  \tag{24}\\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{n \longrightarrow \infty}{\limsup }\left\|w_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \leq k \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\|=\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|w_{n}-x^{*}\right\|=k \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, using (23), (25), (26), and Lemma 1, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|v_{n}-w_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (25), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\| \leq \liminf _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|w_{n}-v_{n}\right\|+\left\|v_{n}-x^{*}\right\|\right) . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \leq \liminf _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|v_{n}-x^{*}\right\| . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (23) and (29), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|v_{n}-x^{*}\right\|=k . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-x^{*}\right\|=k \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-x^{*}\right\|= & \lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) x_{n}+\beta_{n} u_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
= & \lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \|\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-x^{*}\right) \\
& +\beta_{n}\left(u_{n}-x^{*}\right) \| \\
= & k . \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (20), (32), and Lemma 1 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|=0 . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, P_{T}\left(x_{n}\right)\right)=0 . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of Lemma 3 is completed.
Next, we prove the following strong convergence theorem.

Theorem 1. Suppose that $C$ is a nonvoid compact convex subset of a real Banach space $X, T: C \longrightarrow \mathscr{P}(\mathscr{C})$ with $F(T) \neq$ $\emptyset$, and $P_{T}$ is a quasi-nonexpansive mapping. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be the iterative sequence defined in (7). Then, the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a fixed point of $T$.

Proof. We have already established in Lemma 3 that $\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|$ exists for each $x^{*} \in F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Because $C$ is compact, it follows that there exists a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{j}}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-y^{*}\right\|=0$ for some $y^{*} \in C$. Hence, by Lemma 3 and the triangle inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
d\left(y^{*}, P_{T}\left(y^{*}\right)\right) \leq & d\left(x_{n_{j}}, y^{*}\right)+d\left(x_{n_{j}}, P_{T}\left(x_{n_{j}}\right)\right) \\
& +H\left(P_{T}\left(x_{n_{j}}\right), P_{T}\left(y^{*}\right)\right) \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n_{j}}-y^{*}\right\|+\left\|x_{n_{j}}-u_{n_{j}}\right\| \\
& +\left\|x_{n_{j}}-y^{*}\right\| \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty . \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

This means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(y^{*}, P_{T}\left(y^{*}\right)\right)=0 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $y^{*}$ is a fixed point of $P_{T}$. By Lemma 2, the set of fixed points of $P_{T}$ coincides with the set of fixed points of $T$, so the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a fixed point $y^{*} \in F(T)$. The proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

We next prove the following results for multivalued mappings satisfying Condition ( $I$ ) of Senter and Dotson [29].

Theorem 2. Suppose that $C$ is a nonvoid closed and convex subset of a real Banach space $X$. Let $T: C \longrightarrow \mathscr{P}(\mathscr{C})$ satisfies Condition (I) such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and $P_{T}$ is a quasi-nonexpansive mapping. Then, the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ defined by (7) converges strongly to a fixed point $x^{*}$ of $T$.

Proof. By Lemma 3, $\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|$ exists for each $x^{*} \in F(T)=F\left(P_{T}\right)$ (Lemma 2). If $\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|=0$, then the result trivially holds. We assume that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|=k>0$. Using relation (16), we have $\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(x_{n+1}, F(T)\right) \leq d\left(x_{n}, F(T)\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{~d}\left(x_{n+1}, F(T)\right)$ exists. Next, we show that $\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \mathrm{~d}\left(x_{n+1}, F(T)\right)=0$. Assume on contrary that $\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n+1}, F(T)\right)=\mu>0$. Then, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{n} & =\frac{u_{n}-x^{*}}{\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|}  \tag{38}\\
q_{n} & =\frac{x_{n}-x^{*}}{\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, we have $\left\|p_{n}\right\|=1$ and $\left\|q_{n}\right\| \leq 1$ because $\left\|u_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \leq H\left(P_{T}\left(x_{n}\right), P_{T}\left(x^{*}\right)\right) \leq\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|$. Using the fact that $f$ satisfies Condition (I), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|q_{n}-p_{n}\right\| & =\left\|\frac{x_{n}-x^{*}}{\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|}-\frac{u_{n}-x^{*}}{\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|}\right\| \\
& =\frac{\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|}{\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|}  \tag{39}\\
& \geq \frac{d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)}{\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|} \\
& \geq \frac{f\left(d\left(x_{n}, F(T)\right)\right.}{\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|}
\end{align*}
$$

Because $f$ is continuous, we obtain that $\liminf _{n} \| q_{n}-$ $p_{n} \| \geq(f(\mu) / k)>0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows from (7), (18), and (31) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) q_{n}+\beta_{n} p_{n}\right\| & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \frac{x_{n}-x^{*}}{\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|}+\beta_{n} \frac{u_{n}-x^{*}}{\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|}\right\| \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\frac{\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) x_{n}+\beta_{n} u_{n}-x^{*} \|}{\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|}\right\| \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\frac{\left\|z_{n}-x^{*}\right\|}{\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|}\right\}  \tag{40}\\
& =\frac{k}{k} \\
& =1 .
\end{align*}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) q_{n}+\beta_{n} p_{n}\right\|=1 . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by Lemma 1, we have $\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|q_{n}-p_{n}\right\|=0$, a contradiction. Therefore, we have $\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n+1}, F(T)\right)=0$, and hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|=0 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a fixed point $x^{*} \in F(T) \neq \varnothing$. The proof of Theorem 2 is completed.

Next, we prove the following weak convergence result.

Theorem 3. Suppose $X$ is a uniformly convex Banach space satisfying Opial's condition and C is a nonvoid closed convex subset of $X$. Let $T: C \longrightarrow \mathscr{P}(\mathscr{C})$ be a multivalued mapping
such that $F(T) \neq \varnothing$ and $P_{T}$ is a quasi-nonexpansive mapping. Suppose that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is the sequence defined in (7). If $I-P_{T}$ is demiclosed at zero. Then, the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to a fixed point of $T$.

Proof. Suppose that $x^{*} \in F(T)=F\left(P_{T}\right)$. It follows from Lemma 3 that $\lim _{n} \rightarrow \infty\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|$ exists for each $x^{*}$. Next, we show that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ has a unique weak subsequential limit in $F(T)$. We proceed as follows: let $y_{1}^{*}$ and $y_{2}^{*}$ be weak limits of the subsequences $\left\{x_{n_{k}}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{n_{j}}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$, respectively. By (33), we have that $\lim _{n}\left\{x_{\infty}\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|=0\right.$. Using the fact that the mapping $I-P_{T}$ is demiclosed at zero, we have $y_{1}^{*} \in F\left(P_{T}\right)=F(T)$. Similarly, we can show that $y_{2}^{*} \in F(T)$. Next, we prove that the weak limit is unique. Suppose that $y_{1}^{*} \neq y_{2}^{*}$. Because $X$ satisfies Opial's condition, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y_{1}^{*}\right\| & =\lim _{n_{k} \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{k}}-y_{1}^{*}\right\| \\
& <\lim _{n_{k} \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{k}}-y_{2}^{*}\right\| \\
& =\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y_{2}^{*}\right\| \\
& =\lim _{n_{j} \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-y_{2}^{*}\right\|  \tag{43}\\
& <\lim _{n_{j} \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-y_{1}^{*}\right\| \\
& =\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y_{1}^{*}\right\|
\end{align*}
$$

a contradiction. Hence, the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to a point of $F(T)$. The proof of Theorem 3 is completed.

Next, we prove that the Picard-Ishikawa hybrid iterative process (7) and the CR iterative process (8) have the same rate of convergence.

Proposition 1. Suppose that $X$ is a normed linear space and $C$ is a nonvoid closed convex subset of $X$. Let $T: C \longrightarrow \mathscr{P}(\mathscr{C})$ such that $F(T) \neq \varnothing$ and $P_{T}$ is a quasi-nonexpansive mapping. Suppose $x_{0}=u_{0} \in C,\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence defined by the Pic-ard-Ishikawa hybrid iterative process (7), and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is the $C R$ iterative process (8) converging to the same fixed point $x^{*} \in F(T)$, where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$, and $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}$ are sequences in $[0,1]$. Then, the sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ have the same rate of convergence.

Proof. By (16), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\| & \leq\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n-1}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n-2}-x^{*}\right\|  \tag{44}\\
& \vdots \\
& \leq\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\| .
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}-x^{*}\right|=\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\| \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4) and (8), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\| & =\left\|\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) y_{n}+\alpha_{n} s_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+\alpha_{n}\left\|s_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+\alpha_{n} H\left(P_{T}\left(y_{n}\right), P_{T}\left(x^{*}\right)\right) \\
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+\alpha_{n}\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& =\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\| . \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we have the following estimate:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\|= & \left\|\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) g_{n}+\beta_{n} h_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
\leq & \left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|g_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+\beta_{n}\left\|h_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
\leq & \left(1-\beta_{n}\right) H\left(P_{T}\left(u_{n}\right), P_{T}\left(x^{*}\right)\right)  \tag{47}\\
& +\beta_{n} H\left(P_{T}\left(z_{n}\right), P_{T}\left(x^{*}\right)\right) \\
\leq & \left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|u_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+\beta_{n}\left\|z_{n}-x^{*}\right\|, \\
\left\|z_{n}-x^{*}\right\|= & \left\|\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) u_{n}+\gamma_{n} g_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
\leq & \left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left\|u_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+\gamma_{n}\left\|g_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
\leq & \left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left\|u_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+\gamma_{n} H\left(P_{T}\left(u_{n}\right), P_{T}\left(x^{*}\right)\right) \\
\leq & \left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left\|u_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+\gamma_{n}\left\|u_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
= & \left\|u_{n}-x^{*}\right\| . \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (48) in (47), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\| & \leq\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|u_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+\beta_{n}\left\|u_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& =\left\|u_{n}-x^{*}\right\| . \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (49) in (46), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\| & \leq\left\|u_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|u_{n-1}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|u_{n-2}-x^{*}\right\|  \tag{50}\\
& \vdots \\
& \leq\left\|u_{0}-x^{*}\right\| .
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{n}-x^{*}\right|=\left\|u_{0}-x^{*}\right\| . \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, using (45), (51), and the condition that $x_{0}=u_{0} \in C$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|a_{n}-x^{*}\right|}{\left|b_{n}-x^{*}\right|}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|}{\left\|u_{0}-x^{*}\right\|}=\frac{\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|}{\left\|u_{0}-x^{*}\right\|}=\frac{\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|}{\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|}=1 \text {. } \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $0<l=1<\infty$, it follows that the sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ have the same rate of convergence.

## 4. Applications to Solution of Two-point Boundary Value Problems

In this section, we apply the Picard-Ishikawa hybrid iterative process recently introduced by Okeke [3] for finding the solution of two-point boundary value problems for a sec-ond-order differential equation. Let $X$ be a real Banach space and $x_{0} \in X$; the Picard-Ishikawa hybrid iterative process $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{n}=\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) x_{n}+\beta_{n} T x_{n}  \tag{53}\\
y_{n}=\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) x_{n}+\alpha_{n} T z_{n} \\
x_{n+1}=T y_{n} \\
n \in \mathbb{N}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ are appropriate sequences in [0, 1]. It is known (see [3]) that this fixed-point iterative process converges faster than all of Picard [15], Krasnosel'skii [10], Mann [11], Ishikawa [9], Noor [12], Picard-Mann [27], and Picard-Krasnosel'skii [2] iterations.

In this section, we propose a Picard-Ishikawa hybridtype algorithm for finding the solution of two-point boundary value problem.

Green's function is given as follows. Suppose $h(t)$ is continuous on $[a, b]$ for each $t \in[a, b]$. Functions satisfying $x^{\prime \prime}=h(t)$ are of the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=K_{0}+K_{1} t+\int_{a}^{b}(t-s) h(s) \mathrm{d} s \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the unique solution of the following boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{\prime \prime}=h(t),  \tag{55}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
x(a)=0 \\
x(b)=0,
\end{array}\right. \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

could be expressed in form of equation (54) with appropriate values for constants $K_{0}$ and $K_{1}$. Once the values of the constants $K_{0}$ and $K_{1}$ are determined, one can easily prove that the solution $x(t)$ of equations (55) and (56) can be expressed in form of $x(t)=\int_{a}^{b} G(t, s) h(s) \mathrm{d} s$, where $G(t, s)$ is called Green's function of the boundary value problem $x^{\prime \prime}=0, x(a)=0$, and $x(b)=0$ and it is defined as follows:

$$
G(t, s)= \begin{cases}\frac{(t-a)(s-b)}{b-a}, & \text { for } a \leq t \leq s  \tag{57}\\ \frac{(t-b)(s-a)}{b-a}, & \text { for } s \leq t \leq b\end{cases}
$$

Similarly, the solution of the following boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime \prime}=h(t)  \tag{58}\\
x(a)=\xi_{0} \\
x(b)=\xi_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

can be expressed in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\int_{a}^{b} G(t, s) h(s) \mathrm{d} s+w(t) \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w(t)$ is the solution of the equation $x^{\prime \prime}=0$ satisfying $w(a)=\xi_{0}$ and $w(b)=\xi_{1}$.

Assume that the function $f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)$ is continuous on $[a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^{2}$. It follows from (59) that if the function $w(t)$ is the solution of the equation $x^{\prime \prime}=0$ with $w(a)=\xi_{0}$ and
$w(b)=\xi_{1}$, then the function $x(t) \in C^{(2)}[a, b]$ is a solution of the following boundary value problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime \prime}=f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)  \tag{60}\\
x(a)=\xi_{0} \\
x(b)=\xi_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

if and only if $x(t)$ belongs to $C^{(1)}[a, b]$ and it is a solution of the following integral equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.x(t)=\int_{a}^{b} G(t, s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s+w(t)\right), \quad \text { on }[a, b] . \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, suppose $T: C^{(1)}[a, b] \longrightarrow C^{(1)}[a, b]$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T[x(t)]=\int_{a}^{b} G(t, s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s+w(t) \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $x \in C^{(1)}[a, b]$ with $a \leq t \leq b$, then a fixed point of the mapping $T$ is a solution of equation (61). Hence, it is also a solution of the boundary value problem (60).

Bello et al. [16] considered the following two-point boundary value problem:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime \prime}=f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right), \quad a \leq t \leq b,  \tag{63}\\
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda_{0} x(a)+v_{0} x^{\prime}(a)=\xi_{0}, \\
\lambda_{1} x(b)+v_{1} x^{\prime}(b)=\xi_{1},
\end{array}\right. \tag{64}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\lambda_{i}$ and $\nu_{i}$ are real constants such that $\lambda_{i}^{2}+v_{i}^{2}>0, i=0,1$.
In order to solve (63) and (64) using our proposed Picard-Ishikawa hybrid iterative process (53), we first transform (63) and (64) to the following relation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) x_{n}^{\prime \prime}+\beta_{n} x_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) x_{n}^{\prime \prime}+\beta_{n} f\left(t, x_{n}, x_{n}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{65}\\
y_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) x_{n}^{\prime \prime}+\alpha_{n} z_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) x_{n}^{\prime \prime}+\alpha_{n} f\left(t, z_{n}, z_{n}^{\prime}\right) \\
x_{n+1}^{\prime \prime}=y_{n+1}^{\prime \prime}=f\left(t, y_{n}, y_{n}^{\prime}\right) \\
\lambda_{0} x_{n+1}(a)+v_{0} x_{n+1}^{\prime}(a)=\xi_{0} \\
\lambda_{1} x_{n+1}(b)+v_{1} x_{n+1}^{\prime}(b)=\xi_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ are sequences in $[0,1]$ satisfying $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$. One can prove that $x(t)$ is a solution of (63) and (64) if and only if $x(t)$ is a solution of the following equivalent integral equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\int_{a}^{b} G(t, s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s+w(t), \quad \text { on }[a, b], \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G(t, s)$ is Green's function of the following associated boundary value problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime \prime}=0  \tag{67}\\
\lambda_{0} x(a)+v_{0} x^{\prime}(a)=\xi_{0} \\
\lambda_{1} x^{\prime}(b)+v_{1} x^{\prime}(b)=\xi_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $w(t)$ is the solution of (67).

Next, suppose $T: C^{(1)}[a, b] \longrightarrow C^{(1)}[a, b]$ is defined as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
T[x(t)]=\int_{a}^{b} G\left((t, s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s+w(t)\right.  \tag{68}\\
T[z(t)]=\int_{a}^{b} G(t, s) f\left(s, z(s), z^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s+w(t) \\
T[y(t)]=\int_{a}^{b} G(t, s) f\left(s, y(s), y^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s+w(t)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $T$ is a mapping such that any solution $x(t)$ of (63) and (64) is a fixed point of $T$ [32].

We next embark on the derivation of our fixed-point iterative method for solving the proposed boundary value problem. Suppose $D$ is a nonvoid convex subset of a real Banach space $X$ and $T: D \longrightarrow \mathscr{P}(\mathscr{D})$ is a multivalued mapping. For arbitrary $x_{0} \in D$, let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be the iterative sequence generated by (53), with sequences $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ in [0, 1] satisfying $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$.

Next, we compare our method (65) with (53) to establish their equivalence. We obtain this by first differentiating (68) as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(T x_{n}\right)^{\prime}=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G(t, s) f\left(s, x_{n}(s), x_{n}^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s+w^{\prime}(t)  \tag{69}\\
\left(T z_{n}\right)^{\prime}=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G(t, s) f\left(s, z_{n}(s), z_{n}^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s+w^{\prime}(t) \\
\left(T y_{n}\right)^{\prime}=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G(t, s) f\left(s, y_{n}(s), y_{n}^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s+w^{\prime}(t)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Secondly, differentiating (53), we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) x_{n}^{\prime \prime}+\beta_{n}\left(T x_{n}\right)^{\prime \prime}  \tag{70}\\
y_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) x_{n}^{\prime \prime}+\alpha_{n}\left(T z_{n}\right)^{\prime \prime} \\
x_{n+1}^{\prime \prime}=\left(T y_{n}\right)^{\prime \prime} \\
n \in \mathbb{N}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Our third step is to differentiate (69) as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(T x_{n}\right)^{\prime \prime}=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G\left(t, x_{n}(s), x_{n}^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s+w^{\prime}(t)  \tag{71}\\
\left(T z_{n}\right)^{\prime \prime}=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G\left(t, z_{n}(s), z_{n}^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s+w^{\prime}(t) \\
\left(T y_{n}\right)^{\prime \prime}=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G\left(t, y_{n}(s), y_{n}^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s+w^{\prime}(t)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Lastly, substituting (71) in (70), we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) x_{n}^{\prime \prime}+\beta_{n}\left(\int_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G\left(t, x_{n}(s), x_{n}^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s+w^{\prime}(t)\right)  \tag{72}\\
y_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) x_{n}^{\prime \prime}+\alpha_{n}\left(\int_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G\left(t, z_{n}(s), z_{n}^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s+w^{\prime}(t)\right) \\
x_{n+1}^{\prime \prime}=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G\left(t, y_{n}(s), y_{n}^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s+w^{\prime}(t) \\
n \in \mathbb{N} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence, (72) can be written as follows, which is our proposed fixed-point iteration method:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) x_{n}^{\prime \prime}+\beta_{n} x_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) x_{n}^{\prime \prime}+\beta_{n} f\left(t, x_{n}, x_{n}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{73}\\
y_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) x_{n}^{\prime \prime}+\alpha_{n} z_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) x_{n}^{\prime \prime}+\alpha_{n} f\left(t, z_{n}, z_{n}^{\prime}\right) \\
x_{n+1}^{\prime \prime}=y_{n}^{\prime \prime}=f\left(t, y_{n}, y_{n}^{\prime}\right) \\
n \in \mathbb{N} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Next, we give our main results for this section as follows.

Theorem 4. Let $T: C^{(1)}[a, b] \longrightarrow C^{(1)}[a, b]$ be a contraction mapping defined in (68) with contractive constant $a \in(0,1)$. Suppose $x_{0} \in C^{(1)}[a, b]$ is an affine function. Construct the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ generated by the Picard-Ishikawa hybrid iterative process (53) satisfying $x^{\prime \prime}=0$ and the boundary condition defined in (64) with $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ sequences in $[0,1]$ such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges to a unique solution $x^{*} \in C^{(1)}[a, b]$ of (63) and (64).

Proof. The existence and uniqueness of $x^{*} \in C^{(1)}[a, b]$ is guaranteed by the famous Banach contraction mapping principle. We now show that $x_{n} \longrightarrow x^{*}$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. Using (53), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\| & =\left\|T y_{n}-x^{*}\right\|  \tag{74}\\
& \leq a\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\| .
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we have the following estimates:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\| & =\left\|\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) x_{n}+\alpha_{n} T z_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+\alpha_{n}\left\|T z_{n}-x^{*}\right\|  \tag{75}\\
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+a \alpha_{n}\left\|z_{n}-x^{*}\right\|
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|z_{n}-x^{*}\right\| & =\left\|\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) x_{n}+\beta_{n} T x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& \leq\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+\beta_{n}\left\|T x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& \leq\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+a \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|  \tag{76}\\
& =\left(1-\beta_{n}(1-a)\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (76) in (75), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\| & \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+a \alpha_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}(1-a)\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& =\left(1-\alpha_{n}\left(1-a\left(1-\beta_{n}(1-a)\right)\right)\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| . \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (77) in (74), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\| \leq a\left[1-\alpha_{n}\left(1-a\left(1-\beta_{n}(1-a)\right)\right)\right]\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| . \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Continuing this process, we obtain the following inequalities:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\| \leq a\left[1-\alpha_{n}\left(1-a\left(1-\beta_{n}(1-a)\right)\right)\right]\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|  \tag{79}\\
\leq a\left[1-\alpha_{n-1}\left(1-a\left(1-\beta_{n-1}(1-a)\right)\right)\right]\left\|x_{n-1}-x^{*}\right\| \\
\leq a\left[1-\alpha_{n-2}\left(1-a\left(1-\beta_{n-2}(1-a)\right)\right)\right]\left\|x_{n-2}-x^{*}\right\| \\
\vdots \\
\leq a\left[1-\alpha_{0}\left(1-a\left(1-\beta_{0}(1-a)\right)\right)\right]\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\| .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using the inequalities in (79), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\| \leq a^{(n+1)} \prod_{k=0}^{n}\left[1-\alpha_{k}\left(1-a\left(1-\beta_{k}(1-a)\right)\right)\right]\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\| . \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $a \in(0,1)$ and $\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n} \in[0,1]$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\left[1-\alpha_{n}\left(1-a\left(1-\beta_{n}(1-a)\right)\right)\right]<1$. It is well known in analysis that $1-y \leq e^{-y}$ for each $y \in[0,1]$. Hence, using these facts in (80), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\| \leq & a^{(n+1)}\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\| e^{-\left(1-a\left(1-\beta_{n}(1-a)\right)\right) \sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_{k}} \\
& \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty \tag{81}
\end{align*}
$$

This means that $x_{n} \longrightarrow x^{*}$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. The proof of Theorem 4 is completed.

Remark 2. Theorem 4 and the results of this section is an improvement on the results of Bello et al. [16] because it is known (see [3]) that the Picard-Ishikawa hybrid iteration method used in our results converges faster than the Manntype method used by Bello et al. [16].

## 5. Numerical Examples

In this section, we provide some numerical examples to validate our analytical results. We compare the speed of convergence between the CR iterative scheme $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in (6) and the Picard-Ishikawa hybrid iterative scheme $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in (7). In the following figures, we denote the CR iterative process by CR and the Picard-Ishikawa hybrid iterative process by PI. All the codes were written in MATLAB (R2010a) and run on PC with Intel(R) Core(TM) i3-4030U CPU @ 1.90 GHz .

We begin with the following example ([20], Example 2.3).

Example 1. Suppose $(\mathbb{R},\|\cdot\|)$ is a normed linear space with the usual norm and $C=[0,1]$. Define $T: C \longrightarrow \mathscr{P}(\mathscr{K})$ by


Figure 1: Errors versus iteration numbers ( $n$ ): Example 1 (Case 1).


Figure 2: Errors versus iteration numbers ( $n$ ): Example 1 (Case 2).
$T x=[0,(2 x+1 / 4)]$. Khan et al. [20] proved that $T$ is quasinonexpansive with $F(T)=[0,(1 / 2)]$. We consider the following cases for our numerical experiments:

Case 1: choose $x_{0}=u_{0}=0.1, \quad \alpha_{n}=(n / 5 n+1)$, $\beta_{n}=(n / 10 n+1)$, and $\gamma_{n}=(1 / 7 n+2)$, and the number of iteration for each iterative scheme is $n=100$. The graph of this case is presented in Figure 1.
Case 2: choose $x_{0}=u_{0}=1$ and $\alpha_{n}=\beta_{n}=$ $\gamma_{n}=(1 / 8 n+7)$, and the number of iteration for each iterative scheme is $n=100$. The graph of this case is presented in Figure 2.

Example 2. Let $T: X \longrightarrow X$ be a mapping such that $T x=(x / 3)$, with $a=(1 / 2)$ and $X=[0, \infty)$. Suppose the first


Figure 3: Errors versus iteration numbers (n): Example 2.
iteration $u_{0}=x_{0}=13$ and the number of iterations for each iterative scheme is $n=100$. Choose $\alpha_{n}=(n / 6 n+2)$, $\beta_{n}=(n / 10 n+1)$, and $\gamma_{n}=(1 / 3 n+2)$. Then, Figure 3 shows the errors versus iteration numbers for this example.

Next, we present the following graphs of errors versus iteration numbers ( $n$ ) for each case.

Remark 3. Clearly, from Figures 1 and 2 of Example 1, we see that the Picard-Ishikawa hybrid iterative process and the CR iterative process have the same rate of convergence for a class of multivalued quasi-nonexpansive mappings. Similarly, from Figure 3 of Example 2, we see that the Pic-ard-Ishikawa hybrid iterative process and the CR iterative process have the same rate of convergence for a class of contraction mappings.
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