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1.Introduction

Major epidemics involving infectious diseases are serious public health events that can lead to the spread of infection sources across regions and borders and pose a threat to the safety of all human beings [1, 2]. Taking effective measures to prevent, control, and eliminate the outbreak of major infectious diseases can not only reduce the degree of social harm caused and ensure the safety of individuals and property but also help maintain regional public order and promote harmonious social communication.

Since novel coronavirus pneumonia emerged in late December 2019, the COVID-19 epidemic has spread to many countries and regions around the world and has had a critical impact on individuals’ daily life, security stability, and socioeconomic status. Through early June 2020, the COVID-19 disease, which has been reported in 188 countries/regions, had led to 420,000 deaths, and over 7.5 million
cases were confirmed [3]. To delay and reduce the onset of peak infection effects, governments and public health authorities adopted a variety of social distancing interventions and policies to avoid overloading the health care system before a vaccine is available. Notably, a series of measures implemented by the Chinese government to block the spread of COVID-19 achieved an ideal health outcome [4].

From January 23 to 29, 2020, 31 provinces, cities, and regions in China successively launched the first level of national response to the major public health emergency. Specifically, prevention and control measures, such as complete travel bans, travel quarantines, and entry screening for incoming travellers, were mainly implemented [5]. In regard to urban transport systems, dual social responsibility, including providing basic traffic functions and blocking the spread of epidemics in the process of citizen travel, should be considered. Taking public transport as an example, in addition to regular epidemic prevention measures such as real-name boarding, passenger temperature monitoring, scattered seating, and the all-weather disinfection of vehicles, developing an operation mechanism to reduce the risk of cross-infection in bus travel and meet the rigid needs of passengers has become an urgent issue for scholars to consider.

Novel coronavirus pneumonia is mainly spread through droplets, contact, aerosols, faecal orifices, etc. [6]. Because of the rapid spreading and high morbidity characteristics of the virus, the urban public transport system needs to avoid mass gatherings of passengers in public places in the process of epidemic prevention. If virus-carrying passengers enter the public transport system, other people face a huge risk of infection, especially during rush-hour periods. An epidemic can quickly spread to every corner of a city due to the connectivity of bus lines among stations in different areas. If a bus timetabling system is used in conventional public transport, passengers can arrange their own itinerary and effectively reduce the waiting time at bus stations [7, 8]. Thus, while meeting the rigid demands of residents for bus travel, the probability of contracting a virus while using public transport systems can be reduced. Moreover, the personal travel demand for leisure and social interaction has been greatly reduced, and the urban traffic situation has been significantly improved during the execution of social distancing policies. Therefore, it is possible for buses to travel smoothly through transportation networks, and the accuracy of the bus timetable is high.

We design a time control point method based on the “a vehicle would wait if it arrives early and leave quickly if it arrives late” scheme for a bus timetabling strategy to assess bus travel time performance. The aim of this study is to provide an evaluation method for analysing the characteristics of bus arrival with inbound and outbound information. By treating the upstream or downstream operation processes of a bus line as a single event, we construct an event tree model to explore the overall reliability of the public transport network. Finally, the public transport system in Xining city, which is a county-level city with a population of one million in Southeast China, is studied to verify the feasibility and reliability of bus timetabling in the context of the COVID-19 epidemic.

2. Literature Review

As the fundamental dimension of passenger service, the reliability of urban public transport systems has been defined from several perspectives. According to the Transit Capacity and Quality of Service Manual [9], various factors that influence bus service reliability have been explored, such as the traffic conditions, road construction, maintenance quality, staff availability, schedule achievability, and passenger demand. Some achievements have been widely considered in bus system planning and design in many countries and regions, thereby providing a powerful scientific basis for the application of transit priority policies [10]. Specifically, many studies have focused on bus timetables. Therefore, this section focuses on the following three interrelated issues: timetable generation and optimization, vehicle and crew scheduling, and the operational strategy of bus system services.

2.1. Timetable Generation and Optimization. Timetable generation is one of the major tasks in the urban bus system [11]. A suitable bus timetable not only allows passengers to reach their destination quickly with as few bus routes as possible but also provides transfers with a short waiting time, which can greatly affect the service attractiveness of public transport systems [12]. The earliest research in this field can be traced to Newell and Potts’s study of bus schedule maintenance, which identified the factors that influence the practical operation of bus systems [13]. Subsequently, an increasing number of scholars have explored the mechanisms of the associated phenomena, such as bus bunching [14–16], bus-specific holding [17–20], and dispatching control [21–24]. Although the focus of these studies varied, there is a certain correlation among each approach and the corresponding findings. For example, one purpose of dispatching control is to reduce the frequency of bus punching with a regularity-based or punctuality-based service strategy [25]. Compared with the regularity-based strategy, which minimizes the deviation in bus headways, the punctuality-based strategy focuses on the adherence to scheduled arrival times at stops, although some studies have found that passengers may ignore the exact arrival time when the schedule headway is less than 12 min [26–30]. Table 1 summarizes the recent research on the scheduling of bus timetable (including the train timetable) under the constraints of external factors.

Generally, there are two main research directions in the existing research: improving the performance of model algorithms and relaxing the modelling hypothesis to improve the representation of the objective world [45, 52–54]. The corresponding results of these studies include complex mathematical descriptions and rigorous derivation processes; therefore, the time costs of these methods can be high, but accurate calculation and evaluation results can be obtained.

2.2. Vehicle and Crew Scheduling. The vehicle and crew scheduling problem in bus systems is of practical importance because efficient schedules can reduce operational costs and
2.2.2. Timetabling Integrated with Vehicle and Crew Scheduling

Early studies of vehicle scheduling and crew scheduling were formulated as network flow problems or sets of coverage or partitioning problems [74]. The integration of these two scheduling tasks can be regarded as a particular case of the vehicle scheduling problem that was first discussed by Gaffi and Nonato [75]. The following scholars applied various algorithms to relax the relevant constraints, such as duty flow, bus itinerary, overall operating cost, and multimmodity network constraints, to obtain satisfactory results [76–80]. These studies provided a functional framework for people to explore efficient timetables. For example, based on the achievements of Gintner et al. [81] and Steinzen et al. [82], Kliewer et al. [83] proposed a flexible timetable to reduce the operational costs and maintain the service quality by addressing the vehicle and driver scheduling problem with the possibility of shifting trips within defined time windows. Petersen et al. [84] proposed a model that integrated the bus network timetable and multidepot vehicle scheduling to minimize the usage costs of stocks and the transfer waiting times of passengers. The results indicated that flexibility in the bus timetables can reduce transfer waiting times by 20%.

2.2.1. Timetabling considering the Vehicle Scheduling Efficiency

The research field of traditional bus scheduling covers all trips with fixed travel times and locations for the starting and ending points in a timetable [58]. Some studies mainly focused on minimizing the fleet size for a conventional bus service, which is a fixed timetable service with a single depot or single vehicle type [59–64]. Referring to the review of Ibra-Rojas et al. [65], other studies were presented to solve multidepot vehicle scheduling problems [66, 67] and assess the robustness, network topology, and flexibility of different methods [44, 68]. Subsequent studies further improved the integration of vehicle timing and scheduling strategies [53, 69, 70], and other studies considered various practical factors, such as bus ridership, new vehicle types, skip-stop strategies, and functional planning periods, to make the mathematical model as similar to the actual daily bus travel situation as possible [36, 71–73].

2.3. Operational Strategy for Bus System Services

As discussed above, bus holding, dispatching control, and vehicle and crew scheduling can be used as operational strategies to improve the service level of urban public transit. The Transit Capacity and Quality of Service Manual [9] also discusses some other measures, such as transit development plans, transit signal priority, park-and-ride schemes, and ticket pricing adjustment, that involve modern technical methods. However, not all technical measures can provide stable transit services because passenger behaviour influences the service quality of bus systems [85]. Therefore, scholars have considered a series of instances when exploring on-time performance, which has a strong relationship with bus timetables [86]. As a case of bus holding control, time control point rules that were applied in a previous study to evaluate network reliability were also used to solve dynamic engineering problems [23, 87–90]. For example, in recent studies, Van Oort et al. [91] introduced a method to increase the reliability of bus timetables by using the holding point strategy. The on-time arrival and departure characteristics of a bus station timetable were used to measure reliability. Dou et al. [92] developed a novel time control point strategy to obtain the optimal slack time scheme and applied an MINLP model to improve schedule adherence and reduce transfer waiting time. Wang et al. [93] proposed a joint strategy with bus holding and timetabling slack to optimize the locations of control points and formulate a bus timetable considering the uncertainty in the operational process. Other external...
factors, including the headway in a rolling horizon scheme, greenhouse gas emission policy, and bus line capacity, were also considered to integrate the vehicle procurement scheme and timetabling for urban transport [37, 94, 95].

In our previous work, we proposed a slack arrival strategy in which transit vehicles are allowed to reach checkpoints somewhat later than the scheduled departure time and delayed vehicles must leave the checkpoints immediately after serving the boarding and alighting passengers [96]. In this paper, we extended this strategy of time control points to the environment of the COVID-19 epidemic and proposed a reliability evaluation model to quickly acquire and identify which intervals in the bus network would have large arrival delays or transfer synchronization problems in the operational process. The contributions of this paper are listed as follows:

1. A system analysis framework for estimating the overall reliability of the time control point strategy is provided considering the spread of the COVID-19 epidemic in the bus network.
2. A first-order reliability method and Ditlevsen’s bounds theory are integrated to simplify the reliability calculation and improve the operating speed of the bus network.
3. The event tree approach is applied to analyse the real case of Yixing city during the epidemic considering vehicle arrival delays for multiple bus lines.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. Section 3 presents the methodology for calculating the reliability of the bus network, and a first-order reliability method and Ditlevsen’s bounds theory are integrated. Section 4 introduces the case study and provides an analysis of the results. Section 5 presents a summary of the study and gives suggestions for future studies.

3. Methodology

3.1. Problem Description. Generally, the public recognition of major public health emergencies is a gradual process, especially for the transmission route, transmission mechanism, vaccine research, and development cycle, which are difficult to constrain; as a result, the requirements for the formulation of epidemic prevention measures are extensive.

In this outbreak of COVID-19, the Chinese government took a series of active social distancing measures to prevent the spread of the virus and achieved good results. These measures not only reduced human contact but also significantly improved urban traffic conditions, which made it possible for bus stations to adopt operational strategies based on bus timetabling.

Under this timetable system, buses that arrive earlier than the planned arrival time need to wait until the departure time is reached based on the timetabling points established for the bus station, and buses that arrive late leave as soon as possible on the basis of ensuring the service of passengers. The arrival time at each bus station is often accurate to the minute. From the perspective of reliability, if a bus arrives at a station before the expected time, it is considered an on-time arrival, and the bus service is in a “reliable” state. Otherwise, if a bus fails to meet the time point condition, it will be considered late. To determine the overall reliability rate of the system, we must first calculate the reliability of each station interval and then estimate the overall reliability of the bus route. Finally, the event tree analysis method is applied to determine the reliability of the whole public transport network. In the following subsection, we introduce a three-stage reliability calculation framework for the bus timetabling strategy.

3.2. Stage 1: Reliability of the Arrival Interval. According to the previous description, when a bus starts from an upstream station and reaches a downstream station before the specified time point, the bus is considered “reliable.” Therefore, under normal conditions, each interval between two adjacent stations has a planned arrival time $T_{ij}$ and an actual arrival time $A_{ij}$. The performance of the punctuality index $Gr_{ij}$ refers to the difference between these two arrival time points and can be computed from the following formula:

$$Gr_{ij} = T_{ij} - A_{ij}, \quad i \in (1, n - 1), \ j \in (2, n), \ i \neq j,$$

where $i$ and $j$ refer to the corresponding values at two adjacent stations along the same route.

Based on the punctuality index $Gr_{ij}$, we can determine whether vehicles can reach the downstream station according to the bus timetable. If the value of this index is larger than zero, i.e., the vehicle arrives at the downstream station on time, the timetable status is reliable. Otherwise, the time requirements are not met, and the timetable is invalid. We assume that during the epidemic period, passengers can obtain the bus timetable through various information channels in real time. Additionally, to shorten the waiting time of passengers at a bus station, which may lead to the spread of coronavirus, bus companies will adjust the timetables of subsequent bus stations according to the real-time arrival of each bus, and these changes are announced in real time. In the actual operation of a bus timetable, a vehicle often shuttles forward and backward between bus stations, so the travel time difference in each interval can be regarded as a set. By using a mathematical method, we can quantify the reliability and failure characteristics of the timetable for each interval. Therefore, when the bus timetable is dynamically updated, we can assume that the planned time point and actual time point of bus arrival both satisfy the normal distribution, e.g., $T_{ij} \sim (\mu_{T_{ij}}, \sigma_{T_{ij}}^2)$ and $A_{ij} \sim (\mu_{A_{ij}}, \sigma_{A_{ij}}^2)$. Therefore, the punctuality index $Gr_{ij}$ also satisfies the normal distribution, e.g., $Gr_{ij} \sim (\mu_{Gr_{ij}}, \sigma_{Gr_{ij}}^2)$. We can obtain the following result after the standard normal transformation:

$$Gr_{ij} - (\mu_{Gr_{ij}})/\sqrt{\sigma_{Gr_{ij}}^2} \sim (0, 1).$$

By setting $W = Gr_{ij} - (\mu_{Gr_{ij}})/\sqrt{\sigma_{Gr_{ij}}^2}$, we can obtain $Gr_{ij} = W \cdot \sqrt{\sigma_{Gr_{ij}}^2} + (\mu_{Gr_{ij}} - \mu_{A_{ij}})$. If the timetable of a bus line fails, e.g., $Gr_{ij} < 0$, $W < - (\mu_{Gr_{ij}} - \mu_{A_{ij}})$.
The probability of an arrival delay between two adjacent stations due to various factors can be determined by the following formula:

\[ r_{ij} = \frac{\mu_{Tij} - \mu_{Aij}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{Tij}^2 + \sigma_{Aij}^2}} \quad (3) \]

According to the first-order reliability method [97, 98], the probability of an arrival delay between two adjacent stations can be calculated using the following formula:

\[ P(G_{rij} < 0) = P(W < \frac{\mu_{Tij} - \mu_{Aij}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{Tij}^2 + \sigma_{Aij}^2}}), \quad (4) \]

where \( P(G_{rij} < 0) \) refers to the failure probability at the downstream station and the corresponding reliability for a given interval can be calculated according to \( 1 - P(G_{rij} < 0) \).

### 3.3. Stage 2: Reliability of Bus Route

In an actual transit network, a bus route contains several subroutines and often involves multiple intervals. Considering the operational mechanism of a bus timetable, the reliability of a bus route depends on whether the bus can reach stations on time. If the bus is delayed in any interval, it may lead to the failure to reach the downstream bus station according to the timetable; as a result, the subsequent timetable for the bus trip will be in a “nonreliable” state. Therefore, when assessing the overall reliability of the timetable of a bus line, the operational state of the timetable should be considered in each interval of the bus route.

Figure 1 is the operation status diagram for a bus route. The solid line in the figure shows that the travel time difference between two adjacent stations is greater than 0 or equal to 0, e.g., \( G_{rij} \geq 0 \), and the dotted line represents a value less than 0, e.g., \( G_{rij} < 0 \). For example, in scenario (a) in Figure 1, the bus is delayed in interval 1, and the subsequent timetable of the route is invalid. The failure probability can be obtained from the following formula:

\[ P_f = P(F_1). \quad (5) \]

In scenario (b) in Figure 1, part of the arrival delay shifts from interval 1 to interval 2. According to set theory, the failure probability for this situation can be calculated by

\[ P_f = P(F_2 \cap S_1). \quad (6) \]

Similarly, as shown in scenario (c) in Figure 1, when an arrival delay occurs in interval 3, the corresponding probability is

\[ P_f = P(F_3 \cap S_1 \cap S_2). \quad (7) \]

According to set theory, the failure probability of a timetable for a bus route is as follows:

\[ P_f = P(F_1) + P(F_2 \cap S_1) + P(F_3 \cap S_1 \cap S_2) + \cdots + P(F_n \cap S_{n-1} \cap S_{n-2} \cap \cdots \cap S_2 \cap S_1). \quad (8) \]

If the timetable state of each interval on the bus route is regarded as a set, two subsets can be obtained accordingly: the reliable subset \( S \) and failure subset \( F \). The arrivals delays that occur in intervals 2 and 3 in scenarios (b) and (c) in Figure 1 can be expressed as follows:

\[ P_f = P(F_2 \cap S_1) = P(F_2 \cap (R - F_1)) \]

\[ = P(F_2 \cap R) - P(F_2 \cap F_1) \]

\[ = P(F_2) - P(F_2 \cap F_1), \]

\[ P_f = P(F_3 \cap (R - F_2) \cap (R - F_1)) \]

\[ = P((F_3 - F_2 \cap F_3) \cap (R - F_1)) \]

\[ = P(F_3 - F_2 \cap F_3) - P(F_3 \cap F_1) + P(F_3 \cap F_2 \cap F_1). \quad (10) \]

Moreover, the formula for calculating the failure probability of a bus route can be deduced as follows:

\[ P_f = P(F_1) + P(F_2) - P(F_2 \cap F_1) + P(F_3) - P(F_3 \cap F_2) - P(F_3 \cap F_1) + P(F_4 \cap F_2 \cap F_1) + \cdots \]

\[ = \sum_{g=1}^{n} P(F_g) - \sum_{g=h}^{n} \sum_{h<k} P(F_g \cap F_h) + \sum_{g=h<\cdots<k}^{n} P(F_g \cap F_h \cap F_k) - \cdots. \quad (11) \]

Formula (11) shows that the number of calculations required to determine the failure probability for the timetable of a bus route will increase with the number of intervals, which is not conducive to the rapid evaluation of reliability based on the time control point strategy. Therefore, this paper considers using the upper and lower boundary values of the failure probability to simplify the calculation process and improve the computational efficiency. According to the narrow bound theory, the following relationship exists for any adjacent stations along a bus route:

\[ P(S_{n-1} \cap S_{n-2} \cap \cdots \cap S_1) \leq P(S_n) = P(1 - F_n). \quad (12) \]

By applying formulas (11) and (12), we can obtain
3.4. Stage 3: Reliability of a Transit Network. A transit network is composed of bus lines and stations. Bus stations can be regarded as nodes in a network diagram that are connected by the route intervals mentioned above. The overall structure of an urban transit network influences the convenience and timetable reliability of residents’ bus trips and directly affects the operation efficiency of the public transport system. In daily life, residents often expect to be able to transfer through adjacent bus stations or the same station in a short time to conveniently complete their bus trips. Therefore, we assume that if residents transfer more than two times in a single bus trip, they will consider the travel experience poor. Since the novel coronavirus pneumonia outbreak, people have focused on reasonable travel planning and become aware of the risk of transit transfer.

When analysing the reliability of a transit network, under the background of an epidemic situation, it is assumed that the daily bus trips of residents will not include more than 2 transfers. If the residents that travel via bus during the epidemic period are regarded as independent events without mutual interference, the network reliability can be calculated by analysing the travel structure chart with event tree traversal based on the above research results.

Figure 2 shows the event tree structure for passenger bus trips with no more than 2 transfers. Event tree analysis is an analysis method in which a tree view is applied to obtain the entire occurrence process for a series of events. The tree starts from the event occurrence, and the various development directions can be individually assessed. Each development direction is completely independent and has a corresponding event consequence [99]. For bus trips in the context of the COVID-19 epidemic, each branch in the event tree structure diagram represents a bus route that residents may choose when travelling. The output results of the event tree represent various bus routes. The occurrence probability of each failure mode \(F_{M_k}\) in Figure 2 can be obtained by traversing all output results, and the bottleneck areas of the transit network can be identified. Among all the failure modes, those with the highest failure rates are the most likely to fail in the timetable. Additionally, the failure conditions of each bus route are independent, and the failure rate of each output in the event tree must satisfy the following relationship:

\[
P(F_{M_k}) = P(F_{g} \cap F_{p} \cap F_{q})
\]
where $F_{M_r}$ refers to a failed bus timetable and the overall failure rate of the transit network should be the maximum value for all event results. The higher this value is, the lower the reliability of the timetable in the transit network is; conversely, the smaller the value is, the more reliable the timetable is.

4. Results

4.1. Case Study. To test the feasibility and effectiveness of the proposed method, Yixing city is selected as a case study. Yixing is a county-level city managed by Wuxi city, which is located in the southwestern part of Jiangsu province. The city is situated in the centre of the Shanghai–Nanjing–Hangzhou triangle (three large cities in Southeast China) and includes 5 blocks and 13 towns. At present, there are 51 bus lines in Yixing city, with a total length of 246.4 km, an average daily departure frequency of 5400, and an average daily traffic volume of 166,000 persons. The overall density of the public transport network in the city is 1.48 km/km², and the sharing rate of bus travel in the urban traffic structure reaches 26%. Urban public transport has become an important mode of daily travel for Yixing citizens. Figure 3 shows the location of Yixing city.

Yixing Public Transport Co., Ltd., is the only urban public transport enterprise in Yixing city. Since the outbreak of the COVID-19 epidemic in January 2020, rapid and effective adjustments to the bus operation lines in Yixing city have been made. To smooth the operation of the urban public transport system during the Spring Festival and try to reduce the waste of transit capacity resources, the number of bus lines in Yixing city was adjusted on January 20th. Then, the number of bus trips was reduced by two-thirds on January 27th (according to the original plan, one shift was implemented, and then a pause occurred for two shifts) to promote epidemic prevention and control while meeting the basic bus travel needs of residents. When the novel coronavirus pneumonia epidemic entered the severe stage on February 8th, the bus operation schedule in Yixing was adjusted again, and the departure frequency of the 26 main bus routes was further reduced to 2 per day. In the context of the COVID-19 epidemic, Yixing city adopted a timetabling strategy with time control points, and this strategy was implemented through March 25th. Thus, in the process of epidemic prevention and control, Yixing applied a public transport operation control scheme that gradually increased in strictness according to the actual situation, and a bus timetable similar to a time control point strategy was used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bus travel</th>
<th>First bus ride</th>
<th>First transfer</th>
<th>Second transfer</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$F_{M_1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$F_{M_2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$F_{M_3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$F_{M_4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$F_{M_5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$F_{M_6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$F_{M_{m-2}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$F_{M_{m-1}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$F_{M_m}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2:** The structure of the event tree based on bus trips.
for up to two months. Therefore, the analysis data from Yixing city collected between December 1, 2019, and March 31, 2020, are used to evaluate the reliability of the bus timetable system during a major health emergency.

4.2. Data Analysis and Results. Figure 4 shows the flowchart of our study, which uses Yixing data to evaluate the reliability of the bus timetable. As mentioned above, the reliability of the overall system depends on the total number of bus routes and the on-time performance in each interval. The specific process steps are as follows.

(i) Step 1. We cleaned the data for buses entering and leaving the stations and eliminated missing and abnormal bus records.

(ii) Step 2. We divided the extracted punctuality index of the interval travel time into two parts. The first part was the interval travel time in December 2019, which was used to formulate the timetables of bus routes. The second part was the interval travel time between January and March 2020, which was used to verify the reliability of bus timetables during a major public health emergency.

(iii) Step 3. According to the bus timetables, we extracted the planned travel times from upstream to downstream stations. Then, we calculated the travel time differences based on the actual arrival time and made appropriate comparisons. If the travel time difference was larger than 0, we considered the bus timetable “reliable”; otherwise, the bus timetable was “nonreliable.”

(iv) Step 4. We calculated the failure probability of each bus route and constructed the event tree for resident bus travel during the epidemic period. The overall
reliability of the timetable for the urban transit network was calculated and analysed.

To verify the reliability of the bus timetable, the 75% quantiles of the bus travel time in each interval before the outbreak of the epidemic were used as part of the failure criterion in Step 2. If a bus arrives at the downstream station prior to a given time, the timetable is considered reliable. The bus timetable is set in minutes, and the travel times are rounded up to the nearest minute for ease of understanding by residents. Moreover, this study eliminates the data for bus routes used less than 30 times in the same month to avoid the statistical bias caused by data collection.

Figure 5 shows the highest, second-highest, and third-highest failure rates for bus timetables in Yixing from January to March. Under the time control point strategy, the failure rate in January was significantly higher than that in February or March because of the arrival of the Spring Festival and the outbreak of the COVID-19 epidemic. Additionally, the urban traffic conditions significantly improved in late January, and the reliability of the bus timetabling strategy also improved; this improvement lasted until late March.

Figure 6 shows the bus lines that operated steadily in Yixing during the epidemic period and the corresponding failure rate under the time control point strategy. Notably, in the actual operation process, the failure rate of various lines displays certain volatility, but overall, the failure rate in January is higher than that in February or March. Moreover, the failure rate of most bus lines in February was lower than that in March because the residents of Yixing gradually returned to work and school during the later stage of the COVID-19 epidemic; in addition, the bus lines in Yixing resumed normal operation based on the original schedule. In the epidemic prevention process, the reliability of bus timetables gradually improved due to the lack of urban traffic. Furthermore, we used event tree analysis to traverse the timetable failure rate in Yixing city from January to March and found that the failure rate of the transit network was 7.8142 in the early stage of the COVID-19
epidemic outbreak and 4.3306 and 5.0160 in the middle and late stages, respectively. The failure rates of the timetables in the middle and late stages of the epidemic decreased by 44.58% and 35.81% compared with that in the early stage, respectively (Figure 7).

In regard to the evolution of the failure rate, we can see that as the number of bus lines opened increases from 1 to 26, the COVID-19 pandemic has a gradually increasing negative impact on the reliability of bus timetables. However, from the perspective of increment, the failure rate of bus timetables increases and tends to be stable when the bus network has a growing scale of operation. This shows that the negative influence of the bus line number follows the principle of diminishing marginal utility to affect the reliability of the timetable. If the number of bus lines is small, the failure rate of the bus timetable shows a significant increasing trend, and when the number of timetables exceeds 6, the reliability enters a step-by-step decline stage. Thus, when planning the bus timetable, policy makers should pay attention to the relationship between the difficulty of pandemic transmission and the number of bus lines to avoid a high risk of epidemic spread caused by insufficient bus capacity or too many bus lines.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we proposed a reliability analysis framework to evaluate the bus timetabling strategy for a transit network during the COVID-19 epidemic period. By integrating the first-order reliability method and Ditlevsen’s bounds theory, a detailed formula derivation process and calculation steps were included in the analysis framework. Three scenarios, e.g., the early, middle, and late stages of the epidemic outbreak, were considered to verify the validity of the model. The failure rate of the bus timetabling strategy, an important indicator used to reflect the operational reliability of the transit network, can be obtained quickly from statistical computations involving the model output.

The reliability analysis framework developed here can be used to determine how to apply data for buses entering and leaving stations to dynamically determine the punctuality characteristics of the time control point strategy. Event tree analysis was used in this study to compute the timetable failure rate considering the transfer and waiting times of residents at bus stations. Each bus trip in the model was regarded as an independence event, and the events did not affect each other in the probability calculation. Because of the bottleneck effect, the highest value of failure probability for all events was the final rate for the entire transit network. The model calibration results illustrate that, in the context of major health emergencies, it is not only feasible but also important to implement the time control point strategy of “if a bus arrives early, it must wait, and if a bus arrives late, it should leave as quickly as possible.” According to the case study of Yixing city, the failure rate of the bus timetable initially decreased and then increased based on the analysis framework. Compared with that in the early stage of the epidemic, the failure rate in the middle and late stages decreased by 44.58% and 35.81%, respectively. This finding suggests that the reliability of the timetabling strategy increased by at least 35% during the epidemic period.

Overall, the notable innovation of this paper is to provide a refined reliability measurement method based on the failure rate of bus timetables. The calculation framework is a convenient and easily applicable evaluation model which does not consider the topology of bus line network. Compared with the previous studies, the final result can be ideally obtained by traversing the calculation of the failure probability of each round-trip interval. The decision makers could quickly find out the weak point of bus timetable in the network and work out the corresponding solutions. However, because the evaluation process does not consider the influence of the network topology fully, the results may be insufficient in some situation, such as under the environment of extreme congestion. Further research should explore a refined reliability measurement.
method and combine such a method with real-time bus travel data to build a dynamic evaluation model for considering the special environments. And the functional requirements of synchronous multistation evaluation and reliable early warnings for various bus scheduling operation schemes should also be in view in the further reliability framework of bus timetable.
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