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In this article, we consider a class of nonlocal p-Laplace equations with nonlinear boundary conditions. When the nonlinear boundary involves critical exponents, using the concentration compactness principle, mountain pass lemma, and fountain theorem, we can prove the existence and multiplicity of solutions.

1. Introduction

In this article, we study the following problem:

\[
\begin{align*}
- A \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} \, dx \right) \Delta_{p(x)} u & = B \left( \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) \, dx \right) f(x, u), \quad x \in \Omega, \\
|\nabla u|^{p(x)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial v} & = g(x, u), \quad x \in \partial \Omega,
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

where \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N \) (\( N \geq 3 \)) is a bounded domain with smooth boundary, \( \partial \Omega \) is the outer unit normal derivative, \( \Delta_{p(x)} u = \text{div}(|\nabla u|^{p(x)} - 2 \nabla u) \) is the p(x)-Laplace operator, and \( p(x) \) is a continuous function on \( \Omega \), \( 1 < \inf_{x \in \Omega} p(x) \leq \sup_{x \in \Omega} p(x) < N \).

There are many relevant conclusions about the study of p-Laplace equations with critical exponents (see [1–3] and references therein). In [1], the authors studied the following problem:

\[
\begin{align*}
- \Delta_p u + a(x)|u|^{p-2} u & = f(x, u), \quad x \in \Omega, \\
|\nabla u|^{p} \frac{\partial u}{\partial v} & = g(x, u), \quad x \in \partial \Omega,
\end{align*}
\]

(2)

where \( \Delta_p = \text{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) \) with \( 1 < p < N \). Under several conditions on \( f \) and \( g \), the authors proved the existence of infinitely solutions of problem (2). In (2), When the function \( g(x, u) = \eta |u|^{p-2} u, \ 1 < p < N \), the relevant results were obtained in [2].
In [4, 5], the general operator $(p, q)$-Laplacian was considered and also concentration results were produced, while in [6], the existence in bounded sets was proved for a $p$-Laplacian Dirichlet problem via blowup technique. In [7], the generalized critical Schrödinger equations were considered.

As we know, the Lions concentration compactness principle (see [8]) is a basic tool to prove the existence of solutions when handling nonlinear elliptic equations with critical growth. In [9, 10], the authors extended the Lions concentration compactness principle to the variable exponent. In [11–13], by applying the concentration compactness principle (see [9, 10]), the existence of solutions to the $p(x)$-Laplace equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions were studied.

In [14], the following problem,

\[
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta_{p(x)} u + |u|^{p(x)-2}u &= f(x, u), \quad x \in \Omega, \\
|u|^{p(x)-2}\frac{\partial u}{\partial v} &= |u|^{q(x)-2}u, \quad x \in \partial \Omega,
\end{aligned}
\]

was discussed, where $q(x)$ relates to the critical exponent. The authors proved that there are infinitely many small solutions to this problem using the concentration compactness principle (see [5]) and the symmetric mountain pass theorem (see [15]).

With the further study of the problem, Kirchhoff-type equations (also known as nonlocal problems) have also attracted extensive attention from scholars (see [16–19]). In [18], according to the variational method and the $(S)_c$ mapping theorem, he obtained some conclusions on the existence and multiplicity of the problem under weaker assumptions. However, there are few conclusions for Kirchhoff-type equations with critical growth conditions and nonlinear boundary conditions. Therefore, inspired by the above research, this paper discusses the problem in (1). The main results of this article are the following.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose $A(t): \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ and $B(t): \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions which satisfy the following conditions:

(a$_1$) $\exists \alpha_0 > 0$, $\alpha_1 > 0$, such that $\alpha_0 \leq A(s) \leq \alpha_1$, $s \geq 0$;

(a$_2$) $\exists \sigma \in [0, 1]$, $M_1 > 0$, such that $\sigma \tilde{A}(s) \geq A(s)s$, $s \geq M_1$, where $\tilde{A}(s) = \int_0^s A(t)dt$;

(a$_3$) $\exists \lambda > 0$, such that $\lim_{t \to 0^+} \tilde{A}(t)/t^\alpha > 0$;

(b$_1$) $\exists \gamma > 0$, $D_1 > 0$, such that $|\tilde{B}(s)| \leq D_1 + D_1|s|^{\gamma}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\tilde{B}(s) = \int_0^s B(t)dt$;

(b$_2$) $\exists \lambda > 1$, $M_2 > 0$, such that $0 < \lambda \beta \leq B(s) \leq \lambda \beta$, $s \geq M_2$;

(b$_3$) $\exists \beta > 0$, such that $\liminf_{t \to 0} \tilde{B}(t)/|t|^p < +\infty$;

(1) $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the Caratheodory condition, and there exists $D_2 > 0$, such that

\[|f(x, s)| \leq D_2 + D_2|s|^{q(x)-1}, \quad (x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R},\]

where $\theta(x) \in C^+(\overline{\Omega})$, $\theta(x) < p^*(x)$, $p^*(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\infty, & p(x) \geq N, \\
Np(x)/(N-p(x)), & p(x) < N.
\end{array} \right.$

Under the condition that Theorem 1 holds, the following results of this article are the following.

1. When the conditions $\alpha \beta^* > \beta r^*$, $\alpha \beta^* > q^*$, and $\sigma \rho < \lambda \mu$ are satisfying, equation (1) has a nontrivial solution.

**Theorem 2.** Under the condition that Theorem 1 holds, the following hypotheses are also satisfied:

1. (f$_4$) when $x \in \Omega$, $\exists \sigma \in [0, 1]$, $M_1 > 0$, such that $0 < \kappa G(x, t) \leq g(x, s)$, $\lim_{t \to 0} |g(x, t)|^{p(x)-1} < +\infty$ uniformly in $x \in \Omega$.

2. (g$_4$) $\exists \lambda > 0$, $M_1 > 0$, $\lambda \beta > 0$, such that $0 < \kappa G(x, t) \leq g(x, s)$, $\lim_{t \to 0} |g(x, t)|^{p(x)-1} < +\infty$ uniformly in $x \in \Omega$.

2. **Preliminaries**

In this section, we give some properties and definitions of $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ to deal with equation (1). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded region, and let

\[C^r(\overline{\Omega}) = \{ \theta(x): \theta(x) \in C^r(\overline{\Omega}), \theta(x) > 1, \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}, \}
\]

\[\theta^* = \max\{\theta(x): x \in \overline{\Omega},\}
\]

\[\theta^- = \min\{\theta(x): x \in \overline{\Omega},\}
\]

\[L^{p(x)}(\Omega) = \{ w: w \text{ is measurable real \text{- valued function, } } \int_{\Omega} |w(x)|^{p(x)}dx < \infty \}.
\]

We can introduce the norm on $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ by
\[ |w|_{L^p(\Omega)} = |w|_{p(x)} \]
\[ = \inf \left\{ \kappa > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{w(x)}{\kappa} \right|^{p(x)} \, dx \leq 1 \right\} , \]

which is a Banach space.

The definition of space \( W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \) as follows:
\[ W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) = \left\{ w \in L^p(\Omega) : |\nabla w| \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \right\} , \]

if the following norm is introduced:
\[ \|w\| = \inf \left\{ \kappa > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{w(x)}{\kappa} \right|^{p(x)} + \frac{1}{p} |\nabla w(x)|^{p(x)} \, dx \leq 1 \right\} . \]

It is well known that \( W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \) is also a Banach space. Specifically, its dual space is \( W^{1,p^*(x)}(\Omega) \), where \( 1/p^*(x) + 1/p(x) = 1 \). For every \( w \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \) and \( v \in W^{1,p^*(x)}(\Omega) \), we have
\[ \left| \int_{\Omega} w \, dv \right| \leq \left( \frac{1}{p} + 1 \right) \|w\|_{1,p(x)} \|v\|_{1,p^*(x)}. \]

By virtue of Hölder inequality holds (see [20, 21]).

**Proposition 3** (see [20, 21]). Let \( \chi(w) = \int_{\Omega} |w|^{p(x)} \, dx \), \( \forall w \in L^p(\Omega) \); then, we have

1. \( |w|_{p(x)} < 1 \quad (= 1) \Rightarrow \chi(w) < 1 \quad (= 1) \)
2. \( |w|_{p(x)} > 1 \Rightarrow |w|_{p(x)}^{p(x)} \leq \chi(w) \leq |w|_{p(x)}^{p(x)} \quad \|w\|_{p(x)} < 1 \Rightarrow |w|_{p(x)}^{p(x)} \leq \chi(w) \leq |w|_{p(x)}^{p(x)} \)

**A**
\[ A \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla w|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} \, dx \right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^{p(x)-2} \nabla w \cdot \nabla v \, dx - B \left( \int_{\Omega} F(x, w) \, dx \right) \right) \int_{\Omega} f(x, w) \, dx \]
\[ - \int_{\partial \Omega} g(x, w) \, dvS = 0, \]

where \( F(x, s) = \int_0^s f(x, t) \, dt \) and \( dvS \) is the surface measure on \( \partial \Omega \).

Functional \( J \) in \( X \) associated to the equation in equation (1):
\[ J(w) = A \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla w|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} \, dx \right) - B \left( \int_{\Omega} F(x, w) \, dx \right) - \int_{\partial \Omega} G(x, w) \, dvS, \]

**Proposition 4** (see [20, 21])

1. \( W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \) is a reflexive, separable Banach space
2. If \( p \in \mathcal{C}_c(\overline{\Omega}) \), then the embedding from \( W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \) to \( L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \) is continuous and compact.

**Proposition 5** (see [22]). Let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N \) be an open bounded region with a Lipschitz boundary.

Assume that \( p \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\Omega}) \), \( 1 < p^- < p^+ < N \), and that \( v \in \mathcal{C}(\partial \Omega) \) satisfies the condition.
\[ 1 \leq v(x) < \frac{(N-1)p(x)}{N-p(x)}, \quad \forall x \in \partial \Omega. \]

Then, the boundary trace embedding from \( W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \) to \( L^{p(x)}(\partial \Omega) \) is compact, with \( S \) is the embedding constant.

In this paper, we denote \( X : = W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega), \quad X^* : = (W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega))^* \), and we let “” and “” represent weak convergence and strong convergence, respectively.

Below, we give the definition of weak solutions for equation (1).

**Definition 6.** A function \( w_0 \in X \) is a weak solution of equation (1), if, for any \( v \in X \),
\[ (3) |w_n - w|_{p(x)} \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow \chi(w_n - w) \rightarrow 0 \]

\[ \langle f'(w), v \rangle = A \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla w|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} \, dx \right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^{p(x)-2} \nabla w \cdot \nabla v \, dx - B \left( \int_{\Omega} F(x, w) \, dx \right) \int_{\Omega} f(x, w) \, dx \]
\[ - \int_{\partial \Omega} g(x, w) \, dvS, \quad \forall w, v \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega). \]
Definition 7 (see [14]). If any sequence \( \{w_n\} \subset X \), which satisfies that \( \{J(w_n)\} \) is bounded and \( \|J'(w_n)\|_X \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \), has a convergent subsequence, then \( J \) is said to satisfy the Palais–Smale condition (PS condition for short).

**Theorem 8** (see [23]). Assume that \( X \) is a Banach space; \( J \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R}) \) if \( J \) is said to satisfy the (PS) condition and \( J(0) = 0 \). Suppose

\[
\begin{align*}
(1) & \exists H > 0, h > 0: \|u\|_X = H \Rightarrow J(u) > h; \\
(2) & \exists v_0 \in X: \|v_0\| \geq H \text{ and } J(v_0) < h.
\end{align*}
\]

Then, \( J \) has a critical value.

\[
c = \inf_{u \in I} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} J(\omega(t)) \geq h,
\]

where

\[
\Gamma = \{\omega \in C[0, 1]; \omega(0) = 0, \omega(1) = v_0\}.
\]

Let \( X \) be a separable, reflexive Banach space; then,

\[
\exists \{l_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset X, \{l_n^*\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset X^*, \text{ and we have}
\]

\[
l_n^*(l_m) = \begin{cases} 0, n \neq m, \\ 1, n = m, \end{cases}
\]

\[
X = \text{span}\{l_n | n = 1, 2, \ldots\},
\]

\[
X^* = \text{span} \{l_n^* | n = 1, 2, \ldots\}.
\]

For \( j = 1, 2, \ldots \), we have

\[
X_j = \text{span}\{e_j\},
\]

\[
Y_j = \phi_{i=1}^j X_i,
\]

\[
Z_j = \phi_{i=1}^\infty X_i.
\]

**Theorem 9** (see [24]). Let \( J \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R}), \ J(-w) = J(w) \). If, for every \( j \in \mathbb{N} \), there exists \( p_j > r_j > 0 \), such that

\[
(N_1) a_j := \max_{w \in U_j, \|w\| = p_j} J(w) \leq 0, j \to \infty
\]

\[
(N_2) b_j := \inf_{w \in Z_j, \|w\| = r_j} J(w) \to \infty, j \to \infty
\]

\( (N_j) J \) satisfies the (PS) condition for every \( c > 0 \)

Then, \( J \) has an unbounded sequence of critical values.

### 3. Local (PS) Condition

**Lemma 10.** Suppose that functions \( A \) and \( B \) are continuous which satisfy the conditions: \( (a_1)(a_2)(b_1), f \), and \( g \) satisfy the conditions \( (f_1)(f_2)(g_1), \sigma p^* < \eta^- \) and \( \gamma \theta^0 < \rho^* \)

hold. Then, all \( (PS) \) sequences of \( J \) are bounded in \( W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \).

According to the conditions of Lemma 10, we can know that the nonlinear boundary of (1) involves critical exponents and, thus, the inclusion from \( W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \) to \( L^{q(x)}(\partial \Omega) \) loses compactness; we can no longer expect the (PS) condition to hold. However, we can solve this difficulty by using the concentration compactness principle.

We use the following lemma to prove that \( J \) satisfies the local (PS) condition:

**Lemma 11 (see [10]).** Suppose that \( q(x) \) and \( p(x) \) are two continuous functions, such that

\[
1 \leq q(x) \leq p^*(x), 1 < \inf_{x \in \Omega} p(x) \leq \sup_{x \in \Omega} p(x) < N \text{ in } \Omega.
\]

Let \( \{w_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \to w \) in \( W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \), such that

\[
Y_j(x, s) = \int_0^s y(x, t) \, dt,
\]

\[
Z(x, s) = \int_0^s z(x, t) \, dt.
\]

Let us make the following assumptions.

\( (O_1) \exists M > 0 \) and a function \( \eta(x) \in C^1(\bar{\Omega}) \) satisfying

\[
\eta(x) \leq q(x), \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega},
\]

such that \( z \) satisfies

\[
0 < Z(x, s) \leq \frac{s}{\eta(x)} z(x, s), \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}, |s| \geq M.
\]

\( (O_2) \) For \( \eta(x) \) in \( (O_1) \), there exists \( \delta > 0 \) small enough, such that \( y \) satisfies

\[
|y(x, s)| \leq |s|^{(q(x)/1+\delta)-1}, \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}, |s| \geq M.
\]
For convenience, we define

\[ E(w_n) = A\left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla w_n|^p}{p(x)} \, dx \right). \]  

(26)

For a large enough \( \|w_n\| \), according to \((a_2)\), we have

\[ \sigma p^* E(w_n) = \sigma p^* A\left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla w_n|^p}{p(x)} \, dx \right) \]

\[ \geq A\left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla w_n|^p}{p(x)} \, dx \right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_n|^p \, dx = E'(w_n)w_n. \]  

(27)

Under assumptions \((f_1)\), we obtain \( |F(x, s)| \leq D_2 (1 + |s|^{\theta(x)}) \).

The conditions \((O_1), (O_2)\) imply that \( Z(x, s) \geq |s|^{\theta(x)} \), \( \forall x \in \Omega \), when \( |s| \) is large enough.

\[ c + \|w_n\|_{p(x)} \geq J(w_n) - \left\langle J'(w_n), 1 + \delta \eta \right\rangle \]

\[ = E(w_n) - \frac{\sigma p^*}{\eta(x)} E(w_n) - A\left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla w_n|^p}{p(x)} \, dx \right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_n|^{p-2} \, \nabla w_n \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{1 + \delta}{\eta(x)} w_n \right) \, dx + \frac{\sigma p^*}{\eta(x)} E(w_n) \]

\[ -B\left( \int_{\Omega} F(x, w_n) \, dx \right) - \int_{\partial \Omega} G(x, w_n) \, dS + \frac{1 + \delta}{\eta(x)} B\left( \int_{\Omega} F(x, w_n) \, dx \right) \int_{\Omega} w_n f(x, w_n) \, dx + \frac{1 + \delta}{\eta(x)} \int_{\partial \Omega} w_n g(x, w_n) \, dS \]

\[ \geq \left( 1 - \frac{\sigma p^*}{\eta(x)} \right) E(w_n) + \frac{1}{\eta(x)} \left( \sigma p^* E(w_n) - (1 + \delta) E'(w_n)w_n \right) + \left( \frac{\lambda \mu (1 + \delta)}{\eta^+} - 1 \right) B\left( \int_{\Omega} F(x, w_n) \, dx \right) \]

\[ + A\left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla w_n|^p}{p(x)} \, dx \right) \int_{\Omega} \frac{1 + \delta}{\eta(x)} |\nabla w_n|^{p-1} \, \nabla \eta(x) \, dx - \int_{\partial \Omega} G(x, w_n) \, dS + \frac{1 + \delta}{\eta(x)} \int_{\partial \Omega} w_n g(x, w_n) \, dS \]

\[ \geq a_0 \left( \frac{1}{\sigma p} - \frac{1}{\eta} \right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_n|^p \, dx - \Delta_1 \left( \frac{\lambda \mu (1 + \delta)}{\eta^+} - 1 \right) \|w_n\|_{\theta(x)} - a_1 \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{1 + \delta}{\eta(x)} |\nabla \eta(x)| \, |w_n| |\nabla w_n|^{p-1} \, dx \]

\[ + \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\delta}{\eta(x)} Z(x, w_n) \, dS - \int_{\partial \Omega} D_4 |w_n|^{(\theta(x)+1)} \, dS - D_4 |\Omega|. \]

(29)

According to the Young inequality, we obtain
and
\[
|w_n|^{p(x)} \leq \varepsilon_2 |w_n|^{p(x)} + \varepsilon_2^{-\left(\frac{\rho}{\gamma} - \rho\right)}. \tag{31}
\]

According to the embedding theorem (see [19, 20]), it follows that
\[
|w_n|^{q(x)} \leq d_2 \|w_n\|^{q(x)}. \tag{32}
\]

\[
\left(1 + \delta\right)\|\nabla \eta(x)\| |w_n|^{p(x)-1} \leq d_1 |w_n|^{p(x)-1} \leq d_1 \left(\varepsilon_1 |\nabla w_n|^{p(x)} + \varepsilon_1^{1-\rho} |w_n|^{p(x)}\right).
\]

It is not hard to see that
\[
\int_{\Omega} D_d |w_n|^{\left(\gamma(x) + \delta\right)} dS \leq d_3 + \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon_3 |w_n|^{\gamma(x)} dS. \tag{33}
\]
Substitute equations (30)–(33) into the above equation; then,

\[
c + \|w_n\|^{p(x)} \geq d_0 \left(\frac{1}{\sigma \rho^{-1}} - \frac{1}{\eta}\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_n|^{p(x)} dx - D_2 \left(\frac{\lambda \mu (1 + \delta)}{\eta^2} - 1\right) \|w_n\|^{q(x)} - a_1 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \eta(x)| |w_n|^{p(x)-1} dS + \delta \int_{\Omega} \nabla \eta(x)|w_n|^{\gamma(x)} dS - \delta \int_{\Omega} \nabla \eta(x) |w_n|^{\gamma(x)} dS - (d_3 + D_4) |\Omega|.
\]

When the positive constant \(\varepsilon_1 (1, 2, 3)\) is small enough, we have
\[
a_0 \left(\frac{1}{\sigma \rho^{-1}} - \frac{1}{\eta}\right) - a_1 d_2 \varepsilon_2 = a_1 d_1 \varepsilon_1 - a_1 d_1 \varepsilon_1^{1-\rho} \varepsilon_2 d_2 = d_3 > 0,
\]

\[
a_1 d_1 \varepsilon_1^{1-\rho} \varepsilon_2^{-\left(\frac{\rho}{\gamma} - \rho\right)} + d_3 + D_4 = d_5 > 0.
\]

Therefore,
\[
c + \|w_n\|^{p(x)} \geq J(w_n) - \left(\varepsilon_1^{1-\rho} \varepsilon_2^{-\left(\frac{\rho}{\gamma} - \rho\right)} \right) \|w_n\|^{q(x)} - d_3 |\Omega|. \tag{35}
\]
Because \(\gamma_0 < \rho\), \(\{w_n\}\) is bounded in \(W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)\). \(\square\)
Theorem 12. Let \( \{w_n\} \subset W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \) be a PS sequence, with energy level \( c \). If \( c \leq d_1 \cdot S^N(a_0/D_2) (N/p(x)) - d_2 |\Omega| \), then there exists a subsequence \( \{w_{n_j}\} \rightarrow w \) in \( W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \).

Proof. According to Lemma 10, if \( \{w_n\} \) is a PS sequence, it can be concluded that \( \{w_n\} \) is bound in \( W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \). According to Lemma 11, we know that there exists a subsequence \( \{w_{n_j}\} \) (still denoted as \( \{w_{n_j}\} \)), such that

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
\lim_{j \to \infty} w_j &= w \quad \text{in} \quad W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega), \\
\dive \nabla w_{n_j} &= d\mu \geq |\nabla w_{n_j}|^{p(x)} + \sum_{j \in J} \mu_j \delta_{x_j}, & \mu_j > 0, \\
|\nabla w_{n_j}|^{q(x)} - d\mu &= |\nabla w_{n_j}|^{p(x)} + \sum_{j \in J} \nu_j \delta_{x_j}, & \nu_j > 0,
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

(37)

(38)

(39)

(40)

Consider \( \{w_n, \bar{\xi}\} \). As \( J'(\{w_n\}) \rightarrow 0 \) in \( (W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega))^* \), we obtain

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \langle J'(\{w_n\}), \bar{\xi}_{w_n} \rangle = 0,
\]

i.e.,

\[
A \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_{n_j}|^{p(x)} \frac{dx}{p(x)} \right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_{n_j}|^{p(x)-2} \nabla w_{n_j} \cdot \nabla (\bar{\xi} w_{n_j}) dx - B \left( \int_{\Omega} F(x, w_{n_j}) dx \right) \int_{\Omega} f(x, w_{n_j}) \bar{\xi} w_{n_j} dx
\]

\[
- \int_{\partial \Omega} g(x, w_{n_j}) \bar{\xi} w_{n_j} dS \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty.
\]

According to the Hölder inequality, we have

\[
0 \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0, n \to \infty} A \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_{n_j}|^{p(x)} \frac{dx}{p(x)} \right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_{n_j}|^{p(x)-2} \nabla w_{n_j} \cdot \nabla \bar{\xi} \cdot w_{n_j} dx
\]

\[
\leq a_1 \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0, n \to \infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_{n_j}|^{(p(x)-1/p(x))} \right) \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{\xi}|^{p(x)} |w_{n_j}|^{p(x)} dx \right)^{1/p(x)}
\]

\[
\leq a_1 C \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left( \int_{B(x, 2\varepsilon)} |\nabla \bar{\xi}|^{p(x)} |w_{n_j}|^{p(x)} dx \right)^{1/p(x)}
\]

\[
\leq a_1 C \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left( \int_{B(x, 2\varepsilon)} |\nabla \bar{\xi}|^{p(x)} \right)^{1/(1/N)} \left( \int_{B(x, 2\varepsilon)} |w_{n_j}|^{p(x)} dx \right)^{1/p(x)}
\]

\[
\leq a_1 C \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left( \int_{B(x, 2\varepsilon)} |w_{n_j}|^{p(x)} dx \right)^{1/p(x)}
\]

\[
= 0.
\]

It is easy to verify that
\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} f(x, w_n) \xi w_n \, dx = 0. \tag{44}
\]

Hence, from equations (37)–(43), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left[ A \left( \int_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{\nabla w_n}{p(x)} \right] p(x) \, dx \right) \int_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{\nabla w_n}{p(x)} \right] p(x) \xi \, dx - \int_{\partial \Omega} g(x, w_n) \xi w_n \, dS \right] &= 0. \tag{45}
\end{align*}
\]

Then,

\[
A \left( \int_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{\nabla w_n}{p(x)} \right] p(x) \, dx \right) \int_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{\nabla w_n}{p(x)} \right] p(x) \xi \, dx = \int_{\partial \Omega} g(x, w_n) \xi w_n \, dS,
\]

\[
a_0 \int_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{\nabla w_n}{p(x)} \right] p(x) \xi \, dx \leq D_3 \int_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{g(x)}{p(x)} \right] \xi \, dx,
\]

\[
a_0 \int_{\Omega} \xi \, d\mu \leq D_3 \int_{\Omega} \xi \, d\nu.
\tag{46}
\]

When \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), we conclude that \( \mu_i \geq a_0/D_3 \mu_i \). Then, through equation (39), we obtain \( \mu_i \geq S \left( (a_0/D_3) \right)^{1/p(x)} \), which suggests that

\[
\mu_i \geq S \left( \frac{a_0}{D_3} \right)^{\left( \frac{1}{p(x)} \right)} \quad \text{or} \quad \mu_i = 0. \tag{47}
\]

Suppose that the first case \( \mu_i \geq S \left( \frac{a_0}{D_3} \right)^{\left( \frac{1}{p(x)} \right)} \) is true; for some \( i \in I \),

\[
\begin{align*}
c &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( J(w_n) - \left< J'(w_n), \frac{1 + \delta}{\eta(x)} w_n \right> \right)
\geq d_4 \cdot \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{\nabla w_n}{p(x)} \right] p(x) \, dx \right) - d_5 |\Omega|
= d_4 \cdot \int_{\Omega} \, d\mu - d_5 |\Omega|.
\end{align*}
\]

In fact, it is clear that

\[
\langle f'(w_n) - f'(w), w_n - w \rangle \to 0, \quad n \to \infty. \tag{51}
\]

Using the Hölder inequality and the fact

\[
|w_n - w|_{p(x)} \to 0, \quad n \to \infty,
\]

we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} (f(x, w_n) - f(x, w))(w_n - w) \, dx &\leq |f(x, w_n) - f(x, w)|_{q(x)} |w_n - w|_{p(x)} \to 0, \quad n \to \infty. \tag{52}
\end{align*}
\]
Because \( \{w_n\} \longrightarrow w \) in \( L^q(\partial \Omega) \), according to Proposition 5, we obtain that \( W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \) is compactly embedded \( L^q(\partial \Omega) \). Thus, we obtain
\[
\int_{\partial \Omega} (g(x, w_n) - g(x, w))(w_n - w)dS \longrightarrow 0, n \longrightarrow \infty.
\]

Through equations (51)–(53), we can deduce that
\[
\|w_n - w\|_{p(\cdot)} = A \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla w_n - \nabla w|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx \right) \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla w_n|^{p(x)} - |\nabla w|^{p(x)} - |\nabla w|^{p(x)} - |\nabla w| \right)
\]
\[
(\nabla w_n - \nabla w)dx \longrightarrow 0, n \longrightarrow \infty.
\]

It is known that
\[
(\|u\|^{p-2} - |v|^{p-2} v, u - v) \geq \begin{cases} 
  c_p |u - v|^2 & \forall p \leq 2, \\
  c_p |u - v|^p & \forall p \geq 2,
\end{cases}
\]

Combining equations (54) and (55), we can deduce that
\[
\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla w_n - \nabla w|^{p(x)}) dx \longrightarrow 0, n \longrightarrow \infty.
\]

Thus, according to Proposition 3 (3), we can prove that \( \|w_n - w\|_{p(\cdot)} \longrightarrow 0, n \longrightarrow \infty. \)

4. The Proof of Main Results

Proof of Theorem 1. We use the mountain pass theorem to find critical values below level \( c \); thus, we need to verify that the functional \( J \) satisfies Theorem 8.

According to Lemma 10, the function \( J \) satisfies the local (PS) condition. Apparently, \( J(0) = 0 \).

First, we verify \( (L_1) \). If \( \|w\| = H \) is small enough, then
\[
J(w) = A \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla w|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx \right) - B \left( \int_{\Omega} F(x, w)dx \right) - \int_{\partial \Omega} G(x, w)dS
\]
\[
\geq C_1 \|w\|^{ap^*} - C_2 \|w\|^{br^*} - \int_{\partial \Omega} C_3 |w|^{q(x)}dS
\]
\[
\geq C_1 \|w\|^{ap^*} - C_2 \|w\|^{br^*} - C_3 \|w\|^{r^*}.
\]

We define \( T(t) = C_1 t^{ap^*} - C_2 t^{br^*} - C_3 t^{r^*} \). Because \( ap^* < br^* \) and \( ap^* < q^* \), we can easily obtain that \( T(H) > h > 0 \) for some \( H \) sufficiently small.

Next, we verify \( (L_2) \). For sufficiently large \( s > 0 \), from \( (a_2) \) it follows that \( A(s) \leq C_4 s^s \); through \( (f_1) \), \( (f_2) \), we get that \( F(x, s) \geq |s|^p \); \( (b_2) \) implies that \( B(s) \geq C_4 |s|^l \); \( (g_1) \) and \( (g_3) \) imply that \( G(x, s) \geq |s|^{q(x)} \).

Next, we fix \( \omega \in W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \), and then we obtain
\[
J(t\omega) \leq C_6 t^{ap^*} - C_7 t^{br^*} - C_8 t^{r^*}.
\]

For \( t \) large enough, let \( v_0 = t\omega \); because \( ap^* < \lambda \mu \), \( J(v_0) = J(t\omega) \longrightarrow -\infty \) as \( t \longrightarrow +\infty \).

We can draw the subsequent results from the Fountain theorem, which is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.8 in [25].

Proof of Theorem 2. We prove the result using Theorem 9. From \( (f_2) \) and \( (g_2) \), it can be known that the functional \( J \) is an even energy functional and satisfies the local (PS) condition.

We assume that the \( \|w\| > 1 \); thus,
\[ J(w) = \frac{\lambda}{p} \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla w|^p dx}{p(x) - \mathcal{L}^1} - \int_{\partial \Omega} F(x, w) ds \right) \geq \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla w|^p dx}{p(x) - \mathcal{L}^1} \right) - c_1 \left( \int_{\Omega} |w|^{r(x)} dx \right)^\beta - \int_{\partial \Omega} c_5(1 + |w|^q) ds \\
\geq \frac{1}{p} \|w\|^{ap} - c_3 \max \left\{ \|w\|_{L^r(\Omega)}, \|w\|_{L^s(\Omega)}, \|w\|_{L^t(\Omega)} \right\} - c_4. \]

We obtain the function below if \( \max \left\{ \|w\|_{L^r(\Omega)}, \|w\|_{L^s(\Omega)}, \|w\|_{L^t(\Omega)} \right\} = \|w\|_{L^r(\Omega)} \):

\[ J(w) \geq \frac{1}{p} \|w\|^{ap} - c_3 \max \left\{ \|w\|_{L^r(\Omega)}, \|w\|_{L^s(\Omega)}, \|w\|_{L^t(\Omega)} \right\} - c_4 \]

\[ = \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \|w\|^{ap} - c_4. \]

Now, we take \( r_k = \|w\| = (r \cdot c_k)^{1/(ap - \beta r)} \); accordingly, we have

\[ J(w) \geq \frac{1}{p} \|w\|^{ap} - c_3 \left( \frac{1}{p} \right) \|w\|^{ap} - c_4 \]

\[ = \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \|w\|^{ap} - c_4. \]

Because \( \alpha_j \to 0 \), \( r_j \to \infty \), and \( r > p^* \), \( J(w) \to \infty \).

For the other cases, using a similar method, we obtain \( J(w) \to \infty \), since \( \alpha_j \to 0 \), \( \beta_j \to 0 \), \( j \to \infty \).

Thus, \( (N_2) \) is true.

According to \((f_2)\) and \((g_2)\), we obtain

\[ F(x, s) \geq c_6|s|^\delta - c_5, \quad \forall (x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}, \]

\[ G(x, s) \geq c_4|s|^\gamma - c_6, \quad \forall (x, s) \in \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R}. \]

Let \( w \in Y_j \); then, we have

\[ J(w) \leq \frac{1}{p} \|w\|^{ap} - \left( c_5 \int_{\Omega} |w|^{p - \beta r} dx \right) - \int_{\partial \Omega} (c_6|w|^\gamma - c_5) ds \]

\[ \leq \frac{1}{p} \|w\|^{ap} - \left( c_5 \int_{\Omega} |w|^{p - \beta r} dx \right) - c_6 \int_{\partial \Omega} |w|^\gamma ds + c_7. \]

Because \( \dim Y_j < \infty \), all norms on \( Y_j \) are equivalent. Therefore,

\[ J(w) \leq \frac{1}{p} \|w\|^{ap} - c_5 \|w\|^{p - \beta r} - c_6 \|w\|^\gamma + c_7. \]

When \( \|w\| \to \infty \), we have \( a_j \to -\infty \) and \( \alpha > \lambda \mu > \alpha p^* \). Thus, \( (N_1) \) is true.

On the basis of the proofs of \((N_1)\) and \((N_2)\), we let \( \rho_j > r_j > 0 \). Then, the conclusion is valid. \( \square \)
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