Comprehensive Analysis of Novel Genes and Pathways Associated with Osteogenic Differentiation of Adipose Stem Cells
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Background. Adipose-derived stem cells (ADSCs) are an important alternative source of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) and show great promise in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine applications. However, identifying the novel genes and pathways and finding the underlying mechanisms regulating ADSCs osteogenic differentiation remain urgent.

Methods. We downloaded the gene expression profiles of GSE63754 and GSE37329 from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) Database. We derived differentially expressed genes (DEGs) before and after ADSC osteogenic differentiation, followed by Gene Ontology (GO) functional and KEGG pathway analysis and protein-protein interaction (PPI) network analysis. 211 differentially expressed genes (142 upregulated genes and 69 downregulated genes) were aberrantly expressed. GO analysis revealed that these DEGs were associated with extracellular matrix organization, protein extracellular matrix, and semaphorin receptor binding.

Conclusions. Our study provides novel genes and pathways that play important roles in regulating ADSC osteogenic differentiation, which may have potential therapeutic targets for clinic.

1. Introduction

Millions of patients around the world suffer from bone defects caused by tumors, infections, and trauma, and their repair and treatment are a major problem [1]. Over 10 million bone transplants are performed worldwide each year, and the number is still growing at a rate of 10% annually [2]. Presently, autologous bone transplantation and alloge- netic bone transplantation are the two main surgical options for treating bone defects and nonunion [3, 4]. Autologous bone transplants are a common treatment for bone defects, but their use is limited due to their limited source, poor plasticity, and damaging to the donor site [5]. Allogenic bone transplantation results in a significantly higher rate of postoperative complications, which has exceeded 30%, and includes fractures, insufficiency, and infections [6, 7].

By creating replacements for natural bone grafts, bone tissue engineering aims to address the shortcomings [8]. As well as having the ability to form on demand, it should also easy to be prepared. Several advances have been made recently, including the development of human ADSCs that can perform paracrine functions as well as multilineage differentiation [9–11]. As a result of their properties, ADSCs are especially useful in bone tissue engineering. Transplanting human ADSCs as a prospective treatment has recently received some attention [12, 13]. The treatment of bone trauma with autolo- gous cells is therefore relatively promising. Transcriptional, posttranscriptional, and epigenetic factors regulate osteogenic differentiation of ADSCs [14]. A high-efficiency osteoinduc- tive factor is also required as part of repairing bone tissue [15]. Wnt, PI3K/Akt, and MAPK signaling pathways were associated with osteogenic differentiation of ADSCs [16]. So, we have focused on developing osteoinductive factors that are effective. To uncover the molecular mechanisms of osteogenesis, further research is required.

ADSCs have become a hot spot in bone tissue engineer- ing research because of their abundant sources and easy access to materials. However, the molecular biological
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2. Methods

2.1. Data Collection. Transcript profile data on osteogenic differentiation between ADSCs and noninduced ADSCs were derived from NCBI GEO databases (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/)

GSE63754 (3 undifferentiated ADSCs and 3 osteogenic differentiated ADSCs) and GSE37329 (3 ADSCs and 2 ADSC-derived osteocytes) are the accession numbers for the collections. All of these ADSCSDs were collected from human tissues and underwent osteogenic induction.

2.2. Identification of Differentially Expressed Gene. R software and Bioconductor packages were applied to data mining and statistical analyses. The Limma package was subsequently used for identifying DEGs.

\[ P < 0.05 \text{ and } \log_2 \text{fold change (log2FC)} > 1 \text{ or } < -1 \]

were considered as the cutoff values for DEGs to be considered statistically significant. R software was used to produce heat maps of common significant differentially expressed genes between GSE63754 and GSE37329.

2.3. GO and KEGG Enrichment Analysis. GSEA was performed using GSEA 3.0 (http://www.broadinstitute.org/gsea/). Geneset with a \( P \) value less than 0.05 was considered to be significantly enriched. GO enrichment analysis was performed using the Gene Ontology Consortium Enrichment analysis tool (http://www.geneontology.org). To analyze the enriched biological processes (BPs), cellular components (CCs), molecular functions (MFs), and pathways of DEGs, GO analysis and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis were performed with the online tools DAVID (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/) and MetADSCsape (http://metADSCsape.org).

2.4. PPI Network Construction. STRING database (http://string-db.org) and Cytoscape software (Version 3.4.0) were used to identify 142 upregulated DEGs and 69 downregulated DEGs. The network was visualized using Cytoscape, a widely-used tool for exploring interactions among biomolecules, including proteins and genes.

2.5. Statistical Methods. These statistics were generated using the R software and were two-sided. \( P \) values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. DEGs of GSE63754 and GSE37329. Differential gene expression analyses were visualized by volcano plots. To explore the biological classification of the DEGs overlapping in the dataset, all genes were identified in the two datasets using DAVID and MetADSCsape software. The genes are commonly regulated \( (P < 0.05 \text{ and } \log_2 \text{fold change (log2FC)} > 1 \text{ or } < -1) \) in GSE63754. Then, we compared the gene expression
Figure 2: Continued.
profiles between ADSCs before and after osteogenic induction by using GSEA. Figures 1(a)–1(c) show DEGs in GSE63754. Figures 1(d)–1(f) show DEGs in GSE37329.

3.2. GO and KEGG Pathway Enrichment Analysis. Through GO and KEGG analysis, we found that DEGs of GSE63754, extracellular matrix organization, ossification, bone mineralization, regulation of inflammatory response, and bone remodeling were mainly showed enrichment in the biological process (BP) categories. As for cellular component (CC) categories, collagen-containing extracellular matrix, high-density lipoprotein particle, plasma lipoprotein particle, plasma lipoprotein particle, and protein-lipid complex were detected; and in molecular function (MF), receptor ligand activity, extracellular matrix structural constituent, growth factor activity, Wnt-protein binding, and cytokine receptor binding. With regard to KEGG pathway, cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction, ECM-receptor interaction, PI3K-Akt signaling pathway, PPAR...
signaling pathway, and cholesterol metabolism were the top pathways involved in the osteogenic differentiation of ADSCs (Figures 2(a)–2(d)). Gene Ontology analyses of upregulated and downregulated DEGs are listed in Tables 1 and 2.

In GSE37329 dataset, regulation of blood pressure, chemokine production, regulation of inflammatory response, regulation of chemokine production, and regulation of fat cell differentiation were detected in BP. In CC categories, we found collagen-containing extracellular matrix, synaptic membrane, presynapse, exocytic vesicle, and transport vesicle were mainly shown. As for MF, glycosaminoglycan binding, G protein-coupled peptide receptor activity, peptide receptor activity, Wnt-protein binding, and extracellular ing, G protein-coupled peptide receptor activity, peptide cleavage were mainly shown. As for MF, glycosaminoglycan binding, membrane, presynapse, exocytic vesicle, and transport vesicle were mainly shown. As for MF, glycosaminoglycan binding, membrane, presynapse, exocytic vesicle, and transport vesicle were mainly shown.

### Table 2: Gene Ontology analyses of downregulated DEGs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ontology</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>GeneRatio</th>
<th>BgRatio</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>P.adjust</th>
<th>Q value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>GO: 0010721</td>
<td>Negative regulation of cell development</td>
<td>12/66</td>
<td>344/18670</td>
<td>2.55e-09</td>
<td>5.10e-06</td>
<td>3.85e-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>GO: 0060537</td>
<td>Muscle tissue development</td>
<td>12/66</td>
<td>408/18670</td>
<td>1.71e-08</td>
<td>1.71e-05</td>
<td>1.29e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>GO: 0014706</td>
<td>Striated muscle tissue development</td>
<td>11/66</td>
<td>390/18670</td>
<td>1.10e-07</td>
<td>7.34e-05</td>
<td>5.54e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>GO: 0051961</td>
<td>Negative regulation of nervous system development</td>
<td>9/66</td>
<td>315/18670</td>
<td>1.57e-06</td>
<td>6.29e-04</td>
<td>4.75e-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>GO: 0005913</td>
<td>Cell-cell adherens junction</td>
<td>4/66</td>
<td>117/19717</td>
<td>6.39e-04</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>GO: 0045121</td>
<td>Membrane raft</td>
<td>6/66</td>
<td>315/19717</td>
<td>6.44e-04</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>GO: 0098857</td>
<td>Membrane microdomain</td>
<td>6/66</td>
<td>316/19717</td>
<td>6.55e-04</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>GO: 0098589</td>
<td>Membrane region</td>
<td>6/66</td>
<td>328/19717</td>
<td>7.95e-04</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>GO: 0016342</td>
<td>Catenin complex</td>
<td>2/66</td>
<td>29/19717</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MF</td>
<td>GO: 0045499</td>
<td>Chemorepellent activity</td>
<td>4/63</td>
<td>27/17697</td>
<td>2.41e-06</td>
<td>5.22e-04</td>
<td>4.61e-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MF</td>
<td>GO: 0001158</td>
<td>Enhancer sequence-specific DNA binding</td>
<td>5/63</td>
<td>119/17697</td>
<td>6.50e-05</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MF</td>
<td>GO: 0030215</td>
<td>Semaphorin receptor binding</td>
<td>3/63</td>
<td>23/17697</td>
<td>7.24e-05</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MF</td>
<td>GO: 0035326</td>
<td>Enhancer binding</td>
<td>5/63</td>
<td>133/17697</td>
<td>1.10e-04</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MF</td>
<td>GO: 0005539</td>
<td>Glycosaminoglycan binding</td>
<td>6/63</td>
<td>229/17697</td>
<td>1.61e-04</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEGG</td>
<td>hsa04550</td>
<td>Signaling pathways regulating pluripotency of stem cells</td>
<td>5/40</td>
<td>143/8076</td>
<td>6.48e-04</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEGG</td>
<td>hsa04350</td>
<td>TGF-beta signaling pathway</td>
<td>4/40</td>
<td>94/8076</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.3. Overlapping DEGs of Datasets. The commonly and differentially expressed genes in GSE63754 and GSE37329 during osteogenic differentiation of ADSCs were identified (P < 0.05 and log2 fold change (log 2FC) > 1 or < -1). To investigate the biological classification of the 142-overlapping upregulated DEGs and 69-overlapping downregulated DEGs, DAVID and MetADSCsape software packages were used to identify genes in the two datasets. In 142 upregulated overlapping DEGs, we found that positive regulation of secretion and positive regulation and fatty acid degradation were in the center of GO network. In 69-overlapping downregulated DEGs, striated muscle tissue development and muscle tissue development were significantly different (Figures 3(a)–3(d)).

### 3.4. Key Candidate Gene Identification with DEG PPI Network. Based on the STRING online database and Cytoscape software, DEG protein–protein interaction (PPI) network complex was constructed. We collected 142-overlapping upregulated DEGs and 69-overlapping downregulated DEGs to create the PPI network. The central node genes might potentially play an important role in regulating ADSC osteogenic differentiation (Figure 4).

### 4. Discussion

In the field of tissue engineering, the use of biocompatible scaffolds has increased in recent years [22]. The ability to self-renew, the proliferation potential, and the multipotency of ADSCs make them attractive for regenerative medicine applications [23, 24]. Since ADSCs are readily available and easy to obtain in large quantities, they have become promising seed cells for bone tissue engineering [25]. In order for ADSC-based therapies to be successful in vivo, they must be paired with a substance that facilitates their osteogenic differentiation in vivo [26]. Thus, it is critical that we understand the molecular mechanisms that underlie osteogenic differentiation in ADSCs.

We firstly analysed GSE63754 (3 undifferentiated ADSCs and 3 osteogenic differentiated ADSCs) and GSE37329 (3 ADSCs and 2 ADSC-derived osteocytes). Because of this study, we found 211 significant DEGs common to both microarrays (142 upregulated and 69 downregulated). The most enrichment is extracellular matrix organization in the BP category. The extracellular matrix is an active factor in cellular differentiation, and modifying its composition can greatly influence osteogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells (Hwang et al., 2015). Other BP, such as ossification, bone mineralization, regulation of inflammatory response, and bone
remodeling, were also showed enrichment. ADSCs undergo osteogenic differentiation, thus, a regulation of genes negatively related to cell proliferation is observed.

In CC categories, collagen-containing extracellular matrix, high-density lipoprotein particle, plasma lipoprotein particle, plasma lipoprotein particle, and protein-lipid complex showed the highest enrichment score. It is interesting to note that two of the top eight CCs that are highly associated with ADSC osteogenic differentiation are either located in the extracellular space or are located in the cell membrane, indicating that cell-to-cell signaling plays a crucial role in osteogenic differentiation. In MF categories, except chemorepellent activity, enhancer sequence-specific DNA binding, and semaphorin receptor binding, Wnt-protein binding and Wnt-activated receptor activity are most important factors. ADSCs differentiate into osteoblasts through Wnt proteins, and bone formation occurs via these proteins. It is possible that disrupting Wnt signaling pathway might significantly affect bone regeneration and remodeling [27–29]. ADSCs differ in their osteogenic differentiation in response
to a variety of signaling pathways, including ERK1/2, Wnt, PI3K/Akt, and BMP-Smad. These proteins enable ADSCs to differentiate into osteoblasts and lead to bone formation. In KEGG pathway, the top significantly changed pathways of upregulated genes are related to drug metabolism-cytochrome P450, tyrosine metabolism, fatty acid degradation, cholesterol metabolism, retinol metabolism, and PPAR signaling pathway. ADSCs that differentiate into osteoblasts have downregulated genes influenced by the adipogenesis pathway.

Figure 4: Key candidate gene identification with DEG PPI network. PPI network of the common significant differentially expressed genes was constructed. A total of 142-overlapping upregulated DEGs and 69-overlapping downregulated DEGs were identified by Cytoscape. PPI: protein–protein interaction.
ADSCs were targeted by several pathways which affected osteogenic differentiation and, as a result, affected bone formation. In our study, we constructed a PPI network which is composed of the associated genes. PODXL is a negatively charged sialic acid glycoprotein, belonging to the type I transmembrane glycoprotein, which has been reported to be associated with poor prognosis in oral squamous cell carcinoma, colon cancer, glioblastoma, and breast cancer and has an impact on cell adhesion and migration. It has a promoting effect, and PODXL is an important condition for maintaining the stability of the pod cytoskeleton [30]. SEMA3D is a member of the class III semaphorin family and is a marker of osteoarthritis. Class III semaphorins are involved in normal bone homeostasis and bone pathology and have a complex relationship between osteoblasts and osteoclasts which has the potential to treat bone disease [31]. The ADGRG6 single nucleotide polymorphism is associated with human height, and its deletion in osteoblasts may delay osteoblast differentiation and bone formation, resulting in shortened body length and reduced bone mass in mice [32–34]. CADM3 is an immunoglobulin adhesion molecule belonging to the Nectin molecule-like family of proteins [35]. The constitutive expression level of RERG in calvaria was 1000-fold higher than in femoral osteoblasts; during osteogenic induction, RERG expression was downregulated in calvarial osteoblasts and upregulated in femoral osteoblasts [20]. The osteocytes of the skull are fundamentally different from those of the femur and respond differently to a range of stimuli. These site-specific differences may have important implications in developing strategies to address metabolic bone disease [36]. APCDD1 is an inhibitor of Wnt signaling pathway, which can promote the adipogenic differentiation and lipid anabolism of bone marrow stromal cells [37]. NRCAM may modulate geometric parameters of the femoral neck and contribute to an improved understanding of osteoporosis and pathophysiologial mechanisms [38, 39]. The target genes supported by these literatures are related to bone homeostasis, osteogenic differentiation, bone diseases, and metabolism, which supports the feasibility of this study to explore the underlying molecular mechanisms during the osteogenic differentiation of adipose-derived stem cells [40].

The drawback of our study is the lack of functional cellular and animal experiments for validation to explore the occurrence, development, and molecular biology of osteogenic differentiation of ADSCs. In conclusion, based on two transcript profile data on osteogenic differentiation between ADSCs and noninduced ADSC datasets and comprehensive analysis, we have identified several genes and pathways that could be crucial to osteogenic differentiation of ADSCs. Understanding of how ADSCs differentiate into osteoblasts could be improved significantly by our new findings. Moreover, manipulation of these genes and pathways may lead to bone regeneration and tissue engineering.
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