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SN-38 Sensitizes BRCA-Proficient Ovarian Cancers to PARP Inhibitors through Inhibiting Homologous Recombination Repair
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As a multifunctional protein posttranslational modification enzyme in eukaryotic cells, Poly-ADP-ribose polymerase (PARP) acts as a DNA damage sensor, which helps to repair DNA damage through recruiting repair proteins to the DNA break sites. PARP inhibitors offer a significant clinical benefit for ovarian cancer with BRCA1/2 mutations. However, the majority of ovarian cancer patients harbor wild-type (WT) BRCA1/2 status, which narrows its clinical application. Here, we identified a small compound, SN-38, a CPT analog, which sensitizes BRCA-proficient ovarian cancer cells to PARP inhibitor treatment by inhibiting homologous recombination (HR) repair. SN-38 treatment greatly enhanced PARP inhibitor olaparib induced DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) and DNA replication stress. Meanwhile, the combination of SN-38 and olaparib synergistically induced apoptosis in ovarian cancer. Furthermore, combination administration of SN-38 and olaparib induced synergistic antitumor efficacy in an ovarian cancer xenograft model in vivo. Therefore, our study provides a novel therapeutic strategy to optimize PARP inhibitor therapy for patients with BRCA-proficient ovarian cancer.

1. Introduction

As the genetic material for all the living cells, DNA is fragile and easily damaged by endogenous and exogenous sources including reactive oxygen species (ROS), environmental and dietary carcinogens, and radiation [1]. In response to various types of damage, cells activate complicated signal cascades, which help the cell to repair the damaged DNA before dividing [2]. Cell fate after DNA damage was determined by factors involved in DNA damage recognition, repair, and injury tolerance, as well as activation of apoptosis, necrosis, autophagy, and senescence [3]. And these pathways that determine cell fate are not independent of each other [4]. The signaling pathways that are associated with DNA damage and repair play key roles in the initiation and progression of cancer [5]. They are also important in determining the outcome of cancer treatment with genotoxic drugs. Developing drugs or therapies based on the molecular basis of these pathways is important to optimize cancer treatment [6]. Currently, a number of cancer therapeutics
are designed to induce unreparable DNA damage in cancer cells, such as tumor radiotherapy and chemotherapy [7].

As a multifunctional protein posttranslational modifying enzyme, PARP catalyzes poly-ADP-ribosylation on various substrate proteins, and it is a key protein in base excision repair (BER) [8]. When DNA damage occurs, PARP1 and its homolog PARP2, which are the first responders of DNA damage, recognize the damage site firstly, and then, they recruit other repair proteins to complete the damage repair process [9]. PARP inhibitor binds to PARP1/2 and inhibits their enzymatic activity, resulting in the accumulation of unreparable single-strand breaks (SSB) and finally transformed into the double-strand breaks (DSBs), which highly rely on homologous recombination- (HR-) mediated pathway to repair. Thus, cells with HR repair deficiency are particularly susceptible to PARP inhibition. Taking advantage of this principle, PARP inhibitor is developed, and it is the first anticancer drug successfully approved for clinical use by using the concept of synthetic lethality [10, 11]. Therefore, HR repair capacity is the primary factor that
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**Figure 1:** SN-38 inhibits homologous recombination repair in BRCA-proficient ovarian cancer cells. (a) Schematic diagram of HR reporter system. The expression of wild-type GFP can be rescued only by HR repair, resulting in GFP fluorescence. (b) HR repair activities were measured in cells treated with SN-38 (1 μM) or control (Ctrl). Data represent the mean ± SD, n = 3 per group. *P < 0.05, by 2-tailed t-test. (c) Immunostaining analysis of IR-induced Rad51 in SN-38 (10 μM) treated or untreated A2780 cells. **P < 0.01, by 2-tailed t-test.
determines the PARP inhibitor efficacy; if the HR pathway is also dysfunctional at this time, it will produce a synthetic lethal effect, to have a stronger killing effect on tumor cells [12].

Synthetic lethality is a process in which defects in two different genes or pathways jointly lead to cell death. PARP inhibitor is the first FDA-approved anticancer drug, which utilizes this concept and specifically kills cancer cells with impaired HR repair capacity [13]. However, in BRCA1/2-proficient ovarian cancers, PARP inhibitors’ therapeutic effects are relatively low [14]. How to improve the therapeutic effects of PARP inhibitor in BRCA1/2-proficient ovarian cancers is still an urgent problem needed to be solved at this stage [15]. In this study, we identified a compound SN-38, an analog of the natural compound camptothecin (CPT), potently inhibited HR repair activity and sensitized ovarian cancer cells to PARP inhibitor treatment in vitro and in vivo. SN-38 (7-ethyl-10-hydroxycamptothecin), a TOP1 inhibitor, is an active metabolite of irinotecan, which is widely used in ovarian cancer treatment [16–18]. Therefore, our study provided a novel strategy and potential drug candidate to optimize future PARP inhibitor therapy in ovarian cancer patients.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Cell Culture. Tow BRCA1/2-proficient ovarian cancer cell lines including A2780 and OVCAR3 were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). Cells were grown in RPMI 1640 medium (ATCC modification) (Gibco, Thermo Fisher, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Hyclone, Thermo Fisher, USA) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Corning, USA). Each cell line was passage every 3–6 days. All cells were maintained at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ and 95% air atmosphere incubator.

2.2. Reagents. Anti-β-actin (sc-47778) antibody was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA). Anti-Ki67 (#9027) and anti-cleaved caspase 3 (#9579) antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA). Anti-γH2AX (05-636) antibody was purchased from Millipore (Billerica, MA). Anti-pRPA2 S33 (A300-246A) and anti-RPA2 (A300-244A) antibodies were purchased from Bethyl Laboratories (Montgomery, TX). Olaparib and SN-38 were obtained from Selleckchem (Houston, TX). Cells were transfected with indicated plasmids using Lipofectamine™ 3000 transfection reagent.
2.3. HR Repair Reporter Assays. We used U2OS-DRGFP cells that harbor a chromosome-integrated DR-GFP reporter to measure HR efficiency. U2OS-DR-GFP cells were equally planted into two 60 mm cell culture dishes as the control group and the experimental group. Cells were transfected with 3 μg of I-SceI expression plasmid pCBA-Sce-I using Lipofectamine™ 3000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). 24 hours after the transfection, cells were treated with 1 μM of SN-38 or DMSO, and 24 hours after treatment, cells were collected and subjected to flow cytometry analysis to determine percentages of GFP-positive cells.

2.4. Immunofluorescence Analysis. A2780 cells grown in the chamber slider were firstly irradiated with 10 Gy of radiation and then treated with or without SN-38 (10 μM) for 2 hours. After treatment, cells were washed with PBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature. Cells were then permeabilized with 0.3% Triton-100 for 10 min on ice. After extensively washing with PBS, cells were incubated with primary antibodies including Rad51 (1: 200) and immunostaining (c, d) analysis of γH2AX. Scale bar is 25 μM. The percentage of γ-H2AX-positive cells (≥5 foci) and the number of γ-H2AX foci per cell was determined by counting at least 100 cells from each sample. Data were represented as the mean ± SD, n = 3 per group. **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001, by 2-tailed t-test.

2.5. Colony Formation. Equal numbers of cells were seeded onto six-well plates in triplicate, treated with different concentrations of Olaparib (20 μM) and SN-38 (10 μM), and incubated for 14 days. Colonies were stained with 0.1% crystal violet and counted with the ImageJ software. Data were represented as the mean ± SD, n = 3 per group. **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001, by 2-tailed t-test.
Figure 4: SN-38 and olaparib combination cause BRCA-proficient ovarian cancer cells apoptosis. A2780 cells were treated with 40 μM olaparib, 10 μM SN-38, or their combination as indicated, and cell apoptosis was analyzed at 48 hrs after treatment by annexin V staining (a, b) and western blot (c) analysis of cleaved caspase 3. Data were represented as the mean ± SD, n = 3 per group. ***P < 0.001, by 2-tailed t-test.
concentrations of various compounds, and incubated for 14–20 days. Then, colonies were fixed and stained with 0.5% crystal violet. The colonies were counted using ImageJ software (NIH) or manually. All cell survival assays were performed at least in triplicate.

2.6. CCK8 Assay. Cell viability assay was performed using A CCK8 Kit (Beyotime, China). $5 \times 10^5$ of cells were suspended with fresh solution and then seeded into 96-well plates. 24 hrs later, olaparib and SN-38 were added into each well. 48 hrs later, a 10$\mu$L of CCK8 agent was added into each well. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 1.5 hours, and then, the absorbance values at OD 450 nm were measured using an ELISA plate reader (BioTek, Winooski, VT, USA).

2.7. Comet Assay. The comet assay was performed using an OxiSelect™ Comet Assay Kit (#ADI-900-166, ENZO Life Science) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly,
samples were then analyzed by sample for 15 min in the dark at room temperature. Cell V-FITC reagent and PI solution were incubated with each 1×10^7 and analyzed by FlowJo software (FlowJo10).

First deparaffinized with 100% xylene, followed by dehydration using gradient ethanol (100%, 90%, 70%, 30%, and 0%). After inactivation of endogenous peroxidase by 3% hydrogen peroxide and heat-based retrieval antigen in citrate buffer, IHC staining was then performed using R.T.U. Vectastain Kit (Vector Laboratories) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Primary antibody dilutions were anti-Ki67 (1:500), anti-γH2AX (1:200), and anti-cleaved caspase 3 (1:200). All positive cells in tumor tissues were scored at 400x magnification. Percentage of positive cells was determined from three separate fields in each of three independent tumor samples.

2.12. Statistics. Data shown were from one representative experiment of at least three independent experiments and are expressed as mean ± SD. The statistical significance of the difference between groups was analyzed with a two-sided Student’s t-test.
3. Results

3.1. SN-38 Inhibits Homologous Recombination (HR) in Ovarian Cancer Cells. The base excision repair (BER) is the primary pathway responsible for repairing single-strand breaks [23]. PARP1 is an important BER protein, and PARP inhibitor could disrupt BER by binding to the NAD+ catalytic site of PARP1 and subsequently caused DNA DSBs, which highly depend on HR pathway to repair [24]. If HR is inhibited at the same time, synthetic lethal effects could be produced [25]. Thus, HR activity could determine the PARP inhibitor sensitivity in cancer cells. We utilized the HR repair reporter system, which harbors an engineered GFP gene inactivated by insertion of the I-SceI endonuclease recognition site [26]. Only after the I-SceI-induced DSB is repaired by HR repair pathway, active GFP can be restored (Figure 1(a)). Thus, we can measure the HR repair activity by measuring the GFP expression. By using this system, we found that small molecule SN-38 significantly decreased levels of HR activity (Figure 1(b)). Rad51 recombinase catalyzes homologous pairing and strand exchange during HR and Rad51 foci are considered as the marker for HR repair [27]. To confirm that SN-38 could inhibit HR, we next evaluated the percentage of Rad51 foci-positive cells after SN-38 treatment by immunofluorescence assay. Our results showed that the percentage of Rad51 foci positive cells was significantly reduced in A2780 cells after SN-38 treatment (Figure 1(c)), which further validated that SN-38 inhibits HR.

3.2. Combination of SN-38 and Olaparib Synergistically Inhibits Ovarian Cancer Growth. Given that HR repair activity dictates olaparib sensitivity, we next evaluated ovarian cancer cell growth in presence of olaparib, SN-38 alone, or their combination. As shown in Figure 2(a), combination treatment of SN-38 and olaparib inhibited cancer cell growth greater than SN-38 or olaparib treatment alone. Meanwhile, the number of colonies formed by the combined treatment was also significantly reduced compared with that of the single treatment (Figures 2(b) and 2(c)). Thus, these results demonstrated that the antiproliferative effect of SN-38 and olaparib combination is a general phenomenon in BRAC-proficient ovarian cancer cells.

3.3. Combination of Olaparib and SN-38 Induced Greater DNA Damage. DNA damage plays an important role in cancer radio-chemotherapy efficacy, especially in PARP inhibitor efficacy. Excessive damages that exceed the DNA repair capacity of cells can lead to cell death [28]. Here, we determined whether the compound combination enhanced DNA damage using an alkaline comet assay for detection of both SSBs (single-strand breaks) and DSBs. As shown in Figure 3(a), compared to each single drug treatment, the combination of the SN-38 and olaparib generated markedly increased tail intensity in A2780 cells, suggesting that more severe DNA damage was induced in combination treatment. γH2AX is the phosphorylation of H2AX at its S139 site, which is considered as a sensitive molecular marker for DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) [29]. We then measured γH2AX levels after compound treatments by western blot and immunofluorescence assay. As shown in Figures 3(b)–3(d), we detected a greater level of γH2AX in cells treated with two-drug combinations compared with SN-38 or olaparib alone. PARP inhibitor induced DNA DSBs primarily resulted from unrepaired single-strand breaks (SSBs), which are generated from accumulated DNA replication stress. Consistently, we also detected a significant increase in RPA2 S33 phosphorylation, which is phosphorylated by ATR when exposure of single-stand DNA and is extensively used as a surrogate marker for DNA replication stress [30, 31].

3.4. Combination of Olaparib and SN-38 Synergistically Induced Apoptosis. DNA damage can lead to cell apoptosis whose activation is a key mechanism by which cytotoxic drugs kill tumor cells [32]. We conducted annexin V-PI staining and performed flow cytometry analysis to measure the cell apoptosis induced by drug treatments. As shown in Figures 4(a) and 4(b), the combined treatment led to a significant increase of the apoptotic population in A2780 and OVCAR3 cells compared to each compound treatment alone. Caspase 3 is a critical executioner of apoptosis, and it is cleaved into an active form during cell apoptosis [33]. As is shown in Figure 4(c), the combined treatment showed greater cleavage of caspase 3 and PARP1 than either SN-38 or olaparib treatment alone. These results demonstrated that the combination of SN-38 and olaparib induced extensive apoptosis in ovarian cancer cells.

3.5. SN-38 Enhances the Antitumor Efficacy of Olaparib in A2780 Xenografts. We then used A2780 ovarian cancer xenograft model to subsequently investigate the antitumor efficacy of the compound combination. SN-38 (10 mg/kg), olaparib (100 mg/kg), and their combination were administered to mice bearing tumors as described in Materials and Methods. Tumor volumes and body weights were measured every 5 days. As shown in Figures 5(a)–5(c), the use of SN-38 or olaparib alone resulted in a certain inhibition of tumor growth, while stronger antitumor efficacy was observed in the combination treatment. In addition, immunohistochemistry (IHC) analysis of the cell proliferation marker Ki67, apoptosis marker cleaved caspase 3, and DNA damage marker γH2AX was performed to further evaluate the therapeutic efficacy of treatments. Inconsistent with tumor growth, Ki67 positive cells were dramatically reduced, while cleaved caspase 3 and γH2AX-positive cells were increased, in tumor tissues from mice receiving combination treatment (Figures 5(d) and 5(e)).

3.6. Combination of SN-38 and Olaparib Exhibited No Obvious Toxicity. We next evaluated the toxicity of treatments. Both SN-38 and the combination treatment did not cause a significant reduction in body weights (Figure 6(a)). Meanwhile, we also did not detect significant tissue toxicity on the liver, kidney, and spleen from mice treated with SN-38 alone or in combination with olaparib (Figure 6(b)). These results indicate that combination with SN-38 is a safe therapeutic strategy for PARP inhibitor therapy.
4. Discussion

PARP inhibitor is the first FDA-approved anticancer agent which utilizes synthetic lethality concept, and homologous recombination (HR) repair capacity is considered as the primary factor determining PARP inhibitor sensitivity. Developing agents inhibit HR repair which could render drug susceptible to PARP inhibitor insensitive cancer. Based on this premise, our studies demonstrated the first evidence that a combination of the PARP inhibitors and a small compound named SN-38, which individually have poor therapeutic effects, exhibited a greatly synergistic impact on BRCA1/2-proficient ovarian cancer. Since BRCA1/2 genes play important roles in homologous recombination- (HR-) mediated DNA repair, thus, BRCA1/2 mutant cancers are hypersensitive to PARP inhibitors. Mutations of BRCA1/2 lead to the inhibition of cancer cell’s HR repair capacity and the formation of synthetic lethal effects with PARP inhibitors. However, a significant number of cancers have normal BRCA1/2 gene status, resulting in limited therapeutic efficacy for PARP inhibitors. Therefore, it is urgent to seek novel strategies to optimize PARP inhibitor therapy, such as in combination with other agents for BRCA1/2-proficient ovarian cancer. Here, we identified a small molecule SN-38, which could inhibit HR repair activity in ovarian cancer cells and verified the synergistic antitumor effects of SN-38 and olaparib combination in BRCA1/2-proficient ovarian cancer cells. Our data also showed that SN-38 combination with PARP inhibitors leads to significant accumulation of DNA damage as well as cell apoptosis, promoting cancer cell death. SN-38 exerts high potency against a variety of human cancers including ovarian cancer; however, its side effects and narrow therapeutic window hindered its monotherapy application in clinical therapy [34]. To exploit the therapeutic potential of SN-38, a number of antibody drug conjugate (ADC) preparations have been developed to ameliorate its adverse effects [35–37]. There are also some reviews of bioanalytical methods for SN-38 and some analyses from a clinical pharmacology perspective [38]. And the antibody-SN-38 conjugates are currently evaluated in phase II clinical trial on ovarian cancer patients [39]. Here, we show that SN-38 could be used as PARP inhibitor sensitizer and provide a novel strategy to apply SN-38 in future ovarian cancer treatment.

As a critical component of HR repair machinery, RAD51 facilitates DNA strand exchange and recombination. Our study suggests that the HR inhibiting activity of SN-38 was resulted or partially resulted from Rad51 recruitment. In addition, our results also showed that the combination of SN-38 and PARP inhibitor olaparib significantly caused replication stress, as well as apoptosis, in ovarian cancer cells. Thus, our findings suggest that a combination of PARP inhibitor with SN-38 could cause extensive DNA damage and DNA replication stress, subsequently leading to cancer cell apoptosis, therefore sensitizing BRCA1/2-proficient ovarian cancer cells to PARP inhibitors.

Taking together, our results herein demonstrated the synergistic effects of the PARP inhibitors and the SN-38 compound in HR-proficient ovarian cancer cells in vitro and xenograft tumors derived from BRCA1/2-proficient ovarian cancer cells in vivo, which do not respond well to the PARP inhibitors alone. Further, our findings provide evidence for the clinical development of PARP inhibitors in BRAC-proficient ovarian cancer patients.

5. Conclusions

Here, we identified a small compound SN-38, a CPT analog, which sensitizes BRCA-proficient ovarian cancer cells to PARP inhibitor treatment by inhibiting homologous recombination (HR) repair. In other words, our study provides a novel therapeutic strategy to optimize PARP inhibitor therapy for patients with BRCA-proficient ovarian cancers.
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