

Research Article Anti-Inflammatory Investigations of Extracts of Zanthoxylum rhetsa

Chureeporn Imphat¹, Pakakrong Thongdeeying¹,^{2,3} Arunporn Itharat¹,^{2,3} Sumalee Panthong¹,^{2,3} Sunita Makchuchit¹,³ Buncha Ooraikul¹,⁴ and Neal M. Davies⁵

¹Graduate School on Applied Thai Traditional Medicine Program, Faculty of Medicine, Thammasat University, Pathumthani 12120, Thailand

²Department of Applied Thai Traditional Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Thammasat University, Pathumthani 12120, Thailand ³Center of Excellence in Applied Thai Traditional Medicine Research (CEATMR), Thammasat University,

⁴Department of Agricultural Food and Nutritional Science, Faculty of Agricultural Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB T6G 2P5, Canada

⁵Faculty of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB T6G 2P5, Canada

Correspondence should be addressed to Arunporn Itharat; iarunporn@yahoo.com

Received 8 January 2021; Revised 9 February 2021; Accepted 20 February 2021; Published 6 March 2021

Academic Editor: Wei Lei

Copyright © 2021 Chureeporn Imphat et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Zanthoxylum rhetsa has been consumed in the diet in northern Thailand and also used as a medicament in ancient scripture for arthropathies. Thus, this study aimed to evaluate the activity of various extracts from differential parts of *Z. rhetsa* via inhibition of inflammatory mediators (NO, TNF- α , and PGE₂) in RAW264.7 macrophages. The chemical composition in active extracts was also analyzed by GC/MS. The parts of this plant studied were whole fruits (F), pericarp (P), and seed (O). The methods of extraction included maceration in hexane, 95% ethanol and 50% ethanol, boiling in water, and water distillation. The results demonstrated that the hexane and 95% ethanolic extract from pericarp (PH and P95) and seed essential oil (SO) were the most active extracts. PH and P95 gave the highest inhibition of NO production with IC₅₀ as 11.99 ± 1.66 µg/ml and 15.33 ± 1.05 µg/ml, respectively, and they also showed the highest anti-inflammatory effect on TNF- α with IC₅₀ as 36.08 ± 0.55 µg/ml and 34.90 ± 2.58 µg/ml, respectively. PH and P95 also showed the highest inhibitory effect on PGE₂ but less than SO with IC₅₀ as 13.72 ± 0.81 µg/ml, 12.26 ± 0.71 µg/ml, and 8.61 ± 2.23 µg/ml, respectively. 2,3-Pinanediol was the major anti-inflammatory compound analyzed in PH (11.28%) and P95 (19.82%) while terpinen-4-ol constituted a major anti-inflammatory activity in acute (SO) and chronic (PH and P95) inflammation.

1. Introduction

Pain is a common symptom and sign of inflammation and tissue damage [1–3]. Etiology including physical, biological, and chemical factors such as trauma, overuse, chemical, toxins, and pathogens can activate inflammatory response [1]. Inflammation is a response to protect and restore cells and tissues to a normal state [4]. The stimulus activates leukocytes to produce inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) [1]. In a site of tissue injury,

prostaglandin E_2 (PGE₂) plays an important role in acute inflammation and causes vasodilation edema, acute pain, and fever [5]. TNF- α is an inflammatory cytokine that is intertwined with PGE₂ as it stimulates phospholipase A_2 and releases eicosanoids from the cyclooxygenase and lipoxygenase pathways in arachidonic acid metabolism [5]. The important product from cyclooxygenase is PGE₂ [5]. Additionally, high levels of TNF- α can trigger fever and activate endothelial cells to express adhesion molecules resulting in leukocytes adherence and prolonged inflammation [6].

Pathumthani 12120, Thailand

Macrophages trigger production of TNF- α cytokines causing pain and fever, loss of cell function, or loss of mobility in joints [2]. TNF- α can also activate macrophages to produce nitric oxide (NO) [7]. NO is a free radical derived from L-arginine and oxygen by inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) enzyme from macrophages [8]. NO induces toxicity by interaction with superoxide and produces peroxynitrite which is highly toxic to microorganisms and normal neighboring cells [8]. Cells and tissues are gradually destroyed by excessive NO production, and as a result, the perception of pain remains.

Although the outcome of inflammatory responses involves physiological functions to protect and restore cells and tissues to a normal state, excessive inflammatory response is the cause of persistent inflammation and leads to chronic inflammation and pain [9, 10]. The impact of chronic inflammation involvement in chronic diseases such as systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), rheumatoid arthritis, osteoarthritis, cancer, and cardiovascular diseases is well known [10].

Herbal remedies have been used for their anti-inflammatory and pain-relieving properties according to folk wisdom and in traditional ethnomedicine for centuries. According to Thai traditional medicine principles, herbs which have a spicy taste and pungent aroma such as capsicum, ginger, and plai (*Zingiber cassumunar*) are often used for pain relief [11].

The chemotaxonomy study of some Zanthoxylum species such as Z. acanthopodium, Z. nitidum, and Z. myriacanthum are found in Northern Thailand [12, 13] or Z. budrunga, Z. bungeanum, and Z. schinifolium, all have shown anti-inflammatory and antinociceptive action [14-17]. Zanthoxylum rhetsa is a pungent plant and a member of the Rutaceae family. Its whole fruit consists of pericarp and seed and is used in the diet in the Northern part of Thailand. Both pericarp and fruit are described in Pra-O-Sod-Pra-Narai scripture and Thai Traditional Household Remedy for muscle spasm, a pain relief from swelling of muscle and tendons and also as pain relief from abscesses and hemorrhoids [11, 18]. Z. rhetsa fruit is also extensively used as an anti-inflammatory agent and antiseptic in India [19]. Z. rhetsa fruit and seed are also used as a pain relief treatment from toothache, digestion problems, inflammation, and infection in Southeast Asia [19]. Z. rhetsa activity is a mosquito repellent, and its larvicidal, antimicrobial, antioxidant, and antitumor activities have been characterized [20]. Additionally, major chemical compounds in pericarp, fruit, and seed of Z. rhetsa as monoterpenes such as limonene, terpinen-4-ol, sabinene, and α -pinene [21–30] have been reported for their anti-inflammatory activity [31-33].

Therefore, the present study compared and investigated the anti-inflammatory activity of various anatomical parts such as whole fruits, pericarp, and seed of *Z. rhetsa* extracts through the inhibition of lipopolysaccharide- (LPS-) induced NO, TNF- α , and PGE₂ in RAW264.7 macrophages. Additionally, chemical compositions of the active extracts were also delineated as anti-inflammatory, and pain relief activity of *Z. rhetsa* has been poorly studied [21–23]. Furthermore, the analysis of chemical constituents in pericarp, fruit, and seed of *Z. rhetsa* of various extractions and characterizing the anti-inflammatory activity has not been undertaken [31-33].

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Materials. Z. rhetsa was collected from its natural habitat in Ban Mae Khaw Tom Thasud village, Muang district, Chiang Rai province, Thailand. The voucher specimen was identified by using important characteristic of the morphology of both flower and fruit. After that, the scientific name of plant material was identified by botanists in the Department of National Parks, Wildlife and Plant Conservation, Bangkok, Thailand. The voucher specimen BKF number 193835 was preserved in the office of the Forest Herbarium, Bangkok, Thailand.

2.2. Chemicals and Reagents. Ethanol 95% (EtOH) (commercial grade) was purchased from C.M.J. Anchor Company (Thailand). Analytical grade dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), hexane, hydrochloric acid (HCl), and isopropanol were purchased from RCI Labscan (Thailand). Distilled water was produced by Milli-Q water purification system from Millipore (USA). Griess reagent (1% sulfanilamide and 0.1% N-(1-naphthyl) ethylenediamine dihydrochloride in 2.5% phosphoric acid), thiazolyl blue tetrazolium bromide (MTT), lipopolysaccharide (LPS) from E.coli (O55:B5), and prednisolone were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (USA). Fetal bovine serum (FBS), penicillin-streptomycin (P/S), RPMI 1640 medium, and Dulbecco's modified eagle medium (DMEM) were purchased from Gibco (USA). The prostaglandin E2 ELISA kit was purchased from Cayman Chemical (USA), and Mouse TNF- α Quantikine ELISA test kit was purchased from R&D System Inc (USA).

2.3. Preparation of Extracts. After plant materials were sundried, they were separated into pericarp, fruit, and seed. Each part was ground to coarse powder and then was extracted by 3 methods consisting of maceration with hexane, 95% EtOH and 50% EtOH, water distillation, and decoction.

For maceration: each part powder (1 kg) was extracted by maceration with different solvent for three days (solvent: powder ratio = 2:1) and filtered through Whatman no.1 filter paper. The marc was remacerated twice, and the combined filtrate was evaporated by rotary evaporator to give the hexane extract, 95% ethanolic extract, and 50% ethanolic extract of pericarp (PH, P95, and P50), fruit (FH, F95, and F50), and seed (SH, S95, and S50), respectively.

For water distillation: each part powder (500 g) was distilled in a Clevenger apparatus for 100 minutes and the essential oil was collected and gave the essential oil from pericarp (PO), fruit (FO), and seed (SO).

For decoction: each part of powder (500 g) was boiled in distilled water for 15 minutes and filtered. The residue had twice repeated decoction, and the combined filtrate was reduced to 1/3 by boiling then freeze dried to give the water extract from pericarp (PW), fruit (FW), and seed (SW). All crude extracts showed percentage of yield on Figure 1. The



FIGURE 1: The percentage yields of the crude extracts of various parts of Z. rhetsa.

crude extracts were kept at -20° C, and the essential oils were kept at 4° C before use.

2.4. Cell Culture and Culture Media. RAW 264.7 macrophages from mouse (*Mus musculus*) were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC®TIB-71) (USA). Cells were cultured in two types of media according to assays: (1) RPMI 1640 medium for the assays of inhibition of LPS-induced nitric oxide (NO) and tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) production following the established method [34] and the procedure in the manufacturer's manual [35], respectively, and (2) DMEM medium for the assay of inhibition of LPS-induced prostaglandin E_2 (PGE₂) production following the method of the procedure in the manufacturer's manual [36]. Each medium was supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% P/S (100 unit/ml) and incubated in an incubator at 37°C, 5% CO₂, and 95% humidity.

2.5. Determination of Cell Viability. Cell viability was done in triplicate by using MTT assay [34]. Briefly, after 1×10^{5} cells/well of RAW 264.7 macrophages were seeded in sterilized 96 well-plate (100 μ l/well) and incubated for 24 h, the medium was removed and replaced with $100 \,\mu$ l/well of fresh medium. Various dilutions of samples were added $(100 \,\mu l/well)$ and incubated for another 24 h. Subsequently, the supernatants (100 μ l/well) were removed, and the viable cells were determined by adding 10 µl/well of the MTT solution (5 mg/ml) and further incubated for 2 h. The medium was then removed and replaced with $100 \,\mu$ /well of isopropanol containing 0.04 M HCl to dissolve formazan in the cells. The absorbance was measured by microplate reader at 570 nm. Cell viability that was higher than 70% compared with control (control medium for water extracts and control solvent: 0.2% DMSO of final concentration for crude extracts, essential oils, and prednisolone) indicated that the activity of the tested samples was not due to cytotoxicity

[34]. The percentage of cell viability was calculated by using the following equation:

% cell viability =
$$\left[\frac{\text{OD sample}}{\text{OD control}}\right] \times 100,$$
 (1)

where OD = optical density; OD sample = mean of sample ODs; OD control = mean of control ODs.

2.6. Anti-Inflammatory Activities

2.6.1. Determination of Inhibition of LPS-Induced NO Production. The determination of inhibitory effect of LPSinduced NO production was done in triplicate following the protocol of an established method [34]. Briefly, 100 µl/well of RAW 264.7 macrophages (1×10^5 cells/well) were seeded in sterilized 96 well-plate and incubated for 24 h, and then the medium was removed and replaced with $100 \,\mu$ l/well of fresh medium containing LPS (2 ng/ml of final concentration). Various dilutions of samples were added (100 μ l/well) and incubated for another 24 h. Subsequently, a $100 \,\mu$ /well of supernatant was transferred into a nonsterilized 96 wellplate and added with Griess reagent (100 μ l/well). The absorbance of the mixed solution was measured by microplate reader at 570 nm. The result of the tested sample was compared with that of prednisolone, a positive control. The percentage of the inhibition of LPS-induced NO production was calculated by using the following equation, and IC₅₀ values were calculated by using GraphPad Prism software (CA, USA):

% inhibition =
$$\left[\frac{\text{OD control} - \text{OD sample}}{\text{OD control}}\right] \times 100,$$
 (2)

where OD = optical density; OD control = mean of control ODs (+LPS) - mean of control ODs (-LPS); OD sample = mean of sample ODs (+LPS) - mean of sample ODs (-LPS).

2.6.2. Determination of Inhibition of LPS-Induced TNF- α Production. The inhibition of LPS-induced TNF- α production was determined by using Mouse TNF- α Quantikine ELISA test kit following the procedure in the manufacturer's manual [35]. Briefly, RAW 264.7 macrophages $(1 \times 10^5$ cells/well) were seeded in sterilized 96 well-plate $(100 \,\mu l/well)$ and incubated for 24 h; then, the medium was removed and replaced with $100 \,\mu$ l/well of fresh medium containing LPS at 2 ng/ml final concentration. Various dilutions of samples were added (100 μ l/well) and incubated for another 24 h. After incubation, the supernatant (50 µl/well) was transferred into 96 well-plate of ELISA kit and it was carried out according to the method in the manufacturer's manual [35]. The absorbance was measured at 450 nm by using the microplate reader. The result of the tested sample was compared with that of prednisolone, a positive control. The experiment was conducted in triplicate. The percentage of the inhibition of LPS-induced TNF- α production was calculated by using the following equation, and IC₅₀ values were calculated by using GraphPad Prism software (CA, USA):

% inhibition =
$$\left[\frac{\text{OD control} - \text{OD sample}}{\text{OD control}}\right] \times 100,$$
 (3)

where OD = optical density; OD control = mean of control ODs (+LPS) – mean of control ODs (-LPS); OD

sample = mean of sample ODs (+LPS) – mean of sample ODs (-LPS).

2.6.3. Determination of Inhibition of LPS-Induced PGE_2 Production. The inhibition of LPS-induced PGE₂ production was determined by using prostaglandin E₂ ELISA Kit-Monoclonal following the procedure in the manufacturer's manual [36]. Briefly, RAW 264.7 macrophages $(1 \times 10^5 \text{ cells})$ well) were seeded in sterilized 96 well-plate (100 μ l/well) and incubated for 24 h, and then the medium was removed and replaced with $100 \,\mu$ l/well of fresh medium containing LPS at 5 µg/ml final concentration. Various dilutions of samples were added (100 µl/well) and incubated for another 24 h. After incubation, the supernatant (50 μ l/well) was transferred into 96 well-plate of ELISA kit and the procedure carried out according to the method in the manufacturer's manual [36]. The absorbance was measured at 412 nm by using the microplate reader. The result of tested sample was compared with that of prednisolone, a positive control. The experiment was conducted in triplicate. The percentage of the inhibition of LPS-induced PGE₂ production was calculated by using the following equation, and IC₅₀ values were calculated by using GraphPad Prism software (CA, USA):

$$\% \text{ inhibition } = \left[\frac{\text{mean OD sample (+LPS)} - \text{mean OD control (+LPS)}}{\text{mean OD control (-LPS)} - \text{mean OD control (+LPS)}}\right] \times 100, \tag{4}$$

where OD = optical density.

2.6.4. Chemical Composition Analysis by Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS). The chemical compositions of the active extracts were analyzed by using a Thermo Focus GC, Polaris Q with an autoinjector and a capillary column TG-5 slims $(30 \text{ m} \times 0.25 \text{ mm} \times 0.25 \mu\text{m})$ (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Column oven temperature was programmed using the initial temperature at 60°C and 5 min initial time and then heated at the rate of 5°C/min to 300°C and held for 5 min. The injector temperature was 200°C, helium (He) was used as the carrier gas with constant flow rate of 1.0 ml/min, and the injection volume was $2 \mu l$ (splitting ratio 1:50). The ionization energy was 70 eV. Mass spectrum of the GC/MS peak was detected by mass spectrometry and compared with library database of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST 08, MD, USA) which matches the score for all compounds analyzed more than 870 would be selected [37]. Chemical composition analysis was carried out by the Herb and Thai Traditional Medicine Division, Thailand Science Park.

2.6.5. Statistical Analysis. Cell viability, percentage of the inhibition of LPS-induced NO, TNF- α and PGE₂ production, and IC₅₀ were presented as mean ± standard error of

means (SEM). Comparison of means between control and treatment groups was done by one-way analysis of variance followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test. Comparison of means in between independent treatment groups (2 groups) was analyzed by using unpaired *t* test. Comparison of means in multiple treatment groups (≥ 3 groups) was analyzed by using one-way analysis of variance followed by one-way ANOVA. The level of significant difference was p < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Preparation of Extract. The percentage yields of extracts and essential oils are shown in Figure 1. The pericarp showed the highest yield of extraction by three methods such as 50% ethanol, oil part, and water extract (16.47%, 14.30%, and 13.37%, respectively). The seed showed the highest yield of extraction by hexane and 95% ethanol.

3.2. Determination of Cell Viability. Cell viability after exposure to the various extracts of *Z. rhetsa* and prednisolone (Pred) (positive control) is presented in Figure 2(a) for inhibition of LPS-induced NO and TNF- α production and in Figure 2(b) for inhibition of LPS-induced PGE₂ production. The various extracts of *Z. rhetsa* and prednisolone



FIGURE 2: Cell viability of the various extracts of *Z. rhetsa* and prednisolone (Pred) at various concentrations (n = 3). (a) Viable cells for inhibition of LPS-induced NO and TNF- α production and (b) viable cells for inhibition of LPS-induced PGE₂ production.

(Pred) showed greater than 70% cell viability at all concentrations when they were tested.

3.3. Determination of Inhibition of LPS-Induced NO *Production*. Anti-inflammatory activity of the various extracts of *Z. rhetsa* via the inhibition of NO production by the induction of LPS in RAW 264.7 macrophages compared with prednisolone (positive control) is shown in Table 1.

PH and P95 at 50 μ g/ml gave the highest %inhibition of NO production (97.15% ± 0.37 and 97.66% ± 1.12,

respectively) while FH, F95, F50, and S50 at 100 μ g/ml gave the highest %inhibition of NO production (91.55% ± 3.04, 93.36% ± 3.23, 82.62% ± 1.26, and 81.94% ± 2.79, respectively). These results were not significantly different from prednisolone at 50 μ g/ml (96.82% ± 0.34) (Figure 3).

The extract results showed that PH and P95 had an inhibitory effect on NO production with IC₅₀ values as $11.99 \pm 1.66 \,\mu$ g/ml and $15.33 \pm 1.05 \,\mu$ g/ml, respectively. They were significantly different (*p* value < 0.01 and *p* value < 0.001, respectively) from prednisolone (IC₅₀ = 0.07 $\pm 0.001 \,\mu$ g/ml or $0.19 \pm 0.001 \,\mu$ M). However, the pericarp

Dout of alont	Extend and monitive control	Codo C		Percentage	of inhibition at v	various concentr	ations		10 (المراسم))
ган от ртант		COUR	$100 \mu { m g/ml}$	$50 \mu g/ml$	$10\mu { m g/ml}$	$1 \ \mu g/ml$	$0.10\mu{ m g/ml}$	$0.01 \mu \mathrm{g/ml}$	1050 (µg/1111)
	Hexane	Ηd	I	$97.15\pm0.37\dagger$	38.47 ± 8.69	-11.70 ± 3.94	-12.38 ± 3.61		$11.99 \pm 1.66^{**,a}$
	95% ethanol	P95	I	$97.66 \pm 1.12 \ddagger$	24.46 ± 2.71	-15.60 ± 3.58	-15.65 ± 2.27		$15.33 \pm 1.05^{***,a}$
Pericarp	50% ethanol	P50	$72.96 \pm 1.04^{**}$	35.78 ± 1.83	3.10 ± 2.50	-1.68 ± 4.25			$67.55 \pm 2.22^{***}$
	Essential oil	Ю	$47.75 \pm 6.07^{***}$	15.29 ± 3.43	-14.02 ± 1.79	-15.51 ± 2.41			$>100^{***}$
	Water	PW	$16.23 \pm 4.25^{***}$	8.75 ± 1.53	I	I			$>100^{***}$
	Hexane	FΗ	$91.55 \pm 3.04 \ddagger$	60.95 ± 0.84	8.69 ± 3.68	-5.20 ± 4.00	I		$39.81 \pm 0.53^{***,c}$
	95% ethanol	F95	$93.36 \pm 3.23 \ddagger$	72.35 ± 4.53	11.88 ± 1.01	-14.05 ± 6.36		I	$29.42 \pm 3.05^{***,b}$
Fruit	50% ethanol	F50	$82.62 \pm 1.26 \ddagger$	48.32 ± 0.51	4.02 ± 1.80	-2.95 ± 0.87		I	$51.63 \pm 0.43^{***}$
	Essential oil	ΗО	$42.23 \pm 10.48^{***}$	15.29 ± 7.11	-13.02 ± 3.56	-11.27 ± 3.42		I	$>100^{***}$
	Water	FW	$20.97 \pm 2.36^{***}$	8.77 ± 1.86					>100***
	Hexane	SH	$45.92 \pm 1.91^{***}$	22.75 ± 1.03	-1.17 ± 5.23	-11.93 ± 8.02			>100***
	95% ethanol	S95	$62.56 \pm 0.98^{***}$	35.63 ± 1.36	2.52 ± 7.24	-3.69 ± 8.17	Ι	I	$73.10 \pm 1.55^{***}$
Cood	50% ethanol	S50	$81.94 \pm 2.79 \ddagger$	46.88 ± 1.10	5.86 ± 3.64	-10.45 ± 2.58	I	I	$54.36 \pm 1.21^{***}$
naac	Essential oil	SO	$76.57 \pm 1.91^{**}$	35.40 ± 3.07	-6.95 ± 2.64	-13.79 ± 2.95	I	I	$65.34 \pm 3.18^{***}$
	Water	SW	$18.83 \pm 4.06^{***}$	10.88 ± 3.05	I	I		I	$>100^{***}$
	Prednisolone	Pred	I	$96.82\pm0.34\dagger$	89.32 ± 0.31	81.49 ± 6.98	72.90 ± 2.26	5.16 ± 1.25	$0.07 \pm 0.001 \ (0.19 \pm 0.001 \ M)$
The results are sh ** p value < 0.01 ^c significantly diff	own as mean ± standard error of mea , *** <i>p</i> value < 0.001 compared with erent (<i>p</i> value < 0.05) between F95	un (SEM) (r prednise and FH;	(n = 3). LPS: lipopolys olone as a positive cc (-) not tested.	accharide; IC ₅₀ : the ntrol; ^a not signific	e half maximal inhil cantly different bet	bitory concentratio ween PH and P95	n. †: the %inhibitio ; ^b significantly diff	n was not differ ferent (<i>p</i> value	ent significantly from prednisolone; < 0.01) between PH, P95, and F95;

ktracts	
a e	
rhets	
шп	
lvxc	-
nth	
Za	
ous	
vari	
of	
ges	0
pha	
cro	
ma	
54.7	
V26	
- A	
пF	
inc	
Ictic	
odu	
pr	-
(ON)	`
de	
ixo	
tric	
d ni	
lcea	
ndı	
S-i	
f LI	
o S	
values o	
C50 values o	
d IC50 values o	
and IC50 values o	
effect and IC50 values o	
rv effect and IC50 values o	
bitory effect and IC50 values o	
nhibitory effect and IC50 values o	
1: Inhibitory effect and IC50 values o	
LE 1: Inhibitory effect and IC50 values o	
TABLE 1: Inhibitory effect and IC50 values o	



FIGURE 3: Percentage of the inhibition on LPS-induced NO, TNF- α and PGE₂ production in RAW264.7 macrophages of crude extracts of *Z. rhetsa* and prednisolone at 100 µg/ml and 50 µg/ml (*n* = 3). † and **9**: the %inhibition on LPS-induced NO and PGE₂ production, respectively, which were not different significantly from prednisolone. ** *p* value < 0.01, *** *p* value < 0.001 compared with prednisolone.

was macerated in hexane and 95% ethanol. The results demonstrated with the whole fruits macerated in hexane and 95% ethanol showed higher activity than decoction in water and maceration in 50% ethanol. For seeds which underwent water distillation, significant anti-inflamatory activity on NO production was demonstrated compared to other extraction means. The method of extraction revealed the most activity in the pericarp on the inhibition of NO production which was demonstrated with maceration in 95% ethanol and hexane. All water extracts (PW, FW, and SW), the essential oil of both percarp (PO) and fruits (FO), and the hexane extract of seed (SH) were not active (IC₅₀ > 100 µg/ml).

3.4. Determination of Inhibition of LPS-Induced TNF- α Production. PH and P95 at 50 μ g/ml gave the highest % inhibition of TNF- α production (64.79% ± 0.26 and 60.46% ± 3.07, respectively) which were significantly different (*p*-value < 0.001) from prednisolone at 50 μ g/ml (89.00% ± 0.70) as the same as other extracts at 100 μ g/ml which gave the highest %inhibition of TNF- α production which were significantly different (*p* value < 0.001) from prednisolone at 50 μ g/ml (Figure 3).

The results of IC₅₀ on inhibitory effect of TNF- α production are shown in Table 2. The pericarp which was macerated in hexane and 95% ethanol maintained inhibitory effects of NO production. PH and P95 were $36.08 \pm 0.55 \,\mu$ g/ml and $34.90 \pm 2.58 \,\mu$ g/ml, respectively, but were significantly different (*p* value < 0.001) from prednisolone (IC₅₀ = 0.08 ± 0.003 μ g/ml or 0.22 ± 0.003 μ M). The IC₅₀ of SO (49.85 ± 4.29 μ g/ml) was significantly different (*p* value < 0.05) from PH and P95. All water extracts (PW, FW and

SW) and all extracts of the seed (except for the essential oil of the seed: SO) did not have the activity on LPS-induced TNF- α production inhibition (IC₅₀ > 100 µg/ml).

3.5. Determination of Inhibition of LPS-Induced PGE_2 Production. SO at 100 µg/ml gave the highest %inhibition of PGE₂ production (83.70% ± 0.22) which were not significantly different from prednisolone at 50 µg/ml (93.20% ± 3.80), while PH and P95 at 50 µg/ml gave the highest %inhibition of PGE₂ production (71.83% ± 7.51 and 67.44% ± 2.53, respectively) which were significantly different (*p* value < 0.001) from prednisolone (Figure 3).

The results on inhibitory effect on PGE₂ production are shown in IC₅₀ values (Table 3); SO exhibited the highest antiinflammatory effect on PGE₂ with IC₅₀ as 8.61 ± 2.23 µg/ml and was significantly different (*p* value < 0.05) from prednisolone (IC₅₀ = 0.07 ± 0.003 µg/ml or 0.19 ± 0.003 µM). The inhibitory effect on PGE2 production of PH and P95 (IC50 = 13.72 ± 0.8 and 12.26 ± 0.71 µg/ml) were not significantly different with SO but they were significantly different with prednisolone. However, its pericarp demonstrated higher anti-inflammatory activity on the inhibitory effect of PGE₂ production than whole fruit and seed accept only seed oil (SO). All water extracts (PW, FW, and SW) and all extracts of the seed (except the essential oil of the seed: SO) did not have the activity on LPS-induced TNF- α production inhibition (IC₅₀ > 100 µg/ml).

3.6. Chemical Composition Analysis by Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS). PH and P95 showed the highest production inhibition of LPS-induced NO, TNF- α , and PGE₂ while SO showed the highest production

	-						•		
Dout of alont	Extract and modifiers control	Codo		Percentage	of inhibition at	various concen	trations		10 (1 ⁰⁰ /101)
ган ог ришн		CONC	$100\mu { m g/ml}$	$50\mu { m g/ml}$	$10\mu{ m g/ml}$	$1 \ \mu g/ml$	$0.10\mu{ m g/ml}$	$0.01\mu{ m g/ml}$	1050 (pg/1111)
	Hexane	Ηd		$64.79 \pm 0.26^{***}$	22.26 ± 1.19	4.24 ± 9.04	-34.86 ± 12.07		$36.08 \pm 0.55^{***,a}$
	95% ethanol	P95	I	$60.46 \pm 3.07^{***}$	30.49 ± 10.19	15.66 ± 9.88	-16.05 ± 6.31		$34.90 \pm 2.58^{***,a}$
Pericarp	50% ethanol	P50	$64.53 \pm 1.14^{***}$	45.57 ± 2.06	26.86 ± 0.76	17.22 ± 1.13	I		$63.15 \pm 3.82^{***}$
	Essential oil	Ю	$56.24 \pm 3.61^{***}$	30.24 ± 4.30	1.03 ± 0.48	-7.37 ± 7.59	I		$85.05 \pm 3.24^{***}$
	Water	PW	$21.33 \pm 1.57^{***}$						>100***
	Hexane	ΕH	$58.77 \pm 2.00^{***}$	26.36 ± 0.55	0.59 ± 4.06	-11.31 ± 8.34		I	$88.11 \pm 1.85^{***}$
	95% ethanol	F95	$58.81 \pm 4.68^{***}$	21.74 ± 7.55	-1.13 ± 15.71	-16.78 ± 3.36	I		$91.12 \pm 3.42^{***}$
Fruit	50% ethanol	F50	$54.42 \pm 2.59^{***}$	30.00 ± 4.20	16.52 ± 4.33	0.53 ± 3.97	I		$93.54 \pm 4.02^{***}$
	Essential oil	ΕО	$64.54 \pm 0.70^{***}$	43.48 ± 3.46	12.06 ± 2.08	-16.44 ± 1.98	Ι		$73.22 \pm 3.85^{***}$
	Water	FW	$15.88 \pm 0.60^{***}$						$>100^{***}$
	Hexane	SH	$17.92 \pm 2.03^{***}$	I					>100***
	95% ethanol	S95	$24.69 \pm 1.25^{***}$	I			I		$>100^{***}$
لامم	50% ethanol	S50	$27.53 \pm 1.47^{***}$	I			I		$>100^{***}$
Seed	Essential oil	SO	$60.41 \pm 1.24^{***}$	50.23 ± 1.13	29.93 ± 0.19	20.31 ± 0.80	I		$49.85 \pm 4.29^{***,b}$
	Water	SW	$12.02 \pm 0.83^{***}$	I			I		$>100^{***}$
	Prednisolone	Pred	Ι	89.00 ± 0.70	77.18 ± 0.69	71.01 ± 2.74	56.05 ± 0.08	28.59 ± 2.59	$0.08 \pm 0.003 \ (0.22 \pm 0.003 \ \mu M)$
The results are : control; ^a not dif	hown as mean±standard error of n ferent significantly statistic between	nean (SE PH and	M) ($n = 3$). LPS: lipo P95; ^b different sign	polysaccharide; IC_5 ificantly statistic (p	0: the half maxim: value < 0.05) bety	al inhibitory conce veen PH, P95, and	entration. *** p valu 1 SO; (-) not tested	e < 0.001 compaı I.	ed with prednisolone as a positive

TABLE 2: Inhibitory effect and IC50 values of LPS-induced tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) production in RAW264.7 macrophages of various Zanthoxylum rhetsa extracts.

+[J +Q				Percentage of	inhibition at va	arious concentr	ations		(1)1
rart of plant	EXITACT AND POSILIVE CONITOL	Code	$100 \mu { m g/ml}$	$50 \mu g/ml$	$10 \mu{ m g/ml}$	$1 \mu { m g/ml}$	$0.10\mu{ m g/ml}$	$0.01 \ \mu g/ml$	$1 \sim 50 \ (\mu g/m)$
	Hexane	ΡH	I	$71.83 \pm 7.51^{***}$	36.28 ± 1.19	3.28 ± 0.52	2.27 ± 0.07		$13.72 \pm 0.81^{**,a}$
	95% ethanol	P95	Ι	$67.44 \pm 2.53^{***}$	40.34 ± 1.77	2.79 ± 1.62	1.66 ± 1.37		$12.26 \pm 0.71^{**,a}$
Pericarp	50% ethanol	P50	$66.39 \pm 1.83^{***}$	52.66 ± 1.82	36.74 ± 0.55	31.50 ± 3.95			$42.30 \pm 1.20^{***,c}$
4	Essential oil	Ю	$70.42 \pm 1.26^{***}$	63.32 ± 1.44	33.74 ± 0.62	18.84 ± 0.77			$24.13 \pm 2.03^{***,b}$
	Water	\mathbf{PW}	$11.67 \pm 0.39^{***}$	I		I			$>100^{***}$
	Hexane	ΕH	$61.81 \pm 1.00^{***}$	20.93 ± 2.47	6.24 ± 0.10	4.68 ± 0.002			$87.15 \pm 0.55^{***}$
	95% ethanol	F95	$66.00 \pm 1.26^{***}$	52.35 ± 0.28	39.33 ± 1.31	37.64 ± 1.55	I	I	$43.24 \pm 1.04^{***,c}$
Fruit	50% ethanol	F50	$67.51 \pm 0.72^{***}$	40.90 ± 2.76	22.51 ± 1.75	13.23 ± 0.95	I	I	$69.97 \pm 3.37^{***}$
	Essential oil	FO	$67.07 \pm 6.94^{***}$	54.22 ± 0.03	31.35 ± 4.12	26.08 ± 2.77	I	I	$40.85 \pm 1.99^{***,c}$
	Water	FW	$20.06 \pm 3.00^{***}$	I					$>100^{***}$
	Hexane	SH	$-9.09 \pm 0.28^{***}$	I	ļ		ļ		$>100^{***}$
	95% ethanol	S95	$-15.24 \pm 4.16^{***}$						$>100^{***}$
ومعط	50% ethanol	S50	$12.48 \pm 2.82^{***}$	I	I	I	I	I	$>100^{***}$
Deed	Essential oil	SO	83.70 ± 0.229	69.15 ± 2.48	52.50 ± 4.25	31.86 ± 6.71	I	I	$8.61 \pm 2.23^{*,a}$
	Water	SW	$14.19 \pm 2.12^{***}$	I		I		I	$>100^{***}$
	Prednisolone	Pred	I	$93.20 \pm 3.80 $	87.74 ± 1.51	89.16 ± 1.82	81.57 ± 0.87	52.93 ± 1.35	$0.07\pm0.003~(0.19\pm0.003\mu\mathrm{M})$
The results are sh * <i>p</i> value < 0.05, ¹ between PH, P9.	own as mean ± standard error of mee ** <i>p</i> value < 0.01, *** <i>p</i> value < 0.001 c 5, and PO; ^c not different significantl	an (SEM) ompared ly statistic	(n = 3). LPS: lipopolys: with prednisolone as a c between P50, F95, al	accharide; IC ₅₀ : the h positive control; ^a nc nd FO; (–) not teste	alf maximal inhit ot different signifi d.	oitory concentrati cantly statistic bet	on.¶the %inhibit ween PH, P95, ar	ion was not differ ad SO; ^b different (ent significantly from prednisolone; ignificantly statistic (<i>p</i> value < 0.01)

TABLE 3: Inhibitory effect and IC50 values of LPS-induced prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) production in RAW264.7 macrophages of various Zanthoxylum rhetsa extracts.

inhibition of LPS-induced PGE2. Therefore, PH, P95, and SO compositions were analyzed by GC/MS (Table 4) and presented GC/MS chromatogram of PH (Figure 4(a)), P95 (Figure 4(b)), and SO (Figure 4(c)). PH and P95 contained some chemical compounds as in SO; these were γ -terpinene (0.68%, 0.79%, and 4.91%, respectively), terpinen-4-ol (1.07%, 3.38%, and 35.13%, respectively), and terpinenyl acetate (1.57%, 1.62%, and 6.65%, respectively). PH and P95 shared similar composition but different in percentages. Bicyclo(3.1.1)heptane-2,3-diol,2,6,6-trimethyl or 2,3-pinanediol (11.28%), neryl acetate (7.65%), caryophyllene oxide (7.50%), spathulenol (6.65%), and cetanol (3.78%) are constituents in top 5 of PH. Bicyclo(3.1.1)heptane-2,3diol,2,6,6-trimethyl or 2,3-pinanediol (19.82%), 2,3-camphanediol (5.87%), durenol (4.53%), piperitone oxide (4.46%), and spathulenol (4.39%) are in top 5 constituents of P95. Terpinen-4-ol was the major compound (35.13%) in SO; the next top 5 compounds were *p*-cymene (10.95%), terpinenyl acetate (6.65%), cuminol (5.60%), and limonene (5.48%).

4. Discussion

Pain may be acute or chronic depending on the duration of inflammatory response in the body [38, 39]. Inflammatory mechanisms assist in eliminating pathogens or stimulating wound healing in order to protect and restore cells and tissues into normal physiological functions [4]. Inflammatory responses, resulting in excessive release of inflammatory mediators and cytokines, can lead to tissue damage, chronic disease, and pain [9, 10]. Although medication can be effective for pain relief from inflammation, side effects from medication (i.e., steroid, NSAIDs, opioids, acetaminophen, etc) are significant. Herbal medicine is considered and utilized as a natural alternative for treatment of pain relief with potential to avoid some side-effects [40].

After cell and tissue damage, the body perceives pain. An acute inflammatory mechanism is induced by inflammatory mediators. PGE₂ is the one of chemical mediators: histamine, substance P, bradykinin, acetylcholine, leukotrienes, and prostaglandins, resulting in heat, redness, swelling, and nociception. PGE₂-induced vasodilation in the first step of acute inflammatory mechanism leads to increase microvascular permeability and induces pain by acting on peripheral sensory neurons [41]. The inhibition of PGE₂ production can be effective to reduce heat, redness, edema, and pain. In the present study, PH, P95, and SO of Z. rhetsa were the most potent groups (IC₅₀ < $20 \,\mu g/ml$) which showed the greatest potency of LPS-induced PGE₂ production in RAW264.7 macrophages, while PO was the second most potent group (IC₅₀ < $30 \mu g/ml$); P50, FO, and F95 was in the third group for potency (IC₅₀ < $50 \mu g/ml$), and other extracts of Z. rhetsa were weak to inactive $(IC_{50} > 50 \,\mu g/ml)$. These results indicate that whole Z. rhetsa fruit should be separated into pericarp and seed, and the inhibitory effect of PGE₂ production is higher as a consequence. A previous study reported that an ethanolic extract from Z. rhetsa fruit (consisting of pericarp and seed) could inhibit COX-1 (90.80%) and COX-2 (94.40%) [21]. PGE₂ is

one of the products derived from cyclooxygenase pathway [5]; therefore, PH, P95, and SO may reduce acute pain from an acute inflammatory mechanism through inhibition of COX-1 and COX-2 as well as the eicosanoid product PGE₂. Additionally, an *in vivo* study on a bioadhesive gel containing essential oil from the fruit could inhibit licking behavior, edema, and redness of the buccal cavity in rats [22] which was also due to reduced PGE₂ in acute inflammation. In clinical trials, a massage oil containing essential oil from fruit relieved pain in the calf muscle compared with carrier oil (placebo) in healthy volunteers after induction by standing and heel raise [23].

Though the previous study was done on whole fruit, our study has shown that Z. rhetsa pericarp and seed could perform the same pharmacological functions. This is an important finding since it would be the preparation of this herbal medicine from pericarp or seed not only whole fruit. Although the percentage yields of SO was less (0.27%) (Figure 1), the preparation of the distillation of the seed should be studied further in order to increase its yield. The present study also showed the highest %inhibition of PGE₂ production of SO at $100 \,\mu\text{g/ml}$ (83.70% ± 0.22) which was not significantly different from prednisolone at 50 µg/ml $(93.20\% \pm 3.80)$ while PH and P95 at 50 µg/ml showed the highest %inhibition of PGE₂ production (71.83% \pm 7.51 and $67.44\% \pm 2.53$, respectively) which was significantly different (p value < 0.001) from prednisolone (Figure 3), whereas IC_{50} values of PH and P95 were not significantly different from SO (Table 3). Our result was indicated; the preparation of analgesic and anti-inflammatory agents in acute inflammation from PH, P95, and SO was apparent. Whereas percentage yields of PH (5.89%) and P95 (13.10%) were higher than SO (0.27%) (Figure 1). Our study is also the first report on anti-inflammatory activity of PH, P95, and SO from Z. rhetsa by the inhibition of PGE₂ production in RAW 264.7 macrophages.

TNF- α is an inflammatory cytokine which releases in both acute and chronic inflammation; TNF- α induces pain and fever and plays a role in rheumatoid arthritis, osteoarthritis, and systemic lupus erythematosus [42]. Thai ethnomedicine use of Z. rhetsa was able to demonstrate the anti-inflammatory action in joints. Z. rhetsa fruit is used as an oil (named Pa-Ra-Ti-Tri) and ointment (named Bee-Pra-Sen) for treatment of muscle and joint inflammation in Thai ancient scripture (named Pra-O-Sod-Pra-Na-Rai) [18]. Additionally, our extracts were effective on TNF- α production by PH and P95, whereas SO was less active. Therefore, PH and P95 may relieve pain and inflammation via inhibition of TNF- α production. Our findings could be utilized to improve ethnomedicine use by developing a topical analgesic remedy from PH or P95 which demonstrates clinical utility.

PH and P95 also demonstrated the highest potency in the inhibition of NO production, which is a free radical synthesized by inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) from macrophages with L-arginine as a precursor [8]. Increasing concentrations of nitrite in synovial fluid of joints are related to rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis [43]. Therefore, PH and P95 could protect cells and tissues from injury due to

						`				
					μ	ne active ext	racts			
No.	Chemical composition	Hexane ex	tract from p	ericarp (PH)	95% ethan	olic extract f (P95)	rom pericarp	Essenti	al oil from s	eed (SO)
		RT (min)	% Area	Match score	RT (min)	% Area	Match score	RT (min)	% Area	Match score
1	Sabinene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	8.56	1.91	889
2	Alpha-phellandrene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	9.69	1.49	887
З	Âlpha-terpinene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	10.07	4.07	879
4	<i>p</i> -Cymene	ND	ND	ND	QN	ND	ND	10.32	10.95	882
5	Limonene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	10.49	5.48	884
9	Gamma-terpinene	11.47	0.68	885	11.42	0.79	885	11.47	4.91	878
7	Linalool oxide	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	11.92	0.57	880
8	Terpinolen	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	12.38	1.43	885
6	2-Methyl-1-phenylpropene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	12.52	1.26	871
10	Linalool	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	12.84	1.63	877
11	Terpinen-4-ol	15.37	1.07	898	15.36	3.38	898	15.38	35.13	905
12	Terpinenyl acetate	15.81	1.57	892	15.80	1.62	892	15.82	6.65	901
13	L-carvone	17.24	1.07	874	17.22	1.01	874	ND	ŊŊ	ND
14	Cuminal	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	17.24	5.60	885
15	<i>p</i> -Cymen-3-ol	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	18.81	2.43	871
16	Durenol	18.82	3.71	880	18.79	4.53	880	ND	ND	ND
17	Bicyclo(3.1.1)heptane-2,3-Diol, 2,6,6-trimethyl	19.51	11.28	896	19.48	19.82	896	ND	ND	ND
18	Limonene oxide	20.11	2.07	873	20.09	4.03	873	ND	ND	ND
19	Nerol	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	20.93	0.87	878
20	Neryl acetate	20.95	7.65	872	20.92	4.28	872	ND	ND	ND
21	2,3-Camphanediol	21.46	2.57	880	21.44	5.87	880	ND	ND	ND
22	7-Tetradecene	21.76	1.81	873	21.73	0.91	873	ND	ND	ND
23	Linoleic acid	ND	ŊŊ	ND	ND	ND	ND	21.76	0.15	881
24	Piperitone oxide	22.04	3.16	872	22.00	4.46	872	ND	ND	ND
25	Lauric acid	25.60	0.87	879	25.53	1.01	879	ND	ND	ND
26	Spathulenol	25.97	6.65	890	25.94	4.39	890	ND	ND	ND
27	Caryophyllene oxide	26.09	7.50	889	26.07	3.66	889	ND	ND	ND
28	Cetanol	26.60	3.78	877	26.58	2.34	877	ND	ND	ND
29	Ethyl linoleolate	31.92	1.97	871	31.91	1.12	871	ND	ND	ND
GC/MS:	gas chromatography/mass spectrometry; RT: retention	time; min: min	utes; ND: not	detected.						

TABLE 4: Chemical profiles in the active extracts of Zanthoxylum rhetsa by GC/MS.



FIGURE 4: GC/MS chromatogram of active extracts of Z. rhetsa. (a) The hexane extract from pericarp (PH), (b) the 95% ethanolic extract from pericarp (P95), and (c) the essential oil from seed (SO).

NO. Our results also demonstrated the highest potency on the % inhibition of NO production by PH (97.15% \pm 0.37) and P95 (97.66% \pm 1.12) at 50 µg/ml which were not significantly different from prednisolone (96.82% \pm 0.34) at 50 µg/ml (Figure 3); therefore, PH and P95 may relieve pain from inflammation. FH and F95 at 100 µg/ml gave the highest %inhibition of NO production (91.55% \pm 3.04 and 93.36% \pm 3.23, respectively) which were not significantly different from prednisolone (96.82% \pm 0.34) at 50 µg/ml (Figure 3). These results indicate the potency of *Z. rhetsa* pericarp is higher than *Z. rhetsa* fruit for use as anti-in-flammatory agent due to infection. Ethnomedicine use of *Z. rhetsa* fruit was able to demonstrate the anti-inflammatory action due to infection by a component in the Ma-Ha-Wat-Ta-Na remedy for the treatment of abscesses in Pra-O-Sod-Pra-Na-Rai ancient scripture [18].

Additionally, both NO and TNF- α have important roles in progressive osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis [44–46]. TNF- α stimulates chondrocytes in cartilages to produce high levels of NO [44]. PH and P95 may reduce pain, swelling, and tissue damage through inhibiting NO and TNF- α production.

All extracts and essential oils from *Z. rhetsa* and prednisolone (positive control) showed greater than 70% cell viability at all concentrations (Figure 2) when tested, indicating that compounds were not cytotoxic to the cells, and their anti-inflammatory activity via the inhibition of LPSinduced NO, TNF- α , and PGE₂ production in RAW 264.7 macrophages was not due to cytotoxicity [34].

Additionally, our extraction methods and results were supportive data for the Thai traditional preparation of drugs as the extraction by hexane is similar to preparation of the folk method called Hung-Nam-Mun (hot oil extract) [47]. These methods extensively use coconut oil for frying plant materials; however, a rancid odor because of coconut oil is apparent. Whereas maceration in hexane has no odor and a high extraction yield.

Some compounds analyzed in the active extracts, PH, P95, and SO (Table 4), had previously been reported to inhibit inflammatory mediators. Terpinen-4-ol was found in both PH (1.07%), P95 (3.38%), and SO (35.13%), and previous studies reported that terpinen-4-ol could inhibit TNF- α , IL-1 β , and PGE₂ production by LPS-activated human blood monocytes [31]. The second most abundant compounds in SO, p-cymene (10.95%), has previously been demonstrated to exhibit analgesic and anti-inflammatory properties in mice [48, 49]. Cuminaldehyde (5.60%) competitively inhibited the activity of 15-lipoxygenase, an enzyme involved in the production of inflammatory mediators such as leukotrienes, using lipoxygenase inhibition assay [50]. Limonene (5.48%) was found to be in the top five compounds of SO and also previously shown to suppress the production of LPS-induced NO, PGE₂, TNF- α , IL-1 β , and IL-6 [33]. The occurrence of these compounds in SO was the reasons for its in vitro activities. The compounds in PH and P95, spathulenol (6.65% and 4.39%, respectively) and caryophyllene oxide (7.50% and 3.66%, respectively), previously showed that they could inhibit the production of NO, IL-1 β , and IL-6 [32]. The major component in PH and P95 was found to be bicyclo(3.1.1)heptane-2,3-diol, 2,6,6-trimethyl or 2,3-pinanediol (11.28% and 19.82%). This compound was earlier reported as an agent that increased microcirculation when applied topically [51]; thus, 2,3-pinanediol could contribute to pain relief when applied in PH and P95 on inflamed areas [52].

5. Conclusions

PH, P95, and SO of *Z. rhetsa* exerted pain-relieving and antiinflammatory activity through inhibition of inflammatory mediators via LPS-induced NO, TNF- α , and PGE₂ in RAW264.7 macrophages. Our study suggests that the PH and P95 extract fractions analyzed could provide constituents suitable for pain relief in chronic inflammation due to their activity on NO and TNF- α and SO inhibitory effect on

PGE₂ production. Moreover, PH, P95, and SO contained terpinen-4-ol that was previously reported as an inhibitor of LPS-induced PGE₂ and TNF- α . Other components in SO, *p*cymene, and limonene have previously been reported for their in vitro and in vivo anti-inflammatory activity. Therefore, SO may have potential for the development into an analgesic and anti-inflammatory product for inflammation, and its active constituents should be further refine or studied further with additional reference standards where possible. A main active constituent determined in PH and P95 which enables inhibition of NO, TNF- α , and PGE₂ appears to be 2,3-pinanediol which comprises almost 20% of P95. These findings are the first foundational supportive data for ethnomedical use as anti-inflammatory and analgesic herbal medicine treatment. Z. rhetsa pericarp that is macerated with hexane and 95% ethanol and seed essential oil are now being studied for analgesic product development in ongoing studies in our laboratories.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are available within the article.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

This study received funding and support from the Center of Excellence in Applied Thai Traditional Medicine Research (CEATMR) and Bualuang ASEAN Chair Professorship Faculty of Medicine, Thammasat University, Pathumthani, Thailand. The authors wish to thank the Herb and Thai Traditional Medicine Division, Thailand Science Park for GC/MS analysis, Department of National Parks, Wildlife and Plant Conservation, Bangkok, Thailand, for plant authentication and the office of the Forest Herbarium, Bangkok, Thailand, for keeping the voucher specimen.

References

- H. S. Murphy, "Inflammation," in *Essentials of Rubin's Pa-thology*, E. Rubin and H. Reisner, Eds., pp. 23–44, Lippincott William & Wilkins, Baltimore, USA, 6th edition, 2013.
- [2] N. A. Punchard, C. J. Whelan, and I. Adcock, "The journal of inflammation," *Journal of Inflammation*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–4, 2004.
- [3] International Association for the Study of Pain, IASP Announces Revised Definition of Pain, IASP, Washington, DC, USA, 2020.
- [4] P. A. Ward, "Acute and chronic inflammation," in *In Fun*damentals of Inflammation, C. N. Serhan, P. A. Ward, and D. W. Gilroy, Eds., pp. 1–16, Cambridge University Press, New York, USA, 2010.
- [5] C. N. Serhan and J. Z. Haeggström, "Lipid mediators in acute inflammation and resolution: eicosanoids, PAF, resolvins, and protectins," in *In Fundamentals of Inflammation*,

C. N. Serhan, P. A. Ward, and D. W. Gilroy, Eds., pp. 153–174, Cambridge University Press, New York, USA, 2010.

- [6] D. M. Lindell and N. W. Lukacs, "Cytokines and chemokines in inflammation," in *Fundamentals of Inflammation*, C. N. Serhan, P. A. Ward, and D. W. Gilroy, Eds., pp. 175–185, Cambridge University Press, New York, USA, 2010.
- J. W. Coleman, "Nitric oxide in immunity and inflammation," International Immunopharmacology, vol. 1, no. 8, pp. 1397– 1406, 2001.
- [8] V. Dhawan, "Reactive oxygen and nitrogen species: general considerations," in *Studies on Respiratory Disorders*, N. K. Ganguly, S. K. Jindal, S. Biswal, P. J. Barnes, and R. Pawankar, Eds., pp. 27–47, Springer Science & Business Media, Berlin, Germany, 2014.
- [9] P. Sitthichaiyakul, "Acute and chronic inflammation," 2009, http:// www.med.nu.ac.th/pathology/405313/book54/Inflammation.pdf.
- [10] R. Pahwa, A. Goyal, P. Bansal, and I. Jialal, *Chronic In-flammation*, StatPearls Publishing, Treasure Island, FL, USA, 2020, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK493173/.
- [11] Ministry of Public Health, *Thai Traditional Household Remedy*, Ministry of Public Health, Thailand, 2013, https://www. fda.moph.go.th/sites/drug/Shared%20Documents/Law03-TheMinistryOfHealth/Law03-07-03.pdf.
- [12] T. Smitinand, *Thai Plant Names (Revised Edition)*, National Office of Buddhism Press, Bangkok, Thailand, 2014.
- [13] R. Suksathan, C. Trisonthi, P. Trisonthi, and P. Wangpakapattanawong, "Notes on spices plants in the genus zanthoxylum (rutaceae) in Northern Thailand," *Thai Forest Bulletin (Botany)*, vol. 37, pp. 197–204, 2009.
- [14] K. Islam, N. N. Biswas, S. Saha et al., "Antinociceptive and antioxidant activity of *Zanthoxylum budrunga* Wall (Rutaceae) seeds," *The Scientific World Journal*, vol. 2014, Article ID 869537, 7 pages, 2014.
- [15] Y. Tezuka, S. Irikawa, T. Kaneko et al., "Screening of Chinese herbal drug extracts for inhibitory activity on nitric oxide production and identification of an active compound of *Zanthoxylum bungeanum*," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 77, no. 2-3, pp. 209–217, 2001.
- [16] L. H. Cao, Y. J. Lee, D. G. Kang, J. S. Kim, and H. S. Lee, "Effect of Zanthoxylum schinifolium on TNF-α-induced vascular inflammation in human umbilical vein endothelial cells," *Vascular Pharmacology*, vol. 50, no. 5-6, pp. 200–207, 2009.
- [17] J.-H. Lee, K.-M. Chang, and G.-H. Kim, "Composition and anti-inflammatory activities of Zanthoxylum schinifolium essential oil: suppression of inducible nitric oxide synthase, cyclooxygenase-2, cytokines and cellular adhesion," *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, vol. 89, no. 10, pp. 1762–1769, 2009.
- [18] Department of Thai Traditional and Alternative Medicine, Pra-O-Sod-Pra-Na-Rai Scripture, the War Veterans Organization of Thailand, Bangkok, Thailand, 2012.
- [19] K. Medhi, M. Deka, and B. S. Bhau BS, "The genus Zanthoxylum- A stockpile of biological and ethnomedicinal properties," Scientific Reports, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 1–8, 2013.
- [20] R. Supabphol and J. Tangjitjareonkun, "Chemical constituents and biological activities of *Zanthoxylum limonella* (Rutaceae): a Review," *Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*, vol. 13, no. 12, pp. 2119–2130, 2014.
- [21] A. H. Brantner, J. Zoeschg, H. Pfeifhofer et al., "Evaluation of Zanthoxylum limonella essential oil and ethanolic fruit extract for their biological activities," in Proceedings of the Paper Presented at International Congress and 53rd Annual Meeting of the Society for Medicinal Plant Research, pp. 21–25, Florence, Italy, 2005.

- [22] V. Netweera, A. Priprem, and S. Limsittichaikoon, "In vitro and in vivo studies of a bioadhesive gel containing volatile oil extracted from fruits of *Zanthoxylum limonella* Alston," *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 175–178, 2016.
- [23] C. Imphat, N. Chairat, and N. Chinacarawat, Massage Oil Product Containing Zanthoxylum Limonella Fruit Essential Oil, Mae Fah Luang University, Chiang Rai, Thailand, 2016.
- [24] R. R. Naik, A. K. Shakya, N. A. Khalaf et al., "GC-MS Analysis and Biological Evaluation of Essential Oil of Zanthoxylum Rhesta (Roxb.) DC Pericarp," *Jordan Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 181–193, 2015.
- [25] V. S. Rana and M. A. Blazquez, "Volatile Constituents of the Seed Coat ofZanthoxylum rhetsa(Roxb.) DC," *Journal of Essential Oil Research*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 430–432, 2010.
- [26] P. M. Shafi, A. Saidutty, and R. A. Clery, "Volatile Constituents of Zanthoxylum rhetsa Leaves and Seeds," *Journal of Essential Oil Research*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 179–182, 2000.
- [27] C. Itthipanichpong, N. Ruangrungsi, and C. Pattanaautsahakit, "Chemical compositions and pharmacological effects of essential oil from the fruit of *Zanthoxylum limonella*," *The Journal of the Medical Association of Thailand*, vol. 85, no. Suppl 1, pp. S344–S354, 2002.
- [28] P. K. Rout, S. N. Naik, Y. R. Rao, G. Jadeja, and R. C. Maheshwari, "Extraction and composition of volatiles from Zanthoxylum rhesta: Comparison of subcritical CO₂ and traditional processes," *The Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 334–341, 2007.
- [29] J. Tangjitjaroenkun, W. Chavasiri, S. Thunyaharn, and C. Yompakdee, "Bactericidal effects and time-kill studies of the essential oil from the fruits ofZanthoxylum limonellaon multi-drug resistant bacteria," *Journal of Essential Oil Research*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 363–370, 2012.
- [30] P. Bubpawan, S. Boonphong, C. Sriwattanawarunyoo, and V. Udeye, "Characterization of the essential oil and fatty oil from makhwaen fruit (*Zanthoxylum rhetsa* (Roxb.) DC)," *International Journal of Science*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 1–10, 2015.
- [31] P. H. Hart, C. Brand, C. F. Carson et al., "Terpinen-4-ol, the main component of the essential oil of *Melaleuca alternifolia* (tea tree oil), suppress inflammatory mediator production by activated human monocytes," *Inflammation Research*, vol. 9, pp. 19–26, 2000.
- [32] M. G. Miguel, "Antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activities of essential oils: a short review," *Molecules*, vol. 15, no. 12, pp. 9252–9287, 2010.
- [33] W.-J. Yoon, N. H. Lee, and C.-G. Hyun, "Limonene Suppresses Lipopolysaccharide-Induced Production of Nitric Oxide, Prostaglandin E2, and Pro-inflammatory Cytokines in RAW 264.7 Macrophages," *Journal of Oleo Science*, vol. 59, no. 8, pp. 415–421, 2010.
- [34] S. Makchuchit, R. Rattarom, and A. Itharat, "The anti-allergic and anti-inflammatory effects of Benjakul extract (a Thai traditional medicine), its constituent plants and its some pure constituents using in vitro experiments," *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy*, vol. 89, pp. 1018–1026, 2017.
- [35] R&D Systems, Quantikine[®] ELISA Mouse TNF-α, R&D Systems, Shanghai, China, 2017.
- [36] Cayman Chemical, Prostaglandin E₂ ELISA Kit-Monoclonal, Cayman Chemical Company, Ann Arbor, MI, USA, 2016.
- [37] A. Gujar, T. Anderson, D. Cavagnino, and A. Patel, "Comparative analysis of mass spectral matching for confident compound identification using the advanced electron ionization Source for GC-MS," 2018, https://assets.thermofisher. com/TFS-Assets/CMD/Technical-Notes/tn-10598-gc-msmass-spectral-matching-tn10598-en.pdf.

- [38] F. Cox, "Basic principles of pain management: assessment and intervention," *Nursing Standard*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 36–39, 2010.
- [39] P. Świeboda, R. Filip, A. Prystupa, and M. Drozd, "Assessment of pain: types, mechanism and treatment," *Annals of Agricultural and Environmental Medicine*, vol. 1, pp. 2–7, 2013.
- [40] J. C. Maroon, J. W. Bost, and A. Maroon, "Natural anti-inflammatory agents for pain relief," *Surgical Neurology International*, vol. 1, no. 80, pp. 1–10, 2010.
- [41] E. Ricciotti and G. A. FitzGerald, "Prostaglandins and Inflammation," Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology, vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 986–1000, 2011.
- [42] W.-M. Chu, "Tumor necrosis factor," *Cancer Letters*, vol. 328, no. 2, pp. 222–225, 2013.
- [43] P. Tripathi, P. Tripathi, L. Kashyap, and V. Singh, "The Role of nitric oxide in inflammatory reactions," *FEMS Immunology & Medical Microbiology*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 443–452, 2007.
- [44] S. Kumar, R. K. Singh, and T. R. Bhardwaj, "Therapeutic role of nitric oxide as emerging molecule," *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy*, vol. 85, pp. 182–201, 2017.
- [45] M. Lotz, S. Hashimoto, and K. Kühn, "Mechanisms of chondrocyte apoptosis," Osteoarthritis and Cartilage, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 389–391, 1999.
- [46] Z. Ashkavand, H. Malekinejad, and B. S. Vishwanath, "The pathophysiology of osteoarthritis," *Journal of Pharmacy Research*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 132–138, 2013.
- [47] N. Soonthornchareonnon, "Did you know...How is Plai oil from hot oil extract different from Plai oil from water distillation," 2012, https://pharmacy.mahidol.ac.th/knowledge/ files/0109.pdf.
- [48] L. R. Bonjardim, E. S. Cunha, A. G. Guimarães et al., "Evaluation of the anti-inflammatory and antinociceptive properties of *p*-cymene in mice," *Zeitschrift für Naturforschung C*, vol. 67, no. 1-2, pp. 15–21, 2012.
- [49] M. F. Santana, L. J. Quintans-Júnior, S. C. H. Oliveira et al., "p-Cymene reduces orofacial nociceptive response in mice," *Revista Brasileira de Farmacognosia*, vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 1138–1143, 2011.
- [50] M. J. Tomy, K. V. Dileep, S. Prasanth et al., "Cuminaldehyde as a lipoxygenase inhibitor: in vitro and in silico validation," *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, vol. 174, no. 1, pp. 388–397, 2014.
- [51] D. A. Brown, M. T. Canning, S. L. Nay, A. V. Pena, and D. B. Yarosh, "Bicyclic monoterpene diols stimulate release of nitric oxide from skin cells, increase microcirculation, and elevate skin temperature," *Nitric Oxide*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 70–76, 2006.
- [52] S. T. Pai, "Peripheral neuropathy," in *Integrative Medicine*, D. Rakel, Ed., pp. 120–123, Elsevier Health Sciences, Philadelphia, PA, USA, 4th edition, 2017.