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A Review on Antidiabetic Activity of Centaurea spp.: A New Approach for Developing Herbal Remedies
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Objective. Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a long-life metabolic disorder, characterized by high blood glucose levels. The hyperglycemic condition generally leads to irreversible nerve injury and vascular damage. Among different types of diabetes, type 2 is more common and has spread all over the world. Although various therapeutic approaches have been developed to control type 2 DM, regulating blood glucose levels has still remained a controversial challenge for patients. Also, most prescription drugs cause different side effects, such as gastrointestinal disorders. Thus, developing novel and efficient antidiabetic agents possessing fewer adverse effects is in high demand.

Method. This literature was comprehensively surveyed via search engines such as Google Scholar, PubMed, and Scopus using appropriate keywords.

Results. Medicinal plants, both extracts and isolated active components, have played a significant role in controlling the blood glucose levels. Good-to-excellent results documented in the literature have made them a precious origin for developing and designing drugs and supplements against DM. Centaurea spp. have been confirmed in recent studies through in vitro assays as well as in vivo experiments. Conclusion. Potent results encouraged us to review their efficacy to open a new horizon for development of herbal antidiabetic agents.

1. Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a chronic metabolic disease which is described by hyperglycemia and high blood sugar levels in postprandial and fasting state. It is characterized by defects in insulin secretion, insulin action, or both of them [1]. The total number of diabetic patients in the world has been anticipated to rise from 171 million in 2000 to 366 million in 2030 [2]. Considering the long-term side effects of DM, it has become one of the major causes of morbidity in the world [3]. There are different types of diabetes based on its pathogenesis, including insulin-dependent (type I), noninsulin-dependent (type II), and gestational. Type 2 DM is more common than the other types in which the body’s insulin receptors become resistant to the normal insulin effects. Then, β cells of the pancreas respond to the high blood glucose levels by producing more insulin to manage the situation. However, the insulin overproduction makes β cells wear themselves out [4, 5].

Patients with DM may experience some complications such as retinopathy, neuropathy, nephropathy, cataracts, peripheral vascular insufficiencies, and damaged nerves resulting from chronic hyperglycemia [5–7]. High blood glucose levels in type 2 DM can be controlled by using...
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insulin or oral antidiabetic drugs [8]. Different pathways and mechanisms are considered for preventing the progression of the disease. They may include inhibition of intestinal α-glucosidase and α-amyrase, inhibition of aldose reductase, insulin synthesis and secretion, inhibition of lens aldose reductase, oxidative stress protection, inhibition of formation of advanced glycation end products, lowering plasma glucose levels, altering enzyme activity of hexokinases and glucose-6-phosphate, inhibition of postprandial hyperglycemia, stimulation of GLUT-4, decreasing activity of G6P, and reducing the level of skeletal hexokinases [5].

One of the most popular approaches to the management of blood glucose levels is the inhibition of key enzymes [9]. α-Glucosidase and α-amylase are two carbohydrate digestive enzymes which can cause elevated postprandial hyperglycemia (PPHG); thus, their inhibition plays a significant role in controlling PPHG in patients with type 2 DM. Inhibition of α-glucosidase leads to the reduction of disaccharide hydrolysis, and inhibition of α-amylase disrupts the breakdown of starch to simple sugars. Some of these compounds are clinically used, and the results have shown significant reduction of blood glucose levels in patients [10, 11]. The most important side effect related to the approved Food and Drug Administration (FDA) antitype 2 DM drugs, including voglibose, acarbose, miglitol, sulphonylureas, and thiazolidine, is gastrointestinal effect related to the approved Food and Drug Administration glucose levels in patients [10, 11]. The most important side effect and the results have shown significant reduction of blood -glucosidase leads to the reduction of disaccharide hydrolysis, α-controlling PPHG in patients with type 2 DM. Inhibition of (PPHG); thus, their inhibition plays a significant role in enzymes which can cause elevated postprandial hyperglycemia α-glucosidase and blood glucose levels is the inhibition of key enzymes [9].

C. bornmuelleri isolated from different Centaurea spp. The genus Centaurea is known for possessing sesquiterpene lactones (SLs) [56, 57] and phenolic compounds [58]. Herein, focusing on the hypoglycemic activity of various species of Centaurea in both folk and modern medicine [59–66], we reviewed different reports on their antidiabetic potency to develop herbal drugs and supplements for controlling blood sugar.

2. Methods

The literature was completely searched via search engines such as Google Scholar, Pub Med, and Scopus using keywords, including DM, Centaurea, hyperglycemia, medicinal plants, antidiabetic plants, α-glucosidase, α-amylase, high blood glucose levels, enzyme inhibition, plant-based diets, folk medicine, and treatment. All results were extracted and analyzed in a comprehensive manner.

3. Results

Antidiabetic activity of Centaurea spp. (Figure 1) has been usually investigated through the in vitro inhibition of α-glucosidase and α-amylase as well as in vivo studies on rats and mice (Table 1). However, no clinical trials have been conducted. α-Glucosidase and α-amylase are clinically responsible for glucose disorders in patients with type 2 DM. Reported results have been summarized in Table 1.

3.1. In Vitro Assays

3.1.1. Centaurea bornmuelleri. In vitro α-amylase and α-glucosidase, as well as antioxidant activities of Centaurea bornmuelleri, have been reported in the literature. Among methanolic, aqueous, and ethyl acetate extracts of aerial parts of C. bornmuelleri, the ethyl acetate extract was found to be more potent than the others toward α-amylase and α-glucosidase [67] (Table 1). Other studies confirmed the antibacterial and antioxidant activity of the methanolic extract of the plant [80]. Also, it could inhibit the growth of colon cancer cells under in vitro conditions [81].

3.1.2. Centaurea calcitrapa. Centaurea calcitrapa has been used in folk medicine for the treatment of ophthalmic and skin diseases, common fever, jaundice, and digestive disorders [82–84]. In an in vitro study, the antidiabetic activity of methanolic extract of aerial parts of the plant was investigated. It could inhibit α-glucosidase with IC50 value of 4.38 ± 0.31 mg/ml comparing with acarbose (IC50 = 1.41 ± 0.07 mg/ml) [68] (Table 1). It is worth mentioning that the extract has also shown antibacterial activity against Bacillus, Pseudomonas, Staphylococcus, Streptococcus, Salmonella, Enterobacter, Enterococcus, Acinetobacter, and Escherichia genera [85–87]. Furthermore, C. calcitrapa has depicted significant antioxidant activity through β-carotene/linoleic acid bleaching assay. In vivo antioxidant assay in mice at the doses of 50 and 100 mg/kg/day within 21 days afforded a protective effect against erythrocytes hemolysis [88].
Figure 1: Some *Centaurea* species deposited in the herbarium of the Faculty Of Pharmacy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences. (a) *Centaurea bruguierana*. (b) *Centaurea patula*. (c) *Centaurea depressa*. 
Table 1: Antidiabetic activity of Centaurea spp.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Centaurea spp.</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Part</th>
<th>Extract</th>
<th>Activitya</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>In vitro studies</td>
<td>C. bornmuelleri</td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>33.12 ± 0.32 (mg ACAE/g extract)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>C. bornmuelleri</td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>10.17 ± 0.91 (mg ACAE/g extract)</td>
<td>[67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>C. bornmuelleri</td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Decoction</td>
<td>1.95 ± 0.07 (mg ACAE/g extract)</td>
<td>[67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>C. bornmuelleri</td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Infusion</td>
<td>2.36 ± 0.25 (mg ACAE/g extract)</td>
<td>[67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>C. bornmuelleri</td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>19.90 ± 0.89 (mg ACAE/g extract)</td>
<td>[67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>C. bornmuelleri</td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>16.73 ± 0.34 (mg ACAE/g extract)</td>
<td>[67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>C. bornmuelleri</td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Decoction</td>
<td>3.98 ± 0.22 (mg ACAE/g extract)</td>
<td>[67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>C. bornmuelleri</td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Infusion</td>
<td>3.54 ± 0.66 (mg ACAE/g extract)</td>
<td>[67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>C. calcitrapa</td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>4.38 ± 0.31 (mg/ml)</td>
<td>[68]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>C. centaurium</td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>32.51 ± 0.34%</td>
<td>[69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>C. centaurium</td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td>Aqueous</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>[69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>C. centaurium</td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td>Polyphenol</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>[69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>C. centaurium</td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td>n-Hexane</td>
<td>158 (μg/ml)</td>
<td>[69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>C. depressa</td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>46.11 ± 0.97%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>C. depressa</td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>53.45 ± 1.98%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>C. depressa</td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>36.93 ± 0.97%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>C. depressa</td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>43.97 ± 0.92%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>C. drabifolia subsp. detonsa</td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>43.10 ± 2.41%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>C. drabifolia subsp. detonsa</td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>36.03 ± 0.24%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>C. drabifolia subsp. detonsa</td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>25.58 ± 0.38%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>C. drabifolia subsp. detonsa</td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>25.28 ± 0.38%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>C. fenzlii</td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>0.331 (mmol ACAE/g dry weight)</td>
<td>[71]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>C. fenzlii</td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>0.354 (mmol ACAE/g dry weight)</td>
<td>[71]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>C. hypoleuca</td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Flowers</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>12.77 ± 0.61 (mmol ACAE/g extract)</td>
<td>[72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>C. hypoleuca</td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Flowers</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>19.61 ± 0.05 (mmol ACAE/g extract)</td>
<td>[72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>C. hypoleuca</td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Flowers</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>9.10 ± 0.06 (mmol ACAE/g extract)</td>
<td>[72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>C. hypoleuca</td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Stems</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>8.66 ± 0.08 (mmol ACAE/g extract)</td>
<td>[72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>C. hypoleuca</td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Stems</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>(mmol ACAE/g extract)</td>
<td>[72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entry</td>
<td>Centaurea spp.</td>
<td>Action Part</td>
<td>Extract</td>
<td>Activitya</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td><em>C. hypoleuca</em></td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Stems</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>12.62 ± 0.21 (mmol ACAE/g extract)</td>
<td>[72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td><em>C. hypoleuca</em></td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Flowers</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>82.65 ± 1.31 (mmol ACAE/g extract)</td>
<td>[72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td><em>C. hypoleuca</em></td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Flowers</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>102.41 ± 1.18 (mmol ACAE/g extract)</td>
<td>[72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td><em>C. hypoleuca</em></td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Flowers</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>106.72 ± 1.10 (mmol ACAE/g extract)</td>
<td>[72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td><em>C. hypoleuca</em></td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Stems</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>63.64 ± 1.05 (mmol ACAE/g extract)</td>
<td>[72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td><em>C. hypoleuca</em></td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Stems</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>66.66 ± 0.67 (mmol ACAE/g extract)</td>
<td>[72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td><em>C. hypoleuca</em></td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Stems</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>72.41 ± 0.61 (mmol ACAE/g extract)</td>
<td>[72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td><em>C. karduchorum</em></td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td>Hydrophilic (80%EtOH, 19% H₂O, and 1% of 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid, v/v/v)</td>
<td>5.35 ± 0.08 (mg/ml)</td>
<td>[73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td><em>C. karduchorum</em></td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Stems</td>
<td>Hydrophilic (80% ethanol, 19% H₂O, and 1% of 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid, v/v/v)</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.10 (mg/ml)</td>
<td>[73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td><em>C. karduchorum</em></td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td>Hydrophilic (80% ethanol, 19% H₂O, and 1% of 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid, v/v/v)</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.00 (mg/ml)</td>
<td>[73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td><em>C. karduchorum</em></td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Flowers</td>
<td>Hydrophilic (80% ethanol, 19% H₂O, and 1% of 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid, v/v/v)</td>
<td>1.51 ± 0.22 (mg/ml)</td>
<td>[73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td><em>C. karduchorum</em></td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td>Hydrophilic (80% ethanol, 19% H₂O, and 1% of 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid, v/v/v)</td>
<td>Not active</td>
<td>[73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td><em>C. karduchorum</em></td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Stems</td>
<td>Hydrophilic (80% ethanol, 19% H₂O, and 1% of 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid, v/v/v)</td>
<td>Not active</td>
<td>[73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td><em>C. karduchorum</em></td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td>Hydrophilic (80% ethanol, 19% H₂O, and 1% of 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid, v/v/v)</td>
<td>14.63 ± 0.67 (mg/ml)</td>
<td>[73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td><em>C. karduchorum</em></td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Flowers</td>
<td>Hydrophilic (80% ethanol, 19% H₂O, and 1% of 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid, v/v/v)</td>
<td>Not active</td>
<td>[73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td><em>C. kotschyi</em> var. <em>persica</em></td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>42.35 ± 2.22%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td><em>C. kotschyi</em> var. <em>persica</em></td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>49.42 ± 0.92%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td><em>C. kotschyi</em> var. <em>persica</em></td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>36.16 ± 0.13%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td><em>C. kotschyi</em> var. <em>persica</em></td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>42.72 ± 0.17%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td><em>C. papposa</em></td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Dichloromethane</td>
<td>227.6 ± 4.4 (μg/ml)</td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td><em>C. papposa</em></td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>791.9 ± 1.8 (μg/ml)</td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td><em>C. papposa</em></td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>n-Butanol</td>
<td>Not active</td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entry</td>
<td>Centaurea spp.</td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Part</td>
<td>Extract</td>
<td>Activity $^a$</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td><em>C. patula</em></td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>54.88 ± 1.11%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td><em>C. patula</em></td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>56.11 ± 0.24%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td><em>C. patula</em></td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>31.70 ± 0.04%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td><em>C. patula</em></td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>33.30 ± 0.04%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td><em>C. pulchella</em></td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>35.59 ± 0.58%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td><em>C. pulchella</em></td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>60.31 ± 2.13%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td><em>C. pulchella</em></td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>21.54 ± 0.04%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td><em>C. pulchella</em></td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>59.54 ± 0.59%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td><em>C. saligna</em></td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>23.80 ± 0.06</td>
<td>[74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td><em>C. saligna</em></td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>12.57 ± 1.97</td>
<td>[74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td><em>C. saligna</em></td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td>Aqueous</td>
<td>3.32 ± 0.40</td>
<td>[74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td><em>C. saligna</em></td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.01</td>
<td>[74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td><em>C. saligna</em></td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.01</td>
<td>[74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td><em>C. saligna</em></td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td>Aqueous</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.01</td>
<td>[74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td><em>C. tchihacheffii</em></td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>58.23 ± 0.53%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td><em>C. tchihacheffii</em></td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>53.45 ± 1.40%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td><em>C. tchihacheffii</em></td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>29.89 ± 1.01%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td><em>C. tchihacheffii</em></td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>40.26 ± 0.29%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td><em>C. triumfettii</em></td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>69.88 ± 1.16%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td><em>C. triumfettii</em></td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>41.12 ± 0.77%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td><em>C. triumfettii</em></td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>42.84 ± 0.34%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td><em>C. triumfettii</em></td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>22.40 ± 0.17%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td><em>C. triumfettii</em></td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Stems</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>3.74 ± 0.05</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td><em>C. triumfettii</em></td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Stems</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>3.77 ± 0.05</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td><em>C. triumfettii</em></td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Stems</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>4.13 ± 0.04</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1: Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Centaurea spp.</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Part</th>
<th>Extract</th>
<th>Activity[^a]</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td><em>C. triumfetii</em></td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Flowers</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>2.27 ± 0.01 (mmol ACAE/g extract)</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.09 ± 0.03 (mmol ACAE/g extract)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td><em>C. triumfetii</em></td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Flowers</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.05 (mmol ACAE/g extract)</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td><em>C. triumfetii</em></td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Flowers</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>137.39 ± 0.76 (mmol ACAE/g extract)</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>127.57 ± 0.72 (mmol ACAE/g extract)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td><em>C. triumfetii</em></td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Stems</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>165.47 ± 0.72 (mmol ACAE/g extract)</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td><em>C. triumfetii</em></td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Stems</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>137.42 ± 0.75 (mmol ACAE/g extract)</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td><em>C. triumfetii</em></td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Stems</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>114.06 ± 0.50 (mmol ACAE/g extract)</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td><em>C. triumfetii</em></td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Flowers</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>116.85 ± 0.85 (mmol ACAE/g extract)</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td><em>C. triumfetii</em></td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Flowers</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>67.66 ± 0.05%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td><em>C. triumfetii</em></td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Flowers</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>43.65 ± 0.39%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>C. urvillei</em> subsp. hayekiana</td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>17.53 ± 0.08%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td><em>C. urvillei</em> subsp. hayekiana</td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>43.20 ± 0.59%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td><em>C. urvillei</em> subsp. hayekiana</td>
<td>α-Glucosidase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>17.53 ± 0.08%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td><em>C. urvillei</em> subsp. hayekiana</td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>43.65 ± 0.39%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td><em>C. urvillei</em> subsp. hayekiana</td>
<td>α-Amylase inhibition</td>
<td>Aerial parts</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>43.20 ± 0.59%</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td><em>C. alexanderina</em></td>
<td>Reduction of blood glucose level</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>[75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td><em>C. aspera</em></td>
<td>In vivo studies</td>
<td>Flowers</td>
<td>Aqueous</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>[76]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td><em>C. bruguierana</em></td>
<td>The aqueous extract resulted in the best reduction of PEPCK activity and increment in hepatic GP activity</td>
<td>Aerial fruiting parts</td>
<td>Aqueous, dichloromethane, ethyl acetate, and methanol</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>[77]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.1.3. Centaurea centaurium. In vitro α-amylase inhibitory activity of methanolic, aqueous, polyphenol, and n-hexane extracts of Centaurea centaurium was assayed by Conforti et al. [69]. The n-hexane extract was the most potent extract with an IC50 value of 158 μg/ml. However, aqueous and polyphenol extracts were inactive, and the methanolic extract was found to be weak with an inhibition percent of 32.51 ± 0.34% at the concentration of 1000 μg/ml.

3.1.4. Centaurea depressa, Centaurea drabifolia, Centaurea kotschyi, Centaurea patula, Centaurea pulchella, Centaurea tchihacheffii, Centaurea triumfettii, and Centaurea urvillei. The chloroform and ethyl acetate extracts of aerial parts of eight Centaurea spp. including C. depressa, C. drabifolia, C. kotschyi, C. patula, C. pulchella, C. tchihacheffii, C. triumfettii, and C. urvillei were investigated for their α-glucosidase and α-amylase inhibitory activity by Zengin et al. All Centaurea spp. extracts were able to inhibit both enzymes at the concentration of 2mg/mL (Table 1) and compared with acarbose, inducing inhibitory activity toward α-amylase and α-glucosidase with inhibition percent of 50.51% and 44.16% at 1 mg/ml. The chloroform extract of C. pulchella and C. depressa and the ethyl acetate extract of C. urvillei showed the most potent α-amylase inhibitory effects with inhibition percent of 59.54%, 43.97%, and 43.20%, respectively. The antiguicosidase effect was reported in the following order: ethyl acetate extract of C. triumfettii (69.88%) > ethyl acetate extract of C. urvillei (67.66%) > chloroform extract of C. pulchella (60.31%) [70].

It should be mentioned that antioxidant, antibacterial, antinociceptive, antipyretic, and anticholinesterase activities of these species were also proven [14, 70, 89–93].

3.1.5. Centaurea fenzlii. The methanolic extract of Centaurea fenzlii has shown α-glucosidase and α-amylase inhibitory activity as 0.331mmol ACAE/g dry weight and 0.354mmol ACAE/g dry weight, respectively [71]. The plant has also shown antioxidant, antityrosinase, and anticholinesterase activities, as well as cytotoxicity against colon and MCF-7 breast cancer cell lines [71, 94, 95].

3.1.6. Centaurea hypoleuca. Ethanolic, methanolic, and ethyl acetate extracts of aerial parts (flower and stem) of Centaurea hypoleuca have depicted in vitro inhibitory activity toward α-glucosidase and α-amylase. It should be noted that the ethyl acetate extract of the plant flowers resulted in higher activity than that of the stem as well as other extracts (Table 1) [72]. Also, all extracts demonstrated moderate-to-good antioxidant, antimicrobial, and anticholinesterase activities [72].

3.1.7. Centaurea karduchorum. The dried powder of Centaurea karduchorum has been traditionally used for wound healing [96]. Also, tea prepared from aerial parts of the plant was found to be helpful for the treatment of diabetes, which was investigated and proven in recent studies. Among ethanolic extracts obtained from roots, stems, leaves, and flowers of the plant (Table 1), the leaves extract showed the best inhibitory activity against α-glucosidase (IC50 = 0.63 ± 0.00 mg/ml); however, it could not efficiently inhibit the α-amylase (IC50 = 14.63 ± 0.67 mg/ml) [73]. Comparing α-glucosidase inhibitory activity of C. karduchorum with that of cinnamon which is known for its antidiabetic activity revealed potent efficacy of C. karduchorum since the activity of various extracts of cinnamon was calculated in the range of IC50 = 0.42–4.0 mg/ml [73, 97].

---

### Table 1: Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Centaurea spp.</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Part</th>
<th>Extract</th>
<th>Activitya</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>C. corubionensis</td>
<td>Consumption of aqueous extracts of leaves and flowers at the dose of 5 g/kg led to the reduction of blood glucose levels; aqueous extract of flowers (50 mg/ml) could increase insulin release from isolated islets of Langerhans Reduction in blood glucose level in chronic and acute condition</td>
<td>Leaves and flowers</td>
<td>Aqueous and EtOH</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>[78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>C. horrida</td>
<td>Using the extract significantly improved peripheral nerve function of diabetic mice via hot plate and tail flick tests</td>
<td>Herb and roots</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>[79]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aIC50 values reported as mg/ml, μg/ml, mmol ACAE/g extract, or inhibition percent (%). bACAE = acarbose equivalent.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Centaurea spp.</th>
<th>Phytochemical constituents</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>C. alexanderina</td>
<td>Sesquiterpene lactones and flavonoids (kaempferol 3-O-rutinoside, rutin, apigenin 7-O-galacturonic acid methyl ester, apigenin 7-O-β-D-glucoside, astragalin, centaurein, vicenin, isovitexin, kaempferol, apigenin, quercetin, jacosidin, and nepetin)</td>
<td>[75, 104, 115, 116]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>C. aspera</td>
<td>Sesquiterpene lactones (dehydrodemetilsenin, melitensis, isomelitensis, eudesmanolides, and dihydroestrenophylaloe) and flavonoids (6-methoxyluteolin (nepetin), 6-methoxycacetin (pectolinarigenin), 6-methoxysapigenin (hispaldin), and 6-methoxycristosierol (jacosidin)).</td>
<td>[52, 116–118]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>C. bornmuelleri</td>
<td>Flavonoids (afzelin, astragalin,isorhamnetin,apigenin,quercetin, luteolin, and kaempferol), phenolic acids (caffeoylquinic acids and chlorogenic acid), sterol (stigmast-4-en-3-gamma-ol), and lignans (arctiin, arctigenin, matairesinol, and matairesinose)</td>
<td>[67, 92, 119]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>C. bruguierana</td>
<td>Sesquiterpene lactones (cinicin and dehydrodemitelsin-8-acetate) and flavonoids (kaempferol, rutin, quercetin, cirsimaritin, cirsimaritinol, and eupatilin)</td>
<td>[77, 104, 112, 113, 120–123]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>C. calcitrapa</td>
<td>Sterols, sesquiterpene lactones and their closely related group of triterpenoids, lignans, flavonoids (apigenin, luteolin, scutellarein, chrysoeriol, nepetin, jacosidin, eupatorin, kaempferol, kaempferide, jaceidin, and centaureidin), alkaloids (stizolphine and choline), and phenolic acids (derivatives of hydroxycinnamic acids: p-coumaric, ferulic, caffeic, and chlorogenic acid; derivatives of hydroxybenzoic acids: p-hydroxybenzoic, protocatechuic, gallic, and gentisic acid)</td>
<td>[124–132]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>C. centaurium</td>
<td>Fatty acids (11, 14-eicosadienoic acid methyl ester, 9-octadecenoic acid methyl ester, and 9-octadecenoic acid) and terpenes (cypirene, α-zingiberene, β-farnesene, β-santalene, β-bisabolene, β-himachalene, and azulene)</td>
<td>[69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>C. corubionensis</td>
<td>Phenolic compounds, condensed tannins, flavonoids (luteolin, kaempferol, scutellarein 7-β-D-glucuronoside, scutellarein 5-β-D-glucuronoside, quercetin, isouquerctin, quereimeritrin, and apigenin), monoterpenoid (luteolin), sesquiterpenoid (elemol), and sesquiterpene lactones (solistialin A and acetyl solistialtin)</td>
<td>[70, 90, 92, 133–137]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>C. depressa</td>
<td>Flavonoids, sesquiterpene lactones (belonging to the guaiane class; centaurea lactone, caryopinicin, aguerin B, 8α-isovalerlyloxyxulanzalin C, 8α-acetyoxulanzalin C, and 4β,15-dihydro-3-dehydroxunitalin A), and phenolic compounds (protocatechuic acid, 5-caffeoylquinic acid, 5-feruloylquinic acid, orientin, sisetin, quercetin-3-O-glucoside, patuletin-0-hexoside, luteolin, luteolin-7-O-rutinoside, luteolin-7-O-glucoside, isovitin, apigenin, and hispidulin)</td>
<td>[138–142]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>C. drabifolia</td>
<td>Phenolic compounds, condensed tannins, flavonoids (hurtin, apigenin, rutin, apigenin-3-O-glucuronide, kaempferol-3-O-glucuronide, apigenin-8-C-α-L-arabinoside, apigenin-6-C-α-L-arabinoside, apigenin-7-O-β-D-glucoside, apigenin-8-di-C-β-D-glucoside, scutelaridin-7-O-β-D-glucoside, kaempferol-3-O-β-D-glucoside, kaempferol-3-O-α-L-rhamnoside, vicenin, isovitexin, orientin, schaftoside, hispidulin, fisetin, quercetin, quercetin-3-O-α-L-rhamnoside, and quercetin-3-O-β-D-galactoside), lactones, phenolic acids, gentisic acid, and Q acid derivatives</td>
<td>[104, 143–146]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>C. fenzlii</td>
<td>Phenolic compounds (cirsiliol, isorhamnetin, hispidulin, and cirsimaritin)</td>
<td>[95]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>C. horrida</td>
<td>Flavonoids (hurtidin, apigenin, rutin, apigenim-3-O-glucuronide, kaempferol-3-O-glucuronide, apigenim-8-C-α-L-arabinoside, apigenim-6-C-α-L-arabinoside, apigenim-7-O-β-D-glucoside, apigenim-8-di-C-β-D-glucoside, scutelaridin-7-O-β-D-glucoside, kaempferol-3-O-β-D-glucoside, kaempferol-3-O-α-L-rhamnoside, vicenin, isovitexin, orientin, schaftoside, hispidulin, fisetin, quercetin, quercetin-3-O-α-L-rhamnoside, and quercetin-3-O-β-D-galactoside), lactones, phenolic acids, gentisic acid, and Q acid derivatives</td>
<td>[104, 143–146]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>C. hypoleuca</td>
<td>Sesquiterpene lactones (centaurepensin, acroutilin, caryopinicin, jacerin, loniclorin, and repin) and phenolic compound (catechin and chlorogenic acid)</td>
<td>[72, 126, 147–150]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>C. karduchorum</td>
<td>Phenolic compounds (chlorogenic acid, apigenin, and luteolin glycosides)</td>
<td>[73, 150, 151]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>C. kotschy</td>
<td>Sesquiterpene lactones (germacrene D, β-caryophyllene, β-cedrene, β-bisabolene, and bicyclogermacrene), phenolic compounds, and flavonoid (patuletin-7-O-glucoside)</td>
<td>[70, 116, 152, 153]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>C. papposa</td>
<td>Phenolic acids (quinic acid, malic acid, gallic acid, protocatechuic acid, chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, ferulic acid, salicylic acid, vanillic acid, coumarin, syringic acid, apigenin, and apipterin), flavonoids, and terpenes</td>
<td>[8, 154, 155]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>C. patula</td>
<td>Phenolic compounds (protocatechuic acid, caffeic acid, 5-feruloylquinic acid, orientin, vicenin, patuletin-0-hexoside, luteolin-7-O-glucoside, isovitin, quercetin, apigenin, hispidulin, and luteolin), sesquiterpenes (spathulenol), and diterpene alcohol (phytol)</td>
<td>[141, 156]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>C. pulchella</td>
<td>Phenolics content, condensed tannins, and fatty acid (linoleic acid, α-linoleic acid, and palmitic acid)</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.1.8. *Centaurea papposa*. *In vitro* α-glucosidase inhibitory activity of *n*-butanol, dichloromethane, and ethyl acetate extracts of *Centaurea papposa* was studied by Mawahib et al. Among them, dichloromethane extract displayed a greater inhibitory activity (IC$_{50}$ = 227.6 ± 4.4 μg/ml) comparing with acarbose (275.4 ± 1.6 μg/ml). The ethyl acetate extract exhibited weak α-glucosidase activity (IC$_{50}$ = 791.9 ± 1.8 μg/mL), and the *n*-butanol extract, however, was inactive [8].

3.1.9. *Centaurea saligna*. *Centaurea saligna* has been traditionally used as a wound healing agent, astringent, and tonic. Moreover, its choleretic, diuretic, antibacterial, antirheumatic, and antipyretic activities have been reported [49, 74, 98]. The plant also has demonstrated anticholinesterase, antityrosinase, antiradical, antimicrobial, and antiproiferative properties on LNCaP, HCT-116, and MCF-7 cancer cell lines [74, 99, 100].

Ethanolic, aqueous, and ethyl acetate extracts of *C. saligna* leaves were studied against α-glucosidase (3.32–23.80 mmol ACAE/g extract) and α-amylase (0.16–0.80 mmol ACAE/g extract) by Zengin et al. Among them, the ethyl acetate extract showed the most potent anti-α-glucosidase activity (23.80 mmol ACAE/g extract). It is clear that those extracts exhibited weak inhibitory activity toward α-amylase [74].

3.1.10. *Centaurea triumfettii*. Leaves of *Centaurea triumfettii* have been traditionally used as foodstuff [92, 101]. Biological activities of methanolic, ethanolic, and ethyl acetate extracts of stems and flowers of *Centaurea triumfettii* have been reported by Acet [14]. The ethyl acetate extract of the stems showed potent inhibitory effects on α-amylase (165.47 ± 0.72 mmol ACAE/g extract) and α-glucosidase (4.13 ± 0.04 mmol ACAE/g extract). The plant has also shown the antioxidant capacity and antibacterial activity [14, 91, 102].

3.2. *In Vivo Assay*

3.2.1. *Centaurea alexanderina*. *Centaurea alexanderina* has shown different biological activities such as anti-inflammatory, analgesic, hepatoprotective, and antibacterial (against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*) effects and cytotoxicity on A-495 lung cancer cells [75, 103].

Antidiabetic properties of the 80% methanolic extract of leaves of *C. alexanderina* at the doses of 300 and 600 mg/kg have been studied under *in vivo* conditions in normoglycemic as well as streptozotocin- (STZ-) induced diabetic rats. Those results were compared with glibenclamide (50 mg/kg) as the standard drug. Administration of the extract at the dose of 600 mg/kg led to a remarkable reduction of the elevated blood glucose by 9.4% and 10.5% after 1 and 2 h, respectively. However, using the dose of 300 mg/kg decreased the related item to 2.8% after 2.5 h. Using 300 and 600 mg/kg of extracts daily within two months in the STZ-induced diabetic model led to the reduction of plasma glucose levels by 2.7% and 4.9%, respectively. However, the reduction of test days to 30 days affected the efficacy of extract, and the corresponding levels reduced to 1.1% and 3.8%, respectively [75].

3.2.2. *Centaurea aspera*. Aqueous extracts of *Centaurea aspera* flowers were investigated for their hypoglycemic activity in normal and alloxan-diabetic rats. It exhibited an important hypoglycemic effect by oral route and chronic administration in diabetic rats comparing with glibenclamide. It should be mentioned that the extract obtained by exhaustion with hot water showed an acute hypoglycemic activity in normal animals [76].

3.2.3. *Centaurea bruguierana*. Hypoglycemic activity of different extracts of *Centaurea bruguierana* and the mechanism of action was investigated in STZ-alloxan-diabetic rats by Khanavi et al. The aqueous and dichloromethane extracts at the dose of 400 mg/kg and the ethyl acetate and methanol extracts at the dose of 200 mg/kg, obtained from aerial fruiting parts of the plant, were investigated. The ethyl acetate extract afforded the best activity to reduce the blood glucose levels up to 50.0%, while methanol, dichloromethane, and aqueous extracts reduced that up to 45.7%, 41.7%, and 29.5%, respectively. Glibenclamide showed a 34.5% reduction. The best result from reduction of plasma glucose levels was obtained by 300 mg/kg methanol extract, followed by the ethyl acetate extract at the same dose [70, 92, 157].
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(134.5%) points of view was related to the aqueous extract comparing with those of glibenclamide (62.5% and 133.0%), respectively. *C. bruguierana* depicted no effect on blood insulin, but it was able to reduce blood glucose by stimulation of hepatic glycogenolysis and inhibition of gluconeogenesis [77, 104].

**Figure 2**: The chemical structure of constituents isolated from *Centaurea* spp., responsible for antidiabetic activity. (a) Kaempferol. (b) Kaempferol 3-O-rutinoside. (c) Astragalin (kaempferol-3-glucoside). (d) Rutin. (e) Hesperidin. (f) Quercetin. (g) Luteolin. (h) Cynaroside (luteolin-7-O-glucoside). (i) Catechin. (j) Apigenin. (k) Vitexin. (l) Isovitexin. (m) Hispidulin. (n) Jaceosidin. (o) Caffeic acid. (p) Cholorogenic acid. (q) β-Caryophyllene.
3.2.4. Centaurea corubionensis. Chuclá et al. studied the effect of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of leaves and flowers of *Centaurea corubionensis* on normoglycemic rats, circulating insulin levels in anesthetized rats, glucose-induced hyperglycemic rats, and alloxan-diabetic rats at different doses of 2.5, 5, and 10 g/kg [78]. Consumption of aqueous extracts of leaves and flowers at the dose of 5 g/kg led to the reduction of blood glucose levels by 19 and 16%, respectively. Also, 6 h after administration of aqueous extract of leaves (5 g/kg), the serum glucose and insulin levels were reported to be 97.2 (mg%) and 10.2 (μU/ml) comparing to tolbutamide (75 mg/kg) with those values of 84.4 (mg%) and 9.2 (μU/ml), respectively. Moreover, aqueous extract of flowers (50 mg/ml) could increase insulin release from isolated islets of Langerhans to 36 μU/ml. However, no effect was observed on alloxan-diabetic animals, and it may be associated with severe damage of the pancreas by the alloxan. Hypoglycemic properties of *C. corubionensis* can be achieved by the undamaged pancreas via raising serum circulating insulin.

3.2.5. Centaurea horrida. Raafat et al. investigated the antidiabetic effect of the methanolic extract of *Centaurea horrida* herb and roots in alloxan-induced diabetic mice comparing with glibenclamide. All results were generally obtained more significantly than those of glibenclamide. The plant has been traditionally used to lower blood glucose levels [79]. It was found that administration of the extract at dose of 100 mg/kg led to the reduction of blood glucose levels from 219.33 to 106.56 mg/dL. Investigation of the subacute effect of the extract exhibited the reduction of blood glucose levels from 121.84 mg/dL on 1st day to 105.42 mg/dL on the 8th day at the same dose. The subacute effect of the extract on body weight in alloxan-induced diabetic mice also revealed that using the extract at different doses of 5, 25, 50, and 100 mg/kg did not lead to a significant overweight in mice which was comparable to the positive control. In vivo assessment of the antioxidant activity of the extract demonstrated that treated mice with doses of 25, 50, and 100 mg/kg had no remarkable increase in serum catalase activity. However, it was clear that long-term treatment of diabetes with all doses, particularly with a high dose of extract, induced a reversed effect on catalase activity, which may be associated with reduced oxidative stress. It is worth mentioning that using the extract significantly improved peripheral nerves function of diabetic mice via hot plate and tail flick tests. This is an important result as uncontrolled high blood glucose levels can damage peripheral nerves causing diabetic neuropathy [79, 105, 106]. It has been suggested that hypoglycemic effect of the plant is achieved by the inhibition of the endogenous glucose production or inhibition of intestinal glucose absorption and controlling dietary glucose uptake in the small intestinal tract. It is believed that the mechanism is independent of insulin secretion [79].

The elastase and tyrosinase inhibitory effects of *C. horrida* have also been reported [107].

4. Discussion

Herbal medicine has occupied a particular position in healing purposes, and their use has grown significantly over recent years. In this respect, there are a wide range of reports on the antidiabetic activity of medicinal plants [108], which can be fully considered for the development of efficient drugs and supplements.

4.1. Toxicity. It should not be forgotten that all natural remedies are not essentially safe, and all herbal medicine users should be aware of the risks that they carry [93, 109]. To reach this goal, the toxicity of plants should be investigated for better knowing the range of safety. According to the literature, there are no enough data on the toxicity of reported *Centaurea* spp. in this paper, and most plant toxicity tests should be conducted.

Orally administration of 80% methanolic extract of *C. alexanderina* by different groups of mice (*n* = 10) in the dose range of 50–3000 mg/kg resulted in no fatality and the LD₅₀ value was assumed to be greater than 3000 mg/kg [75]. LD₅₀ value for the methanolic extract of *C. urvillei* was calculated as 115.5 × 10⁻² using the brine shrimp lethality bioassay [110]; likewise, the LC₅₀ values for methanolic and diethyl ether extracts of *C. triumfettii* were obtained as 266.5 and 166.6 μg/ml, respectively [111].

Cytotoxicity of petroleum ether, chloroform, ethyl acetate, n-butanol, and remaining methanolic fractions of the methanolic extract of *C. brugiuerana* depicted that petroleum ether and remaining methanolic fractions were non-toxic toward NIH-3T3 cells (Swiss embryo fibroblast) [112]. However, in a study reported by Nasr et al. [113], chloroform, ethyl acetate, n-butanol, and methanol fractions of the plant showed toxicity on HUVEC cells (a noncancerous cell line). As reported by Erol-Dayi et al. [114], evaluation of cytotoxicity of methanolic and aqueous extracts of *C. calcitrapa*, *C. ptosimopappa*, and *C. spicata* indicated the lack of toxicity of aqueous extract of *C. ptosimopappa* and *C. spicata* on Hela (human cervix adenocarcinoma) and Vero (normal African green monkey kidney) cells (IC₅₀ > 1000 μg/ml). Those methanolic extracts were found to be more toxic (IC₅₀ > 200 μg/ml) on the same cells. The aqueous extract of *C. calcitrapa* showed moderate toxicity on both cells (IC₅₀ > 400 μg/ml), whereas the methanolic extract demonstrated an inhibitory effect with IC₅₀ < 100 μg/ml on Hela and Vero cells (92.5 and 91.7 μg/mL, respectively). It
indicated that the methanolic extract of *calcitrapa* needs more attention from the toxicity point of view.

According to the results reported by Conforti et al. [69], based on the brine-shrimp toxicity test on the roots of *C. centaurium*, the LC50 value was calculated as 44.05 mg/ml for the methanolic extract, while LC50 values for the polyphenolic, lipophilic, and water fractions were found to be 157.44, 25.98, and 152.81 mg/ml, respectively.

4.2. Constituents Isolated from *Centaurea* spp. and Their Antidiabetic Activity Mechanism of Action (MOA). The antidiabetic activity of *Centaurea* spp. is definitely indebted to the presence of phytochemicals. Isolated constituents from discussed plants are listed in Table 2. In this respect, sesquiterpenes, flavonoids, and phenolic compounds have been generally reported in the literature (Figure 2).

4.2.1. Sesquiterpene Lactones. Sesquiterpenoids have shown potent antidiabetic activity via various mechanisms such as inhibition of enzymes involved in hyperglycemia, protecting β-pancreatic cells, preventing oxidative and inflammatory damages associated with the disease, and improving insulin secretion. They can improve insulin sensitivity by regulating glucose transport and key proteins of the insulin signaling pathway. They have also exhibited lipid-lowering actions [158].

Sesquiterpene lactones have exhibited hypoglycemic effects in STZ-induced diabetic mice by improving the function of pancreatic islets, increasing glycolysis, and decreasing gluconeogenesis as well as antioxidant and hypolipidemic activities, which have been assessed by using in vitro assays. The mechanism of antidiabetic activity may involve an antioxidant effect, improving insulin sensitivity, and stimulation of pancreatic β-cells to secret insulin [159]. Sesquiterpene lactones have also shown in vitro inhibitory effects on α-glucosidase and α-amylase [160]. They can be used for the treatment of diabetes through the regulation of nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-κB) and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling pathway [158, 161]. They have also reduced the production of chemokines, such as MCP-1, TGF-β1, and FN, activate NF-κB, and inhibited sugar-induced degradation of IκBα, confirming the efficacy of sesquiterpene lactones as drug candidates for the treatment of diabetic nephropathy [158, 162].

β-Caryophyllene, as a sesquiterpene lactone derivative, has shown anti-hyperglycemic activity in STZ-induced diabetic rats. Oral administration of β-caryophyllene significantly decreased glucose and increased insulin levels. Moreover, reversing the glycoprotein levels in plasma and tissues of diabetic rats to near normal and decreasing proinflammatory cytokines detected using histological and immunohistochemical studies demonstrated the antioxidant capacity of this compound [163, 164]. It should be noted that chronic use of β-caryophyllene has also depicted good results in the prevention or reduction of diabetes-related neuropathy and depressive-like behavior in mice (assessed by marbles test) [165].

4.2.2. Flavonoids. Flavonoids are one of the major components of *Centaurea* spp. Four flavonoids including scutellarein, nepetin, apigenin, and hispidulin were evaluated for their α-glucosidase inhibitory effects comparing with acarbose and the order of the activity was obtained as scutellarein > nepetin > apigenin > hispidulin > acarbose. Also, the synergistic effects from the combination of each flavonoid with acarbose at different concentrations were observed. It was perceived that the best synergistic effect was related to the combined apigenin-acarbose which acted as a noncompetitive inhibitor [166].

The anti-hyperglycemic effect of apigenin may be related to the inhibition of α-glucosidase, preventing oxidative stress conditions, decreasing insulin resistance, decreasing hepatic gluconeogenic enzymes activity, and increasing serum insulin levels [167–169]. Apigenin can enhance the metabolism of glucose via suppression of the activities of gluconeogenic enzymes and aldose reductase. It also prevents diabetic complications such as cataracts, retinopathy, and neuropathy due to the intracellular sorbitol accumulation. Glucose is converted to sorbitol in the polyol pathway, catalyzed by aldose reductase [170].

Vitexin and isovitexin are two apigenin isomers, and their α-amylase inhibitory effects and antioxidant potentials have been investigated via in vitro assays. Vitexin and isovitexin exhibited significant anti-α-amylase activity with IC50 values of 4.6 and 13.8 μM, respectively. Also, antioxidant activity was assayed through DPPH free radical scavenging assay, which showed IC50 values of 92.5 and 115.4 μM, respectively [171]. Vitexin also depicted inhibitory effect on α-glucosidase (IC50 = 52.805 μM) which was comparable with that of acarbose (IC50 = 375 μM) [172]. In addition, computer-aided studies of vitexin-amylase, isovitexin-amylase, and vitexin-glucosidase complexes in the active site of related enzymes confirmed the construction of desired interactions with amino acid residues [171, 172]. Another in vitro study using cell culture revealed that vitexin protected pancreatic β-cells from high-glucose-induced damage, inhibited islet β-cell apoptosis, and improved insulin release and sensitivity. The underlying mechanism may increase the expression of transcription factor Nrf2, resulting in increased intracellular antioxidant molecules, and suppress the inflammatory signaling pathway. Besides, vitexin enhances insulin production by activating insulin signaling via the activation of phosphorylation of IR, IRS-1, and IRS-2 [173].

Hispidulin is another important flavonoid compound inducing antidiabetic activity. Oral administration of hispidulin to STZ-induced hyperglycemia mice effectively mitigated postprandial and fasting hyperglycemia and glucose tolerance, which was associated with a dual mechanism, promoting β-cell function and suppressing hepatic glucose production [174].

Kaempferol has also depicted remarkable α-glucosidase and α-amylase inhibitory activity [175, 176]. Oral administration of kaempferol significantly improved blood glucose control in obese mice, which was associated with suppressing hepatic gluconeogenesis and improving insulin sensitivity and secretion [177, 178]. It was found that kaempferol-3-O-rutinoside was also a potent
inhibitor of α-glucosidase, being over 8 times more active than the reference drug, acarbose, under in vitro conditions [179].

Astragalin has shown hypoglycemic activity on Wistar rats (10 mg/kg) and improved insulin secretion in the glucose tolerance test. Investigation of isolated pancreatic cells treated with astragalin (100 μM) led to Ca2+ influx stimulation via a mechanism involving ATP-dependent potassium channels, L-type voltage-dependent calcium channels, the sarco/endoplasmic reticulum calcium transport ATPase (SERCA), and PKC and PKA (protein kinase) [180].

Rutin is also an important flavonoid possessing anti-hyperglycemic effects via various mechanisms, including decrease of carbohydrates absorption from the small intestine, inhibition of tissue gluconeogenesis, increase of tissue glucose uptake, stimulation of insulin secretion from β-cells, and protecting Langerhans islet against degeneration. Rutin also decreases the formation of sorbitol, reactive oxygen species, advanced glycation end-product precursors, and inflammatory cytokines [181].

Luteolin and luteolin 7-O-glucoside have shown good α-glucosidase inhibitory activity. However, luteolin was found to be more potent than acarbose by the inhibition of 36% at the concentration of 0.5 mg/ml. Although luteolin could inhibit α-amylase effectively (IC50 in the range of 50 to 500 μg/ml), it was less potent than acarbose [182].

Jaceosidin is another flavonoid compound, and its antihyperglycemic capacity has been assessed through various in vivo studies. The results showed that jaceosidin supplementation significantly lowered fasting blood glucose levels and reduced insulin resistance. As it was also found that jaceosidin supplementation increased antioxidant capacity by enhancement of catalase and GSH-px activities, a relevant relationship between antioxidant and antihyperglycemic effects of jaceosidin can be concluded. Jaceosidin could improve endoplasmic reticulum stress and attenuate insulin resistance via SERCA2b (sarco/endoplasmic reticulum Ca2+-ATPase 2b) upregulation in mice skeletal muscles [183, 184].

Hesperidin has shown antidiabetic activity. It has inhibited obesity, hyperglycemia, and hyperlipidemia, and decreased insulin resistance. These effects might be closely related to the activation of AMPK, which regulate the insulin signaling pathway and lipid metabolism [185]. Hesperidin ameliorates pancreatic β-cell dysfunction and apoptosis in a streptozotocin-induced diabetic rat model [186].

The antidiabetic activity of quercetin is also important. It has reduced fasting and postprandial hyperglycemia in an animal model of DM [187]. An in vivo study revealed the hypoglycemic effects of quercetin, but no changes were observed in the activity of lipogenic enzymes and lipoprotein lipase. It can be concluded that the antidiabetic activity of quercetin is comparable with that of antiobesity activity [188]. There are different reports on the α-glucosidase inhibitory effect of quercetin, which describe its multilateral antidiabetic activity [187, 189, 190].

Oral administration of catechin to STZ-induced diabetic rats resulted in a potential agonist characteristic that is capable of activating the insulin receptors and producing a glucose tolerance pattern. The hypoglycemic effect of catechin is associated with its insulin mimetic activity [191]. It has been indicated that catechin significantly decreased the different lipid parameters, hepatic, and renal function enzymes levels along with HbA1c levels in diabetic rats while remarkably increased the high-density lipoprotein (HDL) levels with values comparable with the glibenclamide. Also, α-glucosidase and α-amylase inhibitory activity of catechin have been reported with inhibition percent of 80% and 79%, respectively [192].

4.2.3. Phenolic Compounds. Phenolic compounds have shown versatile and attractive antidiabetic activity. Caffeic acid, a known phenolic acid compound, could protect mice pancreatic islets from oxidative stress induced by multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) [193]. Investigation of the effect of caffeic acid and cinnamic acid on glucose uptake in TNF-R-induced insulin-resistant hepatocytes showed that they may eliminate insulin resistance by improving insulin signaling and enhancing glucose uptake in insulin-resistant cells, which described their antihyperglycemic potential [194]. In another report, glucose uptake into the isolated adipocytes was raised by caffeic acid. The increase of glucose utilization by caffeic acid seems to be responsible for lowering plasma glucose [195]. Chlorogenic acid could also reduce fasting blood glucose levels [196–198]. It has shown an inhibitory effect on α-amylase as potent as acarbose; however, its α-glucosidase inhibitory activity was far weaker than that of acarbose [199, 200].

The effect of phenolic compounds, particularly in the management of type 2 diabetes, has attracted lots of attention [201]. They are characterized by the presence of hydroxyl group(s) on the aryl moiety and endorsed by their antioxidant activity due to high potency of hydroxyl groups as hydrogen donors [202]. As it has been accepted that the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) is associated with hyperglycemia [203], using antioxidants is preferred to treat and reduce the complications of DM. Also, it has been proven that consuming a diet low in fat and rich in antioxidants may reduce the risk of obesity and insulin resistance [204–207].

Phenolic compounds comprise a wide range of phenolic acids and flavonoids. Flavonoids in turn contain anthocyanin pigments, flavonols, flavones, flavanols, and isoflavones. Polymerization of flavanols leads to the formation of tannins in which the esterification of phenolic groups affords cyclic chromenes such as ellagic acid. However, condensed tannins known as proanthocyanidins, for example, catechin, epicatechin, and gallo catechin, are obtained from the condensation of flavanols [208].

Centaurea spp. have been frequently reported to possess anthocyanins [207, 209–211] and their biological activities such as antioxidant, antiallergic, anti-inflammatory, antiviral, anti-proliferative, antimutagenic, antimicrobial, and anticarcinogenic activities. Also, different properties such as improvement of microcirculation, protection from cardiovascular damage and allergy, prevention of peripheral capillary fragility,
prevention of diabetes, and vision improvement are fully considered in the literature [207, 212–222]. Also, the role of anthocyanins is well described for their effect on the prevention of diabetic cataracts [207, 218, 223]. The presence of apigenin in Centaurea spp. [224] has been confirmed, and its activity against thyroid neoplasms as well as anxiolytic, anti-inflammatory, and antiinocceptive properties has been reported [225–227]. The presence of flavonoids in C. bornmuelleri is significant and might be responsible for the desired activity [67]. The phytochemical analysis of C. calcitrapa proved the presence of sterols, sesquiterpene lactones, and their closely related group of triterpenoids, bisabolenes, lignans, and flavonoids as the main secondary metabolites [124–130]. C. hypoleuca contains higher amounts of catechin and chlorogenic acid than the other phenolic compounds, which are known to be responsible for various biological activities such as antioxidant, neuroprotective, antidiabetic, hepatoprotective, and antiarthritic properties [72, 147–149]. High levels of apigenin (2472 μg/g extract), known as a common dietary flavonoid, has absorbed attention in C. saligna. In silico study has confirmed the construction of H-bonding and pi-pi stacking interactions between apigenin and the α-glucosidase active site [74]. Chlorogenic acid has been identified as the main phenolic compound in C. triumfetti [14]. C. karduchorum is known to possess abundant amounts of phenolic compounds, mainly luteolin glycosides (glucoside and glucoronic acid) and chlorogenic acid [73]. Some studies confirmed the activity of luteolin and/or its glycosides against diabetes and neurodegenerative diseases through the reduction of glucose uptake, oxidative stress, and inflammation [151]. Chlorogenic acid has chemopreventive and hypoglycemic effects [150], and it is the main component of medicinal plants characterized by their antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and enzyme inhibitory activities [150, 189, 228]. C. bruguierana possessed sesquiterpene lactones and flavonoids (kaempferol, rutin, and quercetin) [77, 104, 120]. Also, the plant has been documented for its antiluminal and antipeptic ulcer effects [77, 229, 230]. The antidiabetic property of C. karduchorum as a herbal tea is directly dependent on the high levels of bioactive phenolic derivatives profiting from synergistic interactions of those compounds [73]. The presence of terpenes has been confirmed through qualitative analysis in C. papposa, which may explain the favorite activity toward α-glucosidase [154]. High total phenolic and flavonoid contents of C. pulchella and C. urvillei, respectively, may explain their antidiabetic activity [70]. Phytochemical examination of aerial parts of C. horrida indicated the presence of pentacyclic triterpenes, sterol glucoside, quinic acid derivatives, phenolic acid derivatives, and flavonoids as well as horridin [143, 144].

As mentioned above, discussed species of Centaurea are known to possess a high content of phenolic compounds, which explains their antitype 2 DM activity. Inhibition of α-glucosidase and α-amylase has been found to be a versatile tool for the treatment of type 2 diabetes [231, 232]. Apart from synthetic compounds [233–237], a wide spectrum of medicinal plants have been introduced to possess those enzymes inhibitory activity [238], and flavonoids have been well described in this field [239]. Amphiphilic property of phenolic moiety provides favorite interactions with enzymes via the construction of H-bonding and hydrophobic interactions with the polar groups of enzymes and hydrophobic amino acid residues, respectively.

An important point comes back to side effects related to α-amylase inhibitors. They include abdominal distention, flatulence, meteorism, and possibly diarrhea which are consequence of high activity of the enzyme. It seems that extreme inhibition of pancreatic α-amylase results in the abnormal bacterial fermentation of undigested carbohydrates in the colon [240–242]. In this respect, dual inhibitors such as C. saligna and C. karduchorum possessing weak inhibition of α-amylase and high inhibition of α-glucosidase are desirable for the treatment of type 2 DM.

Finally, the efficacy of Centaurea spp. under in vivo conditions has followed various mechanisms such as lowering blood glucose levels, stimulation of hepatic glycogenolysis, inhibition of gluconeogenesis, and insulin secretion and circulation.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, the antidiabetic activity of some Centaurea spp., which has been studied for controlling hyperglycemia, was reviewed. The results obtained from in vitro and in vivo studies confirmed the efficacy of Centaurea spp. for the treatment of type 2 DM. In vitro assays generally focused on the α-glucosidase and α-amylase inhibitory activity, and the effectiveness of C. bornmuelleri, C. calcitrapa, C. centaurium, C. drabifolia, C. depressa, C. fenzlii, C. hypoleuca, C. karduchorum, C. kotschyi, C. papposa, C. patula, C. pulchella, C. saligna, C. tchihacheffii, C. triumfetti, and C. urvillei has been investigated. Among them, dichloromethane extract of C. papposa was found to be the most potent inhibitor of α-glucosidase, and the n-hexane extract of roots of C. centaurium showed the highest activity toward α-amylase (Table 1). In vivo studies of C. alexanderina, C. aspera, C. bruguierana, C. corubionensis, and C. horrida revealed that C. horrida and C. bruguierana were found to be more potent than glibenclamide and C. corubionensis was comparable with tolbutamide. These results demonstrated that Centaurea spp. deserve to be widely studied through clinical trials to prove their antidiabetic effects. Also, data related to the acute and chronic toxicity are in high demand to develop safe Centaurea spp.-based supplements and drugs against type 2 DM.
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