

## Sifting

Serial	outcomes	fund	database	num of study	Total	T	C	Bias evaluation	
42	Relegation factors, Cycle ovulation rate, Improvement rate of menstruation, T, BMI		3+ChCTR+H CTR+embas +ovint+CFM 3+pbmd+Co chrn	4	280	Fu Fang Xuan Jv Jiao Nang+Western medicine	Western medicine	Cochranehandbook	
39	efficiency, ovulation rate, Relegation factors		3+cbm	22	1763	traditional medicine+Western medicine	Western medicine	Cochranehandbook	
35	efficiency, Relegation factors, ovulation rate, LH, LH/FSH, T		3+cbm	13	1148	traditional medicine+ traditional medicine+ (Western medicine)	Western medicine	Cochranehandbook	
33	ovulation rate, Relegation factors		3+cbm+pb md+embase	12	1213	traditional medicine+Western medicine	Western medicine	Jadad	
32	Relegation factors, ovulation rate, efficiency		3	23	-	traditional medicine+ (Western medicine)	Western medicine	-	
30	efficiency, androgen, LH, LH/FSH, Relegation factors	Guangdong Provincial Science and Technology Planning Project (2014A020212273)	3+cbm+pb md+co+sci	3	8	-	调周法+ (Western medicine)	Western medicine	Jadad
28	efficiency, Relegation factors	Guangdong Provincial Science and Technology Planning Project (2014A020212273)	3+cbm+pb md+co+sci	22	1676	Tonify the kidneytraditional medicine+Diane-35	Diane-35	Jadad	
22	efficiency, Relegation factors, Cycle ovulation rate	Chinese medicine project of Jiangxi Health and Family Planning Commission (No.201540873) Medical Guidance Science and technology supporting project of Shanghai Science and Technology Commission (16401934500); Shanghai School of Traditional Chinese medicine,shanghai university of traditional Chinese medicine	3+pbmd+m edline+Scie ncedirect	22	-	traditional medicineTonify the kidneyinvigorate the blood	Western medicine	Jadad	
21	efficiency, ovulation rate, Cycle ovulation rate, Relegation factors	Surface Project of National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.81673661)	3+pbmd+Co chrn	14	1057	traditional medicine+Western medicine	Western medicine	Cochranehandbook	
20	Relegation factors, ovulation rate		3+pbmd+ovi d	11	1128	Kun Tai capsule+Western medicine	Western medicine	Cochranehandbook	
19	Relegation factors, ovulation rate, FSH, LH, T, Insulin content.		3+cbm+3	7	634	traditional medicineTonify the kidneyinvigorate the blood	Clomifene Citrate	Cochranehandbook	
17	efficiency, Relegation factors, ovulation rate, Ovarian volume, Number of mature follicles, LH, FSH, LH/FSH, E2, T		3+cbm+2+ medline	34	-	traditional medicineTonify the kidneyinvigorate the blood	Western medicine	Cochranehandbook	
13	efficiency, FSH, LH, LH/FSH, T, BMI, ovulation rate, physical sign score	Projects supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.81804135)	3+cbm+3	20	1484	traditional medicineTonify the kidneyinvigorate the blood	Western medicine	Jadad	
12	efficiency, ovulation rate, Relegation factors, estrogen, Degree of endometrial thickening	Surface Project of National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.81801466)	3+cbm+3	15	1259	Fu Fang Xuan Jv Jiao Nang+Western medicine	Western medicine	Jadad	
11	efficiency, TCM syndrome, Relegation factors, Biphasic rate of basal body temperature, TCM syndrome score, BMI, Acne score, LH, FSH, LH/FSH, T, E2, PRL, Insulin content, etc.		3+cbm+3	43	3056	traditional medicine	Western medicine	Cochranehandbook	
10	efficiency, ovulation rate, Relegation factors, Number of mature follicles	National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.81802630)	3+cbm+1	13	1305	Kun Tai capsule+Letrozole	Letrozole	Jadad	
8	Relegation factors, Cycle ovulation rate, TCM syndrome, T, Endometrial thickness	Guangxi University of Chinese Medicine school-level research program (No.20168009) ;State Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine	3+Chi+pbm dic	14	1100	Fu Fang Xuan Jv Jiao Nang+Western medicine	Western medicine	Cochranehandbook	
7	efficiency, ovulation rate, Relegation factors, T, LH, LH/FSH		3+cbm+3+1	26	1299	traditional medicine+Western medicine	Western medicine	Cochranehandbook	
4	efficiency, Relegation factors, ovulation rate, Ovarian volume, T, LH, FSH	Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province (NO.2019J01351) ;Key projects supported by the Joint Fund of the National Natural Science Foundation of China	3+pbmd+Co chrn	7	502	Gui Shen Wan+Western medicine	Western medicine	Cochranehandbook	
2	Endometrial thickness, endometrial type, uterine a pulsation index, uterine a resistance index, Relegation factorsTCM syndrome score, efficiency	State Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine (1199vs02) Subject of Guangdong Traditional Chinese Medicine Bureau (20181120)	3+1+3+1	13	797	traditional medicine+Western medicine	Western medicine	CochraneCollaborator tools	

Characteristics												
Serial number	Included Systematic Reviews	Number of databases	number of include RCTs	Total subjects	Experimental group	Control group	Bias evaluation	fund	conclusion	质量	局限	
40	Yan, Lian et al. 2015	4	13	1144	Chinese medicine + (Western medicine)	Western medicine	CochraneHandbook		Tonify the kidney/traditional medicine (TCM) POCS的疗效优于非TCM的POCS的疗效。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors的异质性。 与TCM联合使用能更有效地改善肾功能。 仅TCM的kidney/traditional medicine的POCS能明显改善肾功能。 有证据支持。	3-dcm		
39	Xiao Chao 2016	7	12	1213	Chinese Medicine + Western Medicine	Western medicine	Jatad		Strengthen the blood (补血) 改善肾功能。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors. 改善肾功能, LUTS, T-BS. 与有缺陷的研究相比, 有证据支持。 Tonify the kidney/traditional medicine的联合使用能更有效地改善肾功能。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors.	3-cdm+2-cdm+2-cdm		
38	Li Nan et al. 2017	3	23	1148	Chinese medicine + (Western medicine)	Western medicine	-		中医药联合行POCS不优于西医治疗。 是一种具有发展前景的新疗法。		3	
37	Lu Ba-Ling et al. 2018	7	22	1678	Kidney Tonic Herbs + Dosing-35	Western medicine	Jatad	Guangdong Provincial Science and Technology Planning Project (2014A02021273)。	没有证据显示Tonify the kidney/traditional medicine (TCM-35) (POCS) 优于, 证明其比非TCM-35 (非TCM-35) (Religation factors, adverse effects) 少。 与TCM联合使用能更有效地改善肾功能。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors. 改善肾功能, physical sign 及实验室检查。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors. 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors.	3-dcm+2-cdm+2-cdm		
36	Xu Li-Fang et al. 2018	6	22	1678	Chinese medicine to tonify the kidney and invigorate the blood + (Western medicine)	Western medicine	Jatad	Chinese medicine project of Jiangxi Health and Family Planning Commission [No.2015A007]。	Strengthen the blood (补血) 改善肾功能。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors. 改善肾功能, physical sign 及实验室检查。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors. 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors.	2-cdm+2-cdm+2-cdm		
22	Xu Huiyun et al. 2018	3	14	978	Herbal manual cycle + (Western)	Western medicine	Jatad	Medical Guidance Science and Technology supporting project of Shanghai Science and Technology Commission (14451940407) ; Shanghai School of Traditional Chinese medicine ca gynecological school heritage SRI (No.13442242.1.13016)。	Strengthen the blood (补血) 改善肾功能。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors. 改善肾功能, physical sign 及实验室检查。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors. 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors.	3		
21	Huang, Wenfang et al. 2018	5	14	1057	Liver relaxation method + Western medicine	Western medicine	CochraneHandbook	Support Project of National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.81273461)。	Strengthen the blood (补血) 改善肾功能。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors. 改善肾功能, physical sign 及实验室检查。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors. 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors.	3-cdm+2-cdm		
20	Liu Ying et al. 2019	5	11	1128	Kitasi capsule + Western medicine	Western medicine	CochraneHandbook		Kitasi capsule (补血) 改善肾功能。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors. 改善肾功能, physical sign 及实验室检查。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors. 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors.	3-cdm+2-cdm		
19	Huan Bouchao 2019	7	7	634	Chinese herbal remedies to tonify the kidney and invigorate the blood	Chlophenazone	CochraneHandbook		Tonify the kidney/traditional medicine (TCM) 改善肾功能。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors. 改善肾功能, physical sign 及实验室检查。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors. 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors.	3-cdm+3		
17	Ji Liu 2019	7	34	1148	Chinese medicine to tonify the kidney and invigorate the blood + (Western medicine)	Western medicine	CochraneHandbook		Strengthen the blood (补血) 改善肾功能。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors. 改善肾功能, physical sign 及实验室检查。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors. 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors.	FBI: Not statistically significant		
16	Xu Peng Peng et al. 2019	7	20	1444	Plus or minus Cang Fu Gui Pills+ Tang + Western medicine	Western medicine	Jatad	Projects supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.81411315)。	Strengthen the blood (补血) 改善肾功能。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors. 改善肾功能, physical sign 及实验室检查。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors. 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors.	3-cdm+3		
15	Zhang Yisheng et al. 2019	7	15	1259	Compound Xianju Capsules + Western Medicine	Western medicine	Jatad	Support Project of National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.81301444)。	Fu Fang Xuan Ju Xian Nang 补血 改善肾功能。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors. 改善肾功能, physical sign 及实验室检查。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors. 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors.	3-cdm+3		
11	Yufang Dong 2020	7	43	3556	Chinese medicine	Western medicine	CochraneHandbook		Strengthen the blood (补血) 改善肾功能。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors. 改善肾功能, physical sign 及实验室检查。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors. 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors.	FBI: Not statistically significant		
10	Li Nan et al. 2020	5	13	1305	Kitasi capsule + Lidozole	Lidozole (antibiotic)	Jatad	National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.81802230)。	Strengthen the blood (补血) 改善肾功能。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors. 改善肾功能, physical sign 及实验室检查。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors. 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors.	3-cdm+1		
8	Dai Xiu et al. 2020	6	14	1100	Compound Xianju Capsules + Western Medicine	Western medicine	CochraneHandbook	Guangxi University of Chinese Medicine school-level research project (No.201901003009) ; State Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine (2019YF042) ; Subject of Guangxi Traditional Chinese Medicine Bureau (20181128)。	Strengthen the blood (补血) 改善肾功能。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors. 改善肾功能, physical sign 及实验室检查。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors. 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors.	3-Chipdcm+2-cdm		
7	Lim Bui Thi 2020	6	20	1299	Chinese kiter + Western medicine for kidney and liver	Western medicine	CochraneHandbook		Tonify the kidney/traditional medicine (TCM) 改善肾功能。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors. 改善肾功能, physical sign 及实验室检查。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors. 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors.	3-cdm-3+1		
4	Chan Kam Ming et al. 2020	5	7	502	Gui Ren Pills + Western Medicine	Western medicine	CochraneHandbook	Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province (No.20191013151) ; Key projects supported by the Joint Fund of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.81500157) ; State Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine (2019YF042) ; Subject of Guangxi Traditional Chinese Medicine Bureau (20181128)。	Strengthen the blood (补血) 改善肾功能。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors. 改善肾功能, physical sign 及实验室检查。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors. 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors.	FBI: Not statistically significant		
2	Huang Tang et al. 2020	8	13	797	Kidney Tonic Herbs + Chlophenazone	Chlophenazone	CochraneHandbook		Strengthen the blood (补血) 改善肾功能。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors. 改善肾功能, physical sign 及实验室检查。 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors. 证据质量: evaluation rate, Religation factors.	3+1-2+1		

Ref	Author	Year	Journal	Country	Design	Sample	Measures	Outcome	Findings	Strength of evidence	Publication bias	Indirectness	Inconsistency	Imprecision	Limitation
1	Leung et al.	2010	Journal of Clinical Pharmacy and Therapeutics	China	Randomised controlled trial	100	ABC-100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
2	Leung et al.	2011	Journal of Clinical Pharmacy and Therapeutics	China	Randomised controlled trial	100	ABC-100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
3	Leung et al.	2012	Journal of Clinical Pharmacy and Therapeutics	China	Randomised controlled trial	100	ABC-100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
4	Leung et al.	2013	Journal of Clinical Pharmacy and Therapeutics	China	Randomised controlled trial	100	ABC-100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
5	Leung et al.	2014	Journal of Clinical Pharmacy and Therapeutics	China	Randomised controlled trial	100	ABC-100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
6	Leung et al.	2015	Journal of Clinical Pharmacy and Therapeutics	China	Randomised controlled trial	100	ABC-100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
7	Leung et al.	2016	Journal of Clinical Pharmacy and Therapeutics	China	Randomised controlled trial	100	ABC-100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
8	Leung et al.	2017	Journal of Clinical Pharmacy and Therapeutics	China	Randomised controlled trial	100	ABC-100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
9	Leung et al.	2018	Journal of Clinical Pharmacy and Therapeutics	China	Randomised controlled trial	100	ABC-100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
10	Leung et al.	2019	Journal of Clinical Pharmacy and Therapeutics	China	Randomised controlled trial	100	ABC-100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

① Limitation; ② Inconsistency; ③ Indirectness; ④ Publication bias; ⑤ Imprecision.

AMSTAR 2

Included Systematic Reviews	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	Credibility
40 Yan, Lun et al. 2015	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	PY	N	N	Y	NP	N	Y	Y	N	Very low
39 Xiao Chao 2016	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Very low
35 Li Nan et al. 2017	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	PY	N	N	N	NP	N	N	NP	N	Very low
30 Ruling Lu et al. 2018	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	PY	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Very low
28 Xu, Li-Fang et al. 2018	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	N	PY	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	Very low
22 Xu Huayun et al. 2018	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	PY	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Very low
21 Huang, Wenfang et al. 2018	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	PY	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Very low
20 Liu Ying et al. 2019	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	PY	Y	N	Y	NP	NP	Y	N	N	Very low
19 Original Bochao 2019	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	PY	Y	N	NP	Y	Y	N	N	N	Very low
17 Jilin 2019	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	PY	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Very low
13 Xie Peng Peng et al 2019	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	PY	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Very low
12 Zhong Yizheng et al. 2019	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	PY	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Very low
11 Tung Yu Fong 2020	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	PY	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Very low
10 Li Nan et al. 2020	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	PY	Y	N	Y	NP	N	Y	NP	N	Very low
8 Du Xiu et al. 2020	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	PY	Y	N	N	NP	N	N	Y	N	Very low
7 Limpibe 2020	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	PY	Y	N	N	NP	Y	N	Y	N	Very low
4 Chan Kam Ming 2020	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	PY	Y	N	Y	NP	N	Y	NP	N	Very low
2 Wong Ting Ting 2020	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	PY	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	Very low
Percentage of reports	100%	0%	0%	100%	94%	67%	0%	100%	89%	0%	39%	50.0%	56%	44%	67%	0%	

pregnancy rate

SR	include RCTs	pregnancy rate	GRADE quality of evidence	Relegation factors
40	Yan, Lun et al. 2015	16 OR=3.44, 95%CI(2.66, 4.43)	low	①④
39	Xiao Chao 2016	9 RR=1.91, 95%CI(1.59, 2.29)	low	①⑤
35	Li Nan et al. 2017	12 OR=2.96, 95%CI(2.35, 3.74)	very low	①④⑤
30	Ruling Lu et al. 2018	8 OR=3.34, 95%CI(2.23, 5.02)	very low	①②④⑤
28	Xu, Li-Fang et al. 2018	18 OR=3.83, 95%CI(2.95, 4.96)	very low	①④⑤
22	Xu Huayun et al. 2018	11 RR=1.70, 95%CI(1.39, 2.09)	Moderate	①
21	Huang, Wenfang et al. 2018	3 OR=1.97, 95%CI(1.19, 3.25)	very low	①④⑤
20	Liu Ying et al. 2019	11 RR=1.71, 95%CI(1.46, 2.01)	low	①⑤
19	Original Bochao 2019	7 RR=1.78, 95%CI(1.44, 2.19)	low	①⑤
17	Jilin 2019	17 OR=2.78, 95%CI(2.21, 3.51)	low	①④
12	Zhong Yizheng et al. 2019	6 RR=1.34, 95%CI(1.11, 1.61)	low	①④
11	Tung Yu Fong 2020	8 RR=1.94, 95%CI(1.14, 3.29)	very low	①④⑤
10	Li Nan et al. 2020	8 OR=2.49, 95%CI(1.79, 3.45)	very low	①④⑤
8	Du Xiu et al. 2020	14 RR=1.68, 95%CI(1.45, 1.94)	Moderate	①
7	Limpibe 2020	14 RR=1.69, 95%CI(1.45, 1.98)	low	①④
4	Chan Kam Ming et al. 2020	6 RR=1.56, 95%CI(1.27, 1.91)	low	①④
2	Huang Ting et al. 2020	8 RR=2.18, 95%CI(1.55, 3.05)	very low	①④⑤

- ① Limitation; ② Inconsistency; ③ Indirectness;  
④ Publication bias; ⑤ Imprecision.

efficiency

	SR	include RCTs	efficiency	GRADE quality of evidence	Relegation factors
40	Yan, Lun et al. 2015	14	OR=5.32, 95%CI(3.82, 7.41)	low	①④
39	Xiao Chao 2016	7	RR=1.27, 95%CI(1.19, 1.36)	low	①⑤
35	Li Nan et al. 2017	8	OR=3.90, 95%CI(2.92, 5.20)	low	①④
30	Ruling Lu et al. 2018	11	OR=4.22, 95%CI(2.86, 6.23)	very low	①④⑤
28	Xu, Li-Fang et al. 2018	18	OR=2.83, 95%CI(2.06, 3.88)	very low	①④⑤
22	Xu Huayun et al. 2018	13	RR=1.19, 95%CI(0.87, 1.63)	low	①⑤
21	Huang, Wenfang et al. 2018	7	OR=2.63, 95%CI(1.67, 4.15)	very low	①④⑤
17	Jilin 2019	21	OR=3.38, 95%CI(2.59, 4.41)	Moderate	①
13	Xie Peng Peng et al 2019	14	RR=1.13, 95%CI(1.02, 1.24)	Moderate	①
12	Zhong Yizheng et al. 2019	10	RR=1.27, 95%CI(1.13, 1.44)	Moderate	①
11	Tung Yu Fong 2020	31	RR=1.26, 95%CI(1.20, 1.32)	Moderate	①
10	Li Nan et al. 2020	4	OR=3.42, 95%CI(1.76, 6.64)	very low	①④⑤
7	Limpibe 2020	18	RR=1.26, 95%CI(1.17, 1.36)	very low	①②④
4	Chan Kam Ming et al. 2020	6	RR=1.26, 95%CI(1.15, 1.37)	low	①④
2	Huang Ting et al. 2020	8	RR=1.25, 95%CI(1.13, 1.37)	very low	①④⑤

ovulation rate

	SR	include RCTs	ovulation rate	GRADE quality of evidence	Relegation factors
40	Yan, Lun et al. 2015	9	OR=2.18, 95%CI(1.63, 2.92)	very low	①④⑤
39	Xiao Chao 2016	8	RR=1.10, 95%CI(0.87, 1.39)	very low	①②④⑤
35	Li Nan et al. 2017	6	OR=2.70, 95%CI(1.32, 5.45)	very low	①②④⑤
21	Huang, Wenfang et al. 2018	6	OR=2.18, 95%CI(1.77, 2.68)	low	①⑤
20	Liu Ying et al. 2019	8	RR=1.34, 95%CI(1.23, 1.46)	low	①⑤
19	Original Bochao 2019	6	RR=0.97, 95%CI(0.86, 1.09)	very low	①②④⑤
17	Jilin 2019	14	OR=1.92, 95%CI(1.40, 2.64)	low	①②
13	Xie Peng Xin et al 2019	10	RR=1.17, 95%CI(1.02, 1.34)	low	①④
12	Zhong Yizheng et al. 2019	5	RR=1.18, 95%CI(1.03, 1.37)	low	①④
10	Li Nan et al. 2020	4	OR=3.91, 95%CI(1.95, 7.84)	very low	①④⑤
8	Du Xiu et al. 2020	6	RR=1.17, 95%CI(1.03, 1.34)	very low	①②④
7	Limpibe 2020	6	RR=1.31, 95%CI(1.16, 1.48)	low	①④
4	Chan Kam Ming et al. 2020	3	RR=1.21, 95%CI(1.07, 1.37)	very low	①④⑤

T

	SR	include RCTs	T	GRADE quality of evidence	Relegation factors
39	Xiao Chao 2016	8	SMD=-0.81, 95%CI(-1.46, -0.16)	very low	①②④⑤
19	Original Bochao 2019	5	MD=-1.51, 95%CI(-1.64, -1.37)	very low	①④⑤
17	Jilin 2019	24	SMD=-0.64, 95%CI(-0.97, -0.36)	very low	①②⑤
13	Xie Peng Peng et al 2019	13	WMD=-0.93, 95%CI(-1.38, -0.28)	very low	①②④⑤
12	Zhong Yizheng et al. 2019	9	SMD=-1.59, 95%CI(-1.76, -1.41)	very low	①②④
11	Tung Yu Fong 2020	37	SMD=-0.40, 95%CI(-0.65, -0.15)	very low	①②④
10	Li Nan et al. 2020	3	SMD=-0.68, 95%CI(-3.99, 2.62)	very low	①②④⑤
8	Du Xiu et al. 2020	5	RR=-0.53, 95%CI(-0.90, -0.16)	very low	①②④
7	Limpibe 2020	19	SMD=-0.20, 95%CI(-0.55, 0.16)	very low	①②④⑤
4	Chan Kam Ming et al. 2020	2	MD=0.95, 95%CI(0.15, 1.75)	very low	①②④⑤



## LH

	SR	include RCTs	LH	GRADE quality of evidence	Relegation factors
39	Xiao Chao 2016	7	SMD=-1.16, 95%CI(-1.66, -0.66)	very low	①②④⑤
30	Ruling Lu et al. 2018	18	MD=-1.84, 95%CI(-1.98, -1.70)	very low	①②⑤
19	Original Bochao 2019	5	MD=-6.72, 95%CI(-7.32, -6.13)	very low	①④⑤
17	Jilin 2019	23	SMD=-0.55, 95%CI(-0.74, -0.37)	low	①④
13	Xie Peng Peng et al 2019	13	WMD=-0.95, 95%CI(-1.41, -0.52)	very low	①②④
12	Zhong Yizheng et al. 2019	9	SMD=-1.24, 95%CI(-1.39, -1.08)	very low	①②④
11	Tung Yu Fong 2020	39	SMD=-0.38, 95%CI(-0.59, -0.16)	very low	①②④
10	Li Nan et al. 2020	5	SMD=1.67, 95%CI(-1.97, -1.37)	very low	①②④
7	Limpibe 2020	17	SMD=-0.78, 95%CI(-1.22, -0.34)	very low	①②④
4	Chan Kam Ming et al. 2020	2	MD=7.55, 95%CI(2.05, 13.04)	very low	①②④⑤

FSH

17	Jilin 2019	19	SMD=0.12, 95%CI(-0.29, -0.53)	very low	①②④
13	Xie Peng Peng et al 2019	11	WMD=-0.59, 95%CI(-0.98, -0.20)	very low	①②④
12	Zhong Yizheng et al. 2019	8	SMD=0.66, 95%CI(0.51, 0.82)	low	①④
11	Tung Yu Fong 2020	37	SMD=0.01, 95%CI(-0.22, 0.25)	very low	①②④⑤
10	Li Nan et al. 2020	5	SMD=-1.67, 95%CI(-3.05, -0.30)	very low	①②④⑤
4	Chan Kam Ming et al. 2020	2	MD=0.13, 95%CI(-0.39, 0.66)	very low	①②④⑤

adverse effects

	SR	include RCTs	adverse effects	GRADE quality of evidence	Relegation factors
40	Yan, Lun et al. 2015	4	OR=0.19, 95%CI(0.08, 0.46)	low	①⑤
39	Xiao Chao 2016	4	RD=-0.05, 95%CI(-0.13, 0.03)	very low	①②⑤
35	Li Nan et al. 2017	3	OR=0.07, 95%CI(0.02, 0.23)	very low	①②④⑤
28	Xu, Li-Fang et al. 2018	10	OR=0.26, 95%CI(0.09, 0.80)	low	①⑤
17	Jilin 2019	13	OR=0.26, 95%CI(0.12, 0.55)	very low	①②⑤
11	Tung Yu Fong 2020	8	RR=0.12, 95%CI(0.06, 0.25)	low	①④
7	Limpibe 2020	13	RR=0.36, 95%CI(0.20, 0.63)	low	①④

## LH/FSH

	SR	include RCTs	L/F	GRADE quality of evidence	Relegation factors
39	Xiao Chao 2016	4	MD=-0.81, 95%CI(-1.17, -0.45)	very low	①②④⑤
30	Ruling Lu et al. 2018	12	MD=-0.25, 95%CI(-0.44, -0.06)	very low	①②⑤
17	Jilin 2019	11	SMD=-0.45, 95%CI(-0.68, -0.23)	low	①②
13	Xie Peng Peng et al 2019	3	WMD=-1.04, 95%CI(-1.78, -0.33)	very low	①②④⑤
11	Tung Yu Fong 2020	22	SMD=-0.39, 95%CI(-0.60, -0.19)	very low	①②④
7	Limpibe 2020	14	MD=-0.37, 95%CI(-0.53, -0.21)	very low	①②④