Agarwood Alcohol Extract Protects against Gastric Ulcer by Inhibiting Oxidation and Inflammation
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Background. Agarwood has been used for centuries, especially for treatment of gastrointestinal diseases. Earlier studies of our laboratory suggested that agarwood alcohol extracts (AAEs) provided gastric mucosal protection. This study aims to investigate the ameliorative effect of AAEs on ethanol-induced gastric ulcers and its mechanism. Methods. Mice were given agarwood induced by the whole-tree agarwood-inducing technique alcohol extract (WTAAE, 0.71, 1.42, and 2.84g/kg), wild agarwood induced by axe wounds alcohol extract (WAAE, 2.84g/kg), and burning-chisel-drilling agarwood alcohol extract (FBAAE, 2.84g/kg) orally, respectively. After 7 days’ pretreatment with AAEs, the gastric ulcers were induced by absolute ethanol. The ulcer index, gastric histopathology, biochemical parameters, and inflammatory proteins were evaluated. Results. Pharmacological results showed AAEs (1.42 and 2.84g/kg) reduced the gastric occurrence and ulcer inhibition rates up to more than 60%. AAEs decreased the level of nitric oxide (NO) and increased glutathione (GSH) and superoxide dismutase (SOD) levels. Besides, AAEs decreased the levels of interleukin-1β (IL-1β) and interleukin-6 (IL-6), but the interleukin-10 (IL-10) was upregulated. The expression of nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB) and phosphorylated protein 38 (p-P38) were inhibited. The effect of WTAAE was better than that of FBAAE and similar to that of WAAE at the dose of 2.84g/kg. Conclusions. These results demonstrate that agarwood alleviates the occurrence and development of gastric ulcers via inhibiting oxidation and inflammation.

1. Background

Agarwood, *Aquilaria* spp. (Thymelaeaceae), is a highly precious fragrant wood containing resin [1]. Agarwood is a famous traditional Chinese medicine that has been used for more than a thousand years for the treatment of various diseases; especially in the treatment of gastrointestinal diseases have better curative effect [2, 3]. It has been shown that sesquiterpenes and 2-(2-phenylethyl)chromone derivatives are two predominant constituents of agarwood [4, 5]. Our previous study showed that the components of WTAAE included sesquiterpenes (10.615%), chromone (31.678%), aromatics (31.831%), and other known compounds (25.760%) and also showed that sesquiterpenes and chromone are two predominant constituents of agarwood [6]. Agarwood were reported having anti-inflammatory properties, alleviating pain and other biological activities. These effects support its folkloric and clinical use for treating the painful and inflammatory diseases, such as gastric ulcers, gastritis, and angina [7, 8]. The extracts, essential oil, and main compounds from agarwood
have exhibited extensive pharmacological activity properties, including sedative [9, 10], antitumor [11], laxative [3], antioxidant [12, 13], anti-inflammatory [14, 15], and antibacterial [16] activities. Clinical application of TCM has found that agarwood have significant curative effects in the treatment of peptic ulcer, stomachache, and functional dyspepsia [17–19], but the effect and mechanism of treating gastrointestinal diseases are still unclear. Having research has been reported in vivo that agarwood water and alcohol solution can relieve intestinal tonic spasm in mice [20] and agarwood extract also protects against gastric ulcer in rats [21]. So, we speculated that agarwood may play an antigastric ulcer role by improving gastrointestinal motility and anti-inflammation. Our previous in vivo study also confirmed that WTAAE provided gastric mucosal protection and inhibited the occurrence of gastric ulcer in ethanol-induced rats [22]. However, the mechanism of WTAAE in preventing the formation of gastric ulcers has not been verified by pharmacological studies.

Gastric ulcer is a common gastrointestinal disease and affects approximately 5–10% of people, which occurrence and development are the complex process [23]. The main risk factors that cause gastric ulcers include alcohol, smoking, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, etc. [24]. Excessive alcohol increased mucosal permeability, mucosal damage, cell necrosis, and inflammatory responses [25, 26].

The mechanisms of gastric injury have not been fully clarified, but it is well known that proinflammatory mediators played an important role in the development of ulcer, including IL-6, IL-10, tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), and NF-κB [27]. Furthermore, NO was a major defensive system in the gastric mucosa [28]. At present, the synthetic drugs have high efficacy for gastric ulcer therapy such as PPIs. However, their prolonged use may cause side effects [29, 30]. Therefore, one of our purposes is to search the nontoxic natural drugs that can prevent and treat gastric ulcers.

This study aims to investigate the effect of WTAAE on ethanol-induced gastric ulcers and explore the potential mechanisms. Furthermore, we compare the protective effect of different AAEs against gastric ulcers. The research provides reference evidence for further study into agarwood’s protective mechanism of action against gastrointestinal inflammatory diseases.

2. Methods

2.1. Reagents. The biochemical kits NO, GSH, SOD, and BCA protein assay were provided by the Institute of Jiancheng Biotech Co. (Nanjing, China). The enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits for determination of cytokines (IL-1β, IL-6, and IL-10) were produced by Bossbio Biotech (Beijing, China). NF-κB and p-P38 were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotech (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Anhydrous ethanol and other chemicals procured were of analytical grade.

2.2. Preparation of the Extract from Agarwood. The agarwood (specimen number: JC2016112) produced by whole-tree agarwood-inducing technique (patent number: ZL201010104119.5), wild agarwood (specimen number: JC2016099) induced by axe wounds, and burning-chisel-drilling agarwood (specimen number: JC2016076) were purchased from Guangdong in China. They were identified by Professor Jianhe Wei (Institute of Medicinal Plant Development, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences & Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China). Agarwood (1000 g) was dried, smashed, and soaked with 95% ethanol (5 L) for 2 h. The resulting mixture was extracted by reflux extraction for 1 h and filtered. The procedure was repeated two times. The resulting ethanol solutions were combined, concentrated, and dried in vacuo to obtain dark brown WTAAE (140 g, 14%), which was stored in a freezer at –20°C. The ethanol extracts of WAAE and FBAAE were produced by the same method (the extraction rates of 10.5% and 14%, respectively).

2.3. Animals and Experimental Procedure. Male ICR mice (20 ± 2 g, 4–6 weeks) were purchased from Vital River Laboratory Animal Technology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). Animals were kept in a 12-h light/dark cycle at a temperature of 20–24°C and humidity of 50–70% with access to food and water ad libitum and were housed for three days prior to experiments. Animal care and experimental protocols were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee at the Institute of Medicinal Plant Development, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences.

The seventy mice were randomly divided into seven groups. The groups were as follows: normal control group, model group, WAAE 2.84 group, FBAAE group, and three WTAAE groups. The normal and model groups were orally administered with 20 mL/kg of distilled water. The WAAE and FBAAE groups were pretreated with 2.84 g/kg daily by oral gavage. The WTAAEs were administered with 0.71, 1.42, and 2.84 g/kg of agarwood extracts, respectively. After pretreatment for 7 days and being deprived of food for 24 h with water ad libitum, other mice were infected and the mouse acute gastric ulcer model was made by oral gavage absolute ethanol at a concentration of 0.15 mL/10 g except for the normal group. 1 h after administration with absolute ethanol, whole blood samples were collected from the orbit for serum analysis, and animals were sacrificed by cervical dislocation. Then, the stomachs were immediately removed and fixed with formaldehyde solution and were prepared for examination of the gastric lesion index and histopathologic sections.

2.4. Determination of Ulcer Index. The stomachs were fixed in 4% formaldehyde solution for 1 h. We observed the degree of gastric mucosal defects both the inner and outer layer. The length (mm) of each mucosal lesion was measured with visual inspection. The score was estimated as follows: the blood point is 1 score, the line length is less than 1 mm for 2 scores, 1–2 mm for 3 scores, 3–4 mm for 4 scores, and 5 mm and longer for 5 scores [31]. The total scores of the whole stomach amounted to the ulcer index. We also calculated the ulcer inhibition rate. The percentage ulcer inhibition rate was calculated as follows: ulcer inhibition rate (%) = (mean...
ulcer indexes of model group – mean ulcer indexes of treatment group)/mean ulcer indexes of model group × 100.

2.5. Tissue Damage Evaluation. Stomach tissues were fixed in formaldehyde solution, dehydrated, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned. For tissue damage analysis, the 5 μm sections were stained with hematoxylin-eosin (HE) using standard operation, observed under a microscope at the magnification of 400×, and photographed. Tissue damage was calculated using the following score system (LDI score): a 1 cm segment of each tissue damage section was assessed for epithelial cell loss (score: 0–3), edema in the upper mucosa (score: 0–4), hemorrhagic damage (score: 0–4), and the presence of inflammatory cells (score: 0–3) [32].

2.6. Assessment of Oxidative Stress in Tissues. The stomach tissues were fully homogenized with ice-cold saline (1:9 w/v) using a homogenizer. After being centrifuged at 3000 rpm at 4°C for 15 min, the stomach tissue supernatant was collected and used for assessing the contents and enzymes of oxidative indexes. The levels of NO, GSH, and SOD were measured using commercial kits following the manual instructions.

2.7. Detection of IL-1β, IL-6, and IL-10 in Serum. Serum samples were collected to assess the effects of agarwood extracts on mice stomach tissue. The cytokines of IL-1β, IL-6, and IL-10 in serum were measured using specific mouse ELISA kits according to the manual instructions.

2.8. Immunohistochemical (IHC) Analysis of Stomach Tissue. The stomach tissues were fixed in formalin solution, dehydrated with ethanol, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned. The 5 μm sections were reacted with a biotinylated anti-rabbit secondary antibody and then stained with hematoxylin and eosin. The sections were photographed, and the expression of proteins was evaluated using Image-ProPlus 6.0 software (Media Cybernetics, Rockville, MD, USA).

2.9. Statistical Analysis. Data were expressed as mean ± SD for ten animals in each group and were statistically evaluated with SPSS 17.0 software (IBM Corporation, New York, NY, USA). Differences between each group were analyzed by one-way ANOVA and Tukey’s post hoc test (TTEST). Results with p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Effect of AAEs on Gastric Lesions and Ulcer Inhibition Rates. Gastric lesions were determined by measuring the ulcer index on the gastric mucosal surface. As shown in Figure 1(a), severe gastric ulcerations were induced by anhydrous ethanol in mice with the ulcer index being significantly increased (30.17 ± 7.28), characterized by linear hemorrhages and ulceration craters in the mucosal layer. Fortunately, pretreatment with AAEs reduced ethanol-induced mucosal damage with the ulcer index, as shown in Figure 1(b). The ulcer index of WAAE was 9.67 ± 4.63, FBAAE was 10.83 ± 5.81, and WTAAE was 9.33 ± 5.05 with a dose of 2.84 g/kg; p < 0.001 compared with the model group, respectively. The ulcer inhibition rate showed the protective effect of AAEs against gastric ulcers, as in Figure 2(c). The ulcer inhibition rates were enhanced in a dose-dependent manner for WTAAE groups (53.59, 66.85, and 69.09%), WAAE (67.96%), and FBAAE (64.09%) groups. Similar inhibition rates were found with the same doses of WAAE and WAAE, which were better than the FBAAE group. These results showed that AAEs had a significant effect on inhibiting the occurrence of gastric ulcers.

3.2. Effect of AAEs on Tissue Damage. Administration of anhydrous ethanol induced significantly tissue damage changes including the appearance of submucosa edema, hemorrhagic injury, mucosa degradation, epithelial cell loss, inflammatory cell infiltration, and necrosis (Figure 2(b)). Pretreatment with AAEs considerably reduced these changes in the gastric mucosa and provided protection against gastric lesions. Mice administered with WAAE and FBAAE presented a small swelling caused by anhydrous ethanol, with lower numbers of inflammatory cells (Figures 2(c) and 2(d)). The gastric mucosa of mice treatment with 0.71, 1.42, and 2.84 g/kg of WTAAE showed a dose-dependent protection (Figures 2(e)–2(g)). The quantitative analysis diagram shows this result directly (Figure 2(h)). Furthermore, the WTAAE and WAAE of 2.84 g/kg dose had a similar effect, which is more potent than the effect of FBAAE.

3.3. Antioxidative Stress Activity of AAEs. Compared with the normal group, the model group showed significant oxidative changes with increasing lipid peroxidation, such as the increase of NO levels (8.94 ± 2.63; p < 0.001; Figure 3(a)). Interestingly, AAEs protected against lipid peroxidation in the ulcer tissues. The NO levels were obviously decreased in the WAAE (4.08 ± 1.49) and WTAAE (3.22 ± 1.70) groups of 2.84 g/kg, especially the WTAAE groups with the doses of 0.71, 1.42, and 2.84 g/kg showing a dose-dependent reduction (p < 0.05 or p < 0.01). GSH content (37.53 ± 6.64) and SOD activity (137.01 ± 26.05) were significantly decreased in the model group (Figures 3(b) and 3(c)) but were increased in the groups provided with AAE pretreatment (p < 0.05 or p < 0.01). These results suggested that AAEs have obvious antioxidant effect, and the effect of WTAAE was similar to that of WAAE at the same dose.
3.4. Anti-Inflammatory Cytokines Production of AAEs. To evaluate the anti-inflammation of the AAEs in ulcers, we detected the levels of proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines, including IL-1β, IL-6, and IL-10 in the serum. As shown in Figures 4(a)–4(c), the levels of proinflammatory cytokines IL-1β (68.77 ± 5.74) and IL-6 (60.51 ± 3.49) were significantly increased in the gastric ulcer mice (p < 0.001) compared with the normal group. AAE treatment dramatically attenuated the anhydrous ethanol-induced elevation of these proinflammatory cytokines, with the 2.84 g/kg dose having the lowest level. In contrast, the level of IL-10 (442.79 ± 21.75) was significantly decreased in the model group (p < 0.001), which was obviously increased in the WAAE (478.44 ± 35.74) and WTAAE (502.19 ± 19.62) groups at 2.84 g/kg, especially in 2.84 g/kg dose of the WTAAE group.

3.5. AAEs Inhibit the Expression of NF-κB and p-P38. To further investigate the underlying anti-inflammatory molecular mechanisms of AAEs, we performed IHC to detect the levels of NF-κB (Figure 5) and p-P38 (Figure 6) in the
stomach tissue of gastric ulcer mice. The results showed that the level of NF-κB was significantly increased in gastric ulcer mice of the model group (Figure 5(b)), but AAE pretreatment inhibited the NF-κB phosphorylated expression (Figures 5(c)–5(g)). Similarly, we also found that phosphorylated p38 MAPK was significantly upregulated in the model group ($p < 0.01$; Figure 6(b)), while the levels of p-P38 were attenuated by the AAE pretreatment ($p < 0.01$; Figure 6(c)–6(g)). Furthermore, the quantitative analysis diagrams showed more obvious results (Figures 5(h) and 6(h)). These results suggested that AAEs evidently exert anti-inflammatory effects, with WTAAE’s effect being similar to WAAE’s and better than FBAAE’s.

**4. Discussion**

Agarwood, a traditional Chinese medicine, had been widely used for treating various diseases for centuries, especially treatment of gastric ulcers. As a result of overexploitation on agarwood, natural products have been on the verge of extinction, which posed a serious threat to species diversity and medication safety. Fortunately, artificial agarwood-inducing methods by our research team could produce large quantities of high-quality agarwood, which reversed the condition and also made a basis on agarwood pharmacological investigation [1]. Our previous study has revealed that the agarwood extracts provided gastric mucosal protection [22]. The study first found
Figure 3: Effect of the AAEs on the levels of NO, GSH, and SOD in homogenate supernatant of stomach tissues. Animals were treated with WAAE (2.84 g/kg), FBAAE (2.84 g/kg), or WTAAE (0.71, 1.42, and 2.84 g/kg) by oral administration. The normal and model groups were given distilled water correspondingly. Data are expressed as mean ± SD (n = 6). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 vs. normal group; #p < 0.05, ##p < 0.01 vs. model group.

Figure 4: Continued.
that the gastroprotective effect of AAEs on ethanol-induced gastric injury was relieving the tissue damage degree of gastric tissue, decreasing the lipid peroxide production and the secretion of the inflammatory cytokines. Besides, this finding indicated that the effect of WTAAE was similar to that of WAAE, which was better than that of FBAAE in improving the occurrence and development of gastric ulcer. Furthermore, this study explained that a large amount of high-quality agarwood produced by the whole-tree agarwood-inducing technique could be safely and effectively applied in the clinic of traditional Chinese medicine (TMC). Alcohol consumption is a common way to establish the gastric ulcer model. The result of gastric ulcer is characterized by mucosal edema, hemorrhages, and inflammatory cell infiltration [33, 34]. The appearance of these may be related to free radicals produced and severe hemorrhage [35]. All these ulcer indexes and histological lesions could be used to measure gastric mucosal damage. Our study showed that administration of anhydrous ethanol (0.15 mL/10 g) produced noticeable mucosal damage. Pretreatment with AAEs substantially reduced the ulcer index and the areas of gastric ulcer histological lesion formation compared with ulcer of the model group (Figures 1 and 2).

NO plays a crucial role in various physiological processes, such as dilating blood vessels and stimulating gastric angiogenesis of ulcers. Some studies have demonstrated that the endogenous NO provides protection for the gastric mucosa [36, 37]. However, the excessive NO could also stimulate cell proliferation of the gastric mucosa and granulation tissue formation [38]. GSH and SOD are important antioxidants, which protect the gastric mucosa from oxidative damage [39], as they could scavenge free radicals. Therefore, the lower levels of GSH and SOD also indicate the severity of oxidative stress damage [37, 40]. With the gastric mucosa damaged by ethanol, GSH, SOD, and GSH-Px decreased significantly, while NO and MDA increased [41]. Our study confirmed increasing NO and decreasing GSH and SOD in the model group, which suggests that gastric mucosal damage was achieved by ethanol. Furthermore, our results also showed that AAEs had antioxidant effects and protected against gastric injuries by scavenging free radicals, which could decrease the NO level in addition to increase of the GSH content and SOD activity. In addition, our previous study found that WTAAE could play a better antimyocardial ischemia effect through antioxidant effect, and it also proved that it could improve the tissue damage caused by different diseases through antioxidant effect [42].

Previous research showed that gastric ulcers caused by ethanol may activate the innate immune system and upregulate the levels of proinflammatory cytokines, such as TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-6 [43]. Furthermore, IL-10 played an important role in downregulating the inflammatory pathway and preventing autoimmune pathologies [37, 44, 45]. Our results suggested that AAEs has potential anti-inflammatory effects on the ethanol-induced gastric damage. Pretreatment with AAAs significantly reduced the proinflammatory cytokine levels of IL-1β and IL-6 and enhanced the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 in the gastric ulcer mice, suggesting that they provide protection against the inflammation of gastric ulcer.

Inflammation is another crucial factor involved in the pathogenesis of gastric ulcer. It is well known that NF-κB is a vital transcription factor in the acute-phase inflammatory processes, which could induce upregulation of the proinflammatory cytokines TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-1β [43]. When gastric mucosa cells stimulated by exogenous stimuli containing ethanol, NF-κB was activated and combined with specific gene-starting factors, which triggered the target inflammatory cytokines and aggravated gastric mucosal damage [37, 46]. The results of this study suggested that pretreatment with AAAs inhibited NF-κB activation and decreased the expression of NF-κB, which could possibly contribute to alleviating the inflammatory injury of gastric ulcer. The effect of WTAAE was better than that of FBAAE and similar to that of WAAE. Besides, the decrease of NF-κB also reduced the secretion of proinflammatory cytokines, which regulated the inflammation of gastric ulcers using...
Figure 5: Effect of the AAEs on the protein expression of NF-κB. Animals were treated with WAAE (2.84 g/kg), FBAAE (2.84 g/kg), or WTAAE (0.71, 1.42, and 2.84 g/kg) by oral administration. The normal and model groups were given distilled water correspondingly. (a) Normal. (b) Model. (c) WAAE (2.84 g/kg). (d) FBAAE (2.84 g/kg). (e) WTAAE (0.71 g/kg). (f) WTAAE (1.42 g/kg). (g) WTAAE (2.84 g/kg). (h) Pathological damage score analysis from HE staining and quantification of NF-κB expression. Data are expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3). **p < 0.001 vs. normal group; *p < 0.5, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 vs. model group.

Figure 6: Continued.
negative feedback. Besides, our previous study found that WTAAE could mitigate intestinal injury through upregulation of the Nrf2-ARE pathway and downregulation of the NF-κB pathway, and it also proved that it could improve the tissue damage caused by different diseases through antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects [37].

p38 is one of the signaling pathways of mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) and plays an important role in the regulation of various toxic substance-induced damage and repairs the processes of gastric mucosa epithelium [47]. It is well known that the activation of p38 MAPK was transferred into the nucleus and regulated the expressions of inflammation-related genes [48]. The results of this study showed that AAAs also significantly decreased the expression of p38 protein phosphorylation and inhibited inflammation. Therefore, we believed that AAAs could reduce ethanol-induced gastric ulcers and promote the repair of gastric mucosal damage.

5. Conclusions

The study demonstrated that AAAs exerted protective effects against the ethanol-induced gastric ulcers, with the effects of WTAAE found to be better than that of FBAAE and similar to that of WAAE. Their potential mechanisms related to antioxidative and anti-inflammatory activities. Our results have provided experimental evidence for further research and the clinical application of agarwood against gastric ulcers. However, the pharmacodynamic substances and specific mechanism of agarwood against gastric ulcer need to be further investigated and confirmed. To sum up, the study suggested that agarwood might be useful in the prevention and treatment of gastrointestinal diseases.
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