

# **Research** Article

# The Effects of Electroacupuncture as an Adjunct Therapy on Poststroke Aphasia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Yao Shi<sup>(b)</sup>,<sup>1</sup> Caixia Hu<sup>(b)</sup>,<sup>1</sup> Shuhua Li<sup>(b)</sup>,<sup>1</sup> Tianhua Huang<sup>(b)</sup>,<sup>1</sup> Xingsheng Chen<sup>(b)</sup>,<sup>1</sup> Guifu Li<sup>(b)</sup>,<sup>1</sup> and Xiaohui Qin<sup>(b)</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Neurology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine

(Guangdong Provincial Hospital of Chinese Medicine) Guangzhou, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Neurosurgery, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine

(Guangdong Provincial Hospital of Chinese Medicine) Guangzhou, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Xiaohui Qin; 528861777@qq.com

Received 13 March 2022; Revised 6 June 2022; Accepted 27 June 2022; Published 5 August 2022

Academic Editor: Zhaolan Liu

Copyright © 2022 Yao Shi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Background*. To systematically collate, appraise, and synthesize evidence of electroacupuncture (EA) as an adjunct therapy for poststroke aphasia (PSA) from randomized controlled trials (RCTs) through a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Methods*. An electronic search was conducted in eight databases to identify RCTs evaluating EA adjuvant therapy versus speech and language therapy (SLT). Methodological quality of the included trails was assessed by the Cochrane risk of bias. The software Review Manager 5.4 was used for data analysis. *Results*. Nineteen RCTs enrolling a total of 1263 subjects were identified. The use of EA combined with speech and language therapy (SLT) showed significant improvements in effective rate (RR 1.31, 95% CI [1.21, 1.41]), oral expression score (SMD 1.34, 95% CI [1.13, 1.56]), comprehension score (SMD 1.95, 95% CI [0.88, 3.03]), repetition score (SMD 1.84, 95% CI [0.75, 2.93]), naming score (SMD 1.97, 95% CI [0.81, 3.13]), and reading score (SMD 1.55, 95% CI [1.07, 2.04]) compared to the use of SLT alone. *Conclusions*. The pooled data indicate that EA combined with SLT for the treatment of PSA may improve clinical effectiveness, compared with SLT alone. Future high quality RCTs with large samples are still needed to confirm and expand our findings.

## 1. Introduction

Stroke is the most common cause of mortality and morbidity worldwide. Globally, more than ten million new cases of stroke are reported each year and at least one third of the affected individuals live with aphasia [1, 2]. Economic and social consequences are highly relevant because poststroke aphasia (PSA) has a serious negative impact on patients' activities of daily living [3]. Furthermore, the impact of PSA on functional communication, everyday activities, and social abilities of patients is dramatic and is, therefore, essential for the effective management and rehabilitation of aphasia [4]. Clinically, speech and language therapy (SLT) remain the gold standard for the treatment of PSA [5]. However, the clinical efficacy of this therapy still cannot meet patients' expectations [5]. In this situation, some patients choose complementary and alternative therapies to treat PSA in an effort to improve their quality of life.

In China, acupuncture is a widely used clinical rehabilitation technique, which is also recommended as an alternative treatment option for poststroke rehabilitation by the Ottawa Panel clinical practice guidelines [6]. As sources of the highest level of evidence for evidence-based medicine, previous systematic reviews/meta-analyses [7–13] have almost all revealed the benefits of acupuncture on PSA. As an extended technique of acupuncture, electroacupuncture (EA) has both the effects of traditional acupuncture and the functions of modern electrotherapy [14]. A recently published network meta-analysis [15] concluded that the efficacy of EA combined with SLT for PSA was superior to that of SLT alone. In addition, a systematic review [16] conducted in Korea concluded that EA could be considered as an

adjunctive therapy for PSA. Nevertheless, the relative effect of EA on PSA could not be assessed because quantitative synthesis was not performed. A preliminary literature search identified a growing number of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) on the effects of EA for PSA, whereas, controversial efficacy was reported. Thus, to systematically collate, evaluate, and synthesize current evidence, we conducted this study.

## 2. Methods

This meta-analysis was carried out following the guidelines of Cochrane handbook [17] and updated PRISMA checklists [18]. The protocol was registered in the PROSPERO database (no. CRD42021254369).

2.1. Literature Search and Selection. PubMed, the Cochrane Library, Web of Science, Embase, CNKI, Wanfang, VIP, and CBM were systematically searched from database establishment to June 2022. Stroke, aphasia, electroacupuncture, and randomized controlled trials were applied as search keywords. Detailed search strategy in PubMed was given in the supplementary material.

2.2. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria. Trails met the following inclusion criteria: (I) type of studies: only randomized controlled trials were included; (II) types of participants: stroke was confirmed by neurological examination or by brain scanning, or both. Patients were not limited by gender and age; (III) types of interventions: the intervention was EA plus SLT; (IV) the comparison was SLT alone; (V) types of outcomes: language functions (oral expression, comprehension, repetition, naming, and reading) and effective rate. Language functions were assessed by scales including western aphasia battery (WAB) [19], China rehabilitation research center aphasia examination (CRRCAE) [20], and aphasia battery of Chinese (ABC) [21]. The definition of the effective rate: effective rate = ("total number of patients" - "number of patients with no response") /total number of patients, and "no response" meant no significant change in any aspect of language function or regression of one aspect of language function after treatment [22]; and (VI) it was published in English or Chinese language.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: (I) duplicate studies, duplications; (II) full text cannot be obtained through various approaches or studies in which data cannot be extracted; and (III) aphasia caused by other diseases.

2.3. Data Extraction and Outcome Measures. For literature selection, two independent reviewers read the titles and abstracts in the first screening stage, read the full texts in the final screening stage, and assessed the articles based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Information including the first author, publication year, sample size, patient characteristics, interventions, and outcomes were extracted from the included trails.

2.4. Quality Assessment. The risk of bias was independently assessed by two independent reviewers with the Cochrane risk of bias tool from seven domains: (I) randomization process; (II) allocation concealment; (III) blind method; (IV) outcome assessors; (V) missing outcome data processing; (VI) selection of the reported result; and (VII) other bias.

2.5. Statistical Analysis. Data analyses were carried out using Review Manager 5.4 software. The pooled effects were the relative risk (RR) and 95% CI for dichotomous outcomes and the standard mean difference (SMD) with 95% CI for continuous outcomes. Heterogeneity between the trails was determined using  $I^2$  statistics. Fixed effects model was used if  $I^2 < 50\%$ ; otherwise, a random effects model was used ( $I^2 \ge 50\%$ ). Subgroup analyses were performed on the basis of treatment duration. Sensitivity analyses were carried out by removing each study individually to estimate the quality and consistency of the results. Publication bias was carried out with funnel plot.

### 3. Results

3.1. Literature Search. A total of 814 records were obtained from the eight databases and 184 duplicates were excluded. 630 records were removed after the titles and abstracts were screened. Eventually, 38 records were identified for full-text analysis, and 19 trails [23–41] were deemed eligible finally (Figure 1).

*3.2. Characteristics of Included Studies.* The included trails with sample sizes ranged from 20 to 120 published between 2000 and 2021. In total, 1263 subjects were included, with 638 in EA groups and 625 in control groups. The treatment cycle lasted 10 to 40 days, and each treatment lasted 15–60 min. More details are shown in Table 1.

3.3. Study Quality. A summary of the risk of biases is presented in Figures 2 and 3. With regards to random sequence generation, four studies [24, 33, 37, 39] had a high risk of bias. To reduce the impact of high risk of bias on the pooled results, these four trails [24, 33, 37, 39] were excluded from the performed meta-analysis. With regards to allocation concealment and blinding, all studies had an unclear risk of bias. All trails had a low risk of bias in incomplete outcome data. With regards to other sources of bias, eight studies had a low risk of bias.

#### 3.4. Meta-Analysis

3.4.1. Effective Rate. 11 studies with a total of 747 subjects used the effective rate to evaluate the efficacy. A randomeffect model was applied due to huge clinical heterogeneity in RCT, like acupoints and manipulation. The pooled analysis showed that EA combined with SLT had a higher effective rate (RR 1.31, 95% CI [1.21, 1.41]). In the subgroup analyses based on treatment duration, both subgroups showed statistically significant improvements in the effective rate with combined



FIGURE 1: PRISMA flowchart for literature selection.

treatment compared to SLT alone (treatment for 2 weeks: RR 1.24, 95% CI [1.10, 1.40]; treatment for 3 weeks: RR 1.27, 95% CI [1.05, 1.54]; treatment for 4 weeks: RR 1.38, 95% CI [1.22, 1.57]). More details are shown in Figure 4,. The sensitivity analysis performed by the exclusion method showed that the study by Yang et al. [30] was the main cause of heterogeneity. In addition, the funnel plot was not symmetrical (Figure 5), which did not mean that there was a risk of publication bias because the sample size in this study was not small.

3.4.2. Oral Expression Score. Nine studies with a total of 650 subjects used the oral expression score to evaluate the efficacy. A random-effect model was applied, the pooled analysis showed that EA combined with SLT had a higher oral expression score (SMD 1.34, 95% CI [1.13, 1.56]). In the subgroup analysis based on treatment duration, both subgroups showed statistically significant improvements in oral expression score with combined treatment compared to SLT alone (treatment for 2 weeks: SMD 1.30, 95% CI [0.97, 1.63]; treatment for 3 weeks: SMD 1.62, 95% CI [1.02, 1.73]; and treatment for 6 weeks: SMD 0.72, 95% CI [0.08, 1.36]). More details are shown in Figure 6.

The sensitivity analysis performed by the exclusion method showed that the study by Nie et al. [25] was the main cause of heterogeneity.

3.4.3. Comprehension Score. Six studies with a total of 456 subjects used the comprehension score to evaluate the efficacy. A random-effect model was applied, the pooled analysis showed that EA combined with SLT had a higher comprehension score (SMD 1.95, 95% CI [0.88, 3.03]). In the subgroup analysis based on treatment duration, both subgroups showed statistically significant improvements in comprehension score with combined treatment compared to SLT alone (treatment for 2 weeks: SMD 1.29, 95% CI [0.27, 2.30]; treatment for 3 weeks: SMD 1.58, 95% CI [0.86, 2.29]; and treatment for 4 weeks: SMD 3.10, 95% CI [0.74, 5.46]). More details are shown in Figure 7.

The sensitivity analysis performed by the exclusion method showed that no significant changes in heterogeneity were observed.

*3.4.4. Reading Score.* Two studies with 86 subjects used the reading score to evaluate the efficacy. A random-effect model was applied, the pooled analysis showed that EA combined with SLT had a higher reading score (SMD 1.55,

First author;	Simple	Ä	ge	Time po	st onset	Acumoints	Duration & frequency	Main outcomes
year	I C	Ι	С	I	С	and and a second s	of trial period	
Wang et al. [23]. 2021	40 40	$52.25 \pm 4.71$	$52.30 \pm 4.76$	27.32 ± 3.83d	$29.21 \pm 3.85$	DU16 (风府), DU15 (哑门)	20 min each time, once daily, 4 w	Oral expression score, comprehension score, repetition score, naming score, and ER
Wang [24]. 2021	25 25	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	DU20 (百会), Ex-HN13(金津), HT5 (通里), RN23(廉泉)	30 min each time, once daily, 4 w	Oral expression score, comprehension score, repetition score, naming score, and ER
Nie et al. [25]. 2020	23 23	$51.0 \pm 2.31$	$52.0\pm3.12$	Unclear	Unclear	Scalppoints	15 min each time, once daily, 10 d	Oral expression score, reading score, comprehension score, and ER
Ma et al. [26]. 2020	20 20	$52.15 \pm 9.82$	$51.36 \pm 10.11$	60.87 ± 21.43d	$59.18 \pm 24.21 d$	Scalppoints	30 min each time, once daily, 3 w	Oral expression score, comprehension score, repetition score, and naming score
Lin et al. [27]. 2019	40 40	$52.25 \pm 4.71$	<b>52.30 ± 4.76</b>	Unclear	Unclear	MS6 (顶颞前斜线), MSI0 (颞前线), EX-HNI(四神聪), DU16 (风府), DU20 (百会), EX-HN3(印堂), PC6(内关), DU26(水沟)、HT5(通里), SP6(三阴, RN23(廉泉)	30 min each time, once daily, 2 w	Oral expression score, comprehension score, repetition score, naming score, and ER
Zheng et al. [28]. 2018	60 60	$53.58\pm1.81$	$58.38\pm1.31$	69.23 ± 4.32d	$79.15 \pm 3.53 d$	RN23(廉泉), GB8 (率谷)	30 min each time, once daily, 4 w	Oral expression score, comprehension score, reading score, repetition score, and naming score
Sun [29]. 2018	50 50	$53.7 \pm 5.2$	$52.3 \pm 4.9$	42.1 ± 12.5d	41.3±11.2d	EX-HN13(玉液), Ex-HN13(金津)	20 min each time, once daily, 4 w	ER
Yang et al. [30]. 2017	45 45	$58.4 \pm 10.38$	$60.6 \pm 11.57$	$8.68\pm3.24\mathrm{d}$	$6.78\pm3.25\mathrm{d}$	Scalppoints, DU16 (风府), DU15 (哑门), DU20 (百会)	20 min each time, 5 times weekly. 2 w	Oral expression score, comprehension score, repetition score, naming score, and FR
Li et al. [31]. 2017	30 30	$57.10 \pm 10.03$	$58.11 \pm 9.96$	21.33 ± 5.16 d	22.10 ± 4.89 d	MS6 (顶颞前斜线), MSI0 (颞前线), EX-HNI(四神聪), DU20 (百会), RN23(廉泉)	30 min each time, twice daily, 14d	Oral expression score, comprehension score, repetition score, naming score, and ER
Jiang et al. [32]. 2017	10 10	$63.7 \pm 6.6$	$58.7 \pm 10.4$	$90.1 \pm 58.2d$	69.6±43. 5d	MS6 (顶颞前斜线), MS7(顶颞后斜线), DU20 (百会)	60 min each time, twice daily, 2w	Oral expression score and ER
Cui et al. [33]. 2016	33 33	$56.1 \pm 11.0$	$56.3 \pm 10.7$	42.2 ± 19.3d	42.1±19.5d	EX-HN13 (玉液), Ex-HN13 (金津)	20 min each time, once daily, 4w	Repetition score, naming score, and ER
Sheng et al. [34]. 2015	20 20	$55.05 \pm 9.27$	$57.10\pm8.30$	24.20 ± 10.95d	23.00 ± 10.40d	Unclear	30 min each time, twice daily, 4w	Oral expression score
Jiang et al. [35]. 2015	30 30	$57 \pm 10$	57 ± 9	42.3 ± 19.2d	$40.3\pm19.4\mathrm{d}$	Unclear	30 min each time, twice daily, 4w	Repetition score and ER
Zhang et al. [36]. 2014	42 30	$62.4 \pm 1.4$	$57.6 \pm 1.6$	78.0±8.6d	85.0 ± 9.2d	EX-HN13 (玉液), Ex-HN13 (金津)	20 min each time, once daily, 1m	ER
Du [37]. 2012	30 30	42~74	$44 \sim 74$	7~67d	9~66d	RN23 (廉泉)	30 min each time, twice daily. 4w	Oral expression score and ER
Aili et al. [38]. 2012	35 34	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	HT5 (通里), ST36 (足三里), KI6 (照海), PC6 (内关), LI4 (合谷), ST40 (丰隆),	30 min each time, once daily, 1m	ER
LI et al. [39]. 2007	30 30	$54 \pm 7.8$	53 ± 5.6	7 ± 3.2d	7 ± 3.3d	RN23 (廉泉), EX-HN13 (玉液), EX-HN13 (金津), EX-HN13 (翳明), GB20 (风池)	30 min each time, once daily, 20 d	ER
Zhang et al. [40]. 2005	45 45	$56.7 \pm 15.6$	$58.4\pm13.3$	$24.4\pm20.1\mathrm{d}$	23.4 ± 20.3d	RN23 (亷泉)	30 min each time, once daily, 3w	Oral expression score and ER
Liu et al. [41]. 2000	30 30	Unclear	Unclear	3w-6m	3w-6m	GB8 (率谷), GB13 (本神), DU20 (百会), GB20 (风池)	30 min each time, once daily, 30 d	Oral expression score and ER

TABLE 1: Descriptive analysis of the characteristics.

4



FIGURE 2: Risk of bias percentage chart.

95% CI [1.07, 2.04]). In the subgroup analysis based on treatment duration, both subgroups showed statistically significant improvements in reading score with combined treatment compared to SLT alone (treatment for 2 weeks: SMD 1.42, 95% CI [0.76, 2.07] and treatment for 4 weeks: SMD 1.73, 95% CI [0.99, 2.47]). More details are shown in Figure 8.

The sensitivity analysis performed by the exclusion method showed that no significant changes in heterogeneity were observed.

3.4.5. Repetition Score. Five studies with 410 subjects used the repetition score to evaluate the efficacy. A random-effect model was applied, the pooled analysis showed that EA combined with SLT had a higher repetition score (SMD 1.84, 95% CI [0.75, 2.93]). In the subgroup analysis based on treatment duration, subgroups showed statistically significant improvements in repetition score with combined treatment compared to SLT alone (treatment for 2 weeks: SMD 1.01, 95% CI [0.69, 1.33] and treatment for 3 weeks: SMD 2.48, 95% CI [1.64, 3.32]), however, with no evidence of benefit from treatment for 4 weeks (SMD 2.40, 95% CI [-0.70, 5.51]). More details are shown in Figure 9.

The sensitivity analysis performed by the exclusion method showed that no significant changes in heterogeneity were observed.

3.4.6. Naming Score. Five studies with 410 subjects used the naming score to evaluate the efficacy. A random-effect model was applied, the pooled analysis showed that EA combined with SLT had a higher naming score (SMD 1.97, 95% CI [0.81, 3.13]). In the subgroup analyses based on treatment duration, subgroups showed statistically significant improvements in naming score with combined treatment compared to SLT alone (treatment for 2 weeks: SMD 1.23, 95% CI [0.48, 1.99] and treatment for 3 weeks: SMD 2.39, 95% CI [1.56, 3.22]), however, with no evidence of benefit from treatment for 4 weeks (SMD 2.54, 95% CI [-0.90, 5.99]). More details are shown in Figure 10.

The sensitivity analysis performed by the exclusion method showed that no significant changes in heterogeneity were observed.

### 4. Discussion

Aphasia is a common complication following a stroke, often interfering with everyday activities, social abilities, and rehabilitation. In China, acupuncture has a long history of treating PSA, and its efficacy has been supported by evidence-based medical evidence [22]. As an extended technique of acupuncture, EA has both the effects of traditional acupuncture and the functions of modern electrotherapy and is widely used as a complementary therapy for poststroke rehabilitation. An increasing number of RCTs have begun to investigate the effects of EA in patients with PSA. However, there is no uniform conclusion on whether the combination of EA and SLT has positive clinical efficacy in PSA. To systematically collate, appraise, and synthesize the evidence, we conducted this meta-analysis of RCTs.

4.1. Summary of Main Findings. Comprehensive analysis of this meta-analysis revealed that subjects treated using combined EA and SLT showed significant improvements in effective rate, oral expression score, comprehension score, repetition score, naming score, and reading score compared to those treated by SLT alone. Therefore, we tentatively conclude that EA combined with SLT as an adjunctive for PSA can increase its clinical effectiveness. However, this conclusion must be considered with cautious, given there was too little information in most of these included trails. Firstly, the processes of randomization, allocation concealment, and binding of most trails are unclear, which may have led to a high risk of bias. Secondly, none of the included RCTs applied statistical methods to estimate the sample size, which resulted in the small sample size included in the study and therefore lowering the credibility of the evidence. In addition, all included studies assessed outcomes before and immediately after EA treatment, while the treatment duration was 10-40 days; therefore, this



FIGURE 3: Risk of bias distribution diagram.

present study failed to further assess the long-term effects of EA on PSA. Moreover, the implementation program of EA was not uniform and showed large differences in acupoint selection, stimulation methods, needle retention time, and treatment period and frequency, which might have increased the source of heterogeneity [14]. Furthermore, all of the included trails were conducted in China, which may have led to publication bias. 4.2. Agreements and Disagreements with Other Published Reviews. Previous systematic reviews/meta-analyses [7–13] have almost all revealed the benefits of acupuncture on PSA. Our review agrees with other studies in the aspect that EA as an adjunct therapy on PSA, though with uncertainty. As an extended technique of acupuncture, studies on systematic synthesis of the evidence on EA for PSA are relatively lacking. A network meta-analysis [15] concluded that the



FIGURE 4: Meta-analysis in effective rate.



FIGURE 5: A funnel plot of effective rate.

Study or Subgroup	Experimental Mean SD Total			Control al Mean SD To		Total	Weight (%)	Std. Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI		Std. Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI				
1.2.1 Treatment for 2 we	eks													
Lin 2019	6.84	1.58	40	4.72	1.32	40	12.3	1.44 [0.95, 1.94]						
Yang 2017	16.13	3 2 3	45	12.33	3.13	45	13.9	1.18 [0.74, 1.63]						
Subtotal (95% CI)		0120	85			85	26.2	1.30 [0.97, 1.63]		•				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0$ . Test for overall effect: Z	00; Chi <sup>2</sup> = = 7.67 (P	= 0.57, a < 0.000	df = 1 (1) 01)	P = 0.45)	; $I^2 = 0$	6								
1.2.2 Treatment for 3 we	eks													
Ma 2020	8.13	1.24	20	6.26	1.08	20	7.0	1.58[0.86, 2.29]						
Zhang 2005	67.83	14.38	45	46.27	11.46	45	12.8	1.64 [1.16, 2.12]						
Subtotal (95% CI)			65			65	19.8	1.62 [1.22, 2.02]						
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.02, $df = 1$ (P = 0.88); $I^2 = 0\%$														
Test for overall effect: Z	= 7.96 (P	< 0.000	01)											
1.2.3 Treatment for 4 we	eks													
Jiang 2017	99	13.89	10	7.4	19	10	3.9	1.44 [0.43, 2.45]						
Wang (a) 2021	10.1	1.2	40	8.3	1.1	40	12.0	1.55 [1.05, 2.05]						
Zhang 2005	67.83	14.38	45	46.27	11.46	45	12.8	1.64 [1.16, 2.12]						
Zheng 2018	26	1.92	60	24.2	1.66	60	16.9	1.00 [0.62, 1.38]						
Subtotal (95% CI)			155			155	45.6	1.37 [1.02, 1.73]		•				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.06; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 5.37, $df$ = 3 ( <i>P</i> = 0.15); <i>I</i> <sup>2</sup> = 44%														
Test for overall effect: $Z$	= 7.60 (P	< 0.000	01)											
1.2.4 Treatment for 6 we	eks													
Sheng 2015	37.1	7.14	20	31.25	8.69	20	8.4							
Subtotal (95% CI)			20			20	8.4	0.72 [0.08, 1.36]						
Heterogeneity: Not appl	icable													
Test for overall effect: $Z$	= 2.20 (P	< 0.03)												
Total (95% CI)			325			325	100.0	1.34 [1.13, 1.56]		•				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0$ .	03; Chi <sup>2</sup> =	= 11.52.	df = 8	(P = 0.17)	7); $I^2 = 3$	51%								
Test for overall effect: Z	= 12.46 (1	P < 0.00	001)						-2	-1 0 1	2			
Test for subgroup differe	ences: Chi	$i^2 = 5.58$	df = 3	P = 0.1	(3); $I^2 =$	46.2%				Control Experimental				

FIGURE 6: Meta-analysis in oral expression score.

	Expe	rimenta	1	C	ontrol		Mainht (0/)	Std. Mean Difference	e Std. Mean Difference			
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight (%)	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI			
1.3.1 Treatment for 2 we	eks											
Lin 2019	7.13	1.8	40	5.59	1.06	40	17.0	1.03 [0.56, 1.50]	-			
Nie 2020	35.18	3.12	23	28.21	2.13	23	16.1	2.56 [1.77, 3.36]				
Yang 2017	140.21	22.57	45	130	24.33	45	17.2	0.43 [0.01, 0.85]	-			
Subtotal (95% CI)			108			108	50.3	1.29 [0.27, 2.30]				
Heterogeneity: $\text{Tau}^2 = 0.72$ ; $\text{Ch}^2 = 21.81$ , $df = 2$ ( $P < 0.0001$ ); $l^2 = 91\%$												
Test for overall effect: $Z$	= 2.48 (P	= 0.01)										
1.3.2 Treatment for 3 we	eks											
Ma 2020	8.13	1.24	20	6.26	1.08	20	16.3	1.58[0.86, 2.29]				
Subtotal (95% CI)			20			20	16.3	1.58[0.86, 2.29]	•			
Heterogeneity: Not appl	icable											
Test for overall effect: Z	= 4.30 (P	< 0.000	1)									
1.3.3 Treatment for 4 we	eks											
Wang (a) 2021	117	14	40	91	13	40	16.9	1 91 [1 37, 2 44]				
Zheng 2018	40.65	1.8	60	32.95	1 75	60	16.5	4 31 [3 65, 4 97]				
Subtotal (95% CI)	10100	110	100	02.00	100	100	33.4	3.10 [0.74, 5.46]				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 2$ .	.80; Chi <sup>2</sup>	= 30.86,	df = 1	(P < 0.00	$(0001); I^2$	= 97%						
Test for overall effect: $\boldsymbol{Z}$	= 2.58 (P	= 0.010	)									
Total (95% CI)			228			228	100.0	1.95 [0.88, 3.03]				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 1$ .	.70; Chi <sup>2</sup>	= 107.29	ə, df = 5	5(P = 0.0)	00001);	$I^2 = 95\%$	6					
Test for overall effect: Z	= 3.57 (P	= 0.000	4)						-4 $-2$ 0 2 4			
Test for subgroup differe	ences: Ch	$i^2 = 1.92$	2, $df = 2$	2 (P = 0.3)	38); $I^2 =$	0%			Control Experimental			
• •												

FIGURE 7: Meta-analysis in comprehension score.

efficacy of EA combined with SLT for PSA was superior to SLT alone in effective rate. The results of this meta-analysis in effective rate are consistent with this network meta-

analysis [15]. Furthermore, we also performed subgroup analyses based on treatment duration and assessed the effect of EA on oral expression score, comprehension score,

Study or Subgroup	Exper Mean	rimenta SD	l Total	Co Mean	ontrol SD	Total	Weight (%) Std. Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI		Std. Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI		
1.4.1 Treatment for 2 we	eeks										
Nie 2020	62.67	5.21	23	55.71	4.42	23	56.1	1.42 [0.76, 2.07]			
Subtotal (95% CI)			23			23	56.1	1.42 [0.76, 2.07]	•		
Heterogeneity: Not app	licable										
Test for overall effect: $Z = 4.25 (P < 0.0001)$											
1.4.2 Treatment for 4 we Zheng 2018 <i>Subtotal (95% CI)</i> Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: Z	eeks 28.85 licable = 4.60 (P	1.96 < 0.000	20 20 01)	25.68	1.61	20 20	43.9 43.9	1.73 [0.99, 2.47] 1.73 [0.99, 2.47]	•		
<i>Total (95% CI)</i> Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0$ Test for overall effect: <i>Z</i> Test for subgroup differ	.00; Chi <sup>2</sup> = = 6.24 ( <i>P</i> ences: Chi	1.55 [1.07, 2.04]	-4 -2 0 2 4 Control Experimental								

FIGURE 8: Meta-analysis in reading score.



FIGURE 9: Meta-analysis in repetition score.

repetition score, naming score, and reading score. In addition, a systematic review [16] of 10 RCTs involving 756 patients conducted in Korea concluded that EA could be considered as an adjunctive therapy for PSA. The difference with our meta-analysis was that it did not perform a quantitative synthesis to assess the relative effect of EA on PSA. Our pooled results are more conducive to the certainty of definitive evidence.

4.3. Implications for Research. Of the 19 included trials, only 8 was rated as low risk bias in randomization process, and none of which reported allocation concealment and blinding information. The sample sizes of the studies ranged from 20 to 120, studies with larger sample sizes, clear information about randomization and allocation concealment methods, and statements about whether participants, personnel, and outcome assessors were blinded are needed to assess the effectiveness of EA for PSA. Future studies should pay particular attention to the effects of EA on long-term functional outcomes. It is worth noting that the EA protocols in each study were diverse, including point selection and stimulation duration; therefore, a more standardized and uniform EA treatment protocol should be advocated, which would also facilitate the promotion of EA. In addition, the studies were all conducted in China, and further reliable studies in other ethnic populations are needed to determine population-specific response differences.

4.4. Potential Mechanism of Action. Although there is currently limited evidence of EA for PSA, the mechanism by which EA improve symptoms of PSA is being confirmed. An MRI study [42] revealed that the language-related brain

Study or Subgroup	Experimental			Control			Weight (%)	Std. Mean Difference	e	Std. Mear	n Differen	ce	
Study of Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight (70)	IV, Random, 95% C	I	IV, Rando	om, 95% (	CI	
1.6.1 Treatment for 2 we													
Lin 2019	7.99	1.24	40	6.75	1.62	40	20.4	0.85 [0.39, 1.31]			-		
Yang 2017	82.86	9.39	45	69	7.47	45	20.4	1.62 [1.14, 2.10]					
Subtotal (95% CI)			85			85	40.8	1.23[0.48, 1.99]			•		
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.24; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 5.16, $df = 1$ ( $P < 0.02$ ); $I^2 = 81\%$													
Test for overall effect: Z	= 3.21 (P =	= 0.001	)										
	,												
1.3.2 Treatment for 3 we	eks												
Ma 2020	6.39	0.89	20	4.51	0.63	20	19.0	2.39 [1.56, 3.22]					
Subtotal (95% CI)			20			20	19.0	2.39 [1.56, 3.22]					
Heterogeneity: Not applicable													
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 5.65 (P -	< 0.000	)1)										
1.60	,												
1.6.3 Treatment for 4 we	eks												
Wang (a) 2021	8.2	1.5	40	6.6	2.4	40	20.4	0.79 [0.34, 1.25]				_	
Zheng 2018	39.35	1.83	60	31.63	1.73	60	19.7	4.31 [3.65, 4.97]					
Subtotal (95% CI)			100			100	40.2	2.54 [-0.90, 5.99]					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 6.10; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 73.85, $df = 1$ ( $P < 0.00001$ ); $I2 = 99\%$													
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 1.45 (P =	= 0.015	)										
T . 1 (0=0/ OT)							400.0						
Total (95% CI) 205 205 100.0 1.97 [0.81, 3.13]													
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 1.0$	56; Chi <sup>2</sup> =	90.52,	df = 4 (	P = 0.00	$001); I^2$	= 96%			-10 -5		0	5	10
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 3.33 (P =	= 0.0009	<del>)</del> )						10 1	Control	Experim	ental	10
Test for subgroup differences: Chi <sup><math>\epsilon</math></sup> = 4.30, $df$ = 2 ( $P$ = 0.12); $I^{\epsilon}$ = 53.4%										22200			

FIGURE 10: Meta-analysis in naming score.

areas can be activated through EA treatment. A wide range of brain functional areas such as frontal lobe, occipital lobe, parietal lobe, temporal lobe, precuneus, and insula showed active hyperintensity after EA treatment [42]. Similarly, another MRI study also confirmed this finding [43], that stimulation of acupoints associated with language deficits can selectively activate the brain on the lesional side of PSA patients. In addition, it has been found that EA helps to increase blood perfusion in higher speech centers, which in turn improves the ischemic and hypoxic state of brain tissue and awakens nerve cells [44]. The clinical findings were also demonstrated in rat experiments [45]. After receiving EA intervention, the researchers observed significant proliferation of endogenous neural stem cells in rats with cerebral ischemia-reperfusion injury, suggesting that EA can promote the repair of neurological function and reduce secondary nerve injury [45]. Hence, from the potential mechanism of action, EA seems to be a promising method for the treatment of PSA.

4.5. Limitations. There were several potential limitations in this meta-analysis. Firstly, because the included trials lacked follow-up information on EA for PSA, this study could not provide long-term effects of EA for PSA. Secondly, although different acupoint combinations have a significant effect on PSA, our meta-analysis only focused on the overall clinical effect of EA in the treatment of PSA, but did not evaluate the acupoint combination, there it could not provide a basis for specific acupoint selection strategies [46, 47]. Furthermore, the great differences in acupoints pose a challenge to the quantitative findings of this study, so future RCTs should be advocated to adopt standard EA treatment protocols and reduce the generation of heterogeneity to produce more persuasive results.

## 5. Conclusion

The modality of EA combined with SLT for PSA may improve clinical effectiveness compared to SLT alone, which provides a new option for clinical decision-making. However, limited data, poor methodological quality, and potentially exaggerated effect size evaluation limit the quality of the evidence. More high quality, multi-centers RCTs with large samples are still needed to provide higher evidence.

## Abbreviations

- PSA: Poststroke aphasia
- SLT: Speech and language therapy
- RCTs: Randomized clinical trials
- ER: Effective rate.

#### **Data Availability**

The datasets used in the present review are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

## **Authors' Contributions**

Yao Shi conceived the study and drafted the manuscript. Caixia Hu, Shuhua Li, Tianhua Huang, Xingsheng Chen, Xiaohui Qin, and Guifu Li implemented the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Acknowledgments

The study was supported by the 13<sup>th</sup> Chaoyang Talent Project of Guangdong Provincial Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine (No. ZY2022YL28), and the Scientific Research Project of Guangdong Provincial Bureau of Traditional Chinese Medicine (No. 20201165).

### **Supplementary Materials**

Supplementary material: detailed search strategy for PubMed. (Supplementary Materials)

## References

- "Correction to: heart disease and stroke statistics-2017 update: a report from the American heart association," *Circulation*, vol. 136, p. e196, 2017.
- [2] S. T. Engelter, M. Gostynski, S. Papa et al., "Epidemiology of aphasia attributable to first ischemic stroke: incidence, severity, fluency, etiology, and thrombolysis," *Stroke*, vol. 37, no. 6, pp. 1379–1384, 2006.
- [3] K. Hilari, M. Cruice, R. Sorin-Peters, and L. Worrall, "Quality of life in aphasia: state of the art," *Folia Phoniatrica et Logopaedica*, vol. 67, no. 3, pp. 114–118, 2015.
- [4] F. Mattioli, "The clinical management and rehabilitation of post stroke aphasia in Italy: evidences from the literature and clinical experience," *Neurological Sciences*, vol. 40, no. 7, pp. 1329–1334, 2019.
- [5] M. C. Brady, H. Kelly, J. Godwin, and P. Enderby, "Speech and language therapy for aphasia following stroke," *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, vol. 5, Article ID CD000425, 2012.
- [6] O. Panel, A. Khadilkar, K. Phillips et al., "Ottawa panel evidence-based clinical practice guidelines for post-stroke rehabilitation," *Topics in Stroke Rehabilitation*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 1–269, 2006.
- [7] Y. Zhang, Z. Wang, X. Jiang, Z. Lv, L. Wang, and L. Lu, "Effectiveness of acupuncture for poststroke aphasia: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials," *Complement Med Res*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 545–556, 2021.
- [8] He-Y. Tang, W. Tang, F. Yang, W. Wu, and G. Shen, "Efficacy of acupuncture in the management of post-apoplectic aphasia: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials," *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 19, no. 1, p. 282, 2019.
- [9] B. Zhang, Y. Han, X. Huang et al., "Acupuncture is effective in improving functional communication in post-stroke aphasia: a systematic review and metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials, Wien. Klin," *Wiener Klinische Wochenschrift*, vol. 131, no. 9-10, pp. 221–232, 2019.
- [10] W. M. Zhu, W. J. Zhong, B. Xu, and X. H. Chen, "Metaanalysis on the clinical efficacy of acupuncture combined with speech rehabilitation for aphasia after stroke," *Lishizhen Med. Mater. Med. Res.*vol. 29, no. 08, pp. 252–257, 2018.
- [11] Y. P. Jiang, Y. F. Zhou, and B. Wang, "The efficacy of acupuncture on treating aphasia following stroke: a meta-analysis," *Journal of Xinjiang Medical University*, vol. 46, no. 10, pp. 1237–1241, 2016.
- [12] Y. Zhang, L. X. Fu, Y. Zhu, and P. J. Xue, "Acupuncture treatment for aphasia after stroke: a systematic review," *Journal of Clinical Acupuncture and Moxibustion*, no. 11, pp. 66–69, 2014.

- [13] Y. Pang, L. B. Wu, and D. H. Liu, "Acupuncture therapy for apoplectic aphasia: a systematic review," *Chinese Acupuncture* & *Moxibustion*, vol. 30, no. 7, pp. 612–616, 2010.
- [14] J. Huang, Y. Shi, X. Qin, M. Shen, M. Wu, and Y. Huang, "Clinical effects and safety of electroacupuncture for the treatment of poststroke dysphagia: a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis," *Evidence-based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 2020, Article ID 1560978, 9 pages, 2020.
- [15] Y. Zhong, W. X. Qin, Z. J. Wang, Q. Yuan, W. T. Zhu, and Y. Zhang, "Efficacy of acupuncture and moxibustion for aphasia after stroke: a network meta—analysis," *Liaoning Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 48, no. 04, pp. 17–20+222, 2021.
- [16] J.-b. Ha, S.-J. Lee, J.-S. Yang, and J. H. Lew, "Electro-acupuncture on aphasia after stroke: a systemic review of randomized controlled trials," *The Journal of Internal Korean Medicine*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 323–339, 2021.
- [17] P. H. Julian, G. A. Douglas, and A. S. Jonathan, "Assessingrisk of bias in included studies," in *Cochrane Handbook of Systematic Reviewsof InterventionsVersion 5.1.0*, P. H. Julian and G. Sally, Eds., Te Cochrane Collaboration, London, UK, 2011.
- [18] M. J. Page, J. E. McKenzie, P. M. Bossuyt et al., "The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews," *BMJ*, vol. 372, p. n71, 2021.
- [19] M. Dekhtyar, E. J. Braun, A. Billot, L. Foo, and S. Kiran, "Videoconference administration of the western aphasia battery-revised: feasibility and validity," *American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 673–687, 2020.
- [20] J. Tao, Y. Fang, Z. Wu et al., "Community-applied research of a traditional Chinese medicine rehabilitation scheme on Broca's aphasia after stroke: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial," *Trials*, vol. 15, no. 1, p. 290, 2014.
- [21] C. Chen, X. Liu, S. Pan, X. Wu, and J. Wu, "[Neurolinguistic features during recovery of a Chinese patient with pure alexia]," *Nan Fang Yi Ke Da Xue Xue Bao*, vol. 33, no. 5, pp. 713–718, 2013.
- [22] J. K. Huang, X. H. Qin, M. Shen, and Y. Huang, "An overview of systematic reviews and meta-analyses on acupuncture for post-stroke aphasia," *European Journal of Integrative Medicine*, vol. 37, Article ID 101133, 2020.
- [23] S. Q. Wang, X. Yuan, M. Li, S. Y. Xu, and R. H. Luo, "Clinical study of applying low prequency rTMS associated with electroacupuncture at fengfu and yamen acupoints in the treatment of broca aphasia after stroke," *Journal of Sichuan of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 39, no. 01, pp. 194–197, 2021.
- [24] X. P. Wang, "Effective value of electroacupuncture combined with low frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in the treatment of motor aphasia after stroke," *Healthmust-Readmagazine*.vol. 11, pp. 211-212, 2021.
- [25] T. Nie, Y. Huang, and C. X. Mo, "An analysis of treating aphasia after stroke by language rehabilitation training plus scalp electroacupuncture," *Clinical Journal of Chinese Medicine*, vol. 12, no. 29, pp. 80–82, 2020.
- [26] Y. P. Ma and K. Yang, "Therapeutic effect of scalp electro acupuncture combined with language training on stroke motor aphasia," *Chinese Manipulation & Qi Gong Therapy*, vol. 11, no. 11, pp. 62–64, 2020.
- [27] M. Lin and M. J. Lin, "Effect of scalp electroacupuncture combined with speech training in the treatment of aphasia after stroke," *China Modern Medicine*, vol. 26, no. 19, pp. 62–65, 2019.

- [28] Y. B. Zheng and S. F. Lin, "A clinical study of electroacupuncture at lian quan (CV23) and shuai gu (G8) in the treatment of motor aphasia patients after stroke," *Chinese Medicine Modern Distance Education of China*, vol. 16, no. 9, pp. 122–124, 2018.
- [29] Y. L. Sun, "Clinical study of speech rehabilitation training combined with electroacupuncture stimulation of nerve trunk therapy in the treatment of stroke speech disorder," *Journal of Practical Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 34, no. 10, pp. 1248-1249, 2018.
- [30] L. Yang and Y. G. Guo, "Clinical study of scalp electroacupuncture combined with language training on post- stroke aphasia patients," *Chinese Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 305–308+312, 2017.
- [31] T. Li, Y. Wang, and L. Zhang, "Evaluation of scalp electroacupuncture combined with speech training on broca language dysfunction after stroke," *Journal of Clinical Acupuncture and Moxibustion*, vol. 33, no. 9, pp. 13–16, 2017.
- [32] Y. P. Jiang, Y. F. Zhou, and W. Q. Niu, "Effect of electroacupuncture combined with aphasia training system on Uygur patients with aphasia," *Chinese Journal of Gerontology*, vol. 37, no. 02, pp. 444–446, 2017.
- [33] N. Cui, B. Yu, and J. Jiang, "Observation on therapeutic effect of electroacupuncture stimulation of nerve trunk on apoplectic speech disorder," *Shaanxi Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 37, no. 07, pp. 915-916, 2016.
- [34] G. B. Sheng, X. N. Yin, and Y. Tang, "Clinical observation on treatment of non-fluent aphasia after stroke with electroacupuncture in temporal area," *Chinese Journal of Traditional Medical Science and Technology*, vol. 22, no. 2, p. 235, 2015.
- [35] Y. Jiang, Y. Yang, R. Xiang et al., "Clinical study of post-stroke speech apraxia treated with scalp electric acupuncture under anatomic orientation and rehabilitation training," *Chinese Acupuncture & Moxibustion*, vol. 33, 2015.
- [36] S. Y. Zhang and S. B. Liu, "Observation on therapeutic effect of electroacupuncture stimulation of nerve trunk on apoplectic speech disorder," *Chinese Journal of Rehabilitation*, vol. 29, no. 5, p. 336, 2014.
- [37] J. Y. Du, Clinical Observation of Electroacupuncture on Speech and Swallowing Disorders Caused by Pseudobulbar Palsy, Heilongjiang University of Chinese Medicine, Harbin, China, 2012.
- [38] B. Aili, "Clinical effect of electroacupuncture combined with rehabilitation training on dysphagia and speech disorder after stroke," *Chinese Manipulation & Qi Gong Therapy*, vol. 003, no. 009, p. 46, 2012.
- [39] H. X. Li, L. R. Liu, and Z. C. Yang, "Clinical study of electroacupuncture on dysphagia and dysphonia after Wallenberg syndrome," *Acta Chinese Medicine and Pharmacology*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 24–26, 2007.
- [40] X. L. Zhang, A. P. Wang, and J. R. Zhang, "Clinical study of electroacupuncture stimulation combined with language training on aphasia after stroke," *Chinese Journal of Practical Nervous Diseases*, vol. 8, no. 001, pp. 16-17, 2005.
- [41] L. A. Liu, N. Mou, and X. He, "Clinical observation on the treatment of apoplectic aphasia by electroacupuncture combined with language training," *Chinese Acupuncture & Moxibustion*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 145–148, 2000.
- [42] J. Chang, H. Zhang, Z. Tan, J. Xiao, S. Li, and Y. Gao, "Effect of electroacupuncture in patients with post-stroke motor aphasia: neurolinguistic and neuroimaging characteristics," *Wiener Klinische Wochenschrift*, vol. 129, no. 3-4, pp. 102–109, 2017.

- [43] G. Li and E. S. Yang, "An fMRI study of acupunctureinducedbrain activation of aphasia stroke patients," *Complementary Therapies in Medicine*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. S49–S59, 2011.
- [44] J. Huang, M. Wu, S. Liang et al., "A critical overview of systematic reviews and meta-analyses on acupuncture for poststroke insomnia," *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med*, vol. 2020, Article ID 2032575, 7 pages, 2020.
- [45] Y. G. Zhang, X. Gong, and H. B. Li, "Effects of electroacupuncture on the expressions of nestin and glial fibrilliary Acidic protein in dentate gyrus of focal cerebral ischemiareperfusion rats," *Chinese Journal of Histochemistry and Cytochemistry*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 148–153, 2014.
- [46] J. Huang, J. Liu, Z. Liu et al., "Reliability of the evidence to guide decision-making in acupuncture for functional dyspepsia," *Frontiers in Public Health*, vol. 10, Article ID 842096, 2022.
- [47] J. Huang, M. Lu, Y. Zheng et al., "Quality of evidence supporting the role of acupuncture for the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome," *Pain Research and Management*, vol. 2021, Article ID 2752246, 10 pages, 2021.