

## Research Article

# Molecular Mechanism of the Effect of Zhizhu Pill on Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease Based on Network Pharmacology and Molecular Docking

Jinke Huang <sup>1</sup>, Yitian Wang <sup>1</sup>, Peng Xu <sup>2</sup>, Jiali Liu <sup>1</sup>, Jinxin Ma <sup>3</sup>, Yu Wang <sup>4</sup>,  
Zhihong Liu <sup>3</sup>, Mi Lv <sup>1</sup>, Fengyun Wang <sup>1</sup> and Xudong Tang <sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Gastroenterology, Xiyuan Hospital, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beijing, China

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Clinical Basic Medicine of Chinese Medicine, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beijing, China

<sup>3</sup>Department of Gastroenterology, Peking University Traditional Chinese Medicine Clinical Medical School (Xiyuan), Beijing, China

<sup>4</sup>School of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China

<sup>5</sup>Institute of Gastroenterology, Xiyuan Hospital, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beijing, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Fengyun Wang; wfy811@163.com and Xudong Tang; txdl@sina.com

Received 21 February 2022; Revised 15 March 2022; Accepted 19 April 2022; Published 19 May 2022

Academic Editor: Talha Bin Emran

Copyright © 2022 Jinke Huang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Background.** To investigate the pharmacological mechanism of Zhizhu pill (ZZP) against gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), network pharmacology in combination with molecular docking was applied in this study. **Methods.** Active compounds of ZZP and target genes related to GERD were identified through public databases. Subsequently, the obtained data were used as a basis for further network pharmacological analysis to explore the potential key active compounds, core targets, and biological processes involved in ZZP against GERD. Finally, the results predicted by network pharmacology were validated by molecular docking. **Results.** Twenty active components of ZZP were identified to act on 59 targets related to GERD. Enrichment analysis revealed that multiple biological processes including response to oxygen levels, response to oxidative stress, and response to reactive oxygen species were involved in the GERD ZZP treatment with ZZP. ZZP had an impact on the prognosis of GERD mainly through the HIF-1 signaling pathway, PI3K-Akt signaling pathway, and pathways in cancer. Further analysis identified the key components and core targets of ZZP against GERD, of which nobiletin, didymin, luteolin, and naringenin were key components, and PPARG, MMP9, JUN, TP53, PTGS2, EGFR, MAPK3, CASP3, AKT1, and VEGFA were the core targets. Molecular docking verified the stable bonds formed between the key components and the core targets. **Conclusions.** The results of this study predict that the therapeutic effects of ZZP in GERD are mediated at least in part via PPARG, MMP9, JUN, TP53, PTGS2, EGFR, MAPK3, CASP3, AKT1, and VEGFA. These results may be useful in providing an experimental basis and new ideas for further research on ZZP in GERD.

## 1. Introduction

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is a gastrointestinal motility disorder in which gastric contents reflux into the esophagus or oral cavity leading to symptoms or complications, with heartburn and regurgitation as typical symptoms [1, 2]. In United States, the prevalence of GERD is estimated to be 19.8% [3], and the annual cost of managing GERD amounts to \$15–20 billion [4], which imposes a heavy

medical and economic burden on society. Furthermore, long-term GERD can lead to esophageal inflammation and esophageal cellular changes, thus increasing the risk of developing esophageal cancer [1]. The first-line medical treatment for GERD are proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) [5]. However, due to the complex pathophysiological mechanism of GERD, the use of PPIs does not achieve the expected effect [6–8]. As a result, complementary and alternative therapies are gradually gaining interest [9].

Chinese herbal medicine (CHM) has been widely used for symptom management of GERD in China [10]. Zhizhu pill (ZZP), an ancient classical formula consisting of two herbs (zhishi (*Aurantii Fructus Immaturus*) and baizhu (*Atractylodes macrocephala* Koidz)), is originated from Shang Han Zabing Lun (200–210, AD) and has been widely used for the treatment of functional gastrointestinal diseases. Evidence confirmed that the ZZP was beneficial for GERD treatment [11]. Nevertheless, there is no literature expounding the underlying therapeutic mechanism of ZZP so far.

Due to the “multicomponent” and “multitarget” characteristics of CHM formula, it is difficult for traditional experimental methods to reveal the comodular association mechanism of CHM-component-gene and disease. Network pharmacology is an innovative approach to elucidate the synergy and potential mechanisms of component-target and target-disease networks [12–14], and it provides a new perspective on the therapeutic mechanisms of CHM formula [14]. Recently, *in silico* techniques were used to decode disease targets and the development of novel drugs, and valuable discoveries have been made. Therefore, to uncover the mechanism of ZZP for GERD, network pharmacology in combination with molecular docking was applied in this study [15]. Workflow of the present study is detailed in Figure 1.

## 2. Methods

**2.1. Screening of Active Compounds and Targets.** The Traditional Chinese Medicine Systems Pharmacology (TCMSP) [16] was applied to identify the compounds and targets of *Aurantii Fructus Immaturus*, and *Atractylodes macrocephala* Koidz. Oral bioavailability  $\geq 30\%$  and drug-like  $\geq 0.18$  were identified as criteria for screening drug compounds [17]. UniProt [18] was used to normalize gene symbols for acquiring targets.

**2.2. Identification of Target Genes Related to GERD.** DrugBank (<https://www.drugbank.ca/>), TTD (<https://bid.nus.edu.sg/group/cjttd/>), PharmGKB (<https://www.pharmgkb.org/>), and GeneCards (<https://www.genecards.org/>) were applied to identify target genes related to GERD. A correlation score  $\geq 10$  was established as a screening criterion for GeneCards target genes [19].

**2.3. Drug-Compound-Target Network Analysis.** The common targets of drugs and diseases were obtained through a Venn diagram, and the overlapping results were considered as potential targets for ZZP treatment of GERD. To further explore the correlation between drugs and diseases, the drug-compound-target network was constructed with Cytoscape 3.7.2 software [20].

**2.4. GO and KEGG Enrichment Analysis.** To further explore the biological process of ZZP against GERD, GO and KEGG enrichment analysis were carried out with clusterProfiler package [21] in R 4.0.5 software.  $P < 0.05$  was regarded as the criterion for statistical differences.

**2.5. PPI Analysis and Core Targets Identification.** PPI analysis was performed through STRING (<https://string-db.org/>) with interaction score as 0.400 [22]. The Cytoscape plugin cytoHubba [23] was applied to identify core targets by calculating degree centrality, closeness centrality, betweenness centrality, network centrality, eigenvector centrality, and local average connectivity.

**2.6. Verification through Molecular Docking.** Based on the core targets of ZZP against GERD that have been identified by cytoHubba, molecular docking was performed with Discovery Studio 2019 to validate the compound-target correlation. The structures of key compounds and core macromolecular protein target receptors related to GERD were downloaded from PubChem (<https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>) [24] and RCSB PDB (<https://www.rcsb.org/>) [25], respectively. LibDock docking conditions were as follows: docking preference was set to high quality, conformational method was set to FAST, and other parameters were set to default values. In the context of the parameters based on the above settings, the optimal binding site for each protein automatically identified by Discovery Studio 2019 was docked to the corresponding molecule. A higher LibDock score suggested a more plausible prediction of target binding activity.

## 3. Results

**3.1. Active Compounds and Targets.** Through TCMSP, 65 compounds of *Aurantii Fructus Immaturus* and 55 compounds of *Atractylodes macrocephala* Koidz were identified, respectively. According to the oral bioavailability  $\geq 30\%$  and drug-like  $\geq 0.18$ , 21 active compounds of ZZP were identified finally, of which 17 belonged to *Aurantii Fructus Immaturus* and 4 to *Atractylodes macrocephala* Koidz. Furthermore, 117 targets corresponding to these 21 active compounds were identified. Details of the 21 active compounds and 117 targets are presented in Supplement A.

**3.2. Targets Associated with GERD.** By searching databases, 1613 target genes related to GERD were obtained, of which 1283 were downloaded from the GeneCards, 200 from PharmGKB, 118 from DrugBank, and 12 from TTD. After removing the duplicates, 1476 target genes related to GERD were finally obtained. 1476 target genes are detailed in Supplement B.

**3.3. Network Construction.** The Venn diagram (Figure 2) identifies 59 overlapping targets for drugs and diseases. A drug-compound-target gene network was constructed based on the identified overlapping targets. As shown in Figure 3, this network included 20 components, 59 targets, 82 nodes, and 167 edges.

**3.4. Enrichment Analysis of GO and KEGG.** According to the results of enrichment analysis, the biological processes were mainly enriched in response to oxygen levels, response to oxidative stress, response to reactive oxygen species,




FIGURE 1: Workflow of the study.




FIGURE 2: Venn diagram of targets from ZZZP and GERD.

response to nutrient levels, cellular response to chemical stress, aging, muscle cell proliferation, response to drug, reproductive structure development, and response to toxic substance. With KEGG analysis, pathways related to cancer, endocrine resistance, lipid and atherosclerosis, AGE-RAGE signaling pathway in diabetic complications, human cytomegalovirus infection, HIF-1 signaling pathway, and PI3K-Akt signaling pathway were most significantly enriched. More details of the enrichment results are presented in Figure 4 and Table 1.

**3.5. PPI Network and Core Subnetwork.** With the PPI network constructed by STRING, 58 nodes and 1250

interactions were observed. The first screening by cytoHubba yielded a network of 25 nodes and 542 interactions, and the second screening yielded a dense region network with 10 nodes and 90 interactions. PPARG, MMP9, JUN, TP53, PTGS2, EGFR, MAPK3, CASP3, AKT1, and VEGFA were identified as core targets. Details are presented in Figure 5.

#### 4. Results of Validation

Molecular docking was performed based on the ten core targets and the four corresponding key active compounds. According to the results of molecular docking, all LibDock scores over “80” (Table 2), suggesting that all key active ingredients were well docked to the corresponding targets. 3D and 2D molecular docking models are presented in Figures 6 and 7, respectively.

#### 5. Discussion

GERD is among the most frequent reasons for outpatient gastroenterology consultation [36]. The first-line drugs currently recommended for GERD treatment are PPIs; however, the efficacy has not met expectations [6]. ZZZP has been widely used to treat GERD in China with definite benefits, but the pharmacological mechanism has not been elucidated. To uncover the pharmacological mechanism of ZZZP against GERD, network pharmacology in combination with molecular docking was, therefore, applied in this study.




FIGURE 3: Drug-compound-target gene network of ZPP. The red squares represent the components; the green ellipses represent targets; purple diamonds represent different herbs; and blue arrows represent ZPP. The edges represent the relationship between the components and the targets.

Through public databases, 20 active compounds of ZPP were found to act on 59 target genes related to GERD, which further validated the “multicomponent” and “multitarget” characteristics of ZPP. Based on these findings, it was reasonable to adopt a network pharmacology approach to elucidate the component-target and target-disease network synergies and intrinsic mechanism of ZPP for GERD treatment. To the best of our knowledge, this was the first study to use a network pharmacology approach to reveal the molecular mechanism of ZPP for GERD treatment.

With network pharmacology, multiple biological processes including response to oxygen levels, response to reactive oxygen species, and response to oxidative stress were found to be involved in the treatment of GERD with ZPP. These findings suggested that ZPP might play a role in the treatment of GERD mainly by regulating oxidative stress. A new view of the pathogenesis of GERD was recently reported, describing it as an inflammatory disease characterized by increased production of cytokines, chemokines, and reactive oxygen species (ROS), as well as disruption of the endogenous antioxidant defense system [22]. The formation of ROS and inflammation play an important role in GERD pathogenesis, and often go hand in hand [37]. In the esophageal mucosa of GERD patients, increased chemiluminescence, peroxide, and superoxide dismutase have been observed [38]. Moreover, abnormal changes in oxidative stress markers were observed in the esophageal mucosa of patients with esophagitis, heterogeneous hyperplasia, or adenocarcinoma [39], which further confirms that oxidative stress mediates

pathological changes in the esophageal mucosa. It is not difficult to reveal the reasons for the above phenomenon because ROS has an inhibitory effect on the endogenous antioxidant system and esophageal reflux often stimulates the excessive production of ROS [37]. In addition to inhibiting gastric acid secretion, PPIs also target the inflammatory response and oxidative stress in the esophageal mucosa [38]. According to KEGG analysis, ZPP have an impact on the prognosis of GERD through the PI3K-Akt signaling pathway and HIF-1 signaling pathway; both of these pathways are closely related to inflammation and oxidative stress [26, 27]. In summary, the above evidence consistently reveals that oxidative stress may be a new target for the prospective treatment of GERD, and ZPP can play a therapeutic role through this target.

Based on the findings of network pharmacology, further analysis was conducted to identify the key active compounds and core targets. Nobiletin, didymin, luteolin, and naringenin were identified as the key active compounds of ZPP against GERD. It has been found that didymin is beneficial to prevent the generation of ROS as well as lipid peroxidation products and the release of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, thereby protecting the digestive tract [28, 29]. Therefore, didymin is regarded as a promising natural therapeutic agent with antioxidant effects. For luteolin, it has been found to reduce ROS and LOOH levels, which in turn play a role in regulating oxidative stress [30]. Moreover, it can also improve inflammation by decreasing the levels of TNF, IL-1 $\beta$ , and IL-6 and increasing the levels of






FIGURE 4: Results of GO and KEGG enrichment analysis.

IL-4 and IL-10 [30]. For naringenin, its antioxidant effects are mainly attributed to the promotion of free radical reduction and enhancement of antioxidant activity [31]. Recent evidence suggests that naringenin supplementation also helps to suppress cytokine expression, which in turn prevents intestinal barrier defects [32]. Thus, the key components predicted in this study have antioxidant and inflammatory modulating effects, and these findings are supported by early evidence.

PPARG, MMP9, JUN, TP53, PTGS2, EGFR, MAPK3, CASP3, AKT1, and VEGFA were predicted as the core targets of ZZP against GERD. For PPARG, it belongs to oxidative stress genes and is involved in the regulation of ROS production and inflammatory responses [33]. MMP9 is involved in the breakdown of extracellular matrix during normal physiological processes and is closely associated with tissue damage/repair [34]. It is well known that GERD is defined as symptoms or tissue damage caused by abnormal reflux of stomach contents into the esophagus [35]. Significant FUN expression was observed

in esophageal cells stimulated by DNA microarray in a low pH environment, indicating that JUN may play an important role in the development of GERD [40]. It may therefore be a potential target for the treatment of GERD. Tp53 is a tumor suppressor gene that, once mutated, would promote GERD to esophageal adenocarcinoma [41]. PTGS2, a protein-coding gene involved in regulating inflammation, has become a therapeutic target for many inflammatory diseases [42, 43] and is therefore expected to be a new therapeutic target for GERD. EGFR plays an important role in epithelial repair, and patients with GERD have been found to have lower EGFR expression levels than patients with Barrett's esophagus or esophageal adenocarcinoma, indicating that EGFR expression is directly associated with disease progression [44]. MAPK3 is involved in the regulation of inflammation, and inhibitors of MAPK3 have been found to have a beneficial effect on inflammatory diseases [45]. For CASP3, it is involved in the production of reactive oxygen species and therefore has a crucial role in the regulation of oxidative stress [46].

TABLE 1: Results of GO and KEGG enrichment analysis.

Domain	ID	Description	<i>P</i> value
BP	GO: 0031667	Response to nutrient levels	3.35E-19
BP	GO: 0062197	Cellular response to chemical stress	9.33E-19
BP	GO: 0007568	Aging	2.74E-18
BP	GO: 0006979	Response to oxidative stress	3.91E-18
BP	GO: 0033002	Muscle cell proliferation	2.50E-17
BP	GO: 0042493	Response to drug	1.31E-16
BP	GO: 0000302	Response to reactive oxygen species	4.22E-16
BP	GO: 0048608	Reproductive structure development	8.94E-16
BP	GO: 0009636	Response to toxic substance	2.80E-14
BP	GO: 0070482	Response to oxygen levels	5.06E-14
CC	GO: 0045121	Membrane raft	4.79E-08
CC	GO: 0098857	Membrane microdomain	4.93E-08
CC	GO: 0098589	Membrane region	7.07E-08
CC	GO: 0005635	Nuclear envelope	1.45E-07
CC	GO: 0031983	Vesicle lumen	5.65E-07
CC	GO: 0005769	Early endosome	1.79E-06
CC	GO: 0005667	Transcription regulator complex	3.78E-06
CC	GO: 0000307	Cyclin-dependent protein kinase holoenzyme complex	8.44E-06
CC	GO: 0090575	RNA polymerase II transcription regulator complex	8.90E-06
CC	GO: 0009925	Basal plasma membrane	1.68E-05
MF	GO: 0019902	Phosphatase binding	1.00E-12
MF	GO: 0019903	Protein phosphatase binding	4.10E-11
MF	GO: 0031625	Ubiquitin protein ligase binding	4.17E-07
MF	GO: 0042277	Peptide binding	5.66E-07
MF	GO: 0004879	Nuclear receptor activity	6.69E-07
MF	GO: 0098531	Ligand-activated transcription factor activity	6.69E-07
MF	GO: 0044389	Ubiquitin-like protein ligase binding	7.01E-07
MF	GO: 0140297	DNA-binding transcription factor binding	1.52E-06
MF	GO: 0061629	RNA polymerase II-specific DNA-binding transcription factor binding	2.11E-06
MF	GO: 0001223	Transcription coactivator binding	2.15E-06
KEGG	hsa01522	Endocrine resistance	1.79E-21
KEGG	hsa05219	Bladder cancer	5.14E-21
KEGG	hsa05215	Prostate cancer	6.44E-20
KEGG	hsa05212	Pancreatic cancer	8.38E-17
KEGG	hsa05417	Lipid and atherosclerosis	1.90E-16
KEGG	hsa04933	AGE-RAGE signaling pathway in diabetic complications	4.77E-15
KEGG	hsa05163	Human cytomegalovirus infection	8.07E-15
KEGG	hsa04066	HIF-1 signaling pathway	4.28E-13
KEGG	hsa05207	Chemical carcinogenesis—receptor activation	8.16E-13
KEGG	hsa04151	PI3K-Akt signaling pathway	1.78E-12

Activation of Akt1 is associated with inflammation, oxidative stress, and accumulation of oxidized lipids, and these events form a positive feedback loop that exacerbates the consequences of oxidative stress [47]. VEGFA can stimulate endothelial cell proliferation, chemotaxis, and vascular permeability, and some studies suggest that the expression of VEGFA is closely related to inflammation and can be used as an early marker of inflammatory diseases [48]. In summary, targets predicted in this study are all involved in the regulation of oxidative stress and inflammation, and these results corroborate the feasibility of ZPP in the treatment of GERD at the molecular level.

With molecular docking analysis, all LibDock score of key components to core targets were over “80,” indicating that ZPP can effectively bind to specific proteins in GERD

targets. These binding results further validate that the predicted results of network pharmacology are credible.

Limitations must be acknowledged. First, the upregulation and downregulation of predicted targets cannot be clarified by a network pharmacology approach and is therefore not conducive to an accurate understanding of the mechanism of components acting on targets. Second, only compounds of ZPP in TCMSP were analyzed, which might have caused the absence of some components and therefore limited the accuracy of the results. Third, limited by the deficiencies of systems biology, multidirectional pharmacology, computational biology, and network analysis, this study provided only preliminary predictions, and the results have not been verified in clinical and basic experiments. Thus, further pharmacological experimental validation is still necessary.




FIGURE 5: Process of topological screening for the PPI network. (a) PPI network from STRING visualized with Cytoscape. (b) PPI network of more significant proteins extracted from (a) by filtering 6 parameters: BC, CC, DC, EC, NC, and LAC. (c) Core PPI network of core targets extracted from (b).

TABLE 2: Results of molecular docking.

Query	Core genes	PDB ID	Ingredients	LibDock score
1	PPARG	1k74 [26]	Nobiletin	84.918
2	MMP9	1gkc [27]	Nobiletin	113.515
3	JUN	1a02 [28]	Nobiletin	81.876
4	TP53	6wqx [29]	Nobiletin	80.841
5	PTGS2	5f19 [30]	Didymin	171.327
6	EGFR	1ivo [31]	Luteolin	88.705
7	MAPK3	2zoq [32]	Naringenin	82.912
8	CASP3	1cp3 [33]	Naringenin	90.267
9	AKT1	3mv5 [34]	Luteolin	102.336
10	VEGFA	1tzh [35]	Luteolin	101.616




FIGURE 6: Continued.




FIGURE 6: Continued.






FIGURE 6: 3D molecular docking model. (a) PPARG; (b) MMP9; (c) JUN; (d) TP53; (e) PTGS2; (f) EGFR; (g) MAPK3; (h) CASP3; (i) AKT1; and (j) VEGFA.







FIGURE 7: Continued.




(c)




(d)




(e)



(f)



(g)



(h)

FIGURE 7: Continued.




FIGURE 7: 2D molecular docking model. (a) PPARG; (b) MMP9; (c) JUN; (d) TP53; (e) PTGS2; (f) EGFR; (g) MAPK3; (h) CASP3; (i) AKT1; and (j) VEGFA.

## 6. Conclusion

The results of this study predict that the therapeutic effects of ZZP in GERD are mediated at least in part via PPARG, MMP9, JUN, TP53, PTGS2, EGFR, MAPK3, CASP3, AKT1, and VEGFA. These results may be useful in providing the foundation for subsequent experimental investigation and may offer ideas for the multidimensional and multilevel research of CHM formulae.

## Abbreviations

ZZP: Zhizhu pill  
 GERD: Gastroesophageal reflux disease  
 PPI: Proton pump inhibitors  
 CHM: Chinese herbal medicine  
 TCMSP: Traditional Chinese Medicine Systems Pharmacology  
 ROS: Reactive oxygen species.

## Data Availability

All data obtained or analyzed during this work are included within the article.

## Disclosure

Jinke Huang and Yitian Wang are the co-first authors.

## Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

## Authors' Contributions

Jinke Huang and Yitian Wang initiated the study design. Jinke Huang drafted the manuscript. Yitian Wang, Peng Xu, Jiali Liu, Jinxin Ma, Yu Wang, Zhihong Liu, and Mi Lv helped with implementation to this work. Fengyun Wang

and Xudong Tang contributed to the methodology, review, and editing of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Program on Key Research Project (no. 2019YFC17), State Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine Digestive Refractory Disease Inheritance and Innovation Team Project (no. ZYYCXTD-C-C202010), National Natural Science Foundation of China (nos. 82004355, 82004356, and 82104850), China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences Innovation Fund (no. CI2021A01001), and China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences Excellent Young Talent Cultivation Fund (nos. ZZ14-YQ-002, ZZ14-YQ-005, and ZZ15-YQ-014).

## Supplementary Materials

Supplement A: details of the 21 active compounds and 117 targets for ZZP. Supplement B: 1476 target genes associated with GERD. (*Supplementary Materials*)

## References

- [1] J. Maret-Ouda, S. R. Markar, and J. Lagergren, "Gastroesophageal reflux disease," *JAMA*, vol. 324, no. 24, p. 2565, 2020.
- [2] N. Vakil, S. V. van Zanten, P. Kahrilas, J. Dent, and R. Jones, "The montreal definition and classification of gastroesophageal reflux disease: a global evidence-based consensus," *American Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 101, no. 8, pp. 1900–1920, 2006.
- [3] H. B. El-Serag, S. Sweet, C. C. Winchester, and J. Dent, "Update on the epidemiology of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease: a systematic review," *Gut*, vol. 63, no. 6, pp. 871–880, 2014.
- [4] N. J. Shaheen, R. A. Hansen, D. R. Morgan et al., "The burden of gastrointestinal and liver diseases, 2006," *The American*

- Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 101, no. 9, pp. 2128–2215, 2006.
- [5] D. A. Katzka and P. J. Kahrilas, “Advances in the diagnosis and management of gastroesophageal reflux disease,” *BMJ*, vol. 371, p. m3786, 2020.
  - [6] R. Yadlapati and K. DeLay, “Proton pump inhibitor-refractory gastroesophageal reflux disease,” *Medical Clinics of North America*, vol. 103, no. 1, pp. 15–27, 2019.
  - [7] B. K. S. Thong, S. Ima-Nirwana, and K. Y. Chin, “Proton pump inhibitors and fracture risk: a review of current evidence and mechanisms involved,” *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, vol. 16, no. 9, p. 1571, 2019.
  - [8] M. K. Joo, J. J. Park, and H. J. Chun, “Proton pump inhibitor: the dual role in gastric cancer,” *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 25, no. 17, pp. 2058–2070, 2019.
  - [9] J. Zhang, H. Che, B. Zhang et al., “JianpiQinghua granule reduced PPI dosage in patients with nonerosive reflux disease: a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, double-dummy, noninferiority study,” *Phytomedicine*, vol. 88, Article ID 153584, 2021.
  - [10] Y. K. Dai, Y. B. Wu, H. Wen et al., “Different traditional herbal medicines for the treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease in adults,” *Frontiers in Pharmacology*, vol. 11, p. 884, 2020.
  - [11] J.-Y. Ghen, J.-R. Qiu, and F. Pan, “Clinical observation on treatment of gastro-esophageal reflux with modified zhizhu pill,” *Chinese Journal of Integrated Traditional and Western Medicine*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 25–27, 2004.
  - [12] A. L. Hopkins, “Network pharmacology,” *Nature Biotechnology*, vol. 25, no. 10, pp. 1110–1111, 2007.
  - [13] M. A. Yildirim, K. I. Goh, M. E. Cusick, A. L. Barabási, and M. Vidal, “Drug-target network,” *Nature Biotechnology*, vol. 25, no. 10, pp. 1119–1126, 2007.
  - [14] T. T. Luo, Y. Lu, S. K. Yan, X. Xiao, X. L. Rong, and J. Guo, “Network pharmacology in research of Chinese medicine formula: methodology, application and prospective,” *Chinese Journal of Integrative Medicine*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 72–80, 2020.
  - [15] J. Huang, Y. Zheng, J. Ma et al., “Exploration of the potential mechanisms of wumei pill for the treatment of ulcerative colitis by network pharmacology,” *Gastroenterology Research and Practice*, vol. 2021, Article ID 4227668, 15 pages, 2021.
  - [16] J. Ru, P. Li, J. Wang et al., “TCMSP: a database of systems pharmacology for drug discovery from herbal medicines,” *Journal of Cheminformatics*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 13, 2014.
  - [17] The UniProt Consortium, “UniProt: the universal protein knowledge base,” *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 46, no. 5, p. 2699, 2018.
  - [18] G. Stelzer, N. Rosen, I. Plaschkes et al., “The GeneCards suite: from gene data mining to disease genome sequence analyses,” *Current Protocols in Bioinformatics*, vol. 54, pp. 1–30, 2016.
  - [19] P. Shannon, A. Markiel, O. Ozier et al., “Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks,” *Genome Research*, vol. 13, no. 11, pp. 2498–2504, 2003.
  - [20] G. Yu, L. G. Wang, Y. Han, and Q. Y. He, “ClusterProfiler: an R package for comparing biological themes among gene clusters,” *OMICS: A Journal of Integrative Biology*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 284–287, 2012.
  - [21] D. Szklarczyk, J. H. Morris, H. Cook et al., “The STRING database in 2017: quality-controlled protein-protein association networks, made broadly accessible,” *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 45, no. D1, pp. D362–D368, 2017.
  - [22] R. F. Souza, “Reflux esophagitis and its role in the pathogenesis of Barrett’s metaplasia,” *Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 52, no. 7, pp. 767–776, 2017.
  - [23] C. H. Chin, S. H. Chen, H. H. Wu, C. W. Ho, M. T. Ko, and C. Y. Lin, “CytoHubba: identifying hub objects and sub-networks from complex interactome,” *BMC Systems Biology*, vol. 8, no. S4, p. S11, 2014.
  - [24] E. Vrontaki, G. Melagraki, T. Mavromoustakos, and A. Afantitis, “Searching for anthranilic acid-based thumb pocket 2 HCV NS5B polymerase inhibitors through a combination of molecular docking, 3D-QSAR and virtual screening,” *Journal of Enzyme Inhibition and Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 38–52, 2015.
  - [25] D. S. Goodsell, S. Dutta, C. Zardecki, M. Voigt, H. M. Berman, and S. K. Burley, “The RCSB PDB “molecule of the month”: inspiring a molecular view of biology,” *PLoS Biology*, vol. 13, no. 5, Article ID e1002140, 2015.
  - [26] H. Zhang, R. Xu, and Z. Wang, “Contribution of oxidative stress to HIF-1-mediated profibrotic changes during the kidney damage,” *Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity*, vol. 2021, Article ID 6114132, 8 pages, 2021.
  - [27] D. Wang, M. Jin, X. Zhao et al., “FGF1ΔHBS ameliorates chronic kidney disease via PI3K/AKT mediated suppression of oxidative stress and inflammation,” *Cell Death and Disease*, vol. 10, no. 6, p. 464, 2019.
  - [28] W. Li, X. Wang, W. Zhi et al., “The gastroprotective effect of nobiletin against ethanol-induced acute gastric lesions in mice: impact on oxidative stress and inflammation,” *Immunopharmacology and Immunotoxicology*, vol. 39, no. 6, pp. 354–363, 2017.
  - [29] K. Shukla, H. Sonowal, A. Saxena, and K. V. Ramana, “Didymin prevents hyperglycemia-induced human umbilical endothelial cells dysfunction and death,” *Biochemical Pharmacology*, vol. 152, pp. 1–10, 2018.
  - [30] T. Boeing, P. Souza, S. Specia et al., “Luteolin prevents irinotecan-induced intestinal mucositis in mice through antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties,” *British Journal of Pharmacology*, vol. 177, no. 10, pp. 2393–2408, 2020.
  - [31] N. H. Zaidun, Z. C. Thent, and A. A. Latiff, “Combating oxidative stress disorders with citrus flavonoid: naringenin,” *Life Sciences*, vol. 208, pp. 111–122, 2018.
  - [32] T. Azuma, M. Shigeshiro, M. Kodama, S. Tanabe, and T. Suzuki, “Supplemental naringenin prevents intestinal barrier defects and inflammation in colitic mice,” *Journal of Nutrition*, vol. 143, no. 6, pp. 827–834, 2013.
  - [33] S. S. Wang, S. Davis, J. R. Cerhan et al., “Polymorphisms in oxidative stress genes and risk for non-hodgkin lymphoma,” *Carcinogenesis*, vol. 27, no. 9, pp. 1828–1834, 2006.
  - [34] J. Ji, K. Ganguly, X. Mihai et al., “Exposure of normal and chronic bronchitis-like mucosa models to aerosolized carbon nanoparticles: comparison of pro-inflammatory oxidative stress and tissue injury/repair responses,” *Nanotoxicology*, vol. 13, no. 10, pp. 1362–1379, 2019.
  - [35] D. K. Rex, “Gastroesophageal reflux disease in adults: pathophysiology, diagnosis, and management,” *Journal of Family Practice*, vol. 35, no. 6, pp. 673–681, 1992.
  - [36] T. Hershcovici and R. Fass, “Management of gastroesophageal reflux disease that does not respond well to proton pump inhibitors,” *Current Opinion in Gastroenterology*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 367–378, 2010.
  - [37] L. Nelkine, M. F. Vrolijk, M. Drent, and A. Bast, “Role of antioxidants in the treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease-associated idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis,” *Current*



- Opinion in Pulmonary Medicine*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 363–371, 2020.
- [38] N. Yoshida, “Inflammation and oxidative stress in gastro-esophageal reflux disease,” *Journal of Clinical Biochemistry and Nutrition*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 13–23, 2007.
- [39] E. I. Sihvo, J. T. Salminen, T. K. Rantanen et al., “Oxidative stress has a role in malignant transformation in Barrett’s oesophagus,” *International Journal of Cancer*, vol. 102, no. 6, pp. 551–555, 2002.
- [40] H. X. Yao and W. X. Chen, “Analyzed gene expression of oesophageal cells stimulated in a low pH environment by DNA microarray,” *European Review for Medical and Pharmacological Sciences*, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 930–936, 2014.
- [41] T. Dang and J. Chai, “Molecular dynamics in esophageal adenocarcinoma: who’s in control?” *Current Cancer Drug Targets*, vol. 20, no. 10, pp. 789–801, 2020.
- [42] Q. Wei, F. Lv, H. Zhang et al., “MicroRNA-101-3p inhibits fibroblast-like synoviocyte proliferation and inflammation in rheumatoid arthritis by targeting PTGS2,” *Bioscience Reports*, vol. 40, no. 1, Article ID BSR20191136, 2020.
- [43] L. K. Vogel, M. Sæbø, H. Høyer et al., “Intestinal PTGS2 mRNA levels, PTGS2 gene polymorphisms, and colorectal carcinogenesis,” *PLoS One*, vol. 9, no. 8, Article ID e105254, 2014.
- [44] G. Pretto, R. R. Gurski, M. Binato, D. Navarini, W. W. S. Aguiar, and L. Meurer, “Increase of epidermal growth factor receptor expression in progression of GERD, barrett, and adenocarcinoma of esophagus,” *Digestive Diseases and Sciences*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 115–122, 2013.
- [45] R. Di Paola, C. Crisafulli, E. Mazzone et al., “Effect of PD98059, a selective MAPK3/MAPK1 inhibitor, on acute lung injury in mice,” *International Journal of Immunopathology and Pharmacology*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 937–950, 2009.
- [46] M. Y. Patel, K. Stovall, and J. L. Franklin, “The intrinsic apoptotic pathway lies upstream of oxidative stress in multiple organs,” *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, vol. 158, pp. 13–19, 2020.
- [47] B. A. Kerr, L. Ma, X. Z. West et al., “Interference with akt signaling protects against myocardial infarction and death by limiting the consequences of oxidative stress,” *Science Signaling*, vol. 6, no. 287, p. ra67, 2013.
- [48] G. S. Gualdoni, P. V. Jacobo, C. M. Sobarzo et al., “Relevance of angiogenesis in autoimmune testis inflammation,” *Molecular Human Reproduction*, vol. 27, no. 2, p. gaaa073, 2021.