

Research Article

In Vitro Antibacterial Potential against Multidrug-Resistant *Salmonella*, Cytotoxicity, and Acute Biochemical Effects in Mice of *Annona muricata* Leaf Extracts

Moses Njutain Ngemenya ^{1,2}, Rodolph Asongana,¹ Denis Zofou,¹ Rita Ayuk Ndip,¹ Lamson Ottotoh Itoe,¹ and Smith Borakaeyabe Babiaka³

¹Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Buea, P.O. Box 63, Buea, Cameroon

²Department of Medical Laboratory Sciences, University of Buea, P.O. Box 63, Buea, Cameroon

³Department of Chemistry, University of Buea, P.O. Box 63, Buea, Cameroon

Correspondence should be addressed to Moses Njutain Ngemenya; njutain.moses@ubuea.cm

Received 5 May 2022; Revised 17 July 2022; Accepted 26 July 2022; Published 16 August 2022

Academic Editor: Md. Akil Hossain

Copyright © 2022 Moses Njutain Ngemenya et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The treatment of *Salmonella* infections is threatened by multidrug resistance necessitating the search for alternative treatments, such as from medicinal plants. There are several reports on the antibacterial activity of *Annona muricata*. This study assessed the activity against multidrug-resistant (MDR) *Salmonella* and also the toxicity of the leaves of this plant. The hexane and methanol extracts of the leaves were screened against characterized MDR isolates by disc diffusion and microdilution methods. A cytotoxicity test was performed on monkey kidney epithelial cells; an acute toxicity test was conducted in BALB/c mice and the liver and kidney functions were assessed at the end of the test. Both extracts recorded weak activity in the disc test. Conversely, the extracts showed a wide range of activity against specific *Salmonella* isolates in the microdilution assay, and the lowest minimum inhibitory concentration value recorded was 0.0625 mg/mL. The hexane extract (ANO_{HEX}) was not cytotoxic (CC₅₀ = 57.7 µg/mL) and was also not toxic to the mice at 2000 mg/Kg bodyweight, while the methanol extract (ANO_{MET}) was cytotoxic (CC₅₀ = 18.44 µg/mL), and mortality was recorded at 2000 mg/Kg but not at 300 mg/Kg. There were no significant changes in biomarkers of the liver (alanine aminotransferase and aspartate aminotransferase) and kidney (creatinine and urea) functions ($P > 0.05$), except for ANO_{HEX} which significantly decreased creatinine ($P = 0.01$), in the test mice which was not considered a toxic effect. In conclusion, this study has demonstrated high bacteriostatic activity against MDR *Salmonella* and a low risk of toxicity of *A. muricata* leaves. Hence, the leaves are a potential alternative treatment for resistant *Salmonella* infection. The natural products should be further investigated in vitro and in vivo.

1. Introduction

The global burden of *Salmonella* infections, both typhoidal and nontyphoidal, is high alongside a high mortality rate [1]. The prevalence is higher in Africa largely due to poor water and food hygiene. In the past, these infections were successfully controlled with the use of antibiotics and improved water quality [2]. Presently, these infections persist in poor settings due to the emergence of multidrug-resistant (MDR) clades of *Salmonella*. Resistance first emerged to the first-line antibiotics, which are ampicillin, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole,

and chloramphenicol, and later to tetracycline and streptomycin. This led to the adoption of fluoroquinolones and cephalosporins which were effective in the treatment of infections with MDR strains [2]. However, recent evidence shows a significant increase in antibiotic resistance with an increase in nonsusceptibility to ciprofloxacin in the United States [3, 4]. Studies have reported increasing resistance of *S. typhi* to ceftriaxone and azithromycin in India [5] and increasing MDR strains in Africa [6]. A recent study in Southwest Cameroon detected MDR strains with multiple resistant genes and considerable resistance to ciprofloxacin [7].

The resistance challenge posed by *Salmonella* has led to a multifaceted approach to counter this threat which includes antibiotic stewardship, discovery and development of new efficacious antibacterials from medicinal chemistry, and research on alternative medicines from natural sources among other approaches [8, 9]. A natural source of interest is *Annona muricata* (Annonaceae), a fruit tree known in Cameroon as soursop, which is widely distributed in tropical and subtropical regions of the world [10]. In ethnomedicine, the decoctions of the bark, root, seed, and leaf are widely used in various applications. The fruit is used in the treatment of diarrhoea, dysentery, and parasitic infections among others. The leaves are used to treat skin ailments, pain, hypertension, diabetes, and respiratory system diseases including asthma, malaria, and cancer [11]. The leaves are used to treat typhoid fever in Cameroon [12]. In vitro studies have revealed a wide range of pharmacological properties of the leaves which include antiproliferative activity against some cancer cell lines, promising antiparasitic activities, antioxidants, and also insecticidal properties [11]. In vivo studies of the leaf extracts have revealed antihypertensive, hypoglycaemic, anticancer, hepatoprotective, and wound healing properties. Over two hundred phytochemicals have been isolated from the leaves and other parts of this plant and structurally characterized. They include mostly acetogenins, alkaloids, and phenols and the biological activities of some of them have been reported [11].

A few in vitro studies have demonstrated antibacterial activity of the leaf extracts but have been largely limited in scope [13, 14] and not extensive. These studies were performed on very few species [15]. In particular, studies against MDR bacteria are very rare. The aqueous leaf extract showed moderate inhibition of a MDR *Mycobacterium* strain [16]. The mechanisms of action of the leaf extracts have not been elucidated but are thought to include inhibition of nucleic acid synthesis and some enzymes [11]. The aqueous leaf extract potentiated the activity of some antibiotics against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* [17]. Considering the well-documented use of this plant in the treatment of infectious diseases and the limited reports on its antibacterial activity, this study aimed to investigate the activity of extracts of *A. muricata* leaves against MDR clinical *Salmonella* isolates and also the cytotoxicity and acute toxicity in mice.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Collection and Preparation of Extracts. The leaves of *A. muricata* were collected in Buea, and a voucher specimen was prepared and authenticated by Mr. Peter Njimba at the Limbe Biodiversity and Conservation Centre, Cameroon, and was assigned voucher specimen number SCA12826. The crude extracts were prepared as described [18]. Briefly, the leaves were dried under shade for three weeks and ground to a fine powder using a grinding mill (CE SGS ISO9001, China). The powder was weighed, macerated separately in hexane and methanol each for 72 h, and then filtered using Whatman filter paper no.1. The filtrate was concentrated by rotary evaporation (BUCHI Rotavapor R-200, Switzerland) at 65°C (hexane) and 80°C (methanol).

Each crude extract was dried and then weighed and stored at 4°C in a refrigerator until use.

2.2. Sources of Bacteria. The test bacteria were sixteen MDR clinical isolates of *Salmonella* from specimens of patients obtained from four medical laboratories in the South West region of Cameroon. They were characterized using cultural, biochemical, and molecular techniques and were reported in a recent study [7]. The isolates comprised of four *S. typhimurium*, eight *S. typhi*, and four *S. paratyphi*. Two control strains used were *Salmonella typhimurium* (ATCC14028) and *Salmonella enteritidis* (ATCC13076), obtained from the American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, Virginia, United States of America.

2.3. Anti-Salmonella Screens. A 25 mg/mL stock solution of the hexane extract was prepared by dissolving 25 mg of the extract in 60 µL of acetone, and 940 µL of distilled water added and mixed by vortexing. A stock solution of the methanol extract was prepared similarly by dissolving 25 mg in 250 µL of 2% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (SIGMA, Burlington, USA), and 750 µL of distilled water was added. Mueller–Hinton (MH) agar and broth (Liofilchem, Italy) were prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions.

2.3.1. Antibacterial Screen by Disc Diffusion. The anti-*Salmonella* activity was determined by disc diffusion as described [18, 19]. In brief, a McFarland 0.5 bacterial suspension (1.5×10^8 CFUs/mL) was prepared and spread on a MH agar plate. Sterile discs prepared from Whatman filter paper were placed on the agar surface, and 10 µL of extract solution containing 1 mg of extract was added onto the disc. This was allowed to dry for 30 minutes and then incubated at 37°C (DHP-9052, England) for 24 hours, after which the diameters of zones of inhibition were measured manually using a millimetre ruler [20].

2.3.2. Antibacterial Screen by Microdilution. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was determined as previously described [19], with some modifications in volumes used. In brief, extract stock solutions (ANO_{Hex} and ANO_{Met}) were prepared by dissolving 40 mg of each crude extract in 200 µL of DMSO and 800 µL of MH broth added and mixed thoroughly by vortexing. Bacterial suspensions (McFarland 0.5) were prepared and further diluted 1 : 150 with MH broth to approximately 1×10^6 CFUs/mL. Extract solution (75 µL) was added to the required wells in the 96-well microtitre plate in duplicate followed by 75 µL of bacterial suspension giving final extract concentrations of 0.0625, 0.125, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 mg/mL containing 5×10^5 CFUs/mL of *Salmonella* cells. The baseline optical densities (OD) of the wells were read at 595 nm (Emax microplate reader, Molecular Devices, San Jose, USA) and incubated at 37°C (DHP-9052, England) for 24 h. The plates were visually observed for inhibition and OD reread. The change (Δ) in OD was determined and percentage inhibitions of bacterial growth were calculated using the following formula:

$$\% \text{inhibition} = \frac{\Delta \text{OD}_{\text{negative control}} - \Delta \text{OD}_{\text{extract}}}{\Delta \text{OD}_{\text{negative control}}} \times 100. \quad (1)$$

The MIC was taken as the lowest concentration which showed >50% inhibition of bacterial growth. To determine the minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC), the contents of all MIC wells devoid of bacterial growth were mixed and 10 μL of each was spotted on agar, incubated at 37°C for 24 h and observed for growth (CFUs). The MBC was recorded as the lowest concentration of the corresponding spot without growth.

2.4. Toxicity Tests

2.4.1. Cytotoxicity Test. The cytotoxicity test was done as described by Ngemenya et al [18], where a detailed description is outlined. Briefly, monkey kidney epithelial cells were cultured to confluence in a complete culture medium (RPMI 1640 containing newborn calf serum) at 37°C in 5% CO_2 humidified air. The medium was discarded; the cells were washed with incomplete medium (no calf serum), dislodged with trypsin, centrifuged, and the incomplete medium replaced followed by counting of the cells. The cells were seeded in a 96-well microtitre plate (3000 cells/100 μL) and cultured as above. When the cells were confluent, the extract was added (100 μL) at final concentrations from 15 to 1000 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$. Positive (30 μM auranofin) and negative (2% DMSO in complete culture medium) controls were included, and the plate was incubated as above for 5 days. Then, the medium was discarded, the incomplete medium was added, and the plate decolourised in a shaker. Then, 100 μL of MTT (5 mg/mL) was added and incubated for 30 mins, and the formazan dissolved by adding 100 μL DMSO. The ODs were read at 595 nm, and percentage inhibition of cell growth was calculated relative to the negative control as described [18].

2.4.2. Acute Toxicity Test. This study was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (reference number: UB-IACUC N^o 08/2020) and was performed as described with some modifications [21, 22] and in accordance with guidelines of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development version 423 [23]. In brief, two groups (control and test) of five nine-week-old adult BALB/c mice (two females and three males) were obtained from the animal house of the laboratory, and the experiment was conducted in an adjacent room. One animal from the test group was weighed, fasted (with water), and administered an extract dose of 2000 mg/kg bodyweight by oral gavage; it was fasted further for 2 hours and provided food and then observed as described [21]. Following the survival of the treated animal, the other four were fasted and treated the same. In case the single animal that was fasted and treated died, the test was repeated at 300 mg/kg bodyweight as recommended [23]. All animals were monitored for signs of toxicity for 14 days, weighed, then fasted overnight, and anesthetized (intraperitoneal ketamine/xylazine, 90/10 mg/kg), and blood was collected by retro-orbital bleeding. The blood was

coagulated (30 mins) and centrifuged (2200 rpm \times 15, minutes Eppendorf centrifuge 5702 R), and biochemical tests were performed using the Chronolab kit (Switzerland) for alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), urea, and Biorex diagnostic kit (United Kingdom) for creatinine, following manufacturer's instructions.

2.5. Phytochemical Analysis of Extracts. Phytochemical analyses were done to determine the chemical classes of secondary metabolites in each extract, using standard chemical tests as described [24, 25]. Tests were done for alkaloids, flavonoids, steroids, tannins, cardiac glycosides, saponins, and phenolics, and their relative abundances in each extract were determined.

2.6. Data and Statistical Analysis. The CC_{50} (concentration which kills 50% of cells) was determined from a plot of log concentration against percentage inhibition generated using GraphPad Prism 5.0 software (Graph Pad Prism INC., CA, USA). Data on biochemical markers were analysed using the same software. An unpaired two-tailed *t* test was used to compare parameters for control and test animals to determine any significant difference ($P < 0.05$).

3. Results

3.1. Antibacterial Activity of Crude Extracts. The hexane and methanol extracts (ANO_{HEX} and ANO_{MET} , respectively) showed weak activity in the disc diffusion test against all the sixteen MDR *Salmonella* isolates and control strains, and the highest diameter of zone of inhibition was 8 mm. The positive control (ciprofloxacin) was very effective and produced inhibition zones in the range of 25–37 mm, while the negative control produced no zone of inhibition. In the microdilution assay, the two extracts showed MIC values ranging from 0.0625 to 8 mg/mL against individual MDR *Salmonella* isolates. The lowest MIC value corresponding to the highest activity was 0.0625 mg/mL and was produced by ANO_{Hex} and ANO_{Met} against three and four clinical isolates, respectively, out of a total of 16 tested isolates. The methanol extract recorded MICs in the high activity range (≤ 2 mg/mL), for 12 isolates as against 6 for the hexane extract; hence, the methanol extract had higher activity against the MDR isolates (Table 1). No MBC values were recorded for both extracts in the concentration range tested, suggesting the extracts were bacteriostatic.

3.2. Cytotoxicity of Extracts. The cytotoxicity test on monkey kidney epithelial cells revealed that the methanol extract, ANO_{Met} , had a CC_{50} value of 18.44 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ which is lower than the cutoff point for lack of cytotoxicity (30 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$) [18]; this indicates that this extract is cytotoxic. The hexane extract, ANO_{Hex} , recorded a CC_{50} value of 57.7 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$; hence, it was not cytotoxic. The relative selectivity was poor (obtained from the formula: $\text{CC}_{50}/\text{MIC}$ in $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$), as seen from the very low selectivity index values of 0.92 and 0.29 for the hexane and methanol extract, respectively.

TABLE 1: Minimum inhibitory concentrations of *Annona muricata* leaf extracts against multidrug-resistant *Salmonella* isolates.

Extracts	MIC values (mg/mL)	No. of isolates*	Cumulative no. of isolates	Activity
ANO _{Hex}	0.0625	3	3	High
	0.125	2	5	
	2	1	6	
	4	3	9	Moderate
	6	1	10	
	8	6	16	
ANO _{MET}	0.0625	4	4	High
	0.25	2	6	Moderate
	0.5	2	8	
	0.125	3	11	
	4	1	12	
	6	2	14	
	8	2	16	Low

Interpretation of MIC values (mg/mL): ≤ 2 , high activity; >2 and <6 , moderate activity; >6 , low activity [26]. Extracts: ANO_{Hex} and ANO_{Met}: hexane and methanol extracts of *A. muricata*, respectively. MICs, minimum inhibitory concentrations.




FIGURE 1: Effects of a single oral dose of 2000 mg/Kg hexane extract of *Annona muricata* leaves on mouse liver enzymes. The unpaired two-tailed *t*-test showed no significant difference between the control and test animals for ALT (alanine aminotransferase) ($P = 0.17$) and AST (aspartate aminotransferase) ($P = 0.96$).

3.3. Acute Toxicity of Extracts. For the hexane extract of *A. muricata*, no sign of acute toxicity was observed and no death of mice was recorded at 2000 mg/kg bodyweight. There was no significant difference in bodyweights between the two groups of mice ($P = 0.7866$). The methanol extract (ANO_{MET}) was toxic at 2000 mg/kg; the animals were inactive and weak 2 hours postdosing and all the mice died between days 3 and 4. When the test was repeated at the lower recommended dose of 300 mg/Kg (OECD, 2001), no sign of acute toxicity and no mortality were recorded, suggesting the LD₅₀ is below 2000 mg/Kg. The average body weights of the control group decreased by 2% while that of the test group increased by 5% resulting in a significant difference in bodyweights ($P = 0.0430$).

3.4. Biochemical Effects on Liver and Kidney Functions. The hexane extract (at a nonlethal dose of 2000 mg/Kg) showed no significant difference between the control (2% DMSO) and test (ANO_{Hex}) groups of mice for ALT, AST, and urea, with P values of 0.1752, 0.9633, and 0.8417, respectively. But creatinine decreased significantly in the test group ($P = 0.0113$) as shown in Figures 1 and 2. The AST:ALT ratio was 2.7 suggesting adverse toxicity.

For the methanol extract (at a nonlethal dose of 300 mg/Kg), there was no significant difference between the control (2% DMSO) and test (ANO_{Met}) groups of mice with P values of 0.2260, 0.7631, 0.9693, and 0.5370 for AST, ALT, urea, and creatinine, respectively, as shown in Figures 3 and 4. The AST:ALT ratio was 6.3 suggesting adverse toxicity.

3.5. Phytochemical Composition and Yield of Extracts. The hexane extract had a yield of 57.7% with relatively high amounts of tannins, saponins, and steroids and low amount of flavonoids, while the methanol extract had a relatively much lower yield of 8.8%, but the test revealed the presence of high amounts of steroids and flavonoids and low amounts of tannins, saponins, and phenolics. Alkaloids and cardiac glycosides were not detected in both extracts.

4. Discussion

In the context of the reported wide-ranging antimicrobial activity of *A. muricata*, the anti-*Salmonella* activity was investigated against MDR clinical isolates. The two extracts showed rather negligible activity in the disc test;




FIGURE 2: Effects of a single oral dose of 2000 mg/Kg hexane extract of *Annona muricata* leaves on mouse renal function biomarkers. The unpaired two-tailed *t*-test showed no significant difference for urea ($P = 0.84$) and a significant difference for creatinine ($P = 0.01$)* between the control and test animals.




FIGURE 3: Effects of a single oral dose of 300 mg/Kg methanol extract of *Annona muricata* leaves on mouse liver enzymes. The unpaired two-tailed *t*-test showed no significant difference between the control and test animals for ALT (alanine aminotransferase) ($P = 0.22$) and AST (aspartate aminotransferase) ($P = 0.96$).




FIGURE 4: Effects of a single oral dose of 300 mg/Kg methanol extract of *Annona muricata* leaves on mouse renal function biomarkers. The unpaired two-tailed *t*-test showed no significant difference between the control and test animals for urea ($P = 0.96$) and creatinine ($P = 0.53$).

however, in the microdilution assay, very high activity was recorded against some individual isolates. The weak activity in the disc test could be due to the presence of a low content of bioactive secondary metabolites in the amount of crude extract (1 mg) used. However, several factors are known to affect the diameter of the zone of inhibition of substances in the disc test which is based on the principle of the diffusion of compounds outward from the disc [27]. For plant extracts in particular, an important factor is the polarity of the compounds in the extracts which are

largely nonpolar, hence diffuse slowly in agar which is an aqueous medium.

The methanol extract recorded high activity in the microdilution test ($MIC \leq 2$ mg/mL) against most isolates than the hexane extract, indicating that it was more active against the MDR isolates. A dose-response relationship was observed in the activity of both extracts based on the MIC values; the higher the MIC value, the higher the cumulative number of isolates inhibited (Table 1). The wide range of the MIC values is probably due to variation in the magnitude of

resistance as a consequence of the presence of different resistant genes in each *Salmonella* isolate as reported [7], resulting in varied susceptibility to the extracts. Some studies have been done on the antibacterial activity of *A. muricata*, but this is the first report on the activity of the leaf extract against MDR *Salmonella*. Most reported studies were limited in scope; whereby, aqueous extracts were investigated by the disc diffusion method only [13, 28], and studies involving MIC determination were mostly against two bacterial species, *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* [14]. A study on the leaf ethanol extract reported MIC values of 2–4 mg/mL against *Salmonella* strains which were not characterized to establish whether they were resistant or not [12]. In another study, the aqueous leaf extract showed moderate inhibition of a MDR *Mycobacterium* strain [16]. Both extracts in this study were not bactericidal in the concentration range tested (no MBC), suggesting they are bacteriostatic; their activity is likely due to the phytochemicals present in a high amount as mentioned above.

The low selectivity index values of the extracts are due to their low CC₅₀ values which are close to the cutoff value for cytotoxicity (<30 µg/mL) [18]. This reflects their potential to be toxic as seen with the methanol extract which was cytotoxic (low CC₅₀ of 18.44 µg/mL) and caused 100% mortality of mice at the relatively high dose of 2000 mg/Kg bodyweight, unlike the hexane extract. This suggests the toxic components are present in the methanol extract. No toxicity was recorded for the methanol extract at 300 mg/Kg indicating its toxicity is dose-dependent. Several other studies did not find cytotoxicity but recorded a wide-ranging degree of inhibition of many normal and cancer cell lines by different leaf extracts. The leaf ethanol extract strongly inhibited kidney epithelial cells (VERO, IC₅₀ < 0.22 µg/mL), while the hexane extract showed a moderate inhibition of human colon epithelial cells (CCD841, IC₅₀ = 42.1 µg/mL) [11].

All biochemical markers of toxicity were not significantly altered in test mice compared to control for both extracts. But the significant decrease in creatinine concentration in mice administered the hexane extract, suggests increased excretion of this waste product of metabolism, which does not imply kidney damage. However, the AST:ALT ratios were high for both extracts suggesting severe liver injury. Other studies have reported high AST:ALT ratios with significant histopathological changes in the liver tissue of treated animals, but no mortality was recorded suggesting possible reversible liver injury [29, 30].

There are some limitations to this study such as the relatively small number of MDR *Salmonella* isolates used in the test, and the results were not analysed according to the resistant genes in each isolate. Another limitation is that the natural products were not isolated and tested in order to identify the active ingredient in the extracts.

5. Conclusion

This study is the first to report the finding that *A. muricata* leaves possess high bacteriostatic activity against MDR *Salmonella*. It also showed a low risk of toxicity to mammals at relatively low doses. Hence, the leaves are potential

alternative treatment for resistant *Salmonella* infections. The natural products in the leaves should be isolated and further investigated against MDR bacteria in vitro and in vivo.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Disclosure

This work was achieved as part of the research activities of the authors in the Cameroon State University of Buea.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

This work was realized with funds from the University of Buea 2020 budget. The authors acknowledge the Biotechnology Unit of the Faculty of Science, University of Buea, for technical and material assistance.

References

- [1] P. Wilairatana, W. Mala, W. K. Klangbud, K. U. Kotepui, P. Rattaprasert, and M. Manas Kotepui, "Prevalence, probability, and outcomes of typhoidal/non-typhoidal *Salmonella* and malaria co-infection among febrile patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 11, 2021.
- [2] Z. A. Dyson, E. J. Klemm, S. Palmer, and G. Dougan, "Antibiotic resistance and typhoid," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 68, pp. S165–S170, 2019.
- [3] F. Medalla, W. Gu, C. R. Friedman et al., "Increased incidence of antimicrobial-resistant nontyphoidal *Salmonella* infections, United States, 2004–2016," *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 1662–1672, 2021.
- [4] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, "Drug resistant salmonella serotype typhi," 2019, <https://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/pdf/threats-report/salmonella-typhi-508.pdf>.
- [5] S. Saxena, R. Kaur, and V. S. Randhawa, "Changing pattern of resistance in typhoid fever in an era of antimicrobial resistance: is it time to revisit treatment strategies?" *Journal of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 1–7, 2021.
- [6] S. E. Park, D. T. Pham, G. D. Pak et al., "The genomic epidemiology of multi-drug resistant invasive nontyphoidal *Salmonella* in selected sub-Saharan African countries," *BMJ Global Health*, vol. 6, no. 8, 2021.
- [7] R. A. Ndip, L. A. Awah, S. M. Ghogomu, F. Cho-Ngwa, and M. N. Ngemenya, "Isolation and molecular identification of *Salmonella* with high multidrug resistance to first-line typhoid antibiotics in Southwest Cameroon," *Microbes and Infectious Diseases*, vol. 0, 2022.
- [8] R. R. Uchil, G. S. Kohli, V. M. Katekhaye, and O. C. Swami, "Strategies to combat antimicrobial resistance," *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research: Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*, vol. 8, no. 7, pp. ME01–ME04, 2014.
- [9] P. S. Hoffman, "Antibacterial discovery: 21st century challenges," *Antibiotics*, vol. 9, no. 5, p. 213, 2020.

- [10] S. Z. Moghadamtousi, M. Fadaeinasab, S. Nikzad, G. Mohan, H. M. Ali, and H. A. Kadir, "Annona muricata (Annonaceae): a review of its traditional uses, isolated acetogenins and biological activities," *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, vol. 16, no. 7, pp. 15625–15658, 2015.
- [11] A. V. Coria-Tellez, E. Montalvo-Gonzalez, E. M. Yahia, and E. N. Obledo-Vazquez, "Annona muricata: a comprehensive review on its traditional medicinal uses, phytochemicals, pharmacological activities, mechanisms of action and toxicity," *Arabian Journal of Chemistry*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 662–691, 2018.
- [12] T. Roger, M. Pierre-Marie, V. K. Igor, and V. D. Patrick, "Phytochemical screening and antibacterial activity of medicinal plants used to treat typhoid fever in Bamboutos division, West Cameroon," *Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 034–049, 2015.
- [13] J. Mathew, R. George, R. Theruvil, T. C. Padavil, L. Tomy, and A. Kurian, "Antibacterial activity of leaf extract of *Annona muricata* and *Simarouba glauca* on *Enterococcus faecalis*," *The Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice*, vol. 17, no. 8, pp. 650–653, 2016.
- [14] I. C. M. Da Silva, W. L. Dos Santos, I. C. R. Leal et al., "Extraction of essential oil from *Cyperus articulatus* L. var. *articulatus articulatus* (priproica) with pressurized CO₂," *Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, vol. 88, pp. 134–141, 2014.
- [15] R. M. D. Silva, I. D. M. M. D. Silva, M. M. Estevinho, and L. M. Estevinho, "Anti-bacterial activity of *Annona muricata* Linnaeus extracts: a systematic review," *Food Science and Technology*, vol. 42, 2022.
- [16] M. Radji, M. Kurniati, and A. Kiranasari, "Comparative antimycobacterial activity of some Indonesian medicinal plants against multi-drug resistant," *Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 019–022, 2015.
- [17] D. Neglo, C. O. Tettey, E. K. Essuman et al., "Evaluation of the modulatory effect of *annona muricata* extracts on the activity of some selected antibiotics against biofilm-forming MRSA," *Evidence-based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 2021, Article ID 9342110, 9 pages, 2021.
- [18] M. N. Ngemenya, G. G. R. Djeukem, K. D. Nyongbela et al., "Microbial, phytochemical, toxicity analyses and antibacterial activity against multidrug-resistant bacteria of some traditional remedies sold in Buea Southwest Cameroon," *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 19, no. 1, 2019.
- [19] J. A. Mbah, M. N. Ngemenya, A. L. Abawah et al., "Bioassay-guided discovery of antibacterial agents: in vitro screening of *Peperomia vulcanica*, *Peperomia fernandopoioana* and *Scleria striatinux*," *Annals of Clinical Microbiology and Antimicrobials*, vol. 11, no. 1, 2012.
- [20] Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, "Performance standards for antimicrobial disk susceptibility tests," *Approved Standard—Eleventh Edition. CLSI Document M02-A11*, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA, USA, 2012.
- [21] S. Fentahun, E. Makonnen, T. Awas, and M. Giday, "In vivo antimalarial activity of crude extracts and solvent fractions of leaves of *Strychnos mitis* in *Plasmodium berghei* infected mice," *BMC Complementary And Alternative Medicine*, vol. 17, no. 1, p. 13, 2017.
- [22] M. Bourhia, A. Lahmadi, H. Ahtak et al., "Phytochemical analysis and toxicity study of *Aristolochia paucinervis* rhizomes decoction used in moroccan alternative medicine: histopathological and biochemical profiles," *Evidence-based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 2019, Article ID 1398404, 11 pages, 2019.
- [23] Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, *OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals*, Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, Paris, France, 2021.
- [24] J. R. Shaikh and M. K. Patil, "Qualitative tests for preliminary phytochemical screening: an overview," *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 603–608, 2020.
- [25] J. Ram, P. Moteriya, and S. Chanda, "Phytochemical screening and reported biological activities of some medicinal plants of Gujarat region," *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 192–198, 2015.
- [26] P. Cos, A. J. Vlietinck, D. V. Berghe, and L. Maes, "Anti-infective potential of natural products: how to develop a stronger in vitro proof-of-concept," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 106, no. 3, pp. 290–302, 2006.
- [27] J. N. Eloff, "Avoiding pitfalls in determining antimicrobial activity of plant extracts and publishing the results," *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 19, no. 1, p. 106, 2019.
- [28] B. M. Pai, G. Rajesh, R. Shenoy, and A. Rao, "Anti-microbial efficacy of soursop leaf extract (*annona muricata*) on oral pathogens: an in-vitro Study *Annona muricata* on oral pathogens: an in-vitro study," *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*, vol. 10, no. 11, pp. ZC01–ZC04, 2016.
- [29] T. R. Kang, J. N. Dinga, A. E. Oroock, E. Monya, and M. N. Ngemenya, "Macroliticidal Activity, acute and biochemical effects of three lichen species found on Mount Cameroon," *Journal of Parasitology Research*, vol. 2022, Article ID 1663330, 7 pages, 2022.
- [30] R. Wang, X. Feng, K. Zhu, X. Zhao, and H. Suo, "Preventive activity of banana peel polyphenols on CCl₄-induced experimental hepatic injury in Kunming mice," *Experimental and Therapeutic Medicine*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 1947–1954, 2016.