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Moringa concanensis Nimmo (Moringaceae) belongs to the same family of M. oleifera (miracle tree) and is a medicinal plant traditionally used by Indians to treat various ailments related to diabetes, tumours, inflammation, and blood pressure. Despite its versatility, the photoprotective properties of the plant remain unclear. This study revealed the UV-protective properties of its methanol bark extract and respective subfractions, chloroform, hexane, and ethyl acetate through total phenolic and flavonoid content (TPC & TFC), antioxidant (DPPH), sun protecting factor (SPF) value, and UV absorption spectra analysis. This study also investigated on the inhibitory effect of the tested samples on collagenases and elastase, which are well-known for their role in the skin. The cytotoxic and H2O2 scavenging properties of M. concanensis in 3T3-L1 cells were explored. Finally, the phytochemical profiling of the active fraction was conducted through UPLC-QTOF/MS analysis. Among the tested fractions, the chloroform fraction of M. concanensis showed the highest TPC (30.92 ± 0.71 mg GAE/DW), TFC (29.05 ± 0.09 mg QE/DW), and antioxidant properties (IC50 -6.616 ± 1.90 μg/ml). Additionally, chloroform fraction demonstrated the highest SPF value, 10.46 at 200 μg/ml, compared to the other tested fractions. All the fractions showed a broad absorption spectrum covering both UVA and UVB ranges. The chloroform fraction of M. concanensis also showed collagenase (50%) and elastase (IC50 -2.95 ± 1.23 μg/ml) inhibition properties similar to the positive control. Cytotoxic results revealed that the chloroform fraction of M. concanensis prevented the H2O2-induced oxidative damage in 3T3-L1 cells even at lower concentrations (1.56 μg/ml). UPLC-QTOF/MS analysis tentatively identified the presence of bioactive flavonoids and phenolics such as astragalin, quercetin, isoquercetin, and caffeic acid in the active fraction of M. concanensis bark. Overall, it is suggested that the chloroform fraction of M. concanensis bark has the potency to be used as an active ingredient in sunscreen products.

1. Introduction

In recent times, the intensity of ultraviolet (UV) radiation reaching the earth’s surface has increased due to ozone depletion by releasing human-made chemicals into the atmosphere. [1]. Three types of UV (200–400 nm) radiations are available: UVA (320–400 nm), UVB (290–320 nm), and UVC (200–290 nm). UV radiation-stimulated skin damage is highly influenced by its wavelength, intensity, and exposure time [2]. UV radiation directly interacts with the...
skin, which leads to the activation of several signaling cascades in the skin layers. Excessive doses of UVA and UVB rays are widely considered the leading causative agent for sunburns, early aging, DNA damage, wrinkle formation, oxidative stress, and skin cancer. [3]. In particular, UVA radiation produces immediate skin pigmentation and skin oxidative stress, and skin cancer. [3]. In particular, UVA sunburns, early aging, DNA damage, wrinkle formation, rays are widely considered the leading causative agent for cascades in the skin layers. Excessivedoses of UVA and UVB radiation produces immediate skin pigmentation and skin oxidative stress, and skin cancer. [3]. In particular, UVA sunburns, early aging, DNA damage, wrinkle formation, rays are widely considered the leading causative agent for cascades in the skin layers. Excessivedoses of UVA and UVB radiation produces immediate skin pigmentation and skin oxidative stress, and skin cancer. [3]. In particular, UVA sunburns, early aging, DNA damage, wrinkle formation, rays are widely considered the leading causative agent for...
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(BUH-VA-3203/2018) was submitted to the Herbarium of Department of Botany, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India. The crude methanolic extract (28 g) from dried and powdered bark (600 g) of *M. concanensis* was obtained using ultrasound-assisted extraction technique according to the literature [6].

2.3. Liquid-Liquid Partitioning. Various solvent fractions of the crude methanol extract of *M. concanensis* were subjected to liquid-liquid partitioning technique using different solvents based on their polarity. Initially, 15 g of the methanol crude extract was mixed with equal volume of hexane and water (1:1) and loaded into the separating funnel. After few agitations, the hexane fraction was removed and the chloroform was added to the separating funnel. Then, the same process was repeated with ethyl acetate. Finally using rotavapor, the resultant fractions such as hexane (3.1 g), chloroform (6.2 g), and ethyl acetate (1.8 g) were obtained and dried under vacuum and lyophilized [11].

2.4. Total Phenolic Content. The total phenolic content for all the solvent fractions of *M. concanensis* bark was determined using the Folin–Ciocalteu method [16]. Briefly, 50 μL of the sample (1 mgmL⁻¹) in methanol was mixed with 50 μL distilled water, 50 μL of 10% Folin–Ciocalteu’s phenol reagent, and 50 μL of 1 M sodium carbonate solution in a 96-well microwell plate and incubated for 60 min at room temperature without exposing it to light. Methanol was used as a blank. The absorbance of the reaction mixture was measured using a microplate reader at 750 nm. The total phenolic content was determined through calibration curve using gallic acid (7.81, 15.62, 31.25, 62.5, 125, 250, and 500 μg mL⁻¹) as standard. Results are expressed as milligram gallic acid equivalents (GAE) per gram of dry plant extract. All tests were done in triplicates.

2.5. Total Flavonoid Content. The total flavonoid content for all the fractions of *M. concanensis* bark was determined using spectrophotometric method [16]. Briefly, 100 μL of the plant extract (1 mgmL⁻¹) and standard solutions of quercetin (7.81, 15.62, 31.25, 62.5, 125, 250, and 500 μg/mL⁻¹) in methanol solution were mixed with 100 μL of 2% AlCl₃ solution. Reaction mixtures were incubated for an hour at room temperature. The absorbance was measured using Tecan Infinite F200 Pro plate reader at λmax 415 nm. Total flavonoid contents were expressed as mg quercetin equivalent (QE) per gram of dry plant extract. Experiments were done in triplicates.

2.6. DPPH Scavenging Activity Assay. The crude extract and the fractions of *M. concanensis* bark were tested for their free radical scavenging properties using DPPH free radical scavenging assay [16, 17]. Briefly, 0.12 mM DPPH in methanol was prepared and mixed with various concentrations of test samples at 1:1 ratio (100 μL). Ascorbic acid was used as the positive control. Test samples and DPPH solution were incubated at room temperature for 30 min in the dark. After the incubation, the absorbance value of the mixture was recorded on a microwell plate reader at 570 nm. The experiment was done in triplicates. The DPPH radical scavenging activity was calculated using the formula:

\[
\text{DPPH radical scavenging activity (\%) = } \left( \frac{\text{Abs}_{\text{control}} - \text{Abs}_{\text{sample}}}{\text{Abs}_{\text{control}}} \right) \times 100, 
\]

where \(\text{Abs}_{\text{control}}\) is the absorbance of DPPH radical + methanol and \(\text{Abs}_{\text{sample}}\) is the absorbance of DPPH radical + samples/positive control.

2.7. SPF Value Determination. The *in vitro* SPF value of the crude extract and solvent fractions of *M. concanensis* were obtained by the method described in the literature [16]. Briefly, the absorbance value of the test sample (200 μg mL⁻¹) was determined on a UV-Visible spectrophotometer at 5 nm intervals within the range of 290–320 nm. The SPF value was then calculated by using the formula:

\[
\text{SPF spectrophotometric} = \frac{320}{290} \times \sum \text{EE(λ)} \times I(λ) \times \text{Abs}(λ), 
\]

where CF is the correction factor (= 10), EE (λ) is the erythemal effect spectrum, \(I(λ)\) is the solar intensity spectrum, Abs (λ) is the absorbance of test sample, where EE (λ) x I(λ) are constants. Methanol was used as a blank, and measurements were made in triplicate.

2.8. UVA/UVB Absorption Spectra. The UV absorption spectrum of the crude extract and solvent fractions of *M. concanensis* (100 μg mL⁻¹ in methanol) were measured over a wavelength range of 200–400 nm on a UV-Visible spectrophotometer using a quartz cell (1 cm). The UV absorption spectrum of the samples tested was compared with the positive control, epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG) prepared with the same concentration.

2.9. Gelatin Digestion Assay. The gelatin digestion assay was done according to the method described by Santhanam et al. [6] with slight modifications. Agarose (2%) was prepared in a collagenase buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM CaCl₂, 0.15 M NaCl, pH 7.8) and porcine gelatin (0.15%). The mixture was transferred into a petri dish and left at room temperature for 1 h for solidification. Later, the wells were made using a sterile 200 μL microtip. Then, 25 μL of the samples was incubated with 25 μL of bacterial collagenase-1 (0.1 mgmL⁻¹) for 1 h. Finally, the reaction mixture (50 μL) was loaded into the well and further incubated overnight. EGCG was used as a positive control. The next day, the petri dish was visualized by the Coomassie brilliant blue staining method. The degree of gelatin digestion was determined by measuring the area of the light translucent zone formed after destaining over a blue background.
2.10. Antielastase Assay. The antielastase activity of the various solvent fractions of *M. concanensis* was determined according to the methods of Santhanam et al. [6], with minor modifications. The assay was performed in Tris-HCl buffer (0.2 mM, pH 8.0). The stock solution of porcine pancreatic elastase (PE; E.C. 3.4.21.36) was dissolved in sterile water. N-succinyl-alala-alapa-nitroanilide (AAPVN) was dissolved in 1 mL Tris-HCl (0.2 mM) buffer (pH 8.0), and the samples were dissolved in buffer. Then, the test samples and elastase were incubated for 15 minutes before adding the substrate to start the reaction. The final reaction mixture contains a buffer, 0.8 mM AAPVN, 50 μg/mL PE, and the test samples at various test concentrations in a total volume of 250 μL. EGCG served as the positive control while water served as the negative control. The absorbance value was measured using a Tecan Infinite F200 Pro plate reader (Tecan Group Ltd., Männedorf, Switzerland) at 410 nm.

Enzyme inhibition activity (%) \[ \frac{(\text{Abs}_{\text{control}} - \text{Abs}_{\text{sample}})}{\text{Abs}_{\text{control}}} \times 100 \] (3)

2.11. Cell Culture. 3T3-L1 cells were maintained in complete Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) high-glucose media according to the method of Raseetha et al. [18] with slight modification. The media were supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 5% of penicillin-streptomycin. The medium was replaced with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 5% of penicillin-streptomycin in a humidified 5% CO₂ incubator at 37 °C. Once the cell reaches fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 5% of penicillin-streptomycin in slight modification. The medium was replaced with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 5% of penicillin-streptomycin in a humidified 5% CO₂ incubator at 37 °C. Once the cell reaches 70–80% confluence, it was utilized for seeding and treatment.

2.11.1. Cytotoxicity. Cells cytotoxicity was performed using MTT assay based on the method described by Raseetha et al. [18]. The cells were seeded at a density of 1 × 10⁵ cells/well in 96-well plates. Once the cell reaches 80% confluence, the 100 μL of various concentrations of methanol extract and solvent fractions of *M. concanensis* and positive control EGCG were treated in each well. After 24 h, 20 μL of MTT was added to the cells and it was incubated at 37°C for 4 h. The medium was replaced with 100 μL DMSO, and the absorbance for each well was measured at 570 nm on Tecan Infinite F200 Pro plate reader. Cytotoxicity assay was conducted to determine the range of concentrations of the active fraction. Experiments were performed in triplicates.

2.11.2. Protective Effect of Samples against H₂O₂-Induced Oxidative Damage in 3T3-L1 Cells. The protective effect of *M. concanensis* fractions against H₂O₂ was performed according to Chen et al. [19] with slight modification. The 3T3-L1 cells were seeded on 96-well plates at a density of 1 × 10⁵ (in 100 μL medium) per well and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Once the cell reaches the confluence, the medium was replaced with 100 μL of samples with various concentrations (0–100 μg/mL-1) and positive control (ascorbic acid) for 18 h. Then, the cells were treated with H₂O₂ (125 μM) to induce oxidative damage, and the dose concentration was fixed based on the current study. After 6 h, the medium was removed, and the cells were washed thrice with PBS. Next, the MTT solution was added and the cells were further incubated for 4 h. Finally, the formazan crystals were dissolved in DMSO (100 μL per well), and the absorbance value was measured at 540 nm using Tecan Infinite F200 Pro plate reader [20].

2.12. Ultraperformance Liquid Chromatography Quadrupole Time-Of-Flight Mass Spectrometry (UPLC-QTOF/MS) Analysis. Phytochemical profiling in chloroform fractions of *M. concanensis* was conducted via ultraperformance liquid chromatography (UPLC-MS) analysis. The analysis was carried out using Waters ACQUITY ultraperformance LC system (Waters, Milford, MA, USA). Chromatographic separation of the respective extract was conducted using a selected column (ACQUITY UPLC HSS T3, 100 mm × 2.1 mm × 1.8 mm, Waters, Manchester, UK) maintained at 40°C. The UPLC systems were connected to Vion IMS QTOF detector (Waters, Milford, MA, USA). The mobile phases used in the analysis were 0.1% formic acid (A) and acetonitrile (B). The composition of mobile phases was consisted of the following multistep linear gradient: 0 min, 1% B and 99% A; 0.5 min, 1% B and 99% A; 16.00 min, 35% B and 65% A; 18.00 min, 100% B and 0% A; and 20.00 min, 1% B and 99% A, respectively. The injection volume used for the sample was 1 μL while the flow rate was set at 0.6 mL/min. The data were obtained from the UHPLC system coupled with Vion IMS QTOF hybrid mass spectrometer from waters, equipped with a LockSpray ion source. The ion source was operated in negative electrospray ionization (ESI) mode by applying specific conditions such as the capillary voltage at 1.50 kV, reference capillary voltage at 3.00 kV, source temperature at 120°C, desolvation gas temperature at 550°C, desolvation gas flow at 800 L/h, and cone gas flow at 50 L/h, respectively. Nitrogen (>99.5%) was used as desolvation and cone gas. Data were acquired in high-definition MSE (HDMSE) mode ranging between m/z 50 and 1500 at 0.1 s/scan. Hence, two independent scans with different collision energies (CE) were alternatively obtained during the run: a low-energy (LE) scan at a fixed CE of 4 eV and a high-energy (HE) scan where the CE was ramped from 10 to 40 eV. Argon (99.999%) was used as collision-induced-dissociation (CID) gas. Data interpretation was carried out using Waters UNIFI Scientific Information System database. Resolved peaks were further identified with the assistance of reported values from previous literature and are shown in Table 1.

2.13. Statistical Analysis. All the data were represented as mean ± SD. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 5 with one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s test. p values < 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

3. Results and Discussion
3.1. Total Phenolic and Flavonoid Contents. It is well established that phenolic compounds from various plants are strongly associated with their biological properties.
Hence, it would be worthwhile to determine the total phenolic and flavonoid contents in the plant extracts [10]. The total phenolic and flavonoid contents of methanol extract and its fractions of *M. concanensis* bark are presented in Table 2. The total phenolic (30.920 ± 0.71 mg GAE/g DW) and flavonoid (29.054 ± 0.09 mg QE/g DW) contents were found to be higher in the chloroform fraction followed by hexane fraction. Phenolic components are regarded as powerful antioxidants and act in redox-sensitive signaling cascades to prevent DNA damage [11].

Data expressed as mean ± SD, n = 3. Data with different alphabet superscript letters show significant difference at p < 0.05, among different solvents, with multiple comparisons (one-way ANOVA, followed by Dunnett’s test).

### 3.2. Antioxidant Activity of *M. concanensis* Bark Fractions

#### 3.2.1. Free Radical Scavenging Assay

The free radical scavenging capacity of the crude methanol extract and other fractions of *M. concanensis* bark were determined using the DPPH assay, and the results are shown in Figure 1. All the samples exhibited varying degrees of concentration-dependent DPPH radical scavenging activity. In that, the chloroform fraction showed the strongest DPPH radical scavenging activity with the IC$_{50}$ value of 6.61 μM, followed by the ethyl acetate fraction (IC$_{50}$ = 13.4 ± 2.22 μM) which is comparable to the positive control, ascorbic acid (AA). Gori et al. [22] revealed the photoprotective effect of 11 medicinal plants *Atalantia ceylanica* (Arn.) Oliv, *Argyrodendron populifolium* Choisy, *Hibiscus furcatus* Roxb. ex DC, *Ipomoea mauritiana* Jacq, *Lasia spinosa* (L.) Thw, *Leucas zeylanica* (L.) W.T.Aiton, *Olax zeylanica* Wall., *Ophiiorhiza mungos* Linn., *Plectranthus amboinicus* (Lour.), and *Mollugo cerviana* (L.). Ser. where the plant that showed high SPF values is closely related to its antioxidant properties. Numerous studies also suggested that the plant fraction which shows high free scavenging properties offers significant protection towards UV-induced damage [16, 22].

### 3.3. Protective Effect of the *M. concanensis* Fractions against UV

#### 3.3.1. Sunscreen Protection Factor (SPF) Value

SPF value refers to the efficacy of test samples that protects the development of UV radiation-induced erythema [23]. It is a measure of UVB protection offered by sunscreen products. As the value increases, the percentage of UVB protection increases. As shown in Table 3, at the concentration of 200 μg/mL, the chloroform fraction of *M. concanensis* bark exhibited the highest SPF value (10.50 ± 0.23 with >87% of UVB protection) compared to the other fractions such as ethyl acetate and hexane. The SPF value of the most active ingredient epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG) from *Camellia sinensis* (Green
Table 3: The sun protection factor (SPF) value and UVB protection effect of the methanol extract and its fractions from the bark of M. concanensis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples (200 µg ml⁻¹)</th>
<th>SPF value</th>
<th>UVB protection (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>4.37 ± 0.17***</td>
<td>&gt;75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>5.49 ± 0.01***</td>
<td>&gt;75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>10.50 ± 0.23***</td>
<td>&gt;87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>5.18 ± 0.01***</td>
<td>&gt;75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epigallocatechin gallate</td>
<td>10.34 ± 0.21</td>
<td>&gt;87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean ± SD, n = 3. Data represent a significant difference at (***) p value < 0.05, among different solvent fractions compared with the positive control (EGCG), using one-way ANOVA, followed by Dunnett’s test.

Tea) is 10.34 ± 0.21, which is almost like the SPF value of the chloroform fraction of M. concanensis bark. The literature revealed that the seed oil from M. concanensis could be a good candidate for sunscreen formulation [24]. The bark extract of medicinal plants such as Cartellia americana L and Amburana cearensis (Allemao) A.C. Sm. showed SPF values 14.74 and 12.21 at 0.2 mgmL⁻¹ which is suggested to be the promising source of future skin care products [25]. Similarly, the bark extract of M. concanensis could also be utilized as one of the encouraging ingredients in natural product sunscreen formulation.

3.3.2. UV Absorption Spectra. UV absorption spectra of various solvent fractions of M. concanensis and the positive control EGCG are shown in Figure 2. The results showed that all the fractions of M. concanensis have a wide range of UV absorption; however, among the other fractions, the chloroform fraction of M. concanensis showed a broad spectrum of UV absorption which covers UVA (320–400 nm), UVB (280–320 nm), and also UVC (200–280 nm). The positive control EGCG showed the absorption under the UVB range only. Similar patterns of results were observed in the active fraction of Zanthoxylum rhetsa (Roxb.) DC. bark extract which is suggested to be utilized in broad-spectrum sunscreen formulations [6]. Compared to the active fraction of Z. rhetsa, the UV absorption spectra of M. concanensis bark are high. At present, several studies and regulatory bodies recommend using broad-spectrum natural sunscreen to prevent the damages such as wrinkling and sunburns induced by both UVA and UVB, respectively [26]. The results revealed that the chloroform fraction of M. concanensis bark could be an optional ingredient to be used in a natural broad-spectrum sunscreen formulation.

3.4. Gelatin Digestion Assay. Collagenases are one of the important matrix metalloproteinases responsible for the breakdown of collagen present in the skin layers. Studies revealed that continuous exposure of UV radiation could increase the level of collagenases that might damage the matrix proteins and cause wrinkle formation as well as lead to premature aging [27]. The collagenase inhibitory activity of extract and various solvent fractions of M. concanensis are presented in Table 4. Compared to the extract and other fractions, the chloroform fraction showed appreciable collagenase inhibitory activity of 50% at the concentration of 200 µg mL⁻¹ which is comparable to that of EGCG. However, the hexane and ethyl acetate fractions exhibited a weaker collagenase inhibition activity. Studies revealed that various parts of medicinal plants such as leaves extracts (methanol) of Aegle marmelos (L.) Correa, Acalypha indica Linn, Calotropis gigantea (L) W.T. Aiton, Nerium oleander L., and Nyctanthes arbor-tristis Linn and a rhizome extract of Acorus calamus. Linn at the concentration of 1 mgmL⁻¹ showed 50% collagenase inhibitory activity [28], whereas the ethyl acetate fraction of the bark extract of Z. rhetsa showed 50% collagenase inhibition at the concentration of 500 µg mL⁻¹ [6].

3.5. Antielastase. Elastase is a serine protease, responsible for the breakdown of elastin, an important protein responsible for skin elasticity [29]. The inhibitory activity of
methanol extract and its fractions of *M. concanensis* on elastase enzyme were examined. Figure 3 and Table 5 show the effect of methanol extract and its fractions of *M. concanensis* bark against elastase enzyme activity. Among different fractions tested, the chloroform fraction exhibited remarkable elastase inhibition activity with the IC$_{50}$ value of $2.957 \pm 1.23 \mu$g mL$^{-1}$ than the standard EGCG (IC$_{50}$-39.32 $\pm$ 1.41 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$). These findings demonstrated that chloroform fraction of *M. concanensis* may have antiaging potentials by inhibiting elastase enzyme production and delaying the degradation of elastin fibers. Researchers suggested that the plant fraction that is rich in phenolics and flavonoids is reported to possess significant antielastase activity. The ethyl acetate fraction of *Garcinia daedalanthera* Pierre. stem bark extract possesses $43.96 \pm 12.53$% elastase inhibitory effect at the concentration of 100 ppm [30]. In this study, the chloroform fraction of *M. concanensis* bark exhibited $>50\%$ of elastase inhibitory effect at the concentration of $31.25 \mu$g mL$^{-1}$.

### 3.6. Cytotoxicity of *M. concanensis* Fractions in Cell Culture (3T3-L1 Cell Lines)

**3.6.1. MTT Assay.** Cytotoxic effect of various solvent fractions of *M. concanensis* was tested in 3T3-L1 cells with a wide range of concentration (0–500 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$). Results revealed that all the fractions of *M. concanensis* bark except the chloroform fraction were nontoxic to the cells up to 250 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$. However, the chloroform fraction of *M. concanensis* was toxic to the cells at the concentration $>25 \mu$g mL$^{-1}$, where it showed the strongest cytotoxic activity against 3T3-L1 cells by reducing the cell viability to 16.5% at the concentration of 62.5 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$. Figure 4. This is the first study to reveal the cytotoxic effect of various solvent fractions of *M. concanensis* bark against the preadipocyte cells. Previously, the ethanol extract of the leaves of *M. concanensis* was also reported to be nontoxic to 3T3-L1 adipocytes up to 100 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$ until 24 h of treatment [15]. Balamurugan et al. [31] tested the crude ethanol extract of *M. concanensis* leaves and bark against HepG2 cell lines and revealed that both parts of *M. concanensis* strongly inhibit the growth of cancerous cells in a dose-dependent manner. In another study, the methanolic root bark extract of *M. concanensis* was found to be toxic against HepG2 cells, whereas it does not induce toxic effect against A549 and HT-29 cell line which demonstrates its selective cytotoxicity [21]. In this study, the crude methanol extract of *M. concanensis* bark does not induce any toxicity against 3T3-L1 cells up to 500 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$. Since the other solvent fractions of *M. concanensis* bark have toxic effect $>25 \mu$g mL$^{-1}$ and the chloroform fraction has toxic effect $>25 \mu$g mL$^{-1}$, on average, we reduced

### Table 4: Gelatin digestion activity of the methanol extract and its fractions from the bark of *M. concanensis*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Zone inhibition (mm)</th>
<th>Enzyme inhibition (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>20 $\pm$ 0.81</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGCG</td>
<td>10 $\pm$ 0.47</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>16 $\pm$ 1.24</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>16 $\pm$ 0.47</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>18 $\pm$ 0.81</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean $\pm$ SD, $n = 3$. Data represent significant differences at ($^*^*$ $p$ value $< 0.05$, among different solvent fractions compared with negative control (water), using one-way ANOVA, followed by Dunnett’s test.

### Table 5: IC$_{50}$ values of elastase inhibition activity of the methanol extract and its fractions from the bark of *M. concanensis*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>IC$_{50}$ ($\mu$g mL$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EGCG</td>
<td>39.32 $\pm$ 1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>88.53 $\pm$ 1.95$^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>78.11 $\pm$ 1.72$^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>2.957 $\pm$ 1.23$^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>176.7 $\pm$ 2.20$^**$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data expressed as mean $\pm$ SD, $n = 3$. Data represent significant differences at $p$ value $< 0.05$, among different solvent fractions compared with the positive control (EGCG), using one-way ANOVA, followed by Dunnett’s test.
the concentration range of all the extracts/fractions to 0–100 μg/mL for further assay.

3.6.2. H₂O₂-Induced Damage. The protective effect of various solvent fractions of *M. concanensis* bark against H₂O₂-induced oxidative damage in 3T3-L1 cells was determined and shown in Figure 5. From the results, it has been clear that the H₂O₂ (125 μM) reduced the viability of cells to 15.35% after 6 h of treatment, whereas the cells pretreated with various solvent fractions of *M. concanensis* bark prevented the cells from oxidative damage. However, the level of protection by each fraction varies and it depends on the concentration and constituents present in each sample. The chloroform fraction of *M. concanensis* bark offers significant protection against H₂O₂-induced damage even at low concentration, where the viability of cells treated with 1.56 μg/mL protects 56% of cells which was comparable to that of positive control, AA (cell viability—57%). As the concentration increases, up to 12.5 μg/mL, the chloroform fraction prevented the cells up to 72% where AA prevented up to 69%. However, at the concentration of 25 μg/mL and above, the viability of cells treated with chloroform fraction got drastically reduced. This might be due to the overdose of chemical constituents present in it. Similar patterns of results were obtained in the cytotoxicity assay, and at low concentration (<15 μg/mL⁻¹), the chloroform fraction was nontoxic to 3T3-L1 cells. As the concentration increases, the chloroform fraction is toxic. As reported, the extracts and fractions of various parts of *M. concanensis* are cytotoxic to different cancer cell lines like HepG2 [31, 32], and the chloroform fraction of *M. concanensis* bark could offer a significant cytotoxic effect in other cancerous cell lines. Further research is needed to evaluate the selective cytotoxic effect of chloroform fraction of *M. concanensis* bark.

From this study, it has been evident that compared to other fractions, the chloroform fraction of *M. concanensis* bark has a high sunscreen protection factor value, broad-spectrum UV absorption, appreciable DPPH scavenging, anticollagenase, antielastase, high phenolic, and high flavonoid content. Additionally, it also prevents the H₂O₂-induced oxidative damage in 3T3-L1 cells which was comparable to that of positive control, AA.

3.7. UPLC-QTOF/MS Analysis. From this study, it has been identified that the chloroform fraction is the bioactive fraction of *M. concanensis* bark. UPLC-QTOF/MS analysis was found to be a convenient method to determine the presence of possible phytoconstituents in the studied fraction through the exact mass detection. Polyphenolic compounds such as phenolic acids and flavonoids are naturally abundant and commonly found in the genus *Moringa*. Bioactive flavonoids such as quercetin, hyperoside, astragalin, isoquercetin, kaempferol-3-O-rutinoside, and rutin were reported previously in this genus especially in the species, *Moringa oleifera* [20]. In this study, 20 different phytoconstituents were identified in the chloroform fraction obtained from the methanol extract of *M. concanensis* bark using UPLC-QTOF/MS analysis, Table 1. The result indicated that the chloroform fraction contains a complex mixture of bioactive tentative annotated phenolics such as kaempferol-3-O-rutinoside, astragalin, quercetin-3-O-glucuronide, kaempferol 3-O-glucuronopyranosyl methyl ester, isoquercetin, kaempferol-
3-O-arabinoside, kaempferol-3-O-rhamnoside, quercetin-3-O-glucuronide-methyl ester, eugenol, quercetin, and caffeic acid. The assignments were carried out on a prediction basis and detailed phytochemistry studies required to be carried out on this species for the affirmation of the presence of the predicted metabolites in the studied fraction/extract in the future. This comprehensive phytochemical profiling analysis has revealed the structural diversity of phenolics and their similar structural patterns as well as the biological potency of the studied fractions.

Moreover, the findings are in agreement with the results obtained from the total phenolic and total flavonoids evaluation conducted on the respective fractions. The existence of flavonoids, especially flavonols, could possibly be the main contributors to photoprotective properties especially in collagenase and elastase inhibitory activities through a synergistic effect [33]. Furthermore, the presence of carbonyl and hydroxyl moieties in the main scaffold of the flavonoids might be played the role in giving the inhibitory effects which allow them to bind to metalloenzymes like collagenase and elastase that could alter or inhibit metabolic pathways [34]. However, further studies are required in relation to the detailed identification and isolation of bioactive metabolites from the bark of M. concanensis as well as molecular mechanisms of their photoprotective potentials.

4. Conclusion

In summary, the result obtained from the phytochemical analysis showed that chloroform fractions of M. concanensis have the highest DPPH, TPC, and TFC values. The photoprotective study also demonstrated a high SPF value for chloroform fractions and gave the same UVB protection as its positive control, EGCG. Besides that, the chloroform fractions have a much broader spectrum of UV absorption that covers both UVA and UVB when compared to others. The inhibitory effect of M. concanensis chloroform fractions towards elastase and collagenase proved that the plant extract has potential biological properties that can reduce the breakdown of collagen and elastin within the skin. In vitro study showed that some of the fractions possessed low cytotoxicity towards the 3T3-L1 cell line even at higher concentrations (methanol, hexane, and ethyl acetate). However, chloroform fractions obtained from the plant extract exhibit high cytotoxic activity even at lower concentrations (ranging from 31.25 to 500 μg/mL). All the fractions displayed protective effect against H2O2-induced oxidative damage in 3T3-L1 cells, with methanol, hexane, and ethyl acetate having a higher cell viability percentage even at higher concentrations (50 and 100 μg/mL). Various metabolites especially bioactive flavonoids that were tentatively identified using UPLC-QTOF/MS analysis might be the source for the high photoprotective activity. Based on these results, M. concanensis has the potential to be a new applicant as an active component in pharmaceutical and cosmetics formulation. However, detailed preclinical research is needed to demonstrate the individual or synergistic effect of the bioactive components involved in the photoprotective mechanism of M. concanensis.
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