An Open-Label Exploratory Clinical Trial Evaluating the Effects of GLS (Coptidis Rhizoma-Evodiae Fructus 2:1) on Fibroblast Growth Factor 21 in Patients with Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease
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Background and Aim. Coptidis Rhizoma and Evodiae Fructus share the potential to treat nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). Increased fibroblast growth factor (FGF) 21 secreted by hepatocytes is an important self-help behavior in NAFLD. We investigated the effects of GLS (Coptidis Rhizoma-Evodiae Fructus 2:1) for both their clinical effect and their serum FGF-21 levels in NAFLD patients.

Methods. In a 12-week, open-label, exploratory clinical trial, 126 NAFLD patients were randomly divided into the GLS group (lifestyle intervention plus GLS) or the polyene phosphatidylcholine (PPC) group (lifestyle intervention plus PPC). Random numbers generated by DPS software were used in combination with opaque, sealed envelopes for allocation concealment. At baseline as well as at the end of the study, anthropometric parameters, glucose, lipids, hepatic enzymes, and FGF 21 were measured, with hepatic fat accumulation assessed by ultrasound (US) and US-based controlled attenuation parameter (CAP).

Results. 119 patients completed the study. Baseline parameters did not significantly differ between the two groups (P > 0.05). Compared with PPC, GLS decreased more significantly in hepatic fat accumulation, body weight index, waist circumference, waist-to-hip ratio, serum glucose, total cholesterol, triglyceride, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, alanine transaminase, aspartate transaminase, gamma-glutamyl transferase, and FGF 21 (P < 0.05). The effects of GLS on waist circumference, waist-to-hip ratio, CAP, and gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT) were positively correlated with serum FGF 21 (r = 0.343, 0.342, 0.315, and 0.374, respectively, P < 0.05). The GGT and FGF-21 changes were also confirmed by multiple linear regression analysis (B, 0.777; 95% CI: 0.307–1.247, P < 0.05).

Conclusion. GLS has a significant hepatoprotective effect on NAFLD patients, causing a decrease in FGF-21 secretion in response to the damage itself.

1. Introduction

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) refers to chronic hepatic damage induced by overnutrition, insulin resistance (IR), and related metabolic disorders. Globally, NAFLD affects approximately 1.8 billion people with a prevalence of 20–30%, of which more than 50% of individuals are overweight or obese [1, 2]. In addition to developing severe liver disease, NAFLD correlates with metabolic syndrome and atherosclerosis [3]. Although changing a poor lifestyle and bariatric surgery have proven effective, most patients still favor medication for compliance and trauma concerns [4]. However, there is currently no approved drug.

Coptidis Rhizoma (Chinese name: Huang-Lian; CR) and Evodiae Fructus (Chinese name: Wu-Zhu-Yu; EF) are classic herb pairs in traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) formulas,
which are often used in compatibility. Different compatibility ratios of CR-EF are not the same in terms of efficacy focus, usage, dosage, and clinical application. The most commonly used formulas are “Zuojin wan (CR-EF 6:1)” and subsequent derivations of “Zulian san (CR-EF 5:2),” “Ganlu san (GLS; CR-EF 2:1),” “Biantong wan (CR-EF 1:1),” and “Fan zuojin wan (CR-EF 1:6).” Modern studies have confirmed that these formulas cover a wide pharmacological spectrum, including antidepressant, anti-inflammatory, anticancer, antibacterial, and antioxidant effects [5–7]. At present, we have not retrieved the CR-EF in the treatment of NAFLD. CR-contained TCM formulas and the chemical constituents of CR gain greater attention for their potential for treating NAFLD [8–10], while EF gains less attention despite EF increasing energy consumption by inducing heat production and loss [11]. Originally recorded by Sheng Ji Zong Lu (a comprehensive Song Dynasty book of TCM), GLS was used to treat NAFLD patients in the Hospital of Chengdu University of TCM. The results demonstrated that GLS significantly attenuated body weight, glucose and lipid metabolism disorders, and hepatic damage in NAFLD patients.

Hepatokines are proteins secreted by hepatocytes, which play an important role in regulating the metabolic process. In particular, fibroblast growth factor (FGF) 21 is considered a potential therapeutic target for a variety of chronic human diseases, including obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus [12]. However, numerous studies suggest that serum FGF 21 is positively correlated with the severity and progression of NAFLD [13, 14], while additional studies indicate that high serum FGF 21 concentration is an independent predictor of NAFLD in adults [15]. In view of this interesting phenomenon, we explored the effect of GLS on serum FGF 21 levels in NAFLD patients.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design. An open-label, exploratory clinical trial was conducted at the Department of Infectious Diseases, Hospital of Chengdu University of TCM, from April 2015 to December 2016. For compliance considerations, all eligible patients were assigned to either the GLS group (lifestyle intervention plus GLS) or the polyene phosphatidylcholine (PPC) group (lifestyle intervention plus PPC) for 12 weeks. Hepatic fat accumulation, anthropometric parameters, serum glucose, lipids, hepatic enzymes, and FGF 21 were measured at baseline and at the end of the study. Every four weeks, the patients were followed up by telephone. The study protocol was designed in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975 and was approved by the ethics committee of the Hospital of Chengdu University of TCM. We obtained written informed consent from patients prior to inclusion in the study.

2.2. Diagnostic and Inclusion Criteria. NAFLD was diagnosed based on the following criteria [16]: (1) alcohol consumption <140 g/week for males and <70 g/week for females; (2) absence of hepatitis B, hepatitis C, Wilson’s disease, autoimmune diseases, drug-induced liver injury, and a history of total parenteral nutrition; and (3) ultrasonographic examination suggesting hepatic fat accumulation. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) 18–65 years of age; and (2) in accordance with the diagnostic criteria of NAFLD.

2.3. Exclusion Criteria. Patients were excluded from this study if they had other liver diseases (such as cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma, or decompensated liver disease), other comorbid conditions (such as hypertension, diabetes, neoplastic disease, psychiatric diseases, severe cardiac, or pulmonary disease), received treatment or other herbal drugs in the past two weeks, were pregnant, or breastfeeding. Concerning safety, patients with obvious liver function abnormalities were also excluded (for example, alanine transaminase (ALT), aspartate transaminase (AST), or (TIBL) more than three times the upper limit of normal).

2.4. Sample Size Estimate. The sample size was calculated according to previous efficiency data (86% versus 63%), as well as the match ratio (1:1), type I error rate (5%), and power (80%). Assuming a 10% rate of loss to follow-up, a total of 126 samples were needed [17].

2.5. Randomization and Allocation Concealment. The complete random grouping function of the DPS statistics software was used to generate random sequences according to a 1:1 ratio. The random sequences were subsequently sealed in opaque envelopes and kept by two staff members. According to the sequence of patients included, the envelopes were opened sequentially and patients were assigned to the GLS group or PPC group. The individuals who generated and kept the random sequences did not participate in the trial operation, result evaluation, or data statistics.

2.6. Intervention. During a two-week run-in period, the research dietician guided patients to maintain a regular diet and physical activity routine and evaluated their compliance. Each patient was given lifestyle intervention plus GLS (8.12 g/d) or PPC (1.368 g/d) for 12 weeks, respectively. GLS was composed of CR and EF, which are displayed in Table 1. Referring to our previous study [18], GLS was made into granules in Sichuan Neo-green Pharmaceutical Technology Development Co., Ltd. The composition of a box of granules (8.12 g) was the same as that of 75 g of raw herbs (i.e., the daily dose per patient). The doses of GLS and PPC were both conventional clinical doses. The 12-week study period was determined by previous literature [19] and our observations, as taking TCM for 12 weeks improved clinical symptoms, blood lipids, liver enzymes, and liver ultrasound characteristics in NAFLD patients.

Both groups were advised to follow an energy-balanced diet and recommended physical activity [20]. Diet composition consisted of carbohydrates (60% to 65%), fat (25%), and protein (15% to 20%). It was also recommended to
reduce the intake of sugary drinks, saturated fats, and trans-fats and increase dietary fiber. For patients with a BMI $\geq 24.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$, daily caloric intake decreased by 500 to 1000 kcal. All patients are advised to perform moderate aerobic exercise at least 40 min, 4 times a week.

Patients underwent nutritional counseling every 4 weeks by the same dietician during the study. At every visit, the patients were encouraged to continue treatment. Patient adherence to GLS was assessed using patient completed logs, while adherence to PPC was assessed by counting the capsules returned by patients. The patient registered the type and duration of the exercise in a log. Less than 80% of full compliance in each component of the intervention was defined as poor compliance.

2.7. Laboratory Assays. Patient’s laboratory data were uniformly assayed at the Laboratory Department, Hospital of Chengdu University of TCM. Biochemical parameters were measured by a colorimetric method (Automatic Analyzer 7170A, Hitachi, Japan), including fasting serum level of glucose, lipid (total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C)), hepatic enzymes (alanine transaminase (ALT), aspartate transaminase (AST), and gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT)). Serum FGF 21 levels were quantified using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kits (CUSABIO, Barksdale, DE, USA).

2.8. Assessment of Hepatic Fat Accumulation. The degree of hepatic fat accumulation was qualitatively and quantitatively analyzed. The former was completed by a trained US technician using a B-US (ACCUVIX A30, Samsung, Korea). The degree of fat accumulation was graded as mild, moderate, or severe according to the appearance of the liver echotexture, hepatic echo penetration, clarity of the hepatic blood vessels and bile ducts, and the liver diaphragm differentiation in echo amplitude [21] (Figure 1). The latter was completed by a trained and certified nurse using a FibroScan 502 Touch model (Echosens, Paris, France) equipped with an M probe. The measurement of controlled attenuation parameters (CAP) was only performed via the M probe, whose theoretical depth of detection was within 3 cm$^3$ of liver tissue from 2.5 cm to 6.5 cm subcutaneously, with the frequency fixed at 3.5 M Hz. The FibroScan examination procedure has been detailed previously [22].

2.9. Outcomes. The primary outcome of this study was CAP value at week 12. Secondary outcomes were the improvement in anthropometric parameters, glucose and lipid metabolic parameters, hepatic enzymes, FGF 21, and hepatic fat accumulation assessed by US.

2.10. Statistical Analysis. Efficacy analysis was based on the per protocol set (PPS), which included all patients who completed the study and who did not violate any of the inclusion/exclusion criteria or deviate from the protocol in a way that could affect the outcome of the study. The safety analysis set consisted of all patients who received the study drug, regardless of whether they completed the study [23].

The quantitative data were described by the mean ± SD. The $\chi^2$ test and the Mann–Whiney U test were used to evaluate the differences in sex and US-assessed hepatic fat accumulation between the two groups. An independent sample $t$-test and a Wilcoxon rank sum test were performed to compare anthropometric parameters, glucose and lipid metabolic parameters, hepatic enzymes, CAP value, and FGF 21 between the two groups at baseline. The change in each parameter was calculated as the difference between the before and after treatment values. At the end of the study, a one-factor analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) using each parameter change as the dependent variables and the baseline values as the covariates was performed to compare the differences in the effects of GLS and PPC. The Pearson and Spearman methods were used to analyze the correlation between the changes in body mass index (BMI), waist circumference (WC), waist-to-hip ratio (WHR), glucose, lipids, haptic enzymes, fat accumulation, and FGF 21 change. Explanatory variables identified as significant in bivariate analysis were subsequently entered into a multiple linear regression model, with FGF 21 as the dependent variable. All statistical tests were two-tailed, and a significance level (P) of 0.05 was used. The statistical tests were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States).

2.11. Patient or Public Involvement. Patients and members of the public were not involved in the design of this study.

3. Results

3.1. Patients. Figure 2 shows the patients’ disposal during the study, with 126 eligible patients recruited from 183 NAFLD patients. Among them, three patients were excluded...
Figure 1: The severity of hepatic fat accumulation assessed by ultrasound.

Figure 2: Patients’ disposition during the study. PPS, per protocol set.
3.2. Baseline Characteristics. There was no significant difference in age, sex, height, hip circumference, anthropometric parameters, clinical, and laboratory data at baseline between the two groups (P > 0.05; Table 2).

3.3. Effects of GLS on the Severity of Hepatic Fat Accumulation. At the end of the study, the CAP value was significantly decreased in the GLS group compared with the PPC group (Table 2 and Figure 3). Following treatment, hepatic fat accumulation assessed by US in the GLS group was significantly less than that in the PPC group (Table 3).

3.4. Effects of GLS on Anthropometric Parameters. After 12 weeks of intervention, weight, BMI, WC, and WHR presented more significant decreases in the GLS group than in the PPC group (Table 2 and Figure 3).

3.5. Effects of GLS on Glucose and Lipid Metabolism Parameters. Following treatment, serum TC, TG, LDL-C, and glucose levels decreased more significantly in the GLS group than in the PPC group (Table 2). However, there was no significant difference in the change of serum HDL-C levels between the two groups (Table 2 and Figure 4).

3.6. Effects of GLS on Hepatic Enzymes Markers. Compared with the PPC group, the GLS group had more ALT, AST, and GGT decline following treatment (Table 2 and Figure 4).

3.7. Effects of GLS on Serum FGF 21 Levels. At week 12, serum FGF 21 levels decreased more significantly in the GLS group than those in the PPC group (Table 2 and Figure 3).

3.8. Correlation between Serum FGF 21 and Other Parameter Changes. Correlation data from the GLS group demonstrated that the effects of GLS on WC, WHR, CAP, and GGT were positively correlated with serum FGF 21 (r = 0.343, 0.342, 0.315, and 0.374, respectively) (P < 0.05). The GGT and FGF 21 changes were also confirmed by multiple linear regression analysis (B, 0.777; 95% CI: 0.307–1.247) (P < 0.05). However, based on the PPC group data, no linear correlation was found between serum FGF 21 and other parameter changes (P > 0.05). The results are shown in Table 4 and Figure 5.

3.9. Safety. No serious adverse events occurred, and all adverse reactions are shown in Table 5.

4. Discussion

In this study, GLS (CR-EF 2:1) exhibited a positive therapeutic effect on NAFLD, with GLS improving multiple pathological processes such as excess energy, glucose and lipid metabolism disorders, and hepatic injury.

TCM has a long history as a key alternative treatment, with TCM including CR and EF predominately used clinically in compatibility (namely formulas) to achieve synergy and detoxification. The compatibility of CR and EF remains a key focus of research. Peng et al. [24] determined that different ratios of CR-EF inhibited the growth of human gastric carcinoma cells and induced their apoptosis, with the strongest effect being 6:1. Moreover, Zhao et al. [25] investigated the effects of CR-EF in different ratios on catecholamine secretion induced by acetylcholine in cultured bovine adrenal medullary cells. Interestingly, CR-EF 6:1 and 1:6 displayed the opposite effects. Qian et al. [26] explored changes of CR-EF in vivo from the perspective of pharmacokinetics, and revealed that the absorption, elimination, and systemic exposure level of 12 alkaloids were mainly influenced by the ratio of CR-EF. These findings would help to enhance our understanding of the efficacy focus and internal mechanism of CR-EF in different ratios. In the absence of CR and EF used alone or in compatibility for NAFLD, our results supported GLS as an effective formula.

The hallmark of NAFLD is fat (TG) accumulation in the hepatocytes, which is mainly attributed to IR and excess free fatty acids (FFA) uptake by the liver. In their healthy state, approximately 60% of the hepatic fat in human subjects is derived from FFA produced by lipolysis of adipose tissue [23]. NAFLD is closely associated with overnutrition, with increased fat mass and insulin resistance (IR) leading to higher lipolysis along with hepatic FFA concentrations [24].

Recently, increased attention has been paid to the so-called organokines, proteins with autocrine, paracrine, or endocrine activities. Of them, adipokines (fat-derived), myokines (skeletal muscle-derived), and hepatokines are predominantly produced by the liver. FGF 21, which belongs to hepatokines, plays a significant role in the pathogenesis of NAFLD. In the FGF 21 signaling pathway, FGF 21 is recruited to the extracellular surface of the plasma membrane by the β-Klotho (its obligate coreceptor) [27]. The β-Klotho/FGF 21 receptor complex specifically interacts with homologous receptors (FGFR1c, FGFR2c, or FGFR3c), enabling downstream FGFR signaling transduction by pathways such as mitogen-activated protein kinase and the AKT signaling network [28, 29]. After entering the systemic circulation, FGF 21 can integrate metabolism across the liver, adipose tissue, skeletal muscle, pancreas, and other metabolic organs by controlling expression of transcriptional programs that shape cellular phenotype and tissue metabolic function of the target organs, ultimately exerting antiobesity, antidiabetic, antiatherosclerotic, and anti-NAFLD effects in rodents and primates [30].

However, many clinical studies have demonstrated that increased serum FGF 21 predicts the occurrence of NAFLD and has been positively correlated with metabolic disorders and hepatic damage [31, 32]. Hence, FGF 21 appears to have
Table 2: Comparison of baseline characteristics and parameters changes after treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>GLS (n = 59)</th>
<th>PPC (n = 60)</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>71.04 ± 6.17</td>
<td>67.59 ± 6.43</td>
<td>3.45 ± 2.22</td>
<td>69.31 ± 7.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>84.34 ± 4.73</td>
<td>77.41 ± 5.06</td>
<td>6.93 ± 2.58</td>
<td>83.98 ± 5.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>26.70 ± 1.04</td>
<td>25.17 ± 1.12</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.84</td>
<td>26.42 ± 1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist-to-hip ratio</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controlled attenuation parameters (db/m)</td>
<td>271.51 ± 27.89</td>
<td>235.88 ± 28.66</td>
<td>35.63 ± 19.70</td>
<td>270.48 ± 24.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibroblast growth factor 21 (pg/mL)</td>
<td>130.80 ± 28.29</td>
<td>98.82 ± 17.64</td>
<td>31.97 ± 23.79</td>
<td>135.02 ± 32.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alanine transaminase (U/L)</td>
<td>73.51 ± 28.65</td>
<td>34.10 ± 10.76</td>
<td>39.41 ± 23.79</td>
<td>73.13 ± 31.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspartate transaminase (U/L)</td>
<td>53.10 ± 18.88</td>
<td>30.66 ± 10.89</td>
<td>22.44 ± 16.50</td>
<td>55.07 ± 20.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamma-glutamyl transferase (U/L)</td>
<td>75.39 ± 21.63</td>
<td>43.66 ± 15.45</td>
<td>31.73 ± 15.99</td>
<td>74.72 ± 22.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride (mmol/L)</td>
<td>3.23 ± 0.76</td>
<td>1.86 ± 0.35</td>
<td>1.37 ± 0.67</td>
<td>3.11 ± 0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.28 ± 0.31</td>
<td>4.85 ± 0.44</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.03</td>
<td>5.25 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-density lipoprotein cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.30</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.18</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>3.11 ± 0.45</td>
<td>2.79 ± 0.41</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.35</td>
<td>3.08 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/L)</td>
<td>6.24 ± 0.60</td>
<td>4.89 ± 0.11</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.63</td>
<td>6.21 ± 0.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All the values are expressed as the mean ± SD. The P values compare the parameters changes between the two groups.

Figure 3: Continued.
Table 3: Ultrasound-assessed the severity of hepatic fatty accumulation at baseline and the end of the study (n, %).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Absent</th>
<th>Mild</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Severe</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Absent</th>
<th>Mild</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Severe</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GLS (n = 59)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>18 (30.5)</td>
<td>20 (33.9)</td>
<td>21 (35.6)</td>
<td>-0.090</td>
<td>0.928</td>
<td>21 (35.6)</td>
<td>25 (42.4)</td>
<td>8 (13.6)</td>
<td>5 (8.5)</td>
<td>-2.634</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPC (n = 60)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>16 (26.7)</td>
<td>24 (40.0)</td>
<td>20 (33.3)</td>
<td>8 (13.3)</td>
<td>30 (50.0)</td>
<td>15 (25.0)</td>
<td>7 (11.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The P values compare the severity of hepatic fatty accumulation at baseline and the end of the study between the two groups.

Figure 3: Boxplots and dot plots of non-biochemical parameters changes between the two groups. GLS, ganlusan; PPC, polyene phosphatidylcholine; ** the P value representing a comparison of parameters changes between the two groups of is less than 0.01.

Figure 4: Continued.
a paradoxical effect on the metabolic regulation between animals and humans. Some scholars believe that FGF resistance exists in NAFLD patients, which is due to oxidative damage and chronic inflammation inhibiting the expression of β-klotho and FGFR, resulting in increased compensatory FGF 21 synthesis and secretion [33–36]. Reportedly, certain anti-NAFLD treatments may lead to a significant decrease in FGF 21 by improving its resistance [37, 38].

In addition, new perspectives help to understand this phenomenon. Fisher et al. [35] and Liu et al. [36] determined that FGF 21 played a role in cell reparation to resist cytotoxicity and helped to maintain metabolic homeostasis through the hormonal pathways. In FGF 21 knockout mice, hepatic damage and mortality caused by excessive paracetamol significantly increased, while the recovery of recombinant FGF 21 was mostly reversed. Their findings

---

**Figure 4:** Boxplots and dot plots of biochemical parameters changes between the two groups. GLS, ganlusan; PPC, polyene phosphatidylcholine; **the P value representing a comparison of parameters changes between the two groups of is less than 0.01.

**Table 4:** Correlation between serum FGF 21 and other parameters changes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>GLS (n = 59) Pearson correlation</th>
<th>PPC (n = 60) Pearson/Spearman correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FGF 21 change (variable)</td>
<td>FGF 21 change (variable)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight change</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index change</td>
<td>−0.028</td>
<td>0.833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference change</td>
<td>0.343</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist-to-hip ratio change</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controlled attenuation parameters change</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alanine transaminase change</td>
<td>−0.015</td>
<td>0.913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspartate transaminase change</td>
<td>−0.077</td>
<td>0.564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamma-glutamyl transferase change</td>
<td>0.374</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride change</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>0.254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol change</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-density lipoprotein cholesterol change</td>
<td>−0.144</td>
<td>0.278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol change</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose change</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.830</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The P-values compare the significance levels of linear correlation between serum FGF 21 and other parameters changes.
suggested that acetaminophen overdose raised FGF 21, which might protect the liver from drug-induced hepato-toxicity in some ways [39].

Similarly, the increase in FGF 21 is also an important self-help behavior for the liver in the face of other attacks such as fat. Correlation data from the GLS group showed that the effects of GLS on WC, WHR, CAP, and GGT were positively correlated with serum FGF 21 ($r = 0.343, 0.342, 0.315, \text{and} 0.374$, respectively) ($P < 0.05$). GLS seemed feasible to reduce FGF 21 secretion by lowering the CAP value. CAP is a new parameter redefined by the ultrasonic attenuation principle, which is mainly used for the quantitative detection of human liver fat. The volume measured by CAP is 100 times that of liver biopsy tissue. CAP is able to evaluate hepatic fat accumulation noninvasively and quantitatively, showcasing positive diagnostic value for hepatic fat in clinical trials [40, 41].

This study used an open-label exploratory clinical trial. Due to the particularities of the study, such as the different dosage forms of GLS and PPC, it was difficult to blind patients or interveners, so we designed an open-label trial. Exploratory clinical trials are conducted in an environment of high drug candidate turnover, low market approval rates, and an alarming waste of research and development fund, and conducted exploratory studies on drugs with clinical experience, so as to guide the entry or abandonment of subsequent confirmatory clinical trials. According to medical observations, GLS may be beneficial to NAFLD without an available drug.

Our results exhibited GLS as a quite effective formula, especially in reducing hepatic fat accumulation. As likely, its hepatoprotective effect led to reduced secretion of FGF 21 in response to liver attacks. These new findings help to understand the relationship between FGF 21 and NALFD and the clinical application of GLS. As a single-center, open-label, exploratory study, the effect of GLS on FGF 21 in NAFLD patients and its mechanism need to be further confirmed. Additionally, the optimal ratio between CR and EF in treating NAFLD also needs to be discussed.

5. Conclusion

GLS has a significant hepatoprotective effect on NAFLD patients, causing a decrease in FGF 21 secretion in response to the damage itself.
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