

Review Article

A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Acupuncture Treatment for Oral Ulcer

Hang Yan (),¹ Tianxi Chen,¹ Yuling Zuo,² Yang Tu (),³ Huangping Ai,² Yuqi Lin,¹ and Yongcan Chen ()⁴

¹Zhejiang Chinese Medical University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China ²Hospital of Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, Sichuan, China ³Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, Sichuan, China ⁴Tongde Hospital of Zhejiang Province, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Yongcan Chen; cyc3123@sina.com

Received 22 February 2022; Revised 3 August 2022; Accepted 28 September 2022; Published 8 November 2022

Academic Editor: Tao Hu

Copyright © 2022 Hang Yan et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background. Oral ulcer (OU) is a common oral mucosal disease manifested with obvious pain. In some studies, the efficacy of acupuncture in OU has been confirmed, but systematic reviews and meta-analyses for them are lacking. Our aim is to evaluate the efficacy of acupuncture in the treatment of OU. *Methods*. We searched the literature from eight databases from their inception to December 2021. We included randomized controlled trials of acupuncture for the treatment of oral ulcer. The meta-analysis was carried out using Review Manager 5.3 and Stata 16.0. The main outcomes were the effective rate and the recurrence rate, the secondary outcomes were the visual analogue score (VAS) and the ulcer healing time. *Results*. Totally, 18 studies were finally included in the meta-analysis, including 1,422 patients. In meta-analyses, we found that in comparison with Western medicine, acupuncture can improve effective rate (OR = 5.40, 95% CI: 3.40 to 8.58), reduce the ulcer recurrence rate (OR = 0.21, 95% CI: 0.13 to 0.33), and relieve the ulcer pain (MD = -2.26, 95% CI: -4.27to-0.24). In addition, compared with Western medicine, acupuncture plus Western medicine also can improve effective rate (OR = 2.95, 95% CI: 1.48 to 5.85). Compared with the Chinese medicine, the acupuncture plus Chinese medicine can improve the effective rate (OR = 8.26, 95% CI: 3.61 to 18.88) and relieve the ulcer pain (MD = -1.85, 95% CI: -2.51 to -1.19). *Conclusion*. Acupuncture may be more effective than Western medicine in terms of efficacy rate, and acupuncture combined with Western or Chinese medicine may have the potential to reduce the recurrence of ulcer and relieve the ulcer pain. However, due to limited evidence, higher quality and more rigorously designed clinical trials with larger sample sizes will be needed to further confirm our findings.

1. Introduction

Oral ulcer (OU) is the most common disease of oral mucosal all over the world [1, 2]. Among the gobal population, the incidence of OU is 5% to 20% [3, 4]. The clinical manifestations of OU are recurrent round or oval ulcers covered with yellow or grayish white pseudomembrane which are surrounded by a hyperemic red halo of about 1 mm. The central sunken of the ulcer has a soft base and obvious burning pain [5, 6]. Mild patients experience ulcer attack once a few months, while serious patients experience it all the time. Although the lesion does not bring serious damage to the body and can heal itself, the pain and discomfort caused by OU contribute unease to eating, drinking, teeth brushing, and even speaking, leading to a decline in the patient's quality of life and work efficiency [7, 8].

Presently, the etiology and pathogenesis of OU are not clear. Current studies believe pathogenic factors may include immune, gene, local trauma, mental stress, side effects of medicines, viral infections, and diet [9–12]. Hence, as a symptomatic treatment, OU therapy aims to relieve pain, promote ulcer healing, and extend the intermittent period of recurrence. The most commonly used medicines include glucocorticoids, growth factors, analgesics, antiinflammatory drugs, mouth rinses containing active enzymes, and vitamins [13]. Unfortunately, the management of OU is still quite challenging with the undetermined efficacy of ulcer treatment. Brocklehurst found that no single therapy was effective enough for systemic intervention in OU [14]. At the same time, some treatment medicines also bring quite a few adverse reactions that are unbearable for patients, for example, long-term use of glucocorticoids may cause oral mucosal atrophy and immunity deficiency [15]. Therefore, it is necessary to develop new therapies with higher efficiency and lower side effects.

Acupuncture, a clinical subject that treats disease by stimulating acupoints on the body, is an important part of traditional Chinese medicine that runs thousands of years in East Asian countries. Meantime, as a complementary and alternative therapy, acupuncture has gained popularity in Western communities and the world at large. The 2007 National Health Interview Survey demonstrated that over 14 million Americans have used acupuncture as part of their health care, which was an increase from 8 million in 2002 [16]. The rise indicates that more individuals are accepting acupuncture treatment as part of their current health-care regimen. Acupuncture reduces pain by activating specific areas called acupoints on the patient's body. When these acupoints are fully activated, sensations of soreness, numbness, fullness, or heaviness called De qi or Te qi are felt by clinicians and patients [17, 18]. Recent studies have revealed that acupuncture can exert anti-inflammatory and analgesic effects by regulating peripheral (involving local acupoints and inflamed regions) and central neuroimmune interactions [19]. Due to aforementioned merits, acupuncture has been used successfully to treat migraine, knee and back pain, chemo-induced nausea, vomiting, and hot flash among other disorders [20]. In addition, acupuncture is often used to treat OU by relieving the pain of ulcer. Despite the numerous clinical research studies on acupuncture for OU, Wang et al. [21] showed that acupuncture can promote ulcer healing in patients with OU. Ren [22] believed that acupuncture can relieve the pain of OU. Liu [23] found that acupuncture can reduce the recurrence rate. Taking these inconsistent clinical outcome reports into account, a systematic evaluation is needed to summarize them to reach a consistent conclusion. Therefore, the aim of this systematic review and meta-analysis is to determine the effectiveness of acupuncture treatment for OU.

2. Methods

This system review had been registered on PROSPERO and the registration number was CRD42020144911.

2.1. Literature Search. Two researchers (Tianxi Chen and Yuqi Lin) conducted a comprehensive independent search on 8 electronic databases, including four English databases and four Chinese databases inceptions to December 2021. Four English databases including the Web of Science (WOS), PubMed, the Cochrane Library, and Embase; four Chinese databases including Chinese Biomedical Literature Database (CBM), Wanfang (WF), China Science and Technology Journal Database (VIP), and China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI). The search terms were ("acupuncture") AND ("mouth ulcer" OR "oral ulcer" OR "recurrent aphthous stomatitis") AND ("randomized controlled trial"). The search strategy for PubMed is shown in Table 1.

2.2. Types of Study. The studies of acupuncture in the treatment of OU and the included studies were all randomized controlled trials (RCTs). There were no language or publication type restrictions. Quasi-RCTs and cluster RCTs were excluded.

2.3. Types of Participants. The inclusion criteria for participants were as follows: (I) participants who meet the diagnostic criteria of OU, regardless of their age, race, and gender. The exclusion criteria of participants were as follows: (I) participants who meet Behcet's disease, Reiter's syndrome, recurrent erythema multiforme, or any viral infection; (II) participants who are not suitable for acupuncture treatment, such as pregnant or lactating women and patients with other serious medical conditions.

2.4. Types of Interventions. Patients in the treatment group received conventional acupuncture, electroacupuncture, fire acupuncture, plum blossom acupuncture, press acupuncture, and other acupuncture therapies. There was no limit to the duration and frequency of treatment.

2.5. Types of Outcome Measures. The primary outcome measures assessed included the effective rate and the recurrence rate. Secondary outcome measures assessed included the visual analogue score (VAS) and the ulcer healing time.

2.6. The Risk of Bias Assessment. The risk of bias assessment of all studies in this review was independently assessed by two evaluators (Yang Tu and Huangping Ai) using RoB 2.0 tool published by the Cochrane Handbook. The following five items were assessed: randomization process, deviations from the intended interventions, missing outcome data, measurement of the outcome, and selection of the reported result. According to the RoB 2.0 guide, the signal problem of multiple module settings was judged. The signal problem answer was yes (Y), probably yes (PY), probably no (PN), no (no, N), or no information (NI). According to the signal answers given to different sections, the bias risk of each section was divided into low risk, some concerns, high risk, and the overall bias risk was given. If disagreement was seen in the assessments, this was resolved through discussion with a third researcher (Hang Yan).

2.7. Statistical Analysis. We conducted the meta-analysis using RevMan5.3 and Stata16.0 software. The odds ratio (OR) was used for dichotomous variables, mean difference

TABLE 1: The detailed search strategy in PUBMED as an example.

Numbers	Search terms
#1	Recurrent aphthous stomatitis
[#] 2	Canker sore
#3	Recurrent aphthous ulcer
[#] 4	Recurrent oral ulcer
[#] 5	Oral ulcer
[#] 6	Mouth ulcer
[#] 7	Aphthous stomatitides
[#] 8	Aphthous stomatitis
[#] 9	Aphthous ulcer
[#] 10	Aphthae
[#] 11	Recurrent stomatocace
[#] 12	OR/ [#] 1- [#] 11
[#] 13	Meridian *
[#] 14	Acupressure
[#] 15	Warm needling
[#] 16	Moxa needle
[#] 17	Auricular acupuncture
[#] 18	Auricular needle
[#] 19	Acupuncture
[#] 20	Acupuncture therapy
[#] 21	Electroacupuncture
[#] 22	Electroacupuncture therapy
[#] 23	Manual acupuncture
#24	Dry needle
[#] 25	Moxibustion
[#] 26	Acupoint
#27	Ear acupuncture
[#] 28	Abdom * acupuncture
[#] 29	Embed * thread therapy
[#] 30	Catgut embedding
#31	OR/ [#] 13- [#] 30
#32	Randomized controlled trial
#33	Controlled clinical trial
#34	Randomized
[#] 35	Randomized
[#] 36	Placebo
#37 #	Randomly
[#] 38	Trial
[#] 39	Groups
#40 #	OR/ [#] 32- [#] 39
[#] 41	[#] 12 AND [#] 31 AND [#] 40

(MD) and 95% confidence interval (CI) were used for continuous variables. We tested heterogeneity using the *I* square (I^2) and *P* value (*P*). P < 0.1 or $I^2 > 50\%$ was considered to indicate significant heterogeneity and was calculated using a random-effects model. Otherwise ($P \ge 0.1$ or $I^2 \le 50\%$), the fixed-effect model was used, and the sources of heterogeneity were explored using subgroup analysis or sensitivity analysis.

2.8. GRADE Quality of Evidence Assessment. We used the GRADE profiler software to rank the quality of the evidence for the outcome indicators. GRADE identified five factors that may reduce the quality of evidence in interventional systematic reviews: risk of bias, inconsistency, imprecision, indirectness, and other considerations. The above five factors were evaluated by GRADE pro software, and the quality of

evidence was divided into the following four levels: high, moderate, low, and very low, and the levels represented the strength of the evidence.

3. Results

3.1. Literature Search. A total of 448 related articles were collected, including 6 from PubMed, 27 from Cochrane, 21 from Embase, 12 from WOS, 93 from CNKI, 173 from WF, 31 from WIP, and 85 from CBM. After excluding 128 duplicate literature, 320 RCTs remained. After initial screening, except for 250 articles, there were 70 articles left. After further full-text reading, 52 studies were excluded and 18 studies remained. The PRISMA flowchart of the literature search is shown in Figure 1.

3.2. Study Characteristics. We included 18 studies [24-41] with 1422 participants, 736 in the treatment group and 686 in the control group, all of which were published in Chinese between 2003 and 2021. Since there were many forms of acupuncture, such as electric acupuncture, fire acupuncture, screw acupuncture, moxibustion, and so on. All of them were considered as acupuncture in this study. The experimental intervention group included acupuncture, and the experimental control group included Chinese medicine and Western medicine. The included studies were divided into three groups based on the intervention in the experiment and the control groups: 1 acupuncture versus Western medicine (n=9) [24–32]; ② acupuncture plus Western medicine versus Western medicine (n=4) [33–36]; ③ acupuncture plus Chinese medicine versus Chinese medicine (n=5) [37–41]. Study characteristics of the included literature were summarized and listed in Table 2.

3.3. Risk of Bias in Included Studies. Analysis of the included research trials according to ROB2 tool, 2 studies [24, 26] were assessed as high risk in domain 1 because they used random methods with a higher risk of bias. 2 studies [35, 37] were evaluated as low risk in domain 2 owing to mention blinding of participants. All included randomized controlled trials had low risk in domain 3 and domain 5. In terms of the overall risk of bias of the included studies, 2 studies [24, 26] were high risk and 16 [25, 27–41] studies were some concerns. The risk of the bias table is shown in Figures 2 and 3.

3.4. Analysis of the Effective Rate. Seventeen studies [24–40] reported the effective rate involving a total of 1362 cases in Figure 4. Subgroup analysis showed that acupuncture can improve the effectiveness of OU regardless of its subtypes. After combining effect size, the OR value was 5.03 (95% CI: 3.56 to 7.11, P < 0.01, $I^2 = 0\%$). Nine studies [24–32] compared acupuncture with Western medicine, and the OR value was 5.40 (95% CI: 3.40 to 8.58, P < 0.01, $I^2 = 0\%$); it indicated that the effective rate of the acupuncture group was higher than that of the Western medicine group. Four studies [33–36] compared acupuncture plus Western



FIGURE 1: Flowchart of study selection process and screening results.

medicine with Western medicine, and the OR value was 2.95 (95% CI: 1.48 to 5.85, P < 0.01, $I^2 = 0\%$); it indicated that the effective rate of acupuncture plus Western medicine group was higher than that of the simple Western medicine group. Four studies [37–40] compared acupuncture plus Chinese medicine with Chinese medicine, and the OR value was 8.26 (95% CI: 3.61 to 18.88, P < 0.01, $I^2 = 0\%$); it indicated that the effective rate of acupuncture plus Chinese medicine group was higher than that of the single Chinese medicine group was higher than that of the single Chinese medicine group.

3.5. Analysis of the Ulcer Recurrence Rate. Six studies [24, 28–30, 33, 37] reported the recurrence rate involving a total of 506 cases in Figure 5. Subgroup analysis showed that acupuncture can reduce the recurrence rate of OU regardless of its subtypes. After combining effect size, the OR value was 0.24 (95% CI: 0.17 to 0.35, P < 0.01, $I^2 = 0\%$). Four studies [24, 28–30] compared acupuncture with Western

medicine, and the OR value was 0.21 (95% CI: 0.13 to 0.33, P < 0.01, $I^2 = 16\%$); it indicated that the recurrence rate of the acupuncture group was lower than that of the Western medicine group. One study [33] compared acupuncture plus Western medicine with Western medicine, and the OR value was 0.30 (95% CI: 0.15 to 0.57, P < 0.01); One study [37] compared acupuncture plus Chinese medicine with Chinese medicine, and the OR value was 0.40 (95% CI: 0.09 to 1.70, P = 0.21).

3.6. Analysis of the Visual Analogue Score (VAS). Five studies [25, 30, 34, 37, 41] reported the VAS indicator, involving a total of 382 cases in Figure 6. After combining effect size, the MD value was -1.79 scores (95% CI: -2.25 to -1.33, P < 0.01, $I^2 = 86\%$). Two studies [25, 30] showed that the visual analogue score in the acupuncture group was reduced by 2.26 scores compared with the Western medicine group

				TABLE	2: Characteristic	s and details	s of intervention	is of included st	udies.			
		Sample	Υ	ge	Gender (mal	e/female)	Dura	ation	Intervent	ion	Length	
Studies	Year	sizes (E/C)	Experimental	Control	Experimental	Control	Experimental	Control	Experimental	Control	of treatment	Outcomes
Qin Xiaoguang	2012	40 (20/ 20)	7.5 ± 2.6	6.9 ± 2.3	11/9	13/7	$2.6 \pm 1.1D$	$2.8 \pm 1.0 \mathrm{D}$	А	MM	7D	ER + RR
Wei Zheng	2017	60 (30/ 30)	59.33 ± 6.88	62.66 ± 7.67	13/17	15/15	$15.57 \pm 7.09 \mathrm{D}$	$15.97\pm6.70\mathrm{D}$	Υ	ΜM	20D	ER + VAS
Wan Yue	2018	80 (40) 40)	51.38 ± 8.58	52.02 ± 9.26	20/18	21/21	$10.51\pm3.04\mathrm{Y}$	$11.04 \pm 4.39 \mathrm{Y}$	А	ΜM	28D	ER
Chen Yanming	2006	74 (37/ 37)	35.22 ± 3.28	35.30 ± 2.86	21/16	14/23	$8.8\pm3.8\rm Y$	$8.6 \pm 3.2 \text{Y}$	Υ	MM	14D	ER
Li Jinghua	2014	76 (38/ 38)	Not described	Not described	Not described	Not described	Not described	Not described	Υ	ΜM	20D	ER + RR
Lian Chun	2017	78 (39/ 39)	42.27 ± 7.79	43.38 ± 8.03	23/16	21/18	$18.24 \pm 5.32 \text{ M}$	$17.89\pm6.13\mathrm{M}$	Υ	MM	30D	ER + RR
Lian Chun	2017	142 (71/ 71)	38.97 ± 8.47	40.02 ± 7.68	47/24	45/26	$11.04 \pm 3.51 \mathrm{M}$	$11.39 \pm 4.03 \mathrm{M}$	Α	MM	30D	ER + RR + VAS
Cao Liming	2013	94 (47/ 47)	15-67	15-67	Not described	Not described	Not described	Not described	Α	MM	7D	ER
Song ning	2009	68 (38/ 30)	16-55	18 - 60	17/21	12/18	6M-6y	3M-8y	А	ММ	14D	ER
Yu Daoxin	2019	160 (80/ 80)	38.60 ± 9.46	37.85 ± 8.92	37/43	33/47	$1.48\pm2.10\mathrm{Y}$	$1.63\pm2.44\mathrm{Y}$	A + WM	MM	30D	ER + RR + UHT
Jin Yun	2016	60 (30/ 30)	63 ± 9	64 ± 9	10/20	12/18	$2.31 \pm 0.55 \text{Y}$	$2.27 \pm 0.49 \mathrm{Y}$	A + WM	MM	5D	ER + VAS
Liu Yongbo	2017	46 (23/ 23)	18–61	18–61	Not described	Not described	6M-21y	6M-21y	A + WM	MM	14D	ER
Xia Yuge	2021	60 (30/ 30)	39.27 ± 12.70	38.90 ± 13.74	11/19	14/16	$13.37\pm6.64\mathrm{Y}$	$15.87\pm8.14\mathrm{Y}$	A + WM	ММ	6D	ER + UHT
Zhou LinYue	2016	60 (30/ 30)	42.70 ± 14.65	43.50 ± 14.31	17/13	20/10	$42.70\pm14.65Y$	$43.50\pm14.31\rm{Y}$	A + CM	CM	7D	ER + RR + VAS + UHT
Zhou Lin Yue	2016	60 (30/ 30)	Not described	Not described	Not described	Not described	Not described	Not described	A + CM	CM	7D	VAS + UHT
Xu Huiying	2019	78 (39/ 39)	41.58 ± 3.27	8.94 ± 1.36	23/16	22/17	$41.58\pm3.27\mathrm{Y}$	$8.94\pm1.36\rm{Y}$	A + CM	CM	6D	ER
Gu Qin	2010	60 (30/ 30)	13-70	12–68	13/17	20/10	Not described	Not described	A + CM	CM	14D	ER
Peng Chuxiang	2003	126 (86/ 40)	40.1 ± 5.6	38.5 ± 6.2	35/51	17/23	$8.6 \pm 3.2 Y$	$8.8\pm3.8\mathrm{Y}$	A + CM	CM	60D	ER
A: acupuncture rate, VAS: visu	, WM: w al analog	estern medi ;ue score, a:	cine, CM: Chinese nd UHT: ulcer he	medicine, A + W aling time.	M: acupuncture +	western medic	ine, A + CM: acupu	uncture + Chinese	medicine, D: day,	, M: month,	Y: year, ER: ef	fective rate, RR:r ecurrence



FIGURE 2: Graph of the risk of bias: percentage of all studies included.

<u>Unique ID</u>	<u>D1</u>	<u>D2</u>	<u>D3</u>	<u>D4</u>	D5 Overall	
Cao liming 2013	!	!	+	!	+ !	⊕ Low risk
Chen yanming 2006	!	!	+	!	+ !	! Some concerns
Gu qin 2010	!	!	+	!	+ !	High risk
Jin yun 2016	!	!	+	!	+ !	
Li jinghua 2014	!	!	+	!	+ !	D1 Randomization process
lian chun 2017	!	!	+	!	+ !	D2 Deviations from intended interventions
Lian Chun 2017	!	!	+	!	+ !	D3 Missing outcome data
Liu yongbo 2017	!	+	+	!	+ !	D4 Measurement of the outcome
Peng chuxiang 2003	!	!	+	!	+ !	D5 Selection of the reported result
Qin xiaoguang 2012	•	!	+	!	+	
Song ning 2009	!	!	+	!	+ !	
Wan yue 2018	•	!	+	!	+	
Wei zheng 2017	!	!	+	!	+ !	
Xia yuge 2021	!	!	+	!	+ !	
Xu huiying 2019	!	!	+	!	+ !	
Yu daoxin 2019	!	!	+	!	+ !	
zhou linyue 2016	!	!	+	!	+ !	
Zhou LinYue 2016	!	+	+	!	+ (!)	

FIGURE 3: The ROB2 risk of bias.

(MD = -2.26, 95% CI: -4.27 to -0.24, P = 0.03, $I^2 = 95\%$); one study [34] was acupuncture plus Western medicine compared with Western medicine, and the MD was -1.44 scores (95% CI: -1.64 to-1.24, P < 0.01); two studies [37, 41] showed that the visual analogue score in the acupuncture plus Chinese medicine group was reduced by 1.85 scores compared with the Chinese medicine group (MD = -1.85, 95% CI: -2.51 to-1.19, P < 0.01, $I^2 = 52\%$). After sensitivity analysis, it was found that one study [25] was the main source of heterogeneity. The heterogeneity was reduced after the removal of the study ($I^2 = 72\%$, P < 0.01).

3.7. Analysis of the Ulcer Healing Time. Four studies [33, 36, 37, 41] adopted the ulcer healing time as the outcome indicator in Figure 7, including a total of 340 cases. After combining effect size, the MD value was -1.02 days (95% CI: -2.97 to 0.94, P = 0.31, $I^2 = 94\%$). Two studies [33, 36]

Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine

Study on Subanoun	Experi	mental	Con	trol	Weight	Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study of Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	(%)	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	I M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
1.8.1 acupuncture VS wes	stern medi	cine					
Cao liming 2013	45	47	36	47	4.7	6.88 [1.43, 33.02]	
Chen yanming 2006	31	37	18	37	9.0	5.45 [1.84, 16.16]	
Li jinghua 2014	36	38	29	38	4.7	5.59 [1.12, 27.90]	
Lian Chun 2017	64	71	56	71	16.9	2.45 [0.93, 6.44]	
lian chun 2017	36	39	31	39	7.3	3.10 [0.76, 12.70]	
Qin xiaoguang 2012	18	20	11	20	3.4	7.36 [1.34, 40.55]	
Song ning 2009	37	38	23	30	2.1	11.26 [1.30, 97.54]	
Wan yue 2018	37	38	35	42	2.7	7.40 [0.87, 63.25]	
Wei zheng 2017	27	30	11	30	3.4	15.55 [3.81, 63.36]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		358		354	54.1	5.40 [3.40, 8.58]	•
Total events	331		250				
Heterogeneity: $chi^2 = 6.0$	9, df = 8 (P = 0.64); $I^2 = 0\%$				
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 7.14 (P <	0.00001)				
1.8.2 acupuncture + West	tern medic	ine VS V	Vestern me	dicine			
Jin yun 2016	71	80	62	80	21.4	2.29 [0.96, 5.46]	
Liu yongbo 2017	29	30	25	30	2.6	5.80 [0.63, 53.01]	
Xia yuge 2021	28	30	26	30	5.3	2.15 [0.36, 12.76]	
Yu daoxin 2019	22	23	17	23	2.3	7.76 [0.85, 70.75]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		163		163	31.5	2.95 [1.48, 5.85]	•
Total events	150		130				
Heterogeneity: $chi^2 = 1.5$	64, df = 3 (P = 0.67); $I^2 = 0\%$				
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 3.09 (P =	0.002)					
1 8 3 acutumcture + Chin	ese medici	ne VS C	hinese me	licine			
Gu ain 2010	28	30	21	30	43	6.00 [1.17, 30.72]	
Peng chuxiang 2003	82	86	25	40	4.9	12 30 [3 74 40 45]	
Xu huiving 2019	38	39	32	39	2.5	8 31 [0 97 71 18]	
Zhou LinYue 2016	29	30	26	30	2.7	4.46 [0.47, 42,51]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	27	185	20	139	14 3	8 26 [3 61 18 88]	
Total events	177	100	104	100	11.0	0.20 [0.01, 10.00]	
Heterogeneity: $chi^2 = 0.8$	df = 3 ($P = 0.83^{\circ}$): $I^2 = 0\%$				
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 5.01 (P <	0.00001)				
	0.01 (1	0.00001	-)				
Total (95% CI)		706		656	100.0	5.03 [3.56, 7.11]	•
Total events	658		484				
Heterogeneity: $chi^2 = 12$.	.72, $df = 10$	5(P=0.6)	69); $I^2 = 0$	%			
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 9.17 (<i>P</i> <	0.00001)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for subgroup differe	nces: chi ²	= 3.81, d	lf = 2 (P =	$0.15); I^2$	= 47.5%		Favours [control] Favours [experimental]

FIGURE 4: Forest plots of clinical efficacy rate in the three groups.

showed that the ulcer healing time in the acupuncture plus Western medicine group was reduced by 2.31 days compared with the Western medicine group (MD = -2.31, 95% CI:-4.63 to 0.02, P = 0.05, $I^2 = 94\%$); two studies [37, 41] was acupuncture plus Chinese medicine compared with Chinese medicine, and the MD was 0.30 days (95% CI: -1.37 to 1.96, P = 0.73, $I^2 = 75\%$). After sensitivity analysis, one study [33] was the source of heterogeneity. The heterogeneity was reduced after the removal of the study ($I^2 = 75\%$, P = 0.74).

3.8. Publication Bias Assessment

3.8.1. Effective Rate Publication Bias. We used the Egger test to examine effective rate whether there was publication bias. In the acupuncture versus western medicine group, the result showed P = 0.018 (P < 0.05), indicating that the 9 included articles had publication bias. In the acupuncture plus Western medicine versus Western medicine group,

the outcomes showed P = 0.643 (P > 0.05), meaning that there was no publication bias in the four included literature. In the acupuncture plus Chinese medicine versus Chinese medicine group, the results showed P = 0.372(P > 0.05), demonstrating that the four included literature did not have publications bias. It was shown in Figures 8–10.

3.8.2. Recurrence Rate Publication Bias. Publication bias analysis was performed on the recurrence rate and the results showed that P = 0.930 (P > 0.05), indicating that there was no publication bias in the acupuncture versus Western medicine group in Figure 11.

3.9. *GRADE Quality of Evidence Assessment.* We used GRADE pro 3.6 software to grade the quality of evidence for the 4 outcome measures: effective rate, recurrence rate, VAS,

Study or Subgroup	Experin	nental	Con	trol	Weight	Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study of Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	(%)	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	I M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
1.9.1 acupuncture VS west	ern media	cine					
Li jinghua 2014	10	38	18	38	11.9	0.40 [0.15, 1.04]	
lian chun 2017	11	39	31	39	20.0	0.10 [0.04, 0.29]	_
Lian Chun 2017	21	71	48	71	30.4	0.20 [0.10, 0.41]	
Qin xiaoguang 2012	3	13	3	5	3.0	0.20 [0.02, 1.82]	_
Subtotal (95% CI)		161		153	65.4	0.21 [0.13, 0.33]	•
Total events	45		100				
Heterogeneity: $chi^2 = 3.56$	6, df = 3 (1)	P = 0.31)	; $I^2 = 16\%$				
Test for overall effect: $Z =$	6.39 (<i>P</i> <	0.00001)				
1.9.2 acupuncture + weste	rn medici	ne VS we	estern med	licine			
Yu daoxin 2019	23	80	46	80	29.5	0.30 [0.15, 0.57]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		80		80	29.5	0.30 [0.15, 0.57]	
Total events	23		46				•
Heterogeneity: Not applic	able						
Test for overall effect: $Z =$	3.61 (<i>P</i> =	0.0003)					
193 acupuncture + Chine	ese medici	ne VS Cl	hinese med	licine			
Zhou LinYue 2016	6	19	7	13	5.1	0.40 [0.09, 1.70]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		19		13	5.1	0.40 [0.09, 1.70]	
Total events	6		7				
Heterogeneity: Not applic	able						
Test for overall effect: $Z =$	1.25 (<i>P</i> =	0.21)					
Total (95% CI)		260		246	100.0	0.24 [0.17, 0.35]	•
Total events	74		153				₹
Heterogeneity: $chi^2 = 4.79$	0, df = 5 (1)	P = 0.44	; $I^2 = 0\%$				
Test for overall effect: $Z =$	7.39 (P <	0.00001)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for subgroup differen	ices: chi ²	= 1.24, d	f = 2 (P =	0.54); I ²	= 0%		Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

FIGURE 5: Forest plots of recurrence rate in the three groups.

and healing time. The results showed that the effective rate and recurrence rate were low quality, the VAS and healing time were very low quality. The details are in Table 3.

4. Discussion

4.1. Summary of the Results. To the best of our knowledge, we expanded the scope of our search and found that there was still no significant evidence to support the effectiveness of acupuncture for OU in previous studies. Therefore, our study was the first systematic review and meta-analysis to evaluate the efficacy of acupuncture on the treatment of OU. This study adopted the Chinese Stomatological Association's trial criteria for evaluating the efficacy of oral ulcer [42]. The specific contents are as follows: the shortening of the average ulcer period and the pain index are markedly effective, the shortening of the average ulcer period or the pain index is effective, and the average ulcer period and the pain index which are not changed is invalid. We refer to the relevant content in the Guidelines for Clinical Research on New Chinese Medicines [43] that the recurrence rate of oral ulcer is three months after the end of treatment. The results of this study summarized the existing evidence on the efficacy of acupuncture in patients with oral ulcer till December 2021. We searched 8 Chinese and English databases, 18 RCTs with 1422 participants were reviewed in the meta-analysis. Compared with the Western

medicine group, the acupuncture group can improve the effective rate (OR = 5.40, 95% CI: 3.40 to 8.58), reduce the ulcer recurrence rate (OR = 0.21, 95% CI: 0.13 to 0.33), and relieve the ulcer pain (MD = -2.26, 95% CI: -4.27 to -0.24). Compared with the Western medicine group, the acupuncture plus Western medicine group can increase the effective rate (OR = 2.95, 95% CI: 1.48 to 5.85). Compared with the Chinese medicine group, the acupuncture plus Chinese medicine group can improve the effective rate (OR = 8.26, 95% CI: 3.61 to 18.88), relieve the ulcer pain (MD = -1.85, 95% CI: -2.51 to -1.19). The above shows that the patients of OU could benefit from acupuncture therapy in terms of effective rate, ulcer recurrence rate, and visual analogue score. However, we performed the Egger test on the recurrence rate and effective rate. The results indicated that there was publication bias in the effective rate in the acupuncture versus Western medicine group. Meanwhile, the grade evidence results demonstrated that the effective rate and recurrence rate were low, the VAS score and healing time were very low. This suggested that we should be cautious in applying these results in clinical practice.

4.2. Limitations of the Results. There were some limitations of this research. First, the included 18 studies had methodological flaws and were assessed as being of low quality. We speculated for the following reasons. In the included literature, only two studies mentioned blinding of

Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine

	Expe	riment	al	С	ontrol		Woight	Mean Difference		Mea	n Differ	ence	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	(%)	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Ra	undom, 9	5% CI	
2.4.1 acupuncture VS V	Western	medici	ne										
Lian Chun 2017	0.32	0.48	71	1.59	0.96	71	24.9	-1.27 [-1.52, -1.02]		-			
Wei zheng 2017	0.21	0.56	30	3.54	2.37	30	13.7	-3.33 [-4.20, -2.46]	-	_			
Subtotal (95% CI)			101			101	38.6	-2.26 [-4.27, -0.24]			-		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	2.01; Ch	$i^2 = 19$.84, df	= 1 (P <	0.0000	(1); $I^2 =$	95%						
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 2.19	(P = 0.	03)										
2.4.2 acupuncture + W	/estern n	nedicin	e VS W	Vestern 1	nedici	ne							
Jin yun 2016	1.54	0.37	30	2.98	0.41	30	25.7	-1.44 [-1.64, -1.24]		-			
Subtotal (95% CI)			30			30	25.7	-1.44 [-1.64, -1.24]		•			
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: 2	plicable Z = 14.28	8 (P < 0	0.00001	.)									
2.4.3 acupuncture+Chi	inese me	dicine	VS Ch	inese me	edicine	:							
zhou lin yue 2016	1.1	1.32	30	2.5	1.98	30	14.0	-1.40[-2.25, -0.55]			-		
Zhou Lin Yue 2016	1.5	0.5	30	3.6	1.1	30	21.7	-2.10 [-2.53, -1.67]		-			
Subtotal (95% CI)			60			60	35.7	-1.85 [-2.51, -1.19]					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:	0.13; Ch Z = 5 52	$i^2 = 2.0$ (P < 0))6, df =	1 (P = 0)).15); I ⁻	² = 52%							
	0.02	(1 . 0.	00001)										
Total (95% CI)			191			191	100.0	-1.79 [-2.25, -1.33]		•			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.20; Ch	$i^2 = 28$.00, df	= 4 (P <	0.0001); $I^2 = 8$	36%						
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 7.66	(P < 0.	00001)						-4	-2	0	2	4
Test for subgroup diffe	erences: (Chi ² =	1.94, di	f = 2 (P = 2)	= 0.38)	; $I^2 = 09$	%				. 11 . 5	r	

	Expe	riment	al	С	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean D	Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight (%)	IV, Random, 95% C	CI IV, Rando	om, 95% CI
Jin yun 2016	1.54	0.37	30	2.98	0.41	30	34.3	-1.44[-1.64, -1.24]]	
Lian Chun 2017	0.32	0.48	71	1.59	0.96	71	31.9	-1.27[-1.52, -1.02]] 🗕	
zhou lin yue 2016	1.5	0.5	30	3.6	1.1	30	23.1	-2.10 [-2.53, -1.67] 🛨	
Zhou Lin Yue 2016	1.1	1.32	30	2.5	1.98	30	10.6	-1.40 [-2.25, -0.55]	
Total (95% CI)			161			161	100.0	-1.53 [-1.86, -1.21	1	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.07; Ch	$i^2 = 10$.70, df	= 3 (P =	0.01);	$I^2 = 72\%$	Ď	-		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 9.28	(P < 0.	00001)						-4 -2	0 2 4
									Favours [experiment	al] Favours [control]

FIGURE 6: Forest plots of visual analogue score (VAS) in the three groups.

Mean SD tern medicin 1.27 4.27 1.66 4.27 1.95 53 ; $Chi^2 = 16$	Total e VS We 30 80 110	Mean estern r 5.37 7.74	SD nedicir 1.99 2.48	Total ne 30	(%)	IV, Random, 95% C	I	IV, Ra	ndom, 9	95% CI	
tern medicin 4.27 1.66 4.27 1.95 53; Chi ² = 16	e VS Wo 30 80 110	estern r 5.37 7.74	nedicii 1.99 2.48	ne 30	25.3	-1 10 [-2 03 -0 17]					
1.27 1.66 1.27 1.95 1.33; Chi ² = 16	30 80 110	5.37 7.74	1.99 2.48	30	25.3	-1 10 [-2 03 -0 17]					
4.27 1.95 53; $\text{Chi}^2 = 16$	80 110	7.74	2.48			1.10 [-2.05, -0.17]					
53; Chi ² = 16	110		2.10	80	25.9	-3.47 [-4.16, -2.78]		_			
53; $Chi^2 = 16$				110	51.2	-2.31 [-4.63, 0.02]					
= 1.95 (P = 0.1)	.13, df = 05)	1 (P <	0.0001); I ² = 9	4%						
se medicine	VS Chir	nese me	dicine								
7.93 2.05	30	8.43	2	30	24.9	-0.50 [-1.52, 0.52]					
8.1 2.7	30	6.9	2.5	30	23.9	1.20 [-0.12, 2.52]					
	60			60	48.8	0.30 [-1.37, 1.96]		-			
08; $Chi^2 = 3.9$ = 0.35 (P = 0.7	99, df = 1 73)	1 (P = 0	.05); I ²	² = 75%							
	170			170	100.0	-1.02 [-2.97, 0.94]					
73; $Chi^2 = 50$.66, df =	3 (P <	0.0000	1); $I^2 =$	94%						
= 1.02 (P = 0.	31)						-4	-2	0	2	4
- es 7. 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 7. 8 8 8 7. 8	$\begin{array}{l} \text{1.95 (P = 0.)} \\ \text{is emedicine} \\ \text{.93 } 2.05 \\ \text{.1 } 2.7 \\ \text{.1 } 2.7 \\ \text{.1 } 2.7 \\ \text{.1 } 3; \text{Chi}^2 = 3.9 \\ \text{.0.35 (P = 0.)} \\ \text{.1 } 3; \text{Chi}^2 = 50 \\ \text{.1 } 0.2 (\text{P = 0.)} \\ \text{.1 } ces: \text{Chi}^2 = \end{array}$	respectively for the formula for the formula formula for the formula formula formula formula for the formula	$\begin{array}{l} 1.93 \ (\mathrm{P}=0.05) \\ \text{ae medicine VS Chinese medicine VS Chinese medicine VS Chinese medicine VS Chinese medicine (1.00) \\ 3.1 & 2.7 & 30 & 6.9 \\ & 60 \\ 8; \mathrm{Chi}^2 = 3.99, \mathrm{df} = 1 \ (\mathrm{P} = 0.00) \\ 8; \mathrm{Chi}^2 = 3.99, \mathrm{df} = 1 \ (\mathrm{P} = 0.00) \\ & 1.02 \ (\mathrm{P} = 0.31) \\ \mathrm{cces: Chi}^2 = 3.19, \mathrm{df} = 1 \ (\mathrm{P} = 0.00) \\ & 1.02 \ (\mathrm{P} = 0.31) \\ & 1.02 $	$\begin{array}{l} 1.95 \ (P=0.05) \\ \text{e medicine VS Chinese medicine} \\ .93 \ 2.05 \ 30 \ 8.43 \ 2 \\ .1 \ 2.7 \ 30 \ 6.9 \ 2.5 \\ .60 \\ .8; \ Chi^2 = 3.99, \ df = 1 \ (P = 0.05); \ I^2 \\ .0.35 \ (P = 0.73) \\ \hline 170 \\ .$	$\begin{array}{l} 1.95 \ (P=0.05) \\ \text{e medicine VS Chinese medicine} \\ .93 \ 2.05 \ 30 \ 8.43 \ 2 \ 30 \\ .60 \ 60 \\ .60 \ 60 \\ .60 \ 60 \\ .60 \ .60 \ .60 \\ .60 \ .60 \ .60 \\ .60 \ .60 \ .60 \\ .60 \ .60 \ .60 \\ .60 \ .60 \ .60 \ .60 \\ .60 \ .60 \ .60 \ .60 \\ .60 $	$\begin{array}{l} 1.93 \ (\mathrm{P}=0.05) \\ \text{e medicine VS Chinese medicine} \\ .93 \ 2.05 \ 30 \ 8.43 \ 2 \ 30 \ 24.9 \\ 8.1 \ 2.7 \ 30 \ 6.9 \ 2.5 \ 30 \ 23.9 \\ 60 \ 60 \ 48.8 \\ \text{8; } \mathrm{Chi^2=3.99, df=1} \ (\mathrm{P}=0.05); \ \mathrm{I}^2=75\% \\ 0.35 \ (\mathrm{P}=0.73) \\ \hline 170 \ 170 \ 100.0 \\ 3; \mathrm{Chi^2=50.66, df=3} \ (\mathrm{P}<0.00001); \ \mathrm{I}^2=94\% \\ 1.02 \ (\mathrm{P}=0.31) \\ \mathrm{ccs: Chi^2=3.19, df=1} \ (\mathrm{P}=0.07); \ \mathrm{I}^2=68.7\% \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 1.95 \ (P=0.05) \\ \text{is medicine VS Chinese medicine} \\ .93 \ 2.05 \ 30 \ 8.43 \ 2 \ 30 \ 24.9 \ -0.50 \ [-1.52, 0.52] \\ 8.1 \ 2.7 \ 30 \ 6.9 \ 2.5 \ 30 \ 23.9 \ 1.20 \ [-0.12, 2.52] \\ 60 \ 60 \ 48.8 \ 0.30 \ [-1.37, 1.96] \\ 8; \ Chi^2 = 3.99, \ df = 1 \ (P = 0.05); \ I^2 = 75\% \\ 0.35 \ (P = 0.73) \\ \hline 170 \ 170 \ 100.0 \ -1.02 \ [-2.97, 0.94] \\ 3; \ Chi^2 = 50.66, \ df = 3 \ (P < 0.00001); \ I^2 = 94\% \\ 1.02 \ (P = 0.31) \\ \text{ccs: } \ Chi^2 = 3.19, \ df = 1 \ (P = 0.07); \ I^2 = 68.7\% \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.93 \ (P=0.03) \\ \text{se medicine VS Chinese medicine} \\ .93 \ 2.05 \ 30 \ 8.43 \ 2 \ 30 \ 24.9 \ -0.50 \ [-1.52, 0.52] \\ .8.1 \ 2.7 \ 30 \ 6.9 \ 2.5 \ 30 \ 23.9 \ 1.20 \ [-0.12, 2.52] \\ .60 \ 60 \ 48.8 \ 0.30 \ [-1.37, 1.96] \\ \text{8; Chi}^2 = 3.99, df = 1 \ (P = 0.05); I^2 = 75\% \\ 0.35 \ (P = 0.73) \\ \hline 170 \ 170 \ 100.0 \ -1.02 \ [-2.97, 0.94] \\ 1.02 \ (P = 0.31) \\ \text{ces: Chi}^2 = 3.19, df = 1 \ (P = 0.07); I^2 = 68.7\% \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.95 \ (P=0.05) \\ \text{is medicine VS Chinese medicine} \\ .93 \ 2.05 \ 30 \ 8.43 \ 2 \ 30 \ 24.9 \ -0.50 \ [-1.52, 0.52] \\ .60 \ 60 \ 48.8 \ 0.30 \ [-1.37, 1.96] \\ .8; \ Chi^2 = 3.99, \ df = 1 \ (P = 0.05); \ I^2 = 75\% \\ 0.35 \ (P = 0.73) \\ \hline 170 \ 170 \ 100.0 \ -1.02 \ [-2.97, 0.94] \\ .102 \ (P = 0.31) \\ .102 \ (P = 0.31) \\ .102 \ (P = 0.07); \ I^2 = 68.7\% \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.95 \ (P=0.05) \\ \text{ise medicine VS Chinese medicine} \\ .93 \ 2.05 \ 30 \ 8.43 \ 2 \ 30 \ 24.9 \ -0.50 \ [-1.52, 0.52] \\ .60 \ 60 \ 48.8 \ 0.30 \ [-1.37, 1.96] \\ \text{is} \ Chi^2 = 3.99, df = 1 \ (P = 0.05); I^2 = 75\% \\ 0.35 \ (P = 0.73) \\ \hline 170 \ 170 \ 100.0 \ -1.02 \ [-2.97, 0.94] \\ 1.02 \ (P = 0.31) \\ \text{cces: } Chi^2 = 3.19, df = 1 \ (P = 0.07); I^2 = 68.7\% \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.95 \ (P=0.05) \\ \text{ise medicine VS Chinese medicine} \\ .93 \ 2.05 \ 30 \ 8.43 \ 2 \ 30 \ 24.9 \ -0.50 \ [-1.52, 0.52] \\ .60 \ 60 \ 48.8 \ 0.30 \ [-1.37, 1.96] \\ \text{is} \ Chi^2 = 3.99, \ df = 1 \ (P = 0.05); \ I^2 = 75\% \\ 0.35 \ (P = 0.73) \\ \hline 170 \ 170 \ 100.0 \ -1.02 \ [-2.97, 0.94] \\ 1.02 \ (P = 0.31) \\ \text{cres: } Chi^2 = 3.19, \ df = 1 \ (P = 0.07); \ I^2 = 68.7\% \end{array}$

	Expe	riment	al	С	ontrol		Weight	Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	(%)	IV, Random, 95% C	CI IV, Random, 95% CI
Xia yuge 2021	4.27	1.66	30	5.37	1.99	30	35.9	-1.10[-2.03, -0.17]]
Zhou Lin Yue 2016	7.93	2.05	30	8.43	2	30	34.3	-0.50[-1.52, 0.52]	
zhou lin yue 2016	8.1	2.7	30	6.9	2.5	30	29.8	1.20 [-0.12 2.52]	
Total (95% CI)			90			90	100.0	-0.21 [-1.45, 1.03]	-
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 0.89; Ch	$i^2 = 7.9$	90, df =	2 (P = 0).02); I ²	$^{2} = 75\%$			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.33	(P = 0.	74)						-4 -2 0 2 4
									Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

FIGURE 7: Forest plots of healing time in the three groups.



FIGURE 8: Egger's funnel plot for effective rate (acupuncture vs. western medicine).



FIGURE 9: Egger's funnel plot for effective rate (acupuncture+western medicine vs. western medicine).

participants, and other studies did not clearly address randomization protocols, blinding methods, and allocation concealment, which may lead to selection, performance, and detection biases. Second, there was significant heterogeneity in the VAS and ulcer healing time as secondary outcome measures. We considered that both of these measures were subjective and easily influenced by the experience of clinicians and reviewers. Simultaneously, the number of clinical studies was less in two outcome measures. There were only five studies in the outcome measure of the VAS and four studies in the outcome measure of ulcer healing time, some results still need further confirmation. Third, we considered publication bias in the article. We used Egger's test to detect the effective rate and found that there was publication bias in the acupuncture versus Western medicine group. The specific reasons need to be further analyzed. Above all, the operation of acupuncture was subjective and some treatment standards were difficult to be unified. This study only focused on the stimulation method of acupuncture and did not analyze the differences in acupuncture point selection, manipulation depth, and intervention time. Next, the literature search strategy only searched 4 English databases



FIGURE 10: Egger's funnel plot for effective rate (acupuncture+Chinese medicine vs. Chinese medicine).



FIGURE 11: Egger's funnel plot for recurrence rate (acupuncture vs. western medicine).

and 4 Chinese databases, grey literature was not taken into consideration. Then, all the studies were published in China and there was potential publication bias in the included studies. Fourth, a subgroup analysis of ulcer classification would be more relevant in clinical practice, we did not perform a subgroup analysis of oral ulcer classification due to a small number of cases and incomplete data.

4.3. Suggestions for Future Studies. Based on the currently published evidence, this meta-analysis study shows that acupuncture is effective in the treatment of oral ulcer. However, some of the included studies have methodological flaws, which affect the authenticity, reproducibility, and comparability of research conclusions. It is not yet certain that acupuncture is completely superior to other treatments for oral ulcer. Therefore, we should formulate strict case inclusion and exclusion criteria and unified efficacy evaluation criteria, which have good feasibility. At the same time, the classification of ulcers has important implications for treatment options, and a subgroup analysis of ulcer classification is needed to clarify the efficacy of acupuncture on

Certainty assessme:	Jt							Effects		
Outcomes	No. of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	Quality
The effective rate	17	RCT	Serious ^a	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Publication bias ^d	OR 5.03 (3.56 to 7.11)	196 per 1,000 (from 171 to 215)	@@OO low
The ulcer recurrence rate	9	RCT	Serious ^a	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious ^b	None	OR 0.24 (0.17 to 0.35)	339 fewer per 1,000 (from 403 fewer to 257 fewer)	@@OO low
Visual analogue score	5	RCT	Serious ^a	Serious ^c	No serious indirectness	Serious ^b	None	I	MD 1.79 SD lower (2.25 lower to 1.33 lower)	#000 very low
The ulcer healing time	4	RCT	Serious ^a	Serious ^c	No serious indirectness	Serious ^b	None	I	MD 1.02 SD lower (2.97 lower to 0.94 higher)	0000 very low
Explanations. (a) Son Publication bias.	ie study	randomiza	ation method	ls, allocation concealm	ent, and blinding ar	e not described. (b)	Fewer included ar	ticles and observer	s. (c) Heterogeneity is significant	ly higher. (d)

literature.
the
Ξ.
included
outcomes
of
quality
evidence
GRADE
TABLE 3:

different ulcer subtypes in the future. Besides, specific acupuncture points, acupuncture stimulation methods, needle insertion depth, needle response, treatment course, qualifications of acupuncturists, assessors, and clinical practice years provide a rigorous, standard, and feasible treatment plan. Moreover, future efforts still need more high-quality, multicenter, large sample, randomized, double-blind, and placebo-controlled trials to improve the quality of the methodology and reporting.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, the results of this systematic review suggest that acupuncture may be more effective than Western medicine in terms of efficacy rate, and acupuncture combined with Western or Chinese medicine may have potential to reduce the recurrence of ulcer and relieve the ulcer pain. However, due to limited evidence, higher quality and more rigorously designed clinical trials with larger sample sizes will be needed to further confirm our findings.

Data Availability

The data of this study are obtained from open databases, and the data used in the study have been submitted in the manuscript.

Disclosure

Hang Yan and Tianxi Chen are the first co-authors in this study.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

The paper was drafted by Hang Yan and revised by Yongcan Chen and Yuling Zuo. The search strategy was performed by Tianxi Chen and Yuqi Lin. Huangping Ai and Yang Tu independently screened the potential studies, extracted data from the included studies and completed the data synthesis, Hang Yan offered arbitration in cases of disagreement. All authors contributed to the interpretation of the results and gave their final approval for the version to be published.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the construction project of the National Famous and Old Chinese Medicine Expert Inheritance Studio Chen Yongcan National Famous and Old Chinese Medicine Expert Inheritance Studio in 2022 (Chinese Medicine People's Education Letter (2022) No. 75), the Key Discipline of Traditional Chinese Medicine (Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine) in Zhejiang Province (2017-XK-B03), the Construction Plan of the Inheritance Studio of Famous and Old Chinese Medicine Experts in Zhejiang Province (GZS2017002), and the

Traditional Chinese medicine Clinical Project of Sichuan Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine (2021MS061).

Supplementary Materials

The search strategy of PUBMED database as an example. (*Supplementary Materials*)

References

- R. Z. Cui, A. J. Bruce, and R. S. Rogers, "Recurrent aphthous stomatitis," *Clinics in Dermatology*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 475–481, 2016.
- [2] I. Belenguer-Guallar, Y. Jiménez-Soriano, and A. Claramunt-Lozano, "Treatment of recurrent aphthous stomatitis. A literature review," *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Dentistry*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. e168–e174, 2014.
- [3] Y. Baş, H. Yildiz Seckin, G. Kalkan et al., "Investigation of behçet's disease and recurrent aphthous stomatitis frequency: the highest prevalence in Turkey," *Balkan Medical Journal*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 390–395, 2016.
- [4] P. R. M. D. Souza, R. P. Duquia, J. D. A. Breunig, and H. L. D. Almeida, "Recurrent aphthous stomatitis in 18year-old adolescents—prevalence and associated factors: a population-based study," *Anais Brasileiros de Dermatologia*, vol. 92, no. 5, pp. 626–629, 2017.
- [5] N. R. Edgar, D. Saleh, and R. A. Miller, "Recurrent aphthous stomatitis: a review," *Journal of Clinical and Aesthetic Dermatology*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 26–36, 2017.
- [6] K. Shah, J. Guarderas, and G. Krishnaswamy, "Aphthous stomatitis," Annals of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology, vol. 117, no. 4, pp. 341–343, 2016.
- [7] J. Shi, L. Wang, Y. Zhang, and D. Zhi, "Clinical efficacy of vitamin B in the treatment of mouth ulcer: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Annals of Palliative Medicine*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 6588–6596, 2021.
- [8] H. Yan, Z. Jin, W. Jin et al., "A systematic review and metaanalysis of acupunc -ture treatment for oral ulcer," *Medicine* (*Baltimore*), vol. 99, no. 29, Article ID e21314, 2020.
- [9] L. Baccaglini, R. V. Lalla, A. J. Bruce et al., "Urban legends: recurrent aphthous stomatitis," *Oral Diseases*, vol. 17, no. 8, pp. 755–770, 2011.
- [10] S. O. Akintoye and M. S. Greenberg, "Recurrent aphthous stomatitis," *Dental Clinics of North America*, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 281–297, 2014.
- [11] D. Lin, L. Yang, L. Wen, H. Lu, Q. Chen, and Z. Wang, "Crosstalk between the oral microbiota, mucosal immunity, and the epithelial barrier regulates oral mucosal disease pathogenesis," *Mucosal Immunology*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 1247–1258, 2021.
- [12] C. P. Chiang, J. Yu-Fong Chang, Y. P. Wang, Y. H. Wu, Y. C. Wu, and A. Sun, "Recurrent aphthous stomatitis—etiology, serum autoantibodies, anemia, hematinic deficiencies, and management," *Journal of the Formosan Medical Association*, vol. 118, no. 9, pp. 1279–1289, 2019.
- [13] J. Bell, "Amlexanox for the treatment of recurrent aphthous ulcers," *Clinical Drug Investigation*, vol. 25, no. 9, pp. 555–566, 2005.
- [14] P. Brocklehurst, M. Tickle, A. M. Glenny et al., "Systemic interventions for recurrent aphthous stomatitis (mouth ulcers)," *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, no. 9, Article ID Cd005411, 2012.

- [15] C. Scully and M. Mignogna, "Oral mucosal disease: pemphigus," *British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 272–277, 2008.
- [16] A. Burke, D. M. Upchurch, C. Dye, and L. Chyu, "Acupuncture use in the United States: findings from the national health Interview Survey," *Journal of Alternative & Complementary Medicine*, vol. 12, no. 7, pp. 639–648, 2006.
- [17] R. Zhang, L. Lao, K. Ren, and B. M. Berman, "Mechanisms of acupuncture-electroacupuncture on persistent pain," *Anesthesiology*, vol. 120, no. 2, pp. 482–503, 2014.
- [18] A. J. Vickers and K. Linde, "Acupuncture for chronic pain," *JAMA*, vol. 311, no. 9, pp. 955-956, 2014.
- [19] B. Dou, Y. Li, J. Ma et al., "Role of neuroimmune crosstalk in mediating the anti-inflammatory and analgesic effects of acupuncture on inflammatory pain," *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, vol. 15, Article ID 695670, 2021.
- [20] S. Patil, S. Sen, M. Bral et al., "The role of acupuncture in pain management," *Current Pain and Headache Reports*, vol. 20, no. 4, p. 22, 2016.
- [21] T. Wang, F. Cheng, H. Ren, C. Qiu, C. Zhau, and Y. Chen, "Clinical observation of recurrent oral ulcer of heat accumulation in the heart and spleen treated with acupoint injection and fire needling therapy," *Zhongguo Zhen Jiu*, vol. 35, no. 12, pp. 1243–1246, 2015.
- [22] C. H. Ren, "63 cases of oral ulcer pain treated by acupuncture," *Henan Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 33, pp. 419-420, 2013.
- [23] J. Liu, "82 cases of oral ulcer treated by bloodletting at the root of the ear," *Zhongguo Zhen Jiu*, vol. 3, p. 324, 2007.
- [24] X. G. Qin, X. Z. Du, and X. K. Yan, "Professor He Tianyou treats 20 cases of children's aphthous ulcer with "cooling method"," *Journal of Pediatrics of Traditional Chinese*, vol. 8, no. 01, pp. 40–42, 2012.
- [25] Z. Wei, Y. H. Chen, and L. Z. Liang, "Therapeutic observation of 60 cases of recurrent aphthous ulcer treated with acupuncture and acupuncture," *Journal of Sichuan of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 196-197, 2017.
- [26] Y. Wan, J. Zhang, C. Fang et al., "Characterization of neuromedin U (NMU), neuromedin S (NMS) and their receptors (NMUR1, NMUR2) in chickens," *Peptides*, vol. 101, no. 5, pp. 69–81, 2018.
- [27] Y. M. Chen, L. S. Wang, and H. Cui, "Observation on therapeutic effect of acupuncture on recurrent oral ulcer," *Zhongguo Zhen Jiu*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 103-104, 2006.
- [28] J. H. Li, "Clinical observation of acupuncture in the treatment of recurrent oral ulcer with spleen and stomach weakness," *Journal of Practical Stomatology*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 122–124, 2014.
- [29] C. Lian, Z. H. Du, and X. F. Chen, "Observation on the effect of acupuncture on 39 cases of recurrent oral ulcer of dampheat accumulation type," *Zhejiang Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 52, no. 04, p. 285, 2017.
- [30] C. Lian, Clinical Observation of Acupuncture in the Treatment of Recurrent Oral Ulcer of Damp-Heat Accumulation Type, Zhejiang Chinese Medical University, Hangzhou, China, 2017.
- [31] L. M. Cao, "47 cases of recurrent oral ulcer treated by focal bloodletting," *Henan Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 33, no. 6, pp. 959-960, 2013.
- [32] N. Song, "Observation on 38 cases of recurrent oral ulcer treated by moxibustion with Zhuang medicine line," *Journal* of Medicine & Pharmacy of Chinese Minorities, vol. 15, no. 11, pp. 40-41, 2009.

- [33] D. X. Yu and M. Chen, "Clinical study on acupuncture for the treatment of recurrent oral ulcer of damp-heat accumulation type," *Journal of Guangzhou University of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, no. 3, pp. 363–368, 2019.
- [34] Y. Jin, "Observation of curative effect of pressing acupuncture in the treatment of senile recurrent oral ulcer of Yindeficiency and fire-prosperity type," *Shanghai Journal of Acupuncture and Moxibustion*, vol. 35, no. 10, pp. 1226–1228, 2016.
- [35] Y. B. Liu, Z. W. Cao, and S. Gao, "46 cases of recurrent aphthous ulcer treated by warming needle moxibustion combined with western medicine," *Diet Health*, vol. 4, no. 21, pp. 88-89, 2017.
- [36] Y. G. Xia, Clinical Observation of Pressing Acupuncture in the Treatment of Recurrent Aphthous Ulcer of Yin Deficiency and Fire-Prosperity Type, Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou, China, 2021.
- [37] L. Y. Zhou, Observation on the Clinical Efficacy of Pressing Acupuncture Combined with Jiawei Sanren Decoction in the Treatment of Recurrent Aphthous Ulcer (Damp-heat Accumulation in the Spleen), Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, China, 2016.
- [38] H. Y. Xu, "Acupuncture combined with traditional Chinese medicine in the treatment of 78 cases of recurrent oral ulcer," *Biped and Health*, no. 10, pp. 17-18, 2019.
- [39] Q. L. Gu, Y. Lu, C. Pang, F. Gao, C. Huang, and J. Du, "Analysis of intractable cough in 128 children," *Journal of Clinical Acupuncture and Moxibustion*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 28–31, 2010.
- [40] C. X. Peng and Y. C. Li, "86 cases of recurrent oral ulcer treated by acupuncture combined with traditional Chinese medicine," *Journal of Hunan University of Chinese Medicine*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 51-52, 2003.
- [41] L. Y. Zhou, Y. L. Zuo, and J. Zhao, "Intracutaneous acupuncture ulcer-recoverable oral ulcer floor inspection," *Journal of Sichuan of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 173-174, 2016.
- [42] B. Q. Li, "Trial criteria for efficacy evaluation of recurrent aphthous ulcer," *Chinese Journal of Stomatology*, vol. 37, no. 3, p. 234, 2002.
- [43] X. Y. Zheng, Guiding Principles for Clinical Research on New Chinese Medicines, China Medical Science and Technology Press, Beijing, China, 2002.