Role of Estrogen Receptor-Positive/Negative Ratios in Regulating Breast Cancer

Yanchu Li, 1 Hengli Zhang, 2 Tingting Jiang, 1 and Ping Li 1

1 Head & Neck Oncology Ward, Cancer Center, West China Hospital of Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China
2 Department of Oncology, Cancer Prevention and Treatment Institute of Chengdu, Chengdu Fifth People’s Hospital (The Second Clinical Medical College, Affiliated Fifth People’s Hospital of Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine), Chengdu, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Ping Li; leepingmd68@vip.163.com

Received 19 January 2022; Revised 28 May 2022; Accepted 13 July 2022; Published 8 September 2022

1. Introduction

Worldwide, cancer is the second leading cause of death and breast cancer is the most common cancer in women [1]. Most breast cancers show an overexpression of the estrogen receptor (ER). Antiestrogen therapy with agents such as tamoxifen (TAM) and aromatase inhibitors is the cornerstone of systemic breast cancer therapy, which has significantly improved the survival of women with hormone receptor-positive (HR) breast cancers [2–4]. Because the ER status is essential for patient management, it is important to ensure it is assessed accurately [5]. Estrogens exert their biological effects through binding to ERα and ERβ [6].

Studies have reported that approximately 60–70% of breast cancer patients express ER, which is implicated in the progression of breast cancer. Tumor progression, endocrine therapy, and prognosis are closely related to the expression level of ER. The updated American Society of Clinical Oncology/College of American Pathologists (ASCO/CAP) guidelines classify tumors with ER expression 1–10%, >10%, and <1% as ER-low+, ER+, and ER− breast tumors, respectively. Paakkola et al. [7] reported that ER-low+ breast cancer had a more similar outcome to that of ER− than to ER+ breast cancer in disease-free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS) and discussed the prognosis based on ER status.

The prognosis of ERα+ breast cancer is better than that of ERα−, and ER expression is also related to bone and visceral metastasis [8]. Interestingly, ERα+ patients primarily show metastasis to the bone, skin, or soft tissue,
whereas that of ERα− patients is more common in the lungs, liver, and brain. Singhakowinta [8] showed that although treating reexpressed ER cell lines with estradiol reduced aggressiveness, applying TAM to ER+ cells may increase the risk of lung metastasis in mice [9]. Moreover, results of recent studies indicate that transfecting fluorescence-tagged MDA-MB-435 (MDA-MB-435-FL) and MDA-MB-231 ER+ cells with the ER prevented bone metastasis [10]. However, the effect on tumor activity at the different ERα levels was not considered.

Currently, research on endocrine therapy in breast cancer is gradually advancing, but the relationship between the balance of ERα expression and the best benefit for breast cancer patients is still not clear. Thus, although TAM and aromatase inhibitors are effective and have been widely used to treat ER+ breast cancer, determining the appropriate level of ERα suppression and exploring the balance of the ER expression in the tumor microenvironment is required. Previous studies have reported that the function of ER+ is not always consistent and linear. (Khus, this study established evidence based on which ERα− with different ERα+ ERα−/ERα+ ERα−/ER+ human breast cancer cells, respectively, as the experimental groups and MCF-7 cells were the ER+ control group. Cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM, Thermo Scientific HyClone, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin and streptomycin, at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO2.

2. Methods

2.1. Cell Lines and Culture. MDA-MB-231WT and MDA-MB-231Trans−ER α cells lines were used as representative ER− and infectant ER+ human breast cancer cells, respectively, as the experimental groups and MCF-7 cells were the ER+ control group. Cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM, Thermo Scientific HyClone, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin and streptomycin, at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO2.

2.2. ERα Stable Transfection. MDA-MB-231WT cells were seeded (1 × 105 cells/well) in 24-well plates and were stably transfected with the ERα expression plasmid (pEGFP-C1-ERα) using Lipofectamine™2000 (Invitrogen, USA). Then, 500 μL Opti-MEM * 1 low serum medium (DNA : Lipofectamine 2000 = 1 : 3, containing 2 μL Lipofectamine and 0.8 μg plasmid DNA) was added and the mixture was incubated at room temperature (26°C) for 20 min to form the complex and then cultured in a 37°C CO2 incubator for 48 h. Then, a G418-containing medium (800 μg/mL) was added to the screen, and breast cancer cells stably expressing plasmid enhanced green fluorescence protein (PEGFP)-C1-ERα were obtained and designated as MDA-MB-231Trans−ER α.

2.3. Cocultured Cells at Different MDA-MB-231Trans−ER α/ MDA-MB-231WT Ratios. MDA-MB-231WT and MDA-MB-231Trans−ER α cells were mixed and cocultured at 100%, 70%, 40%, 20%, and 0% ratios as the experimental group (ERα+ ERα−) and the MCF-7 cell line was the control group. ER protein from each group was analyzed using immunofluorescence staining.

2.4. 3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium Bromide Assay of Cell Viability. Cell viability was assessed using the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay (Sigma-Aldrich, USA). MDA-MB-231WT, MDA-MB-231Trans−ER α, and MCF-7 cells were seeded (1 × 104 cells/well) in 96-well plates. After 24, 48, 72, 96, 120, and 144 h incubation, 20 μL 5 mg/mL MTT solution was added to each well, and the plate was further incubated at 37°C for 4 h. Then, the medium was aspirated and 200 μL dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was added to each well. After the formazan crystals had dissolved, the absorbance was determined spectrophotometrically at 492 nm using a BioTek μQuant™ reader (BioTek, USA).

2.5. Cell Scratch Assay of Migration Ability. Cell migration ability was quantitated using the cell scratch assay. Briefly, MDA-MB-231Trans−ER α and MDA-MB-231WT cells (2 × 104 cells) at 0%, 20%, 40%, 70%, and 100% ERα+/ERα− ratios were placed into each well of a six-well plate ensuring that each well was coated with cells. Then, a 1 mL pipette tip was used to scratch cells at the bottom of the well, and the plates were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) three times to remove the displaced scratched cells. Cells were cultured in an incubator at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO2. Images of the samples were captured at 24 h and 48 h using the Eclipse TS100 microscope (Nikon, Japan), and this procedure was repeated three times.

2.6. Transwell Assay of Cell Migration. Transwell cell culture inserts were coated with appropriately diluted Matrigel and 2 mL of the cell suspension from each group was added to the Transwell chamber, which was then immersed into a 24-well plate. After incubating at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO2 for 48 h, the Transwell chamber was washed gently with PBS, the cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, and then the cells on the membrane surface of the upper chamber side were wiped with a cotton swab, retaining the cells on the surface of the lower chamber side. The number of invading cells was counted under an Eclipse TS100 microscope.

2.7. Xenograft Mouse Breast Cancer Model. Female-specific, pathogen-free, (SPF)-Balb/c mice weighing 21 ± 1.2 g were brought from the DaShuo Company (Chengdu, China). The animal study was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. MDA-MB-231 cells at 0%, 20%, 40%, 70%, and 100% ERα+/ERα− ratios and MCF-7 cells were transplanted into the right dorsal side of each mouse at 1 × 106 cells/mouse for each cell line. Then, 25 MDA-MB-231 tumor-bearing mice were divided into five groups (n = 5 each) and the MCF-7 cell tumor-bearing mice served as the control (n = 5).

2.8. Reverse Transcription-Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR). RNA was extracted from cell and tissue samples from the MDA-MB-231Trans−ER α/MDA-MB-231WT group with different ratios. Specific primers were used to amplify the
ERα, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2),
and progesterone receptor (PR) from the cDNA. Briefly, 1 μg of
the total RNA was reverse transcribed in a total reaction
volume of 20 μL using 1 μL of each of iScript reverse tran-
scriptase and 5 × iScript reaction mix. The resulting cDNA
was then diluted to 20 μL with RNase-free water (H₂O) and
each RT-PCR sample consisted of 1 μL diluted RT product,
1 × SYBR Premix Ex Taq™ II 10 μL, and 0.4 μmol each of the
forward and reverse primers. Reactions were conducted by
using an LC480 system (Roche, USA) for 40 cycles (95°C for
5 s and 60°C for 30 s) after an initial 30 s incubation at 95°C.

2.9. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) and Immunofluorescence
(IF) Assay. Tumor tissues were fixed in 10% buffered for-
malin, embedded in paraffin, and cut into sections, which
were then dewaxed with dimethyl-benzene and hydrated
with different decreasing concentrations of ethanol (100%,
95%, 85%, 70%, and 50%). The endogenous peroxidase
activity was blocked by incubating the sections in a 3%
hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) solution, followed by unmasking
of the antigenic epitope with citrate buffer. (K he re-
soaking of the antigenic epitope with citrate buffer.
Then, the sections were blocked by incubation in blocking
solution, followed by incubation with primary antibodies
against vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), TNF-α,
and ER (IF) and the appropriate secondary antibody. Finally, 3,3′-
diaminobenzidine (DAB, Beyotime, China) substrate solution
was applied to the sections to develop the color of the an-
tibody staining. (K he pathologicalsectionsfromeachgroup
was applied to the sections to develop the color of the an-
tibody staining. (K he re-

2.10. Western Blot Assay. The tumor tissues were lysed in
lysis buffer and then centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 15 min at
4°C. The protein concentration was determined using a
bicinchoninic acid (BCA) kit (Beyotime, China). A total of
50 μg of protein was separated using 8% sodium dodecyl
sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and
transferred onto a polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) mem-
brane (Merck Millipore, USA). (K he membranes were
transferred onto a polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) mem-
brane (Merck Millipore, USA). (K he membranes were

3. Results

3.1. Identification of ERα Transfection. As illustrated in
Figure 1(a), the MDA-MB-231 WT cells were successfully and
stably transfected with pEGFP-C1-ERα, which emitted
green fluorescence and were designated as MDA-MB-
231 Trans ER. The Western blot analysis results showed that
ERα was strongly expressed in the transfected MDA-
231 Trans ER, indicating that the pEGFP-C1-ERα plasmid
induced strong ERα expression in the MDA-MB-231 WT cells
(Figure 1(b)). Next, to identify the biological function of the
MDA-MB-231 Trans ER, its optical density (OD) as well as
those of the MDA-MB-231 WT and MCF-7 cells were detected
from day 1 to day 6 (Figure 1(c)). Transfection of MDA-
231 WT with ERα significantly inhibited cell proliferation
(P < 0.05), indicating that ERα affected cell viability.

To detect the ERα cell and tumor tissue expression of
different ERα+/ERα− ratio groups, immunofluorescence
and RT-PCR were used (Figure 2). The results showed a
gradual reduction in the expression of ERα protein, which
was correlated with the ERα+/ERα− ratio in vitro
(Figure 2(a)). However, the ERα gene expression in the
tumor tissue gradually increased consistently with different
ERα+/ERα− ratios (Figure 2(b)). The results revealed that the
MDA-MB-231 Trans ER/MDA-MB-231 WT model group was
successfully established.

3.2. ERα+/ERα− Ratios Contributed to Regulate Cell Invasion
and Migration. To study the invading capacity of cells,
The Transwell test was used. As shown in Figure 3(a), the number
of invading breast cancer cells at different ERα+/ERα− ratios
(100%, 70%, 50%, 20%, and 0%) was 249 ± 6, 404 ± 28,
430 ± 25, 401 ± 25, and 361 ± 20 cells, respectively. According
to the results, the highest cellular invasion capacity was
observed at 40% and 70% ERα+/ERα− ratio. Furthermore,
we investigated the effects of ERα+/ERα− ratio on cell
migration and Figure 3(b) shows that scratched closure areas
were observed for 48 h at various ratios of 0%, 20%, 40%, 70%,
and 100%. Compared to the migration rate of the
MCF-7 control cells, the values were 25.7 ± 2.5%,
31.7 ± 2.5%, 34.3 ± 1.5%, 37.0 ± 2.0%, and 26.7 ± 2.5% in 24 h
(P < 0.05) and 55.3 ± 1.5%, 69.7 ± 2.1%, 77.7 ± 3.5%,
83.7 ± 5.0%, and 45.0 ± 3.0% in 48 h (P < 0.05) for cells at
ERα+/ERα− ratios of 0%, 20%, 40%, 70%, and 100%, re-
spectively. Based on these results, the greatest cell migration
occurred at 40% and 70% ERα+/ERα− ratios.
The results showed that cell invasion and migration were more significantly stable at 40% and 70% ERα+/ERα− ratios than they were at the other ratios, including 100% ERα+/ERα− ratio. Thus, these findings indicate that various ERα+/ERα− ratios might affect cell functions in breast cancer.

3.3. ERα+/ERα− Ratios Were Influential in Tumor Cytokine Expression. To investigate the relationship of TNF-α and VEGF expression to tumor progression, the tumor microenvironment of different ERα+/ERα− ratio groups was immunohistochemically analyzed. As illustrated in Figure 4, TNF-α and VEGF showed a weakly positive (+) expression in the 100% ERα+/ERα− ratio group, but were both strongly positive (+++) in the 40% and 70% ERα+/ERα− ratio groups. Furthermore, both the TNF-α and VEGF expression was strongly positive (+++) and higher than the medium positive (+) level observed with 0% and 20% ERα+/ERα− ratio groups (P < 0.05). These results indicate that the 70% ERα+/ERα− ratio could be considered an approximate cutoff value for levels that affect tumor progression.

3.4. ERα+/ERα− Ratios Affected Tumor Microenvironment. To further investigate the effect of the ERα+/ERα− ratio on the breast cancer microenvironment, tumor-associated (M2) macrophage polarization, which is related to the negative immune environment, and BRCA1/HER2 protein expression that correlates to breast cancer proliferation were evaluated in the tumor microenvironment. The results of the flow cytometric assessment of the polarization rate of M2 macrophages (Figure 5(a)) showed that the percentage of F4/80+ and Ly-6C+ cells, which represent M2 macrophages, was 4.9 ± 0.7%, 6.2 ± 0.6%, 7.2 ± 0.5%, 7.7 ± 0.5%, and 5.0 ± 0.6% for the 0%, 20%, 40%, 70%, and 100% ERα+/ERα− ratios groups, respectively. Furthermore, the 70% ERα+/ERα− ratio group showed a significant M2 macrophage increase (P < 0.05). Moreover, the analysis of BRCA1 and HER2 protein expression showed higher levels in the 40% and 70% ERα+/ERα− ratio groups than in the other groups, especially the 70% ERα+/ERα− ratio group (Figure 5(b)).

4. Discussion
The invasiveness and migration capacity of breast tumor cells are currently known to correlate with ER expression, and in this study, we confirmed that breast cancer treatment was the most effective with an essential balance of the ERα+/ERα− ratio status. In this pilot study, transfected MDA-MB-231WT (ER−) and MDA-MB-231Trans−ER (ER+) cells were used to determine the effect of cell biofunctions in breast cancer at different ERα+/ERα− ratios. At the 70% ERα+/ERα− ratio, MDA-MB-231Trans−ER and MDA-MB-231WT cell models showed the strongest cell invasion and migration in vitro as well as the highest M2 macrophage polarization and VEGF, TNF-α, BRCA1, and HER2 expression levels in the tumor microenvironment. These findings indicate that the degree of tumor malignancy was the highest at a specific ERα ratio of approximately 70%, rather than 100%.

Breast cancer is the most frequent female endocrine-associated malignancy, and the main comprehensive treatments include surgery, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, endocrine therapy, and biological targeted therapy [4, 11, 12]. Although the ER includes ERα, ERβ, and ERγ
subtypes, the expression of ERβ and ERγ is weak in breast cancer cells. Previous studies suggest that not only ER is the most effective predictor of the response of patients with breast cancer to endocrine therapy but also the extranuclear function of ER plays an important role in cell proliferation, movement, and metastasis [13]. Thus, determining the site and status of breast tumor ER is vital to effectively and successfully treat patients and ultimately improve outcomes and survival rates [12]. The results of the National Cancer Database and the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) program indicate that while single ER+ or PR+ tumor subtypes indicate a worse prognosis than ER+/PR+ tumors, ER−/PR− tumors exhibit a similar prognosis to that of the ER−/PR− subtype [14–16]. Furthermore, the ER and PR status may change during the development and treatment of breast cancer [17]. Moreover, previous studies have reported a U-shaped relationship between the expression of the ERα and the risk of bone and visceral metastasis of breast cancer, and the ERα-target expression was shifted to the positive side. These observations indicate that the ER+/ER− ratio is correlated with the malignant bioactivity and the growth capacity of breast cancer.

**Figure 2:** ERα expression (red) in vitro and in vivo. (a) In vitro evaluation of ERα expression in cocultured MDA-MB-231 Trans-ER (ERα+) and MDA-MB-231 WT (ERα−) cells at different ratios and MCF-7 alone group. In the 100% ERα+/ERα− ratio group, ERα protein expression was as high as observed in MCF-7 cells. With decreasing ERα+/ERα− ratio, the expression of ERα protein also decreased gradually. At the 0% ERα+/ERα− ratio group, ERα protein did not express at all. (b) Analysis of ERα gene expression by RT-PCR at different ERα+/ERα− ratio groups in tumor tissue. ERα expression decreased proportionally with decrease in the ERα+/ERα− ratio.
Therefore, the conversion among ER+/PR+, single ER+, and ER−/PR needs be clearly elucidated.

Previous studies have reported that the ER+ function was not always consistent and linear [8]. For instance, the risk of bone metastasis in ER+ patients is higher than that in ER-negative patients, whereas, in contrast, with bone metastasis, the risk of visceral metastasis in ER+ patients is lower than that in ER− patients [8]. Garcia et al. [9] and Bandyopadhyay et al. [10] reported that the ER effectively reduced cell invasion and bone metastasis. Moreover, because of the heterogeneity of tumors, the definition of ER positivity is ≥ 1% stained cells, and only a few tumors tissue show no ER expression or are at 100% [18]. Moreover, during tumor development, some tumor cells with positive and negative HRs could be switched [13, 19]. Experiments by Koibuchi et al. [20] in nude mice during this process confirmed that by suppressing estrogen levels in athymic mice, TAM reduced the expression of ERα. However, Noguchi et al. [21] recognized that TAM enhances the expression of ERα in human breast cancer. The data showed...
that women with ER+ primary tumors that changed to ER− had a significant 48% increased risk of death [19]. Previous studies have confirmed that for ER+ and PR+ patients, selective ER modulators (SERM) were effective at 60%–70% [22]. However, for ER− and PR− patients, the effective rate was approximately 10% and nearly 50% of ERα+ patients did not respond to TAM [22].

In addition, Src-kinase, mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), and phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K) pathways are associated with the ER-extranuclear signaling by rapidly responding to cytosolic estradiol. Emerging evidence indicates that the ER participates in extranuclear signaling through the formation of a multiprotein complex called "signal some" [23]. Moreover, the endogenous acid and leucine-rich protein (PELP1) was proposed to mediate the intranuclear function of ER+ cells and the ER-Src-PELP1-ILK1 pathway shows potential as a novel therapeutic target for ER+ metastasis. BRCA1+ tumors are usually accompanied by ER− expression and P53 expression [24]. In the tumor microenvironment, TNF-α secreted by tumor-associated M2 macrophages could increase the expression of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) and VEGF through the Jun-c N-terminal kinase (JNK) and nuclear factor (NF)-kB pathways to increase their invasiveness [25, 26].

In addition, although ER is a predictor of breast cancer, the prognosis involves a dynamic variation rule and is affected by the biological balance of the tumor microenvironment. Our study demonstrated that the representative breast cancer cells, which were ERα+ or ERα−, interacted with each other. The long-term use of estrogen inhibitors alters the status and percentage of the ERα+/ERα− ratio of the tumor microenvironment. Furthermore, overuse of estrogen inhibitors may disrupt the equilibrium of the ER+/ER− ratio, causing unexpected adverse effects. Both high and negative ERα expression are risk factors for breast cancer. Therefore, it is not ideal to inhibit the expression of ERα+ cells or to increase that of ERα−. Furthermore, this study showed the effect of different ERα+/ERα− ratios on VEGF and TGF-β expression, which would guide the use of VEGFR or TGF-β inhibitors in the treatment of patients with breast cancer.

![Graphs showing TNF-α and VEGF expression analysis in tumor tissue by immunohistochemical assay.](image)
cancer who have different ERα+/ERα− ratios. However, we did not record or examine the overall survival difference between patients with ERα+/ERα− high and ERα+/ERα− low statuses.

Furthermore, in the present study, although the M2 macrophage polarization and expression of VEGF, TNF-α, BRCA1, and HER2 showed differences among the different ERα+/ERα− ratio groups, the tumor size was not significantly different. In addition, cytokine release in the tumor microenvironment was more sensitive than tumor size growth, and the balance of the ERα+/ERα− ratio was associated with tumor cell invasion and proliferation, which provided a nonlinear hormone microenvironment for tumor growth. Thus, these phenomena further illustrate that the role and of the ERα+/ERα− ratio needs to be clearly recognized and appropriately modulated to fully understand the malignant biological behavior of breast cancer. Furthermore, the balance of the ERα+/ERα− ratio might be an independent treatment factor and could guide treatment decisions.

5. Conclusions

Breast cancer cell biology did not exhibit a linear function according to the ERα+ level. Different ERα+/ERα− ratios correlated with different biofunctions. Consequently, the ER expression level requires constant monitoring during endocrine treatment, which could be related to hormone therapy time. The results of this study indicate a predictive role for the ERα+/ERα− ratio in ER+ breast cancer prevention.
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