

Review Article

The Effect of Traditional Chinese Exercises on Blood Pressure in Patients with Hypertension: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Jiewei Ma 💿, Lianzhen Ma 💿, Shihan Lu 💿, Yue Sun 💿, and Haipeng Bao 💿

School of Physical Education and Sports Science, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Lianzhen Ma; malianzhen@qq.com

Received 18 October 2022; Revised 9 January 2023; Accepted 21 January 2023; Published 9 February 2023

Academic Editor: Woon-Man Kung

Copyright © 2023 Jiewei Ma et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In the context of the increasing number of patients with hypertension, exercise intervention is an excellent alternative or adjunctive treatment for hypertension. Traditional Chinese exercises are excellent physical and mental exercise methods. Although some studies have reviewed the effects of Chinese traditional exercise on patients with hypertension, most of the reviews only involved a single category of traditional exercise. Furthermore, few studies have conducted in-depth analysis of the combined intervention methods of traditional Chinese exercise, and there are high heterogeneity. This study evaluates the current clinical evidence of Chinese traditional exercises in the treatment of essential hypertension. A total of 49 randomized controlled trials with 4207 hypertensive patients were selected according to the inclusion criteria by searching all relevant studies from the establishment of six electronic databases until September 10, 2022. Among them, 24 used tai chi and 25 used Qigong, including Yijinjing, Wuqinxi, Liuzijue, Baduanjin, and Guolin Qigong. This study divided four subgroups according to the type of intervention to explore the source of heterogeneity among studies and found that traditional Chinese exercises can assist or even may replace traditional treatments. The results of meta-analysis showed that compared with the use of antihypertensive drugs alone or in health education, the addition of Chinese traditional exercises showed significant effects in regulating the systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure in hypertensive patients. Although the results show that traditional Chinese exercise are effective, the clinical evidence will be affected by the low quality of most randomized controlled trials. More rigorously designed trials are needed in the future to further validate it.

1. Introduction

Due to the rapid development of human society, people's diet structure and living habits have changed greatly. The number of patients with hypertension has increased rapidly on account of the excessive intake of high-protein and high-fat food, irregular rest, lack of exercise, smoking and drinking, and other bad behaviors. Hypertension is a clinical syndrome in which blood pressure in the blood vessels continuously rises and is considered the "silent killer" [1]. More than a billion people which include more than a quarter of men and a fifth of women are suffering from this disease, and it will be 1.56 billion by 2025, according to the World Health Organization [2]. If the blood pressure is higher than the normal level for a long time, it will lead to

myocardial infarction, stroke, coronary heart disease, heart failure, sudden death, and even death if it is not treated in time [3, 4]. At present, there are three main methods to treat hypertension, namely, drug therapy, lifestyle intervention, and device intervention, among which drug therapy is the best way to control the blood pressure level. Now, common antihypertensive drugs include calcium channel blockers (CCB), angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEI) and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARB), diuretics, and beta blockers five classes, but long-term use of antihypertensive drugs has side effects, as the growth of the medication time lead to enhanced resistance [5]. In addition, drug treatment imposes a significant financial burden on the poor. However, the treatment of hypertension is often accompanied by the treatment of lifestyle intervention because most of the hypertension patients are caused by poor lifestyle and primary hypertension. Therefore, lifestyle intervention can effectively reduce the high blood pressure level of some hypertensive patients and effectively reduce the financial pressure of patients. Common lifestyle interventions include reducing sodium intake and increasing potassium intake, eating a reasonable diet, controlling weight, no to smoking, limiting alcohol consumption, increasing exercise, and reducing mental stress [4].

As a lifestyle intervention, exercise therapy has been widely used in hospitals. Research shows that regular exercise can reduce cardiovascular death and all risk of death [6]. To lower blood pressure levels, the researchers recommend 30-60 minutes of moderate-intensity exercise four to seven times a week, in addition to daily activities [7]. The forms of exercise include aerobic exercise, resistance exercise, and stretching exercise [8]. The common traditional Chinese exercises are Taiji, Baduanjin, Yijinjing, Wuqinxi, Liuzijue, and so on. Taiji is basically made up of 24 movements that emphasize gentleness, slowness, relaxation, meditation, and calm breathing; Baduanjin is a Qigong guidance technique composed of eight groups of movements, combined with meditation and regular breathing; Yijinjing is a fitness Qigong composed of twelve movements; Wuqinxi is a fitness Qigong that imitates the activities of tiger, deer, bear, ape, and bird; Liuzijue is a fitness Qigong that affects the movement of different zang-fu organs, meridians, and collaterals, Qi and blood through the different pronunciation of the six words, namely, "Si," "Hu," "Xu," "Chui," and "Xi" [9, 10]. Most traditional Chinese exercises are mind-body exercises that combine body movements, breathing patterns, and meditation [11]. They can not only exercise people's body but also reduce people's psychological stress and fully improve people's physical and mental health. Recently, they have been widely used as an alternative drug treatment for many chronic diseases, such as Parkinson's disease, stroke, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and cancer, and are welcomed by patients with chronic diseases worldwide. For hypertension, Chinese traditional exercise meets the two options of lifestyle intervention to increase exercise and reduce mental stress, which shows that it has the ability to improve the health status of patients with hypertension, and because exercise occupies a small space, it can be exercised at any time in the hospital ward or at home and other places of daily life.

At present, many studies have proved that Tai Chi and Qigong in Chinese traditional exercises can effectively help hypertensive patients to reduce their blood pressure [12, 13] and improve their quality of life [10]. But most of the reviews only cover a single category of Chinese traditional exercises, such as Tai Chi or Baduanjin [14, 15]. Although previous reviews have described the impact of traditional Chinese exercise in hypertensive patients, most of the meta-analyses have high heterogeneity and do not explain the reasons for the high heterogeneity [16], so the reliability of these metaanalysis results is questionable. Moreover, few previous studies have conducted an in-depth analysis of the joint intervention methods of Chinese traditional exercises. Therefore, this study will take Chinese traditional exercises as a whole and systematically review and evaluate the effects of Chinese traditional exercises combined with antihypertensive drugs and health education on the blood pressure level in patients with essential hypertension so as to provide a reference for subsequent researchers.

2. Methods

2.1. Search Strategy and Data Source. This study searched all relevant trials from six electronic databases including PubMed, Web Of Science, Cochrane, CNKI, VIP, and WANFANG DATA from the beginning to September 10, 2022. The search strategy of each database is shown in Table 1. To ensure a rigorous and thorough study search, two authors independently screened and evaluated all articles retrieved from the database according to the inclusion criteria, and disagreements were discussed and resolved with the third author.

2.2. Eligibility Criteria. In accordance with PICOS (participant, intervention, comparison, outcome, study), the eligibility criteria for this systematic review were as follows:

- (1) Patients with essential hypertension and no restrictions on nationality, gender, or age
- (2) Must be randomized controlled trials
- (3) The main intervention measure of experimental groups was Tai Chi or Qigong. Qigong included Wuqinxi, Baduan Jin, Yijinjing, Liuzijue, and other Qigong
- (4) The intervention measure of control groups included drug therapy, health education, other sports, and no intervention
- (5) In addition to hypertension, no other serious diseases, such as diabetes, coronary heart disease, apoplexy, and renal failure
- (6) Blood pressure (systolic and diastolic) was measured

The criteria for exclusion were as follows:

- (1) No data for extraction
- (2) Repeated experimental data appeared in several articles
- (3) The required outcome measures, SBP (systolic blood pressure) and DBP (diastolic blood pressure), were not available
- (4) Study protocol
- (5) The full text could not be found or is unavailable

2.3. Data Extraction. The data extraction work shall be carried out by two authors, respectively. If there is any disagreement between the two authors in this step, the third author shall solve the problem. In this study, the following data were extracted: the first author of the article, year of publication, sample size, diagnostic criteria for hypertension, age of the participants and blood pressure levels before and after treatment, details of the intervention, and outcome

Table	1:	The	search	strategy	of	data	bases
-------	----	-----	--------	----------	----	------	-------

Databases	Search strategy
	((Tai chi[Title/Abstract]) OR (Tai ji [Title/Abstract]) OR (Tai-ji [Title/Abstract]) OR
PubMed	(Baduanjin [Title/Abstract]) OR (Wuqinxi [Title/Abstract]) OR (Liuzijue [Title/
1 ubivied	Abstract]) OR (Yijinjing [Title/Abstract]) OR (Qigong [Title/Abstract])) AND
	(Hypertension [Title/Abstract])
	((TS = (qigong)) OR TS = (Tai chi) OR TS = (Taiji) OR TS = (Tai-ji) OR
Web of science	TS = (Baduanjin) OR TS = (Wuqinxi) OR TS = (Liuzijue) OR TS = (Yijinjing)) AND
	TS = (Hypertension)
	(("Tai Chi"): ti, ab, kw OR ("Taiji"): ti, ab, kw OR ("Tai-ji"): ti, ab, kw OR
Cochrane	("Buduanjin"): ti, ab, kw OR ("Wuqinxi"): ti, ab, kw OR ("Liuzijue"): ti, ab, kw OR
	("qigong"): ti, ab, kw OR ("yijinjing"): ti, ab, kw) and ("Hypertension"): ti, ab, kw
	SU%
CNKI	("Taijiquan" + "Baduanjin" + "Wuqinxi" + "Liuzijue" + "Yijinjing" + "Qigong") and
	SU = "Gaoxueya"
COVID	M = (Qigong OR Yijinjing OR Wuqinxi OR Liuzijue OR Baduanjin OR Taiji) AND
CQVIP	M = Gaoxueya
MANEANIC DATA	Title or keyword: (Qigong or Taiji or Yijinjing or Wuqinxi or Liuzijue or Baduanjin)
WANFANG DATA	and title or keyword: (Gaoxueya)

measures. The EndNote 20 reference management tool was used to organize papers and generate citations.

2.4. Risk of Bias Assessment. The criteria in the revised Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomized trails, RoB2, were used to independently assess the methodological quality of trials [17]. The items included 5 domains of bias: bias arising from the randomization process, bias due to deviations from intended interventions, bias due to missing outcome data, bias in measurement of the outcome, and bias in selection of the reported result.

2.5. Statistical Analysis. Review Manager software (RevMan 5.4, Cochrane Collaboration, 2022) will be used to perform the meta-analysis. Analyses were performed using the mean, standard deviation, and number of participants for each study and a random effects model. The chi-square test and *i*-squared statistic were used to assess heterogeneity between studies and were considered significant when *i*-squared was greater than 50%. In addition, if at least 10 trials were included in the meta-analysis, publication bias was assessed using funnel plot asymmetry. When p < 0.05, the results will be considered statistically significant.

2.6. Subgroup Analysis. To avoid high heterogeneity among the studies, the analyses were divided into four subgroups according to the type of intervention (TCE (traditional Chinese exercise) vs. Nonintervention, TCE + Health Education versus only Health Education. TCE + AHD (antihypertensive drug) versus only AHD, TCE + Health Education + AHD versus Health Education + AHD).

2.7. Protocol Registration. This systematic review and metaanalysis were conducted according to the PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews [18], and the registration number was CRD42022360208. PROSPERO 2022 CRD42022360208 was available from https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php? ID=CRD42022360208.

3. Results

3.1. Study Search Result. The process of study search and selection is shown in Figure 1. A total of 2079 articles were retrieved from the databases: PubMed (n = 115), Web of Science (n = 254), Cochrane (n = 95), CNKI (n = 641), CQVIP (n = 359), and WANFANG (n = 615). After eliminating duplicate studies, 1094 articles remained. After reading the title and abstract, 981 articles were screened, and 113 articles were included in the full-text screening. Through the entire reading, 64 studies were excluded for the following reasons: nonrandomized controlled trial (n = 21), no control group (n = 7), no relevant outcome measures (n = 8), data could not be extracted (n = 15), intervention measures other than traditional Chinese exercise (n = 4), patients with other serious diseases (n = 6), and similar trial data (n = 3). Finally, a total of 49 articles were included in the study.

3.2. Characteristics of Included Studies. The basic characteristics of all the included articles are shown in Table 2. All included RCTS were published between 1997 and 2021. The 49 studies involved a total of 4207 patients between the ages of 40 and 80, including 2168 in the intervention group and 2039 in the control group. The traditional Chinese exercise intervention types included in the study were Tai Chi [21, 23, 25, 28, 33, 34, 40, 44, 45, 32, 36, 37, 41, 43-45, 50-57, 59-61, 65, 66], Baduanjin [22, 24, 26, 27, 30, 33, 40, 49, 58, 62, 64, 67, 68], Wuqinxi [39], Yijinjing [69], Liuzijue [63], Mawangdui Qigong [20], and other Qigong [12, 19, 29, 34, 35, 42, 47, 48]. The intervention group included only Chinese traditional exercise, Chinese traditional exercise combined with antihypertensive drugs, Chinese traditional exercise combined with health education, and Chinese traditional exercise combined with health education and antihypertensive drugs. The control group included blank control, walking only, antihypertensive drugs



FIGURE 1: PRISMA flow diagram.

only, health education only, aerobic exercise only, walking combined with antihypertensive drugs, and health education combined with antihypertensive drugs. The drugs involved in the included studies were nifedipine, Norvasc, Telmisartan, Amlodipine Besylate, Calcium Channel Blocker, and Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitor, Angiotensin Receptor Blocker, Diuretic, Amlodipine, Cilazpril, Thiazide Diuretic, and Tian Ma Gou Teng Yin. The duration of the included interventions ranged from 6 weeks to 24 months, with sessions ranging from 15 to 75 minutes and frequency of exercise ranging from 2 to 14 times per week. In 49 studies, blood pressure was used as the outcome index.

3.3. Risk of Bias. Figures 2 and 3 show the quality assessment of the included RCTS. All trials mentioned random assignment of subjects, but only 23 trials clearly explained the process of random sequence generation, and none of the others mentioned detailed randomization methods. For allocation concealment, only 8 studies used opaque envelopes, computer random assignment, etc., to conceal allocation from researchers and subjects, 14 studies used the random number table method for allocation, and 3 trials were grouped according to age, test data, etc. Other studies did not explicitly describe allocation concealment. In addition, only two studies blinded subjects and participants, three trials mentioned no blinding, and none of the others explicitly described whether blinding was performed. Only four studies blinded the outcome assessors. But the assessors

felt that exercise therapy was not truly double-blind and that the outcome assessors had little impact on the results. In conclusion, more than half of the literature was at a risk of quality, and three of them were at a high risk.

3.4. Study Results. All included trials [12–18, 21, 23, 25, 28, 36, 41, 43–45, 54, 55, 57, 61, 66] and [20, 22, 24, 26, 27, 30–33, 37–39, 49–53, 56, 58–60, 62–65, 67–69] compared the effects of traditional Chinese exercise therapy alone or in combination with antihypertensive drugs on blood pressure levels in patients with essential hypertension. All randomized controlled trials reported changes in blood pressure levels, and the results of the meta-analysis are shown in Table 3.

3.5. TCE Intervention for Hypertension. A total of 4207 participants were included in 49 trials of TCE intervention to explore the effect of traditional Chinese exercise on blood pressure in hypertensive patients. It can be seen from Figures 4 and 5 that SBP of hypertensive patients was significantly reduced in the Chinese traditional exercise group compared with the control group (MD = -9.88, 95% CI: [-11.94, -7.82], p < 0.00001, and $I^2 = 85\%$). There was also a significant reduction in DBP in hypertensive patients (MD = -5.57, 95% CI: [-6.88, -4.27], p < 0.00001, and $I^2 = 82\%$). But due to high heterogeneity between studies in the following paragraphs depending on the type of intervention is divided into four subgroups to analyze the source of heterogeneity among studies.

					TABLE	2: The basic	characterist	tics of inclu	ided studies.					
	Example (_F	erson)	Average a	ge (year)	Interventio	uc		Blood	pressure		Exerc	ise prescr	iption	
tudy	Experimental group	Control group	Experimental group	Control group	Experimental group	Control group	Experimen SBP	ıtal group DBP	Control SBP	group DBP	Frequency (weekdy)	Time (min)	Duration	Drug
heung : al. [19]	47	41	57.2 ± 9.5	51.2 ± 7.4	Guolin qigong	Walking	146.3 ± 7.8	93 ± 4.1	140.9 ± 10.9	93.1 ± 3.5	7	75	16 weeks	
lhen 20]	30	30	NR	NR	Mawangdui qigong	Z	155.3 ± 12.7	103.2 ± 13.5	154.6 ± 11.8	102.7 ± 10.7	5	44	6 months	
Then and u [21]	50	18	NR	NR	Tai Chi + AHD	AHD	169.5 ± 21.1	93.5 ± 7.9	170.9 ± 20.4	94.9 ± 6.7	9	30	12 weeks	NR
hou 22]	40	40	59 ± 6	60 ± 5	Baduanjin + AHD	Walking + AHD	158.5 ± 12.5	101.6 ± 8.4	160.2 ± 11.6	100.8 ± 9.2	14	20	24 weeks	Nifedipine
Jhen t al. [23]	238	203	NR	NR	Tai Chi + HE	HE	141.91 ± 9.42	82.26 ± 7.87	141.77 ± 8.91	81.7 ± 7.98	5	60	24 months	
Chen t al. [24]	32	32	68.04 ± 9.69	65.71 ± 8.71	Baduanjin + AHD + HE	AHD + HE	166.76 ± 10.86	97.18 ± 6.86	167.43 ± 14.61	96.32 ± 9.18	5	30	6 months	NR
Chen and u [25]	20	20	64.3	60.7	Taichi + AHD	AHD	150.4 ± 7.7	97.8±6.5	151.6 ± 8.9	99.7 ± 5.1	4	40	10 weeks	Nifedipine
Jong and Thang 261	30	30	NR	NR	Baduanjin + HE	HE	148.73 ± 3.13	94.87 ± 1.66	148.27 ± 2.16	95.27 ± 1.57	14	15-20	2 months	
201 Dong tt al. [27]	21	21	NR	NR	Baduanjin + AHD	AHD	137.25 ± 10.13	92.75 ± 6.4	138.51 ± 8.12	92.52 ± 6.83	r.	60	4 months	Norvasc\Telmisartan\Amlodipine Besylate
eng t al. [28]	36	37	66.33 ± 4.74	67.51 ± 4.09	Tai Chi + AHD	Walking + AHD	144.17 ± 8.96	81.39 ± 8.67	145.22 ± 11.31	81.89 ± 8.88	ю	60	12 weeks	NR
u [29]	30	30	57.93 ± 6.63	59.53 ± 7.46	Qigong	AHD	134.93 ± 7.08	78.16±4.13	136.3 ± 7.88	80.13 ± 4.48	9	40	3 months	Calcium channel blocker\ angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor\angiotensin receptor
He [30]	42	42	68.51 ± 2.97	68.24 ± 2.45	Baduanjin + AHD + HE	AHD + HE	140.67 ± 6.45	81.23 ± 7.72	139.87 ± 7.04	81.77 ± 8.02	Ŋ	30	3 months	Blocker/Duretic NR
ruang Zhanmei	77	77	NR	NR	Baduanjin + HE	HE	147.32 ± 5.35	93.98 ± 2.73	148.34 ± 5.32	94.14 ± 2.7	10	30	3 months	
21.] [sai [32]	37	39	51.6 ± 16.3	50.5 ± 9.8	Taichi	Ν	142.4 ± 8.6	87.4 ± 8.7	148.2 ± 8.8	86.2 ± 8.4	3	50	12 weeks	
iang t al. [33]	50	50	64.67 ± 3.15	65.23 ± 3.23	Baduanjin + AHD + HE	AHD + HE	155.67 ± 12.37	95.68 ± 9.69	159.87 ± 13.69	95.88 ± 10.17	10	30	12 weeks	Amlodipine
Park et al. 34]	19	21	52 ± 4.87	53.85 ± 4.49	Qigong	Ν	134.14 ± 9.63	89 ± 0.894	140.48 ± 10.02	89 ± 0.894	5	30	8 weeks	
ark et al. 35]	25	27	54.52 ± 6.96	52.93 ± 8.45	Qigong	Ν	134.45 ± 10.41	85.23 ± 6.43	130.47 ± 13.93	85.41 ± 7.83	5	50	12 weeks	
ing and 3uys [36]	136	130	NR	NR	Tai Chi+AHD	AHD	130.71 ± 16.65	82.21 ± 7.94	130.46 ± 15.97	81.92 ± 8.25	5 h/wı	sek	12 months	NR
in and ang [37]	27	27	NR	NR	Tai Chi	AHD	152.44 ± 16.21	92.92 ± 14.35	150.24 ± 11.36	97.19 ± 9.35	7	40	6 weeks	Amlodipine
iang t al. [27]	30	30	54.8 ± 7.6	55.7 ± 8.8	Baduanjin + AHD	Walking + AHD	158.6 ± 12.3	101.7 ± 8.5	160.1 ± 11.7	100.9 ± 9.1	10	20	6 months	NR
in Fan 38]	27	28	61.26 ± 3.74	62.03 ± 3.51	Baduanjin	AHD	147.93 ± 6.6	88.56±7.65	148.8 ± 6.65	88.57 ± 8.21	14	30	12 weeks	Amlodipine Besylate
in and Juang 391	68	59	NR	NR	Wuqinxi + AHD	AHD	132.13 ± 13.8	78.87 ± 11.04	133.12 ± 18.32	78.37 ± 11.15	9	30	3 months	NR
Ziu and	58	58	NR	NR	Baduanjin + AHD	AHD	149.3 ± 12.5	97.8±12.6	148.7 ± 13.2	96.4 ± 10.7	ιΩ	30	6 months	Amlodipine Besylate
lu [41]	80	77	43 ± 6.57	42.6 ± 5.67	Tai Chi + AHD + HE	AHD + HE	158.76 ± 19.24	98.37 ± 10.01	157.59 ± 18.65	98.35 ± 9.26	14	40	24 weeks	Nifedipine
42]	25	11	NR	NR	Qigong	Ν	159.3 ± 14.7	90.075 ± 7.8	159.975 ± 13.95	91.875 ± 13.725	5-6	60	10 weeks	
iu et al. 43]	35	35	62.4 ± 2.4	63.1 ± 2.1	Tai Chi + AHD	AHD	157.96 ± 15.24	97.24 ± 6.58	158.45 ± 15.73	97.85 ± 6.58	7	40-60	6 months	Cilazpril

Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine

	-	-		~				7			r.			
	Example (]	person)	Average a	age (year)	Interventio	п		Blood	pressure		EXERCIS	e prescrif	nonc	
Study	Experimental group	Control group	Experimental group	Control group	Experimental group	Control group	Experiment SBP	tal group DBP	Control SBP	group DBP	Frequency (weekly)	Time (min)	Duration	Drug
Luo [44]	44	40	44.74 ± 12.1	44.86 ± 13.05	Tai Chi + AHD	AHD	163.24 ± 12.56	98.78 ± 8.37	161.17 ± 11.93	99.87 ± 94.65	7	45	6 months	Cilazpril
Ma et al. [45] Mao	55	58	70.24 ± 10.25	69.71 ± 10.84	Tai Chi+AHD+HE	AHD + HE	149.06 ± 19.51	90.74 ± 8.24	150.19 ± 18.3	89.16 ± 9.37	3-5	60	24 weeks	NR
MaU Hongni [46]	51	11	45-70	52-72	Tai Chi + AHD	AHD	162.74 ± 26.36	93.66±13.72	161.72 ± 64.96	94.09 ± 38.71	9	60	8 weeks	NR
Lee et al. [47]	29	29	55.8 ± 5.3	61.6 ± 6.6	Qigong	Ν	146.96 ± 9.57	92.75 ± 5.27	148.62 ± 12.16	95.51 ± 5.72	7	30	10 weeks	
Lee et al. [48]	17	19	52.6 ± 5.1	54.3 ± 5.5	Qigong	Ν	152 ± 10.5	97.2 ± 6.5	150 ± 11.8	93.8 ± 6.2	2	30	8 weeks	
Pan and Feng [49]	24	24	62.1 ± 5.8	61.4 ± 7.1	Baduanjin + AHD	AHD	145.2 ± 3.7	94.5 ± 4.1	144.6 ± 3.9	95.3 ± 3.4	10	45	24 weeks	Thiazide Diuretic/Tian Ma Gou Teng Yin
Qi et al. [50]	30	30	59.73 ± 4.35	60.68 ± 8.06	Tai Chi	Ν	151.26 ± 10.53	87.65 ± 10.42	152.01 ± 9.78	86.99 ± 10.42	5	60	12 weeks	
Shou et al. [51]	98	100	52.35 ± 3.26	51.35 ± 4.21	Tai Chi	HE	140.47 ± 8.31	88.79 ± 9.35	141.9 ± 7.93	87.41 ± 9.72	7-14	45-60	3 months	
Sun and Sun [52]	38	42	68.16 ± 4.43	69.10 ± 4.28	Tai Chi	HE	142.79 ± 12.21	86.42 ± 8.56	147.52 ± 6.25	90.24 ± 8.5	7	60	8 weeks	
Sun [53] The feat	32	32	57.19 ± 8.09	57.25 ± 5.63	Tai Chi Tai Chi	N	138.59 ± 24.86	85.47 ± 12.01	141.09 ± 23.44	85.31 ± 11.64	9 0	60	3 months	ΞŢ
Vang [54] Wang	10	10	1/.8±00.00	07./9±/.40	Iai cm + Ahd	ИНИ	68.61 ± /6.661	cc.01 ± 17.66	01.01 ± c0.8c1	98.44±9.8/	'n	06	o montns	INK
and Ye [55]	50	50	67.6 ± 4.5	67.4 ± 4.2	Tai Chi+AHD+HE	AHD + HE	160.74 ± 11.3	93.28 ± 9.26	163.53 ± 10.26	94.84 ± 8.35	б	40-60	3 months	NR
Wang et al. [56]	30	30	NR	NR	Tai Chi	Ν	138.59 ± 10.86	85.47 ± 12.01	141.09 ± 23.44	85.31 ± 11.64	5	60	16 weeks	
Wang [44]	25	25	NR	NR	Shaolin Yijinjing + HE	HE	134.4 ± 8.87	88.32 ± 5.99	131.88 ± 6.61	89.56 ± 4.88	7	06	12 weeks	
Yehong et al. [57]	42	42	72 ± 5.56	70 ± 6.08	Tai Chi+AHD+HE	AHD + HE	151.3 ± 21.8	91 ± 16	144.3 ± 19.6	85.6 ± 10.7	7	30-45	12 months	NR
Xiao et al. [58]	24	24	NR	NR	Baduanjin	Ν	156.6 ± 12.3	101.7 ± 8.5	155.1 ± 10.7	100.9 ± 9.1	ŝ	40	6 months	
Xiao [59]	42	42	60.2 ± 4.6	60.5 ± 4.9	Tai Chi	Aerobic exercise	151.4 ± 10.3	90.8 ± 10.5	151.8 ± 10.2	90.4 ± 10.2	5	60	3 months	
Xie and Bai [60]	25	25	NR	NR	Tai Chi	Ν	154.13 ± 7.69	97.78 ± 8.06	153.55 ± 9.8	97 ± 9.6	ŝ	60	12 weeks	
Xu [61] Vang and	30	30	38.07 ± 8.09	37.63 ± 9.09	Tai Chi+AHD+HE	AHD + HE	158.4 ± 8.8	97.5 ± 4.2	159.1 ± 7.5	96.7 ± 5	14	10	8 weeks	NR
Zheng [62]	33	34	63.64 ± 2.47	62.76 ± 1.92	Baduanjin + AHD + HE	AHD + HE	150 ± 10.5	100 ± 7	148 ± 12	101 ± 5.25	Ω.	30	12 weeks	Lercanidipine\Losartan potassium
Zheng et al. [63]	21	20	65.19 ± 6.47	60.70 ± 8.01	Liuzijue + AHD	AHD + HE	142.57 ± 16.18	82.52 ± 9.12	130.6 ± 14.75	84.55 ± 11.9	3	60	12 weeks	NR
Zheng et al. [64]	26	27	NR	NR	Baduanjin + AHD + HE	AHD + HE	134.92 ± 3.76	80.54 ± 4.06	134.44 ± 4.05	80.89 ± 4.34	5	30	24 weeks	Lercanidipine
Zhou [65]	60	60	52.3 ± 10.7	53.4 ± 11.2	Tai Chi	Ν	147.8 ± 5.3	93.2 ± 2.5	148.3 ± 5.8	92.7 ± 2.6	7	60	12 weeks	
NR: not	reported; N: 1	noninterv	ention; AHD:	: antihyperter	sive drugs; HE: healt	h education.								

TABLE 2: Continued.

6



FIGURE 2: Risk of bias graph.

3.6. TCE versus Nonintervention for Hypertension. The TCE vs. nonintervention subgroup included 13 trials with a total of 760 participants. Compared with the nonintervention group, the Chinese traditional exercise group significantly reduced the SBP of hypertensive patients (MD = -14.97, 95% CI: [-19.11, -10.84], p < 0.00001, $I^2 = 74\%$), as shown in Figure 6. Although there was still significant heterogeneity among the studies, it was reduced compared with Figure 4. To further explore the source of heterogeneity, this subgroup was divided into the Qigong group (MD = -12.42, 95% CI: [-19.29, -5.55], *p* < 0.00001, and $I^2 = 76\%$) and Tai Chi group (MD = -18.26, 95% CI: [-22.16, -14.37], p < 0.00001, and $I^2 = 48\%$). It can be seen that after the classification of traditional Chinese sports, the heterogeneity of the Tai Chi group was significantly reduced, but the heterogeneity of the Qigong group was higher. It was preliminarily determined that the heterogeneity was mainly from the Qigong group. A review of data from each study revealed that two studies [39, 58] were designed only for patients with prehypertension, and heterogeneity was significantly reduced after deletion (MD = -18.35, 95% CI: [-20.55, -16.15], *p* < 0.00001, and $I^2 = 7\%$), as shown in Figure 7. Compared with the nonintervention group, the Chinese traditional exercise group significantly reduced the DBP of hypertension patients (MD = -8.77, 95% CI: [-11.30, -6.25], p < 0.00001, and $I^2 = 54\%$), as shown in Figure 8, and there was still a large heterogeneity among studies, but it was significantly reduced compared with Figure 5, which was in an acceptable range, so the random effect model was used.

3.7. TCE + HE versus HE for Hypertension. The TCE + HE vs. HE subgroup was included in 6 trials, with a total of 983 participants. Compared with the health education group only, the Chinese traditional exercise combined with the health education group had a significant effect on reducing the SBP level of hypertensive patients (MD = -7.02, 95% CI: [-8.68, -5.36], p < 0.00001, and $I^2 = 46\%$), as shown in Figure 9. In addition, this subgroup also had a significant effect on reducing the DBP level in hypertensive patients (MD = -3.71, 95% CI: [-4.90, -2.53], p < 0.00001, and

 $I^2 = 45\%$), as shown in Figure 10. When analyzed in this subgroup, heterogeneity was significantly reduced compared with the intervention in the overall TCE group.

3.8. TCE + AHD versus AHD for Hypertension. 16 trials with 1241 participants were included in the TCE + AHD vs. AHD subgroup. Compared with the antihypertensive drug group, the Chinese traditional exercise combined with the antihypertensive drug group had a significant effect on reducing the SBP level in hypertensive patients (MD = -9.34, 95% CI: [-11.16, -7.53], p < 0.00001, and $I^2 = 0\%$), and the heterogeneity was significantly lower than that of the overall TCE group intervention because for $I^2 = 0$, the fixed effects model was used, as shown in Figure 11. Chinese traditional exercise combined with antihypertensive drugs has a significant effect on reducing the DBP level in hypertensive patients (MD = -5.12, 95% CI: [-7.18, -3.05], p < 0.00001, and $I^2 = 51\%$), and heterogeneity was significantly reduced compared with the overall TCE group intervention, as shown in Figure 12 using the random effects model.

3.9. TCE + AHD + HE versus AHD + HE for Hypertension. The TCE + AHD + HE vs. AHD + HE subgroup was included in 10 trials with 840 participants. The Chinese traditional exercise combined with antihypertensive drugs and the health education group had a significant effect on reducing the SBP level of hypertensive patients (MD = -10.84, 95% CI: [-18.20, -3.49], p < 0.004, and $I^2 = 94\%$), also had a significant effect on reducing the DBP level in hypertensive patients (MD = -7.62, 95% CI: [-12.84, -2.41], p < 0.004, and $I^2 = 94\%$), and heterogeneity was increased compared with the overall TCE group intervention, as shown in Figures 13 and 14. Since this subgroup included both traditional Chinese exercise therapy and drug therapy and health education, researchers tried to further analyze its high heterogeneity, such as the traditional exercise type and drug type, but still could not solve the problem of high heterogeneity. The researchers hypothesized that some studies did



not clarify the type of drug used or that there was high heterogeneity due to the intersection of multiple interventions (traditional Chinese exercise, antihypertensive drugs, and health education). Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine

3.10. Publication Bias. Publication bias in 49 RCTS was assessed using funnel plots, and as shown in Figure 15, publication bias in the studies was small.

4. Discussion

Scientific evidence has proved that exercise training is effective in treating hypertension. However, for hypertension patients with poor physical condition, there is a certain risk of moderate and high intensity exercise. Most traditional Chinese exercises are mostly physical and mental exercise with the main purpose of health preservation, which has been widely used in the treatment of various chronic diseases. This study systematically reviewed the previous literature with an objective assessment of the effect of TCE on blood pressure levels in hypertensive patients to find an appropriate treatment.

4.1. Summary of Research Results. According to the study inclusion criteria, 49 randomized controlled trials with a total of 4207 hypertensive patients were selected. The study found that the frequency of traditional exercise practice was 5-7 times per week, and each exercise time was 30-60 minutes, which was the most commonly used test setting in the included studies. According to the results of the meta-analysis, the antihypertensive effect was the most obvious in hypertensive patients undergoing Chinese traditional exercise when compared with the nonintervention group. Secondly, in the TCE + AHD + HE group, under the combination of the treatment of the three intervention methods, it also had a very significant effect on the reduction of blood pressure. The third is the TCE + AHD group, and compared with AHD, it also played a significant antihypertensive effect. Finally, we have the TCE + HE group, and because there is no drug intervention involved, the antihypertensive effect is not as good as the previous groups, but it is also an excellent option for people who cannot take drugs. Therefore, Chinese traditional exercise can effectively reduce blood pressure levels in hypertensive patients and assist drugs and health education treatment to promote the recovery of patients with hypertension.

4.2. Advantages and Limitations. Since Chinese traditional exercises are physical and mental sports with the main purpose of health preservation, the difference between this study and previous studies is that Chinese traditional exercises are regarded as a whole combined with medicine and health education therapy, rather than individual Tai Chi or Baduanjin alone. The studies included in this paper showed great heterogeneity firstly, but they had a significant effect in reducing the blood pressure level, which was statistically significant, and proved that Chinese traditional exercises are superior to the control group in improving the blood pressure level in hypertensive patients. In view of the high interstudy heterogeneity, the study was divided into four subgroups (TCE

			,		
Groups	Outcomes	Participants	Mean difference IV, random, 95% CI	Heterogeneity (%)	p value
TCE	SBP DBP	4207	-9.88 [-11.94, -7.82] -5.57 [-6.88, -4.27]	$I^2 = 85$ $I^2 = 82$	<i>p</i> < 0.00001 <i>p</i> < 0.00001
TCE versus nonintervention	SBP DBP	668	-18.35 [-20.55, -16.15] -8.77 [-11.30, -6.25]	$I^2 = 7$ $I^2 = 54$	<i>p</i> < 0.00001 <i>p</i> < 0.00001
TCE + HE versus HE	SBP DBP	983	-7.02 [-8.68, -5.36] -3.71 [-4.90, -2.53]	$I^2 = 46$ $I^2 = 45$	<i>p</i> < 0.00001 <i>p</i> < 0.00001
TCE + AHD versus AHD	SBP DBP	1241	-9.34 [-11.16, -7.53] -5.12 [-7.18, -3.05]	$I^2 = 0$ $I^2 = 51$	<i>p</i> < 0.00001 <i>p</i> < 0.00001
TCE + AHD + HE versus AHD + HE	SBP DBP	882	-10.84 [-18.20, -3.49] -7.62 [-12.84, -2.41]	$I^2 = 94$ $I^2 = 94$	<i>p</i> < 0.004 <i>p</i> < 0.004

	Fyp	erimer	ntal	Control	Wei	ight	Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean SD To	otal (%	6)	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
BMY Cheung 2005	-10.8	12.68	47	-11.2 15.56 4	41 2.	.2	-0.40 [-5.59, -6.39]	
Chen Dezhi 2016	-17.1	15.92	30	0.8 17.93 3	30 1.	.9	-17.90 [-26.48,-9.32]	
Chen Fengzhuan 2013	-12.9	27.35	50	-1.3 28.01 1	18 1.	.1	-11.60 [-26.60, 3.40]	
Chen Hui 2012	-22.2	16.26	40	-14.4 16.83 4	40 2.	.1	-7.80 [-15.05, -0.55]	
Chen Jianying 2011	-10.96	11.58	238	-2.48 12.43 2	03 2.	.7	-8.48 [-10.74, -6.22]	*
Chen Weiwei 2020	-31.01	74.14	32	-7.89 16.28 3	32 2.	.0	-23.12 [-30.73, -15.51]	
Chen Xiangxian 2006	-17	12.46	20	-3 13.69 2	20 1.	.9	-14.00 [-22.11, -5.89]	
Dong Chunling 2016	-7.43	3.48	30	0.2 3.02 3	30 2.	.7	-7.63 [-9.28, -5.98]	¥
Dong Degang 2020	-7.12	13.58	21	-2.21 13.33 2	21 1.	.9	-4.91 [-13.05, 3.23]	
Feng Lijuan2 018	-10.75	11.81	36	-1.76 16.13 3	37 2.	.2	-8.99 [-15.46, -2.52]	
Fu Pinpin 2014	-12.63	93.9	30	-7.5 5.11 3	30 2.	.3	-5.13 [-10.57, 0.31]	
He Xue 2015	-13.13	9.31	42	-7.05 9.77 4	42 2.	5	-6.08 [-10.16, -2.00]	-
Huang Zhanmei 2021	-6.06	7.81	77	-2.02 7.64 7	77 2.	7	-4.04 [-6.48, -1.60]	-
Jen-Chen Tsai 2003	-15.6	35.11	37	6.4 15.04 3	39 2.	3	-22.00 [-27.97, -16.03]	
Jiang Yanhong 2019	-30.99	16.11	50	-19.99 9.17	50 2.	1	-11.00 [-17.68, -4.32]	
Ji-Eun Park 2014	-5.9	10.77	19	-4.61 2.11 2	21 2.	1	-1.29 [-8.10, 5.52]	+
Ji-Eun Park 2017	-4.63	13.83	25	-3.99 18.39 2	27 1.	.8	-0.64 [-9.44, 8.16]	
Jing Sun 2015	-10.43	22.16	136	-2.33 21.6 1	30 2.	4	-8.10 [-13.36, -2.84]	-
Jin Haolei 2016	-14.62	96.19	27	-9.27 15.19 2	27 1.	.8	-5.35 [-14.81, 4.11]	
Liang Yunhua 2014	-22.2	16.11	30	-14.4 16.98	30 1	9	-7.80 [-16.18, 0.58]	
Lin Fan 2014	-15.25	9.03	2.7	-17.17 8.72	28 2	4	1.92 [-2.77, 6.61]	
Lin Hong 2013	-1.75	19.91	68	-0.72 25.68	59 1	9	-1.03 [-9.11, 7.05]	
Lin Qiu 2017	-261	12.62	58	-13 1 14 71	58 2	4	-13.00 [-17.99 -8.01]	-
Liu Jian 2017	-26.76	22.8	80	-10 34 22 32 7	77 2	1	-16 42 [-23 48 -9 36]	
Liu Iilin 1997	-13.95	20.01	25	-1.86 20.98 1	11 1	2	-12 09 [-26 76 2 58]	
Liu Tao 2018	-22.07	19 57	35	-17 29 20 65	35 1	8	-4 78 [-14 21 4 65]	
Luo Hua 2006	-33.14	16.26	44	-20 21 16 39 4	40 2	1	-12 93 [-19 92 -5 94]	
Ma Chunhua2018	-4 69	25.93	55	-1 55 26 71	58 1	7	-3 14 [-12 85 6 57]	
Mao Hongni2005	-22.25	35 35	51	2 17 93 77 1	11 0	1	-24.42 [-80.68 31.84]	
Mysung-Sukl FF 2003	-15.62	13 17	29	31 15.98 2	$\frac{11}{29}$ $\frac{0}{2}$	0	-18 72 [-26 26 -11 18]	
Mysung-SukLEE 2003	-14.7	12.9	17	17 16 34 1	19 1	7	-16.40 [-25.97 -6.83]	
Pan Huashan 2010	-19.8	7 22	24	-98 595 2	$\frac{1}{24}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	5	-10.00 [-13.74 -6.26]	-
Oi Dalu 2015	-25.5	13.65	30	-07 13 77 3	$\frac{21}{30}$ 2	1	-24.80 [-31.74 - 17.86]	
Shou Xiaoling 2018	-10.01	12.00	98	-3 36 11 24 1	$ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 \end{array} $	6	-6.65 [-10.02 -3.28]	-
Sun Feng 2014	-8.99	22.09	38	-3 28 12 86 4	12 2.	0	-5 71(-13 74 2 32]	
Sun Oingin 2010	-13.9	31 16	32	-0.93 31.01	12 2. 32 1	1	_12.97[-28.20.2.26]	
Tang Qinghua 2009	-20 24	15 20	16	-10 92 21 32 1	16 1	2	-9 32 [-23 69 5 05]	
Tang Qinghua 2009	-18 52	20.71	16	-10.92.21.32	16 1.	2	-7.60 [-22.16.6.96]	
Wang Xiaobin 2019	-26.28	14 72	50	-40 66 13 83	50 2	3	14 38 [8 78 19 98]	
Wang Xiaojun 2011	-13.9	31.12	30	-0.93 31.01 3	30 1	4	-12 97 [-24 91 -1 03]	
Wang Vilin 2016	-14 44	10.9	25	-4.24 9.08 2	25 2	3	-10.20 [-15.76 -4.64]	-
Wei Vehong 2015	-14.44	78.23	12	-1.6 24 32 /	12 1. 12 1	6	-10.20 [-13.70, -4.04]	
Xiao Chunmei 2016	-20.2	16 11	24	0.6 1.63	$\frac{12}{1}$ 1.	8	-20.20 [-30.49, -17.91]	
Xiao Valvang 2018	26.1	13.42	42	14.6 14.08	10 1.	3	11 50 [17 38 5 62]	
Xia Hujiyan 2014	12.66	12.42	42 25	1 16 13 11	$\frac{12}{25}$ 2.	1	-11.50[-17.56, -5.02] 11.50[18.65, 4.35]	
Xu Huan 2014	-33.2	10.88	30	-65 913 3	23 2. 30 2	1	-11.50 [-18.05, -4.55]	
Vang Wajwaj 2021	-55.2	63 11	33	4 12.65 3	$\frac{50}{24}$ 2	2	7 00 [12 92 1 19]	
Theng Coining 2021	2 20	10 /1	21	6 6 9 1 9 9 1) 1 2. 20 1	5	-7.00 [-12.02, -1.10] 10.06 [21.76 1.44]	
Zheng Liwei 2021	-5.50	5.04	21	1 11 4 69	20 1.	.5	2 59 [6 20 0.06]	+
Zheng Liwei 2021 Zhou Shaowai 2007	-4.09	0.04 0.14	20	-1.11 4.00 2	27 Z. 50 2	6	-5.36 [-0.20, -0.90]	-
	-24.2	0.10	00	-0 0.94 (50 Z.	.0	-10.20 [-21.20, -13.14]	
Total (95% CI)			2168	20	039 100	0.0	-9.88 [-11.94, -7.82]	•
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 3$	9.82; Ch	$i^2 = 33$	1.64, c	$lf = 49 \ (P < 0.00)$	$0001); I^{2}$	$^{2} = 8$	35%	-50 -25 0 25 50
Test for overall effect: Z	= 9.39 (P < 0.0	0001)					Earround [armanime antal] Earround [armturl]
								ravours [experimental] Favours [control]

TABLE 3: The results of the meta-analysis.

FIGURE 4: Meta-analysis of the effects of traditional Chinese exercises on systolic blood pressure.

	Fri	periment	tal		Control		Weight	Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	(%)	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
BMY Cheung 2005	-59	8.72	47	-7.1	7.83	41	2.5	1.20 [-2.26, 4.66]	
Chen Dezhi 2016	-9.1	16.06	30	1.6	16.53	30	1.4	-10.70 [-18.95,-2.45]	
Chen Fengzhuan 2013	-7.5	10.82	50	-1.8	8.73	18	2.1	-5.70 [-10.73, -0.67]	
Chen Hui 2012	-16.4	11.33	40	-11.3	11.68	40	2.1	-5.10 [-10.14, -0.06]	
Chen Jianying 2011	-5.6	9.72	238	-1.54	11.29	203	2.8	-4.06 [-6.04, -2.08]	
Chen Weiwei 2006	-16.86	9.68	32	-3	12.81	32	1.9	-13.86 [-19.42, -8.30]	
Chen Xiangxian 2006	-14.2	9.12	20	-3.6	8.34	20	2.0	-10.60 [-16.02, -5.18]	
Dong Chunling 2016	-4.27	1.9	30	-0.9	2.21	30	2.9	-3.37 [-4.41, -2.33]	-
Dong Degang 2020	-4.04	9.36	21	-0.9	12.43	21	1.7	-3.14 [-9.80, 3.52]	
Feng Lijuan 2018	-2.53	11.35	36	-0.84	11.51	37	2.0	-1.69 [-6.93, 3.55]	
Fu Pinpin 2014	-6.43	5.89	30	-3.53	6.97	30	2.5	-2.90[-6.17, 0.37]	
He Xue 2015	-5.56	9.97	42	-4.8	10.91	42	2.2	-0.76 [-5.23, 3.71]	
Huang Zhanmei 2021	-4.97	4.65	77	-1.43	3.98	77	2.9	-3.54 [-4.91, -2.17]	-
Jen-Chen Tsai 2003	-8.8	10.57	37	3.4	11.46	39	2.1	-12.20 [-17.15, -7.25]	
Jiang Yanhong 2019	-25.45	12.35	50	-12.71	11.41	50	2.2	-12.74 [-17.40, -8.08]	
Ji-Eun Park 2014	-6.22	2.87	19	0	1.26	21	2.9	-6.22 [-7.62, -4.82]	-
Ji-Eun Park 2017	-0.07	9.96	25	-1.49	10.96	27	1.9	1.42 [-4.27, 7.11]	
Jing Sun 2015	-6.9	16.56	136	-2.34	14.93	130	2.4	-4.56 [-8.35, -0.77]	
Jin Haolei 2016	-5.93	18.17	27	-9.55	13.52	27	1.3	3.62 [-4.92, 12.16]	
Liang Yunhua 2014	-16.6	11.34	30	-11.4	11.67	30	1.9	-5.20 [-11.02, 0.62]	
Lin Fan 2014	-11.06	10.01	27	-12.97	9.76	28	2.0	1.91 [-3.32, 7.14]	<u>+</u>
Lin Hong 2013	-0.78	15.05	68	-2.34	15.19	59	2.0	1.56 [-3.71, 6.83]	
Lin Qiu 2017	-22.1	12.98	58	-7.9	11.65	58	2.2	-14.20 [-18.69, -9.71]	
Liu Jian 2017	-17.35	11.68	80	-5.11	10.97	77	2.4	-12.24 [-15.78, -8.70]	
Liu Jilin 1997	-7.275	10.87	25	-1.125	16.5	11	1.0	-6.15 [-16.79, 4.49]	
Liu Tao 2018	-11.4	12.19	35	-8.44	12.77	35	1.9	-2.96 [-8.81, 2.89]	
Luo Hua 2006	-7.91	11.16	44	-5.22	12.46	40	2.1	-2.69 [-7.77, 2.39]	
Ma Chunhua 2018	-6.21	12.14	55	-1.56	12.18	58	2.2	-4.65 [-9.14, 0.16]	
Mao Hongmi 2005	-10.92	18.21	51	0.26	54.88	11	0.1	-11.18 [-43.99, 21.63]	
Mysung-Suk LEE 2003	-5.86	8.86	29	1.38	7.87	29	2.3	-/.24 [-11.55, -2.93]	
Mysung-Suk LEE 2004	-3.4	8.98	17	3.1	7.72	19	1.9	-6.50 [-12.00, -1.00]	
Pan Huashan 2010	-10.8	6.78	24	-6.6	6.13	24	2.4	-4.20 [-7.86, -0.54]	
QI Dalu 2015 Chau Visaling 2019	-9.06	13.12	30	-8.4	13.12	30	1./	-0.66 [-7.30, 5.98]	——
Shou Alaoling 2018	-6.5	12.19	98	-0.21	13.78	100	2.4	-6.29 [-9.91, -2.6/]	
Sun Feng 2014	-5./9	15./6	38	-9.19	12.55	42	1.9	5.40 [-2.59, 9.19] 7.20 [14.04 0.54]	
Sun Qinqin 2010	-6.15	10.56	32	-0.95	12 46	32	1.5	-7.20 [-14.94, 0.34] 9.51 [10.74, 2.72]	
Tang Qinghua 2009	-10.05	16.54	16	-0.12	12.40	16	0.9	-0.31 [-19.74, 2.72]	
Wang Visabin 2009	17.04	14.5	50	-0.12	11.40	50	2.1	0.36 [4.31, 14.41]	
Wang Xiaojun 2019	-17.04 8.13	13.0	30	-20.4	11.09	30	2.1	7 20 [14 67 0 27]	
Wang Vilip 2016	7 02	7 26	25	2 48	6 15	25	2.4	5.44[0.17, 0.27]	
Wei Yehong 2015	-20	19.42	42	1.9	12 73	42	1.6	-21 90 [-28 92 -14 88]	·
Xiao Chunmei 2016	-16.6	11 34	24	0.6	11.67	24	1.0	-17 20 [-23 71 -10 69]	
Xiao Yakang 2018	-12.9	13.08	42	-4 3	13.21	42	1.7	-8 60 [-14 22, -2 98]	
Xie Hujiuan 2014	-14 19	12.59	25	1 23	12.14	25	1.6	-15 42 [-22 28 -8 56]	
Xu Huan 2016	-21 1	7.74	30	-2.6	8.68	30	2.3	-18.50 [-22.6614 34]	
Yang Weiwei 2021	-9	7.43	33	-8	5.71	34	2.5	-1.00 [-4.18, 2.18]	·
Zheng Caiping 2021	-0.38	12.26	21	3.05	15.88	20	1.3	-3.43 [-12.14, 5.28]	
Zheng Liwei 2021	-4.54	4.85	26	-2.96	4.98	27	2.7	-1.58 [-4.23, 1.07]	_
Zhou Shaowei 2007	-11	6.32	60	-4.1	5.55	60	2.8	-6.90 [-9.03, -4.77]	
Total (05% CI)			2160			2020	100.0	EET[600 407]	
1 otal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1	14 74 Ch	$i^2 - 271$	2168 21 df − .	19 (P ~ (000010	2039 • 12 - 8'	100.0	-3.37 [-0.88, -4.27]	•
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 8.39 (1)	P < 0.000)01)	17 (F < (,.00001)	, 1 – 8.	∠ /U		-20 -10 0 10 20
									Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

FIGURE 5: Meta-analysis of the effects of traditional Chinese exercises on diastolic blood pressure.

versus nonintervention group, TCE + health education versus pure health education group, TCE + AHD versus pure AHD group, and TCE + health education + AHD versus health education + AHD group) to explore the source of interstudy heterogeneity. After further subgroup analysis, the heterogeneity was significantly reduced in the TCE vs. nonintervention group, TCE + health education vs. only health education group, and TCE + AHD vs. only AHD group. However, for the TCE + health education + AHD versus health education + AHD group, the heterogeneity did not decrease but increased. Preliminary speculation suggested that the heterogeneity in each study could not be reduced due to differences among multiple interventions, such as the types of antihypertensive drugs, the content and effect of health education, and so on. Therefore, the adjuvant therapy of Chinese traditional exercise can lower the blood pressure level in hypertensive patients.

Although this meta-analysis found a positive effect of the Chinese traditional exercise on the treatment of hypertensive patients, the clinical evidence of their ability to treat essential hypertension may be weakened by the low methodological quality of most included studies. First, most RCT trials only mentioned randomization but did not explain in detail the method and process of randomization. Secondly, most of the experiments did not mention the hidden

Charles and Carles and	Expe	rimental		Co	ntrol		Weight	Mean Difference		Mea	an Difference
study of Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	(%)	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Ra	andom, 95% CI
1.11.1 Qigong Subgroup											
Chen Dezhi 2016	-17.1	15.92	30	0.8	17.93	30	7.8	-17.90 [-26.48, -9.32]			-
Ji-Eun Park 2014	-5.9	10.77	19	-4.61	11.2	21	8.8	-1.29 [-8.10, 5.52]			_ + _
Ji-Eun Park 2017	-4.63	13.83	25	-3.99	18.39	27	7.6	-0.64 [-9.44, 8.16]			_
Liu Jilin 1997	-13.95	20.01	25	-1.86	20.98	11	4.7	-12.09 [-26.76, 2.58]			
Mysung-Suk LEE 2003	-15.62	13.17	29	3.1	15.98	29	8.4	-18.72 [-26.26, -11.18]		_	
Mysung-Suk LEE 2004	-14.7	12.9	17	1.7	16.34	19	7.2	-16.40 [-25.97, -6.83]			_
Xiao Chunmei 2016	-20.2	16.11	24	0.6	16.3	24	7.4	-20.80 [-29.97, -11.63]			
Subtotal (95% CI)			169			161	51.9	-12.42 [-19.29, -5.55]			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 63$	3.71: Chi ²	= 25.09,	df = 6	(P = 0.0)	003); I ²	= 76%					
Test for overall effect: Z	= 3.54 (P	= 0.0004)								
1.11.2 Taichi Subgroup											
Jen-Chen Tsai 2003	-15.6	11.35	37	6.4	15.04	39	9.4	-22.00 [-27.97, -16.03]			
Qi Dalu 2015	-25.5	13.65	30	-0.7	13.77	30	8.8	-24.80 [-31.74, -17.86]			
Sun Qinqin 2010	-13.9	31.16	32	-0.93	31.01	32	4.5	-12.97 [-28.20, 2.26]			
Wang Xiaojun 2011	-13.9	12.31	30	-0.93	31.01	30	5.9	-12.97 [-24.91,-1.03]			
Xie Huijuan 2014	-12.66	12.69	25	-1.16	13.11	25	8.6	-11.50 [-18.65, -4.35]		_	-
Zhou Shaowei 2007	-24.2	8.16	60	-6	8.94	60	10.9	-18.20 [-21.26, -15.14]			
Subtotal (95% CI)			214			216	48.1	-18.26 [-22.16, -14.37]		•	
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 10$	0.14; Chi ²	= 9.53, d	lf = 5 (P = 0.09); $I^2 = 43$	8%					
Test for overall effect: Z	= 9.19 (P	< 0.0000	1)								
Total (95% CI)			383			377	100.0	-14.97 [-19.11, -10.84]		•	•
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 38$ Test for overall effect: Z	8.33; Chi ² = 7.09 (P	= 45.53, < 0.0000	df = 12 1)	2 (P < 0.)	00001);	$I^2 = 749$	%	-	-50	-25	0 25 50
Test for subgroup differe	ences: Chi	$^{2} = 2.11$,	df = 1	(<i>P</i> = 0.1	5), $I^2 = 1$	52.5%			Favours [experimen	tal] Favours [control]

FIGURE 6: Meta-analysis of the effects of traditional Chinese exercises on systolic blood pressure versus nonintervention.

Chu dry on Cultonour	Expe	rimenta	ıl	Co	ontrol		Weight	Mean Difference		Mear	n Differen	ce	
study of Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	(%)	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Raı	ndom, 959	% CI	
1.11.1 Qigong Subgroup													
Chen Dezhi 2016	-17.1	15.92	30	0.8	17.93	30	6.3	-17.90 [-26.48, -9.32]					
Liu Jilin 1997	-13.95	20.01	25	-1.86	20.98	11	2.2	-12.09 [-26.76, 2.58]			-		
Mysung-Suk LEE 2003	-15.62	13.17	29	3.1	15.98	29	8.0	-18.72 [-26.26, -11.18]				
Mysung-Suk LEE 2004	-14.7	12.9	17	1.7	16.34	19	5.1	-16.40 [-25.97, -6.83]			-		
Xiao Chunmei 2016	-20.2	16.11	24	0.6	16.3	24	5.5	-20.80 [-29.97, -11.63]				
Subtotal (95% CI)			125			113	27.1	-17.99 [-22.12, -13.87	1	•			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0$	00; Chi ² =	1.12, d	f = 4 (P)	P = 0.89)	$I^2 = 0\%$								
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 8.54 (P	< 0.000	01)										
1.11.2 Taichi Subgroup													
Jen-Chen Tsai 2003	-15.6	11.35	37	6.4	15.04	39	12.3	-22.00 [-27.97, -16.03]				
Qi Dalu 2015	-25.5	13.65	30	-0.7	13.77	30	9.3	-24.80 [-31.74, -17.86]				
Sun Qinqin 2010	-13.9	31.16	32	-0.93	31.01	32	2.1	-12.97 [-28.20, 2.26]			-		
Wang Xiaojun 2011	-13.9	12.31	30	-0.93	31.01	30	3.3	-12.97 [-24.91, -1.03]			_		
Xie Huijuan 2014	-12.66	12.69	25	-1.16	13.11	25	8.8	-11.50 [-18.65, -4.35]			-		
Zhou shaowei 2007	-24.2	8.16	60	-6	8.94	60	37.0	-18.20 [-21.26, -15.14]	-			
Subtotal (95% CI)			214			216	72.9	-18.26 [-22.16, -14.37	1	•			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 10$.14: Chi ²	= 9.53,	df = 5 (P = 0.09); $I^2 = 4$	8%							
Test for overall effect: $Z =$	9.19 (P	< 0.000	01)										
Total (95% CI)			339			329	100.0	-18.35 [-20.55, -16.15]		•			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.9$	97; Chi ² =	10.70,	df = 10	(P = 0.3)	8); $I^2 =$	7%					_		
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 16.34 (I	, < 0.00	001)						-50	-25	0	25	50
Test for subgroup differen	nces: Chi	$^{2} = 0.01$	df = 1	(P = 0.9)	(3) $I^2 =$	0%			Favours [ext	perimental	F	avours [control
rest for subgroup unteres	need. On	- 0.01	, – 1	(1 = 0.)		0 /0			the form		-		

FIGURE 7: Meta-analysis of the effects of traditional Chinese exercises on systolic blood pressure versus nonintervention (deleted [39, 58]).

Study or Subgroup	Expe	erimenta	al	Со	ntrol		Weight	Mean Difference		Μ	lean Di	fference		
	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	(%)	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV,	Randor	n, 95% CI		
Chen Dezhi 2016	-9.1	16.06	30	1.6	16.53	30	6.3	-10.70 [-18.95, -2.45]						
Jen-Chen Tsai 2003	-8.8	10.57	37	3.4	11.46	39	11.1	-12.20 [-17.15, -7.25]		-	-			
Liu Jilin 1997	-7.275	10.87	25	-1.125	16.5	11	4.4	-6.15 [-16.79, 4.49]						
Musung-Suk Lee 2003	-5.86	8.86	29	1.38	7.87	29	12.4	-7.24 [-11.55, -2.93]						
Mysung-Suk LEE 2004	-3.4	8.98	17	3.1	7.72	19	10.1	-6.50 [-12.00, -1.00]						
Qi Dalu 2015	-9.06	13.12	30	-8.4	13.12	30	8.3	-0.66 [-7.30, 5.98]						
Sun Qinqin 2010	-8.13	15.58	32	-0.93	16	32	6.9	-7.20 [-14.94, 0.54]		_				
Wang Xiaojun 2011	-8.13	13.39	30	-0.93	16	30	7.2	-7.20 [-14.67, 0.27]		-				
Xiao Chunmei 2016	-16.6	11.34	24	0.6	11.67	24	8.5	-17.20 [-23.71, -10.69]			.			
Xie Huijuan 2014	-14.19	12.59	25	1.23	12.14	25	8.0	-15.42 [[-22.28, -8.56]			-			
Zhou Shaowei 2007	-11	6.32	0	-4.1	5.55	60	17.0	-6.90 [-9.03, -4.77]			*			
Total (95% CI)			339			329	100.0	-8.77 [-11.30, -6.25]			•			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 8.	57: Chi ² =	= 21.66,	df = 10	(P = 0.02)	2); $I^2 = 1$	54%		-						
Test for overall effect: Z	= 6.81 (P	< 0.000	01)						-50	-25	0	25	50)
									Favours	[experiment	ntal]	Favours [control]	

FIGURE 8: Meta-analysis of the effects of traditional Chinese exercises on diastolic blood pressure versus nonintervention.



FIGURE 9: Meta-analysis of the effects of traditional Chinese exercises and health education on systolic blood pressure versus health education.



FIGURE 10: Meta-analysis of the effects of traditional Chinese exercises and health education on diastolic blood pressure versus health education.

Study or Subgroup	Ex	perimen	tal		Control		Weight	Mean Difference	Mean Difference
, , ,	Mean	SD	Iotal	Mean	SD	Iotal	(%)	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	1v, Fixed, 95% CI
Chen Fengzhuan 2013	-12.9	27.35	50	-1.3	28.01	18	1.5	-11.60 [-26.60, 3.40]	— — —
Chen Hui 2012	-22.2	16.26	40	-14.4	16.83	40	6.3	-7.80 [-15.05, -0.55]	
Chen Xiangxian 2006	-17	12.46	20	-3	13.69	20	5.0	-14.00 [-22.11, -5.89]	
Dong Degang 2020	-7.12	13.58	21	-2.21	13.33	21	50	-4.91 [-13.05, 3.23]	
Feng Lijuan 2018	-10.75	11.81	36	-1.76	16.13	37	7.9	-8.99 [-15.46, -2.52]	
Jing Sun 2015	-10.43	22.16	136	-2.33	21.6	130	11.9	-8.10 [-13.36, -2.84]	
Liang Yunhua 2014	-22.2	16.11	30	-14.4	16.98	30	4.7	-7.80 [-16.18, 0.58]	
Lin Hong 2013	-1.75	19.91	68	-0.72	25.68	59	5.0	-1.03 [-9.11, 7.05]	
Lin Qiu 2017	-26.1	12.62	58	-13.1	14.71	58	13.2	-13.00 [-17.99, -8.01]	-
Lin Tao 2018	-22.07	19.57	35	-17.29	20.65	35	3.7	-4.78 [-14.21, 4.65]	
Lin Hua 2006	-33.14	16.26	44	-20.21	16.39	40	6.7	-12.93 [-19.92, -5.94]	
Mao Hongni 2005	-22.25	35.35	51	2.17	93.77	11	0.1	-24.42 [-80.68, 31.84]	-
Pan Huashan 2010	-19.8	7.22	24	-9.8	5.95	24	23.5	-10.00 [-13.74, -6.26]	
Tang Qinghua 2009	-18.52	20.71	16	-10.92	21.32	16	1.6	-7.60 [-22.16, 6.96]	
Tang Qinghua 2009	-20.24	20.15	16	-10.92	21.32	16	1.6	-9.32 [-23.69, 5.05]	
Zheng Caiping 2021	-3.38	19.41	21	6.68	18.81	20	2.4	-10.06 [-21.76, 1.64]	
Total (95% CI)			666			575	100.0	-9.34 [-11.16, -7.53]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 11.53, c	df = 15 (P =	0.71 ; I^2	= 0%					-	
Test for overall effect: $Z = 10.0$	09 (P < 0.00)	001)							-50 -25 0 25 50
									Favours (experimental) Favours (control)

FIGURE 11: Meta-analysis of the effects of traditional Chinese exercises and antihypertension drugs on systolic blood pressure versus antihypertension drugs.

Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine

Ct. 1	Ex	perimen	tal		Control		Weight	Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	(%)	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Chen Fengzhuan 2013	-7.5	10.82	50	-1.8	8.73	18	7.5	-5.70 [-10.73, -0.67]	
Chen Hui 2012	-16.4	11.33	40	-11.3	11.68	40	7.5	-5.10 [-10.14, -0.06]	
Chen Xiangxian 2006	-14.2	9.12	20	-3.6	8.34	20	7.0	-10.60 [-16.02, -5.18]	
Dong Degang 2020	-4.04	9.36	21	-0.9	12.43	21	5.6	-3.14 [-9.80, 3.52]	
Feng Lijuan 2018	-2.53	11.35	36	-0.84	11.51	37	7.2	-1.69 [-6.93, 3.55]	
Jing Sun 2015	-6.9	16.56	136	-2.34	14.93	130	9.3	-4.56 [-8.35, -0.77]	
Liang Yunhua 2014	-16.6	11.34	30	-11.4	11.67	30	6.5	-5.20 [-11.02, 0.62]	
Lin Hong 2013	-0.78	15.05	68	-2.34	15.19	59	7.2	1.56 [-3.71, 6.83]	
Lin Qiu 2017	-22.1	12.98	58	-7.9	11.65	58	8.3	-14.20 [-18.69, -9.71]	- <u> </u>
Lin Tao 2018	-11.4	12.19	35	-8.44	12.77	35	6.5	-2.96 [-8.81, 2.89]	
Lin Hua 2006	-7.91	11.16	44	-5.22	12.46	40	7.4	-2.69 [-7.77, 2.39]	
Mao Hongni 2005	-10.92	18.21	51	0.26	54.88	11	0.4	-11.18 [-43.99, 21.63]	
Pan Huashan 2010	-10.8	6.78	24	-6.6	6.13	24	9.5	-4.20 [-7.86, -0.54]	
Tang Qinghua 2009	-16.63	18.54	16	-8.12	13.46	16	2.7	-8.51 [-19.74, 2.72]	
Tang Qinghua 2009	-15.19	14.3	16	-8.12	13.46	16	3.4	-7.07 [-16.69, 2.55]	
Zheng Caiping 2021	-0.38	12.26	21	3.05	15.88	20	4.0	-3.43 [-12.14, 5.28]	
Total (95% CI)			666			575	100.0	-5.12 [-7.18, -3.05]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 8.20; Chi	$i^2 = 30.36$,	df = 15 (P = 0.01)	$I^{2} = 51\%$				-	50 25 0 25 50
Test for overall effect: $Z = 4.86$	(P < 0.000)	001)							-50 -25 0 25 50
									Favours (experimental) Favours (control)

FIGURE 12: Meta-analysis of the effects of traditional Chinese exercises and antihypertension drugs on diastolic blood pressure versus antihypertension drugs.

Study or Subgroup	Ex Mean	perimen SD	ital Total	Mean	Control SD	Total	Weight (%)	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI				
Chen Weiwei 2020	-31.01	14.74	32	-7.89	16.28	32	9.8	-23.12 [-30.73, -15.51]					
He Xue 2015	-13.13	9.31	42	-7.05	9.77	42	10.6	-6.08 [-10.16, -2.00]					
Jiang yanhong 2019	-30.99	16.11	50	-19.99	17.9	50	10.0	-11.00 [-17.68, -4.32]		_			
Liu Jian 2017	-26.76	22.8	80	-10.34	22.32	77	9.9	-16.42 [-23.48, -9.36]		_	-		
Ma Chunhua 2018	-4.69	25.93	55	-1.55	26.71	58	9.2	-3.14 [-12.85, 6.57]		-	-		
Wang Xiaobin 2019	-26.28	14.72	50	-40.66	13.83	50	10.3	14.38 [8.78, 19.98]				-	
Wei Yehong 2015	-29.8	23.78	42	-1.6	24.32	42	9.0	-28.20 [-38.49, -17.91]	-				
Xu Huan 2016	-33.2	10.88	30	-6.5	9.13	30	10.4	-26.70 [-31.78, -21.62]		_			
Yang Weiwei 2021	-11	11.63	33	-4	12.65	34	10.2	-7.00 [-12.82, -1.18]		-			
Zheng Liwei 2021	-4.69	5.04	26	-1.11	4.68	27	10.8	-3.58 [-6.20, -0.96]			-		
Total (95% CI)			440			442	100.0	-10.84 [-18.20, -3.49]					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 129.1	6; Chi ² = 162	2.27, df =	= 9 (<i>P</i> < 0	.00001); I	² = 94%			-	1				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.89$ ($P = 0.004$)									-50	-25	0	25	50
									Favours (expe	erimental)		Favour	s (control)

FIGURE 13: Meta-analysis of the effects of traditional Chinese exercises and antihypertension drugs and health education on systolic blood pressure versus antihypertension drugs and health education.

Study or Subgroup	Ex Mean	perimer SD	ital Total	Mean	Control SD	Total	Weight (%)	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI			
Chen Weiwei 2020	-16.86	9.68	32	-3	12.81	32	9.6	-13.86 [-19.42, -8.30]				
He Xue 2015	-5.56	9.97	42	-4.8	10.91	42	10.0	-0.76 [-5.23, 3.71]				
Jiang yanhong 2019	-25.45	12.35	50	-12.71	11.41	50	10.0	-12.74 [-17.40, -8.08]				
Liu Jian 2017	-17.35	11.68	80	-5.11	10.97	77	10.3	-12.42 [-15.78, -8.70]	-			
Ma Chunhua 2018	-6.21	12.14	55	-1.56	12.18	58	10.0	-4.65 [-9.14, -0.16]				
Wang Xiaobin 2019	-17.04	13.8	50	-26.4	11.89	50	9.8	9.36 [4.31, 14.41]				
Wei Yehong 2015	-20	19.42	42	1.9	12.73	42	9.1	-21.90 [-28.92, -14.88]				
Xu Huan 2016	-21.1	7.74	30	-2.6	8.68	30	10.1	-18.50 [-22.66, -14.34]	-			
Yang Weiwei 2021	-9	7.43	33	-8	5.71	34	10.4	-1.00 [-4.18, 2.18]	-			
Zheng Liwei 2021	-4.54	4.85	26	-2.96	4.98	27	10.5	-1.58 [-4.23, 1.07]	-			
Total (95% CI)			440			442	100.0	-7.62 [-12.84, -2.41]	•			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 65.2$ Test for overall effect: $Z = 2$	8; Chi ² = 14 2.89 (<i>P</i> = 0.0	3.35, df = 04)	= 9 (<i>P</i> < 0	.00001); I	² = 94%			_	-50 -25 0 25	50		
								Favours (experimental) Favou	Favours (control)			

FIGURE 14: Meta-analysis of the effects of traditional Chinese exercises and antihypertension drugs and health education on diastolic blood pressure versus antihypertension drugs and health education.



FIGURE 15: Funnel plot of 49 randomized control trials on the effect of traditional Chinese exercises on hypertension.

problem of allocation, and some experiments used random number table allocation, which has certain risks. All these issues contributed to the risk of bias in this study.

5. Conclusion

From the results of the present study, we can draw the following conclusions. Compared with the use of antihypertensive drugs alone or in health education, the addition of Chinese traditional exercises showed significant effects in regulating the SBP and DBP levels in hypertensive patients. In addition, the study found that for the treatment of hypertension, most of the Chinese traditional exercises are Taijiquan and Baduanjin, while other types of sports such as Wuqinxi, Liuzijue, Yijinjing, and other tests are rare. Moreover, according to the analysis of reduced subgroup heterogeneity, it is suggested that future exercise prescription formulation can be TCE vs. nonintervention, TCE + health education vs. health education, and intervention prescription design of TCE+AHD vs. AHD, which can better reflect the effect of traditional exercise on hypertensive patients.

In summary, although some evidence can prove that Chinese traditional exercise can have a positive effect on the blood pressure level in hypertensive patients, the evidence is still weak due to the insufficient number of included studies and methodological quality issues. Therefore, it is hoped that more rigorously designed randomized controlled trials with more types of traditional exercise will emerge to confirm the evidence of traditional exercise on blood pressure levels in Chinese patients with hypertension.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article and can be made available upon request to the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

This research was funded by the Ministry of Education of China under the framework of the "Humanities and Social Science Research General Project in 2018" with the research project titled "Study on Physical Evaluation Standard and Exercise Intervention Model of Adult Forcibly Isolated Drug addicts in China," which has a mission code as 18YJA890016.

References

- J. Oyama and K. Node, "Prevalence of prehypertension and left ventricular hypertrophy," *Hypertension Research*, vol. 40, no. 6, pp. 544-545, 2017.
- [2] P. M. Kearney, M. Whelton, K. Reynolds, P. Muntner, P. K. Whelton, and J. He, "Global burden of hypertension: analysis of worldwide data," *The Lancet*, vol. 365, no. 9455, pp. 217–223, 2005.
- [3] P. A. James, S. Oparil, B. L. Carter et al., "evidence-based guideline for the management of high blood pressure in adults: report from the panel members appointed to the Eighth Joint National Committee (JNC 8)," *JAMA*, vol. 311, no. 5, pp. 507–520, 2014.
- [4] G. C. Mancia, R. Fagard, K. Narkiewicz et al., "Italy*, Fagard, Robert (Chairperson) (Belgium)*, Narkiewicz, Krzysztof (Section co-ordinator) (Poland) et al. 2013 ESH/ESC Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension: the Task Force for the management of arterial hypertension of the European Society of Hypertension (ESH) and of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC)," *Journal of Hypertension*, vol. 31, pp. 1281–1357, 2013.
- [5] J. G. Cardiol, "Chinese guidelines for the prevention and treatment of hypertension," *Revised Edition Chinese Guidelines for the Management of Hypertension*, vol. 19, no. 01, pp. 1–44, 2018.
- [6] G. Engström, B. Hedblad, and L. Janzon, "Hypertensive men who exercise regularly have lower rate of cardiovascular mortality," *Journal of Hypertension*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 737– 742, 1999.
- [7] A. A. Leung, K. Nerenberg, S. S. Daskalopoulou et al., "CHEP Guidelines task force hypertension Canada's 2016 Canadian hypertension education program guidelines for blood pressure measurement, diagnosis, assessment of risk, prevention,

and treatment of hypertension," Canadian Journal of Cardiology, vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 569-588, 2016.

- [8] S. P. Whelton, A. Chin, X. Xin, and J. He, "Effect of aerobic exercise on blood pressure: a meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials[J]," *Annals of Internal Medicine*, vol. 136, no. 7, pp. 493–503, 2002.
- [9] M. Ma, *Dictionary of Chinese Martial Arts*, Tgl books, Peoples Sports Publishing House, 2019.
- [10] Y. Song, J. Li, B. István et al., "Current evidence on traditional Chinese exercises for quality of life in patients with essential hypertension: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Frontiers in cardiovascular medicine*, vol. 7, Article ID 627518, 2020.
- [11] X. Q. Wang, Y. L. Pi, P. J. Chen et al., "Traditional Chinese exercise for cardiovascular diseases: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials," *Journal of American Heart Association*, vol. 5, no. 3, Article ID e002562, 2016.
- [12] L. Dai, Y. Jiang, P. Wang, and K. Chen, "Effects of three traditional Chinese fitness exercises combined with antihypertensive drugs on patients with essential hypertension: a systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials," *Evidence-based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 2021, Article ID 2570472, 2021.
- [13] L. Li, M. Yang, J. Song, Y. Yu, and H. Huang, "Network metaanalysis of the antihypertensive effect of traditional Chinese exercises on patients with essential hypertension," *Journal of healthcare engineering*, vol. 2022, Article ID 9419037, 2022.
- [14] G. Y. Yeh, C. Wang, P. M. Wayne, and R. S. Phillips, "The effect of tai chi exercise on blood pressure: a systematic review," *Preventive Cardiology*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 82–89, 2008.
- [15] X. Xiong, P. Wang, S. Li, Y. Zhang, and X Li, "Effect of Baduanjin exercise for hypertension: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials," *Maturitas*, vol. 80, no. 4, pp. 370–378, 2015.
- [16] X. Jin, B. Q. Pan, H. Wu, and D. Xu, "The effects of traditional Chinese exercise on hypertension: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials[J]," *Medicine*, vol. 98, no. 3, p. e14049, 2019.
- [17] J. A. C. Sterne, J. Savović, M. J. Page, G. R. Elberes, S. N. Blencowe, and I. Boutron, "RoB 2: A Revised Tool for Assessing Risk of Bias in Randomised trials," *Bmj*, vol. 366, 2019.
- [18] M. J. Page, J. E. McKenzie, and P. M. Bossuyt, "The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews," *Systematic Reviews*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 1–11, 2021.
- [19] B. M. Y. Cheung, J. L. F. Lo, D. Y. T. Fong et al., "Randomised controlled trial of qigong in the treatment of mild essential hypertension," *Journal of Human Hypertension*, vol. 19, no. 9, pp. 697–704, 2005.
- [20] D. Chen, "Effect of health Qigong Mawangdui Daoyinshu on blood pressure of individuals with essential hypertension," *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, vol. 64, no. 7, pp. 1513–1515, 2016.
- [21] F. Chen and Q. Lu, "Effect of tai chi on blood pressure in hypertensive patients," *Modern Nursing*, vol. 04, pp. 18-19, 2013.
- [22] H. Chen and Y. Zhou, "Effects of Baduanjin on blood pressure and serum ultrasensitive C-reactive protein in patients with essential hypertension," *Chinese Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine*, vol. 27, no. 02, pp. 178-179, 2012.
- [23] J. Chen, J. Li, and W. Chen, "Effect evaluation of TaiChi on hypertension in guangzhou liurong community," *Journal of Medical Information*, vol. 24, no. 7, pp. 13-14, 2011.

- [24] W. Chen, Q. Lu, and Y. Lian, "Influence of the effect of seating Baduanjin exercise combined with health education on the antihypertensive effect of elderly hypertensive patients in the community," *Shanghai Medical and Pharmaceutical Journal*, vol. 41, no. 08, pp. 47–50, 2020.
- [25] X. Chen and H. Lu, "Effects of taijiquan exercise on hypertension patients' NO consistency in plasma the activity of RBC Na+-K+ ATPase and Ca2+-Mg2+ ATPase," *Journal of Beijing Sport University*, no. 10, pp. 1359–1361, 2006.
- [26] C. H. L. Dong and Y. L. Zhang, "Application of JiangYa Ba duan jin on Grade?Primary hypertension control of mid dleaged patients," *Journal of Liberation Army Nursing*, vol. 33, no. 20, pp. 32–35, 2016.
- [27] D. Dong, Z. Yu, and Z. S. Yu, "Intervention effects of fitness qigong-Baduanjin on patients with phlegm-damp congestion type hypertension," *Chinese Journal of Applied Physiology*, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 157–160, 2020.
- [28] L. Feng, Li Guan, and D. Zhang, "The effect of 24-Simplified Taijiquan exercise on the antihypertensive effect of elderly patients with essential hypertension (HE) andon the blood lipid level of the patients," *Chinese Journal of Convalescent Medicine*, vol. 27, no. 10, pp. 1009–1013, 2018.
- [29] P. Fu, The Research of Rehabilitation Effect on Patients with Mild or Moderate Essential Hypertension by Hypertension Prevention-Treatment Qigong, Nanjing University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Nanjing, China, 2014.
- [30] X. He, "Rehabilitation therapeutic effect of ba duan jin training in aged patients with hypertension," *Chinese Journal* of Cardiovascular Rehabilitation Medicine, vol. 24, no. 03, pp. 252–254, 2015.
- [31] Z. Huang, W. Xia, Z. Linhong, H. Suyun, P. Dan, and Z. Ziyu, "Observation on the effect of Baduanjin in the health management of Grade 1 patients with hypertension," *Jiangxi Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 52, no. 11, pp. 34–37, 2021.
- [32] J. C. Tsai, W. H. Wang, P. Chan et al., "The beneficial effects of tai chi chuan on blood pressure and lipid profile and anxiety status in a randomized controlled trial," *Journal of Alternative* and Complementary Medicine, vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 747–754, 2003.
- [33] Y. Jiang, G. Fu, and Q. Wang, "Effect of Badaanjin on elderly patients with hypertension with anxiety in the evidence of hyperactive liver yang," *Journal of Qilu Nursing*, vol. 25, no. 19, pp. 104–106, 2019.
- [34] J. E. Park, S. Hong, M. Lee et al., "Randomized, controlled trial of qigong for treatment of prehypertension and mild essential hypertension," *Alternative Therapies in Health and Medicine*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 21–30, 2014.
- [35] J. E. Park, J. E. Kim, S. Jung, A. Kim, H. Park, and S. Hong, "The effect of dongeui qigong for prehypertension and mild essential hypertension," *Evidence-based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 2017, pp. 1–7, 2017.
- [36] J. Sun and N. Buys, "Community-based mind-body meditative tai chi program and its effects on improvement of blood pressure, weight, renal function, serum lipoprotein, and quality of life in Chinese adults with hypertension," *The American Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 116, pp. 1076–1081, 2015.
- [37] H. Jin and J. Pang, "Effects of taijiquan on 24-hour dynamic blood pressure and vascular function in patients with primary mild hypertension," *Chinese Journal of Sports Medicine*, vol. 35, no. 03, pp. 224–227, 2016.
- [38] F. Lin and Q. X. He, "Therapeutic effect of Baduanjin exercise on Grade 1 hypertension in the elderly," *Chinese Journal of Geriatric Health Medicine*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 25-26, 2014.
- [39] H. Lin and S.-J. Huang, "Promotional effect of fitness qigong five-animal play on the rehabilitation of elderly patients with

Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine

hypertension[J]," *Chinese Journal of Gerontology*, vol. 33, no. 07, pp. 1645–1647, 2013.

- [40] L. Qiu and X. Yan, "Promotional effect of fitness eight-danjin on the rehabilitation of elderly patients with hypertension," *Chinese Journal of Gerontology*, vol. 37, no. 12, pp. 3024–3026, 2017.
- [41] J. Liu, "Study on intervention of essential hypertension group by tai chi combined with health management," *Guiding Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine and Pharmacy*, vol. 23, no. 05, pp. 64–66, 2017.
- [42] J. Liu, S. Yao, and S. Zhou, "Effect of qigong exercise on atrial natriuretic peptide in hypertensive patients," *Chinese Journal* of *Rehabilitation Medicine*, vol. 01, pp. 30-31, 1997.
- [43] T. Liu, Q. Huang, and W. Liu, "Effects of Taijiquan exercise on blood pressure, hemorheology and long-term quality of life in elderly patients with hypertension," *Chinese Journal of Gerontology*, vol. 38, no. 06, pp. 1396–1398, 2018.
- [44] H. Luo, "Clinical study of Taijiquan combined with medicine in treating essential hypertension," *China Medical Herald*, no. 33, pp. 43-44, 2006.
- [45] C. Ma, W. Zhou, Q. Tang, and S Huang, "The impact of groupbased Tai chi on health-status outcomes among communitydwelling older adults with hypertension," *Heart and Lung*, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 337–344, 2018.
- [46] H. Mao and S. Peng, "Effect of Tai Chi exercise on blood pressure, plasma nitrogen monoxidum and endothelin in hypertensive patients," *Chinese Journal of Tissue Engineering Research*, no. 48, pp. 65–67, 2006.
- [47] M. S. Lee, M. S. Lee, E. S. Choi, and H. T. Chung, "Effects of qigong on blood pressure, blood pressure determinants and ventilatory function in middle-aged patients with essential hypertension," *The American Journal of Chinese Medicine*, vol. 31, no. 03, pp. 489–497, 2003.
- [48] M. S. Lee, M. S. Lee, H. J. Kim, and E. S. Choi, "Effects of qigong on blood pressure, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and other lipid levels in essential hypertension patients [J]," *International Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 114, no. 7, pp. 777–786, 2004.
- [49] H. Pan and Y. Feng, "Cfanical observation of rehabilitation therapy with health qigong Ba duan jin on grade 1 hypertension of old patients," *Journal of Nanjing Sports Institute*, vol. 9, no. 01, pp. 4–6, 2010.
- [50] D. Qi, Y. Li, and X. Yan, "Influence of taijiquan on eight patients with hypertension blood pressure levels," *Sichuan Sports Science*, vol. 34, no. 05, pp. 24–26+39, 2015.
- [51] X. L. Shou, L. Wang, X. Q. Jin, L. Y. Zhu, A. H. Ren, and Q. N. Wang, "Effect of T'ai chi exercise on hypertension in young and middle-aged in-service staff," *Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 73–78, 2019.
- [52] F. Sun and C. Sun, "Intervention effects of tai chi exercise on hypertension in the elderly," *Chinese Journal of Gerontology*, vol. 34, no. 24, pp. 6862–6864, 2014.
- [53] Q. Sun, Research on the Effect of Middle-Aging and Elderly High Blood Pressure Patients' Quality of Life by Practice Taichi, Journal of Beijing Sport University, Beijing, China, 2010.
- [54] Q. Tang, "Effects of traditional sports on clinical symptom of aged intellectual patients with essential hypertension," *Journal* of Beijing Sport University, vol. 32, no. 02, pp. 67–69, 2009.
- [55] X. Wang and L. Ye, "Effect of 24 simplified Taijiquan on elderly patients with essential hypertension and mild anxiety," *Fujian Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 50, no. 04, pp. 73–75, 2019.

- [56] X. Wang, Y. Li, and N. Liu, "Empirical study of taijiquan interventions on the prevention and cure of hypertension," *Journal of Beijing Sport University*, vol. 34, no. 09, pp. 75–77, 2011.
- [57] W. Yehong, J. Chen, and X. Lu, "Effect of companion Tai Chi exercise on blood pressure control in elderly patients with hypertension," *Journal of Nursing and Rehabilitation*, vol. 14, no. 08, pp. 752-753, 2015.
- [58] C. Xiao, Y. Yang, and Y. Zhuang, "Effect of health qigong Ba duan jin on blood pressure of individuals with essential hypertension," *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, vol. 64, no. 1, pp. 211–213, 2016.
- [59] Y. Xiao, "Effects of eight-form Taijiquan exercise on blood pressure, vascular endothelial function and quality of life in patients with essential hypertension," *Chinese Journal of Gerontology*, vol. 38, no. 10, pp. 2403–2405, 2018.
- [60] H. Xie and C. Bai, "Gaseous signal molecular mechanism of taijiquan's intervention in aged essential hypertension," *Journal of Wuhan Sports University*, vol. 48, no. 02, pp. 51– 54+63, 2014.
- [61] H. Xu, "Effects of 24 simplified Shadowboxing on blood pressure and quality of life in patients with hypertension," *Hubei Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 38, no. 07, pp. 38-39, 2016.
- [62] W. Yang and S. Zheng, "Influence of Baduanjin on negative emoti on and self -efficacy of elderly hypertensive patients in community," *China and Foreign Medical Treatment*, vol. 40, no. 35, pp. 168–172, 2021.
- [63] C. Zheng, X. Zhang, J. Wang, Y. Wang, and L. Qi, "The effect of 12-WEEK liuzijue exercise training on patients with hypertension: a randomized, controlled clinical trial," *Acta Medica Mediterranea*, vol. 37, pp. 2713–2721, 2021.
- [64] L. W. Zheng, Z. S. Chen, F. Chen, J. Liu, and L. Ge, "Study on the mechanism of Badaanjin intervention in essential hypertension based on L-Arg/NOS/NO pathway," *Fujian Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 52, no. 01, pp. 8–12, 2021.
- [65] S. Zhou, "Effects of tai ji quan on blood pressure and LiPid Profile in patients with stage I Essential hypertension," *International Medicine and Health Guidance News*, vol. 15, pp. 60–64, 2007.
- [66] H. Mao and S. Peng, "Effect of Tai Chi exercise on blood pressure, plasma nitrogen monoxidum and endothelin in hypertensive patients," *Chinese Journal of Tissue Engineering Research*, vol. 48, pp. 65–67, 2006.
- [67] Y. Liang, S. Liao, and C. Han, "Effects of Badaanjin exercise intervention on blood pressure and blood lipids in patients with essential hypertension," *Henan Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 34, no. 12, pp. 2380-2381, 2014.
- [68] F. Lin and Q. X. He, "Efficacy of Badaunjin exercise in the treatment of grade 1 hypertension in the elderly," *Chinese Journal of Geriatric Care*, vol. 12, no. 03, pp. 25-26, 2020.
- [69] Y. Wang, Research of Hypertension Intervention Effect through Shaolin Yijinjing, Henan University, Henan, China, 2016.