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Huan Peng, Yu Fan, Junliang Peng, Huifen Han, Xiping Gao, Liang Wang, Xinghao Gou, Yuelin Yin, and Yuchao Zhou

Southwest Oil and Gas Field Company of Petro China Co. Ltd., Engineering Technology Research Institute, No. 25, Xiaoguanniao Back Street, Qingyang District, Chengdu, Sichuan CN 610017, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Huan Peng; 282600120@qq.com

Received 10 November 2021; Revised 11 December 2021; Accepted 23 December 2021; Published 18 January 2022

Academic Editor: Zhiming Chen

Copyright © 2022 Huan Peng et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Due to the brittleness of the shale and tight reservoir and the development of natural fractures and horizontal beddings, complex fractures will be built by shear slip and tensile failure during multistaged horizontal well fracturing. Whether proppant can enter complex fractures and form effective support in main fractures and branch fractures determines conductivity of the complex fracture and stimulation effect of multistaged horizontal well fracturing. By means of discretization of disordered complex fractures, the orthogonal three-dimensional physical model of complex fractures is obtained and the complex fracture experimental device for simulating fracture complexity is developed and a complete set of proppant transport characteristic experimental device in unconventional reservoir complex fractures is formed. Combined with field parameters and lab experiments, the influence of proppant performance parameters and discharge capacity to proppant transport characteristics in complex fractures of the unconventional reservoir is studied. And on the basis of experimental results and analysis, the sensitivity analysis method is applied to analyze the influence degree of proppant transport characteristics in complex fractures of the shale or tight sandstone reservoir. The sensitivity order of influence factors is fracture morphology, proppant performance, liquid viscosity, displacement, and proppant concentration. The experimental device and research results can provide strong experimental support for the optimisation of shale or tight sandstone fracturing materials and field parameters.

1. Introduction

To access large shales or tight sandstone gas, multistage fracturing is typically utilized, which is repeatedly used in each horizontal well as many as 20 times [1–9]. An unconventional reservoir (shale or tight sandstone) is characterized by the brittleness, natural fractures, and horizontal bedding developed. Shear slip and tensile failure are easy to occur during fracturing. Artificial fractures are complex fractures instead of a single symmetrical wing fracture as shown in Figure 1; the main fractures and branch fractures in complex fractures are orthogonal and interconnected. During fracturing operation, whether proppant can enter complex fractures and form effective support in main fractures and branch fractures determines the conductivity of complex fractures and the stimulation effect of multistaged horizontal well fracturing [10]. Therefore, the transport of proppant in complex fractures plays an important role in the success of multistaged horizontal well fracturing.

At present, the research of proppant transport in the fracture is mainly a theoretical aspect. Lab engineering simulation and evaluation experiments are rarely carried out, and most of them are single fractures, and the complexity of the fracture is limited [11–22]. Therefore, it is necessary to master the formation mechanism, morphological analysis, and characterization of complex fractures in the unconventional reservoir (shale or tight sandstone) and develop an experimental device that can effectively simulate complex fractures. Combined with field parameters, lab experimental research is carried out to quantitatively study the influence of displacement, proppant/liquid type, fracture morphology, and other factors on proppant transport in complex fractures, so as to provide strong...
technical support when optimizing proppant and field parameters for the unconventional reservoir (shale or tight sandstone).

2. Development of the Experimental Device

2.1. Design Principle. Multistaged horizontal well fracturing is easily capable of producing branch fractures and eventually forming complex fractures. In order to study the transport characteristics of proppant in complex fractures, hydraulic fractures and natural fractures intersect and combine in a certain way to form fractures with different structures [14]. Finally, the disordered complex fractures are discretized to obtain an orthogonal three-dimensional physical model of complex fractures, as shown in Figure 2.

2.2. Device Design. Based on the orthogonal three-dimensional physical model of complex fractures and in order to observe the transport characteristics of proppant in the fracture, 4×4×4 pieces of plexiglass are used to form 3×3×3 vertical and horizontal cross complex fractures, as shown in Figure 3.

There are 4 layers of the complex fracture device with 16 pieces of plexiglass in each layer. Fractures are formed between plexiglass. Different thickness gaskets are used to adjust the width of the plexiglass, and layers are assembled from bottom to top, as shown in Figure 4. During the assembly process, different fracture models can be obtained by preserving the fracture in different plexiglass. Some different types of fracture models are shown in Figure 5.

2.3. Device Parameters. On the basis of the complex fracture device, the fluid tank, screw pump, flowmeter, sand storage tank, sand supply pump, liquid circulation pump, image, and data acquisition are connected, and finally, the experimental device of proppant transport characteristics in the complex fracture of the unconventional reservoir is formed. The flow chart and the physical diagram are shown in Figures 6 and 7, respectively.

The functional parameters of the experimental device are shown in Table 1. The highlight of the device is that there are 27 fracture nodes. As the experimental requirements are changing, the direction and width of fractures can be adjusted at different nodes, so as to obtain the complex fractures with different geometry and widths, which is helpful to complete the simulation experiment of proppant transport characteristics in complex fractures.

3. Experimental Methods

3.1. Determination of Experimental Parameters. According to the principle of Reynolds similarity [14], the field displacement of multistaged horizontal well fracturing is converted into the lab experimental displacement and calculation formula (1) is as follows:

\[ v_e = \frac{v_f}{h_f \times w_f \times 2} \times (h_c \times w_c), \]  

where \( v_e \) means lab experimental displacement, \( m^3/min \), \( v_f \) is field displacement, \( m^3/min \), \( h_f \) is the height of the artificial fracture, \( m \), \( w_f \) is the width of artificial fracture, \( mm \), \( h_c \) is the height of the fracture in the complex fracture experimental device, \( m \), and \( w_c \) is the width of the fracture in the complex fracture experimental device, \( mm \).

3.2. Record of the Experiment Process. The highspeed video camera in the data acquisition and control system is used to record the experimental process and record the transport characteristics of proppant in the complex fracture experimental device under different experimental conditions, as shown in Figure 8. Some experimental results are shown in Figure 9.

3.3. Experimental Scheme. In order to study the influence of proppant performance, displacement, sand concentration, liquid viscosity, and fracture morphology on proppant transport characteristics in complex fractures, the experimental scheme design is shown in Table 2.
Figure 2: Schematic diagram of the discretized complex fracture model [14].

Figure 3: Schematic diagram of the complex fracture device design.

Figure 4: Assembly process of the complex fracture device.
4. Experimental Results and Analysis

4.1. The Influence of the Proppant Performance to Transport

The characteristics of transport in the main fracture are shown in Figure 10(a). The balance heights (proppant vertical transport distance) of 40/70 mesh ceramsite, 50/100 mesh ceramsite, and 70/140 mesh ceramsite are 120 mm, 100 mm, and 92 mm, respectively. By comparing 70/140 mesh ceramsite with 70/140 mesh quartz sand, the balance height is 75 mm.

The characteristics of transport in the branch fractures are shown in Figure 10(b). The balance heights of 40/70 mesh ceramsite, 50/100 mesh ceramsite, 70/140 mesh ceramsite, and 70/140 mesh quartz sand are 32 mm, 114 mm, 100 mm, and 82 mm, respectively, and the front edge distances (proppant horizontal transport distances) are 371 mm, 448 mm, 525 mm, and 600 mm, respectively.

The balance heights of the same proppant in the main fractures are longer than those in the branch fractures, and the slope of proppant dune is relatively smoother with the same proppant transport in the main fracture, which is caused by stronger scouring effect of liquid on the proppant dune.

During field operation, in order to form longer and effective proppant fractures, under the condition of satisfying the proppant strength, the proppant with small granularity and low density should be used as far as possible, so that the proppants can be transported further in the fractures.
4.2. The Influence of Displacement to Transport. The transport characteristics in the main fracture are shown in Figure 11(a). When the experimental displacements are 12.5 L/min, 15.0 L/min, and 17.5 L/min, the balance heights of proppant in the main fractures are 120 mm, 111 mm, and 100 mm, respectively.

The transport characteristics in branch fractures are shown in Figure 11(b). When the experimental displacements are 12.5 L/min, 15.0 L/min, and 17.5 L/min, the balance heights of proppant in the branch fractures are 136 mm, 128 mm, and 106 mm, respectively, and the front edge distances of the proppant in branch fractures are 401 mm, 432 mm, and 485 mm, respectively.

By comparing the experimental results under the same displacement conditions, it is similar to the experimental results when the proppant performance is used as a variable. The transport characteristics in the main fracture are caused by the stronger scouring effect of the liquid on the proppant dune in the main fracture. The larger the displacement, the stronger the scouring effect, resulting in a longer balance stage of the proppant dune than the branch fractures, and the slope of the proppant dune is relatively flat.

During field operation, large displacement can make the proppant transport further in the complex fractures, which is beneficial in increasing the length of the proppant fractures, but will reduce the proppant concentration at the near-wellbore fractures. In order to maximize the near-wellbore fracture conductivity, the displacement should be reduced slowly step by step during the later stage, so as to cause...
proppant settling near the wellbore and to increase the accumulation of the proppant at the near-wellbore fractures.

4.3. The Influence of Sand Concentration to Transport. The transport characteristics in the main fracture are shown in Figure 12(a). When the sand concentrations are 150 kg/m³, 200 kg/m³, and 250 kg/m³, the balanced heights of the proppant in the main fracture are 133 mm, 121 mm, and 111 mm, respectively.

The transport characteristics in the branch fractures are shown in Figure 12(b). When the sand concentrations are 150 kg/m³, 200 kg/m³, and 250 kg/m³, the balance heights of the proppant in the branch fractures are 136 mm, 127 mm, and 112 mm, respectively, and the front edge distances of the proppant in the branch fractures are 422 mm, 450 mm, and 479 mm, respectively.

By comparing the conditions of different sand concentrations, it is contrary to the experimental results when the displacement is used as a variable. At the same displacement, the balance stage of the proppant in the main fracture is longer than that in branch fractures and the slopes of the proppant are relatively flatter as a result of stronger scouring effect of the liquid and larger displacement.

During field operation, lower sand concentration is beneficial to the proppant transport further in the complex fractures, which is helpful to increase the length of the proppant fractures, but it will reduce the proppant concentration at the near-wellbore fractures. In order to maintain the near-wellbore fracture open, the sand concentration should increase slowly step by step during the later stage, so as to cause proppant settling at the near wellbore and to increase the accumulation of the proppant at the near wellbore and finally to provide low resistance to flow at the near-wellbore fracture.

4.4. The Influence of Fluid Viscosity to Transport. The transport characteristics in the main fracture are shown in Figure 13(a). When the viscosities of the fracturing fluid are 2 mPa·s (slickwater) and 34 mPa·s (linear gel), the balanced heights of proppant in the main fracture are 121 mm and 95 mm, respectively.

The transport characteristics in branch fractures are shown in Figure 13(b). When the viscosities of fracturing fluid are 2 mPa·s (slickwater) and 34 mPa·s (linear gel), the balance heights of the proppant in branch fractures are 127 mm and 95 mm, respectively, and the front edge distances of the proppant in branch fractures are 450 mm and 600 mm, respectively.

By comparing different fluid viscosities, the experimental results are similar to the results when displacement is a variable. For different fracturing fluids, the balance stage of the proppant in the main fracture is longer and the slope of proppant dune is relatively gentled. As the viscosity increases, the transport capacity is relatively increased and the settlement speed of the proppant decreases, which has an influence on the formation and accumulation of the proppant dunes.

During field operation, the linear gel can appropriately increase the transport capacity, so that the proppant will be transported further to the complex fracture, which is beneficial in increasing the length of the proppant fracture, but it will reduce the proppant concentration at the near-wellbore fracture. Therefore, part of the linear gel slug is used to carry sand in the mid-term and slickwater in the later stage to ensure the length of the proppant complex fracture and the proppant concentration of at the near-wellbore fracture, so as to facilitate the flow from the reservoir through the fracture and to the wellbore.

4.5. The Influence of Fracture Geometry to Transport. The transport characteristics in the main fracture are shown in Figure 14(a). After the type “T+E” and type “T” fracture formed branch fractures at 150 mm in the horizontal direction, the balanced heights of proppant in the “T+E” and type “T” main fracture are 116 mm and 102 mm, respectively. After the type “double T” fracture formed branch fractures at 150 mm and 300 mm in the horizontal direction, the first and second balanced heights of proppant in the type “double T” main fracture are 89 mm and 109 mm, respectively. After the type “TF” fracture formed branch fractures at 150 mm, 300 mm, and 450 mm in the horizontal direction, the first, second, and third balanced heights of the proppant type “TF” main fracture are 77 mm, 97 mm, and 104 mm, respectively.

The transport characteristics in the branch fractures are shown in Figure 14(b). The heights of the proppant in the “T,” “double T,” and “TF” first branch fractures are 116 mm, 122 mm, and 126 mm, respectively. The heights of the proppant in the “double T” and “TF” second branch fracture are 98 mm and 87 mm. The height of the proppant in the “TF” third branch fracture is 113 mm.

By comparing the transport characteristics of proppants with different fracture geometry in the main fractures and branch fractures, as the fracture complexity gradually increases and the fluid in the fracture being multiply diverse,
Figure 9: The different experimental results of proppant transport in complex fractures.

Table 2: Experimental scheme of proppant transport in complex fractures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Proppant type</th>
<th>Experimental displacement (L/min)</th>
<th>Sand concentration (kg/m³)</th>
<th>Liquid type</th>
<th>Fracture morphology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>40/70 mesh, ceramsite</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Slickwater</td>
<td>1 + E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>50/100 mesh, ceramsite</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Slickwater</td>
<td>1 + E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>70/140 mesh, ceramsite</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Slickwater</td>
<td>1 + E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>70/140 mesh, quartz sand</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Slickwater</td>
<td>1 + E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>40/70 mesh, ceramsite</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Slickwater</td>
<td>1 + E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>40/70 mesh, ceramsite</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Slickwater</td>
<td>1 + E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>40/70 mesh, ceramsite</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>Slickwater</td>
<td>1 + E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>40/70 mesh, ceramsite</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Slickwater</td>
<td>1 + E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>40/70 mesh, ceramsite</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Linear gel</td>
<td>1 + E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>40/70 mesh, ceramsite</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Slickwater</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>40/70 mesh, ceramsite</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Slickwater</td>
<td>Double T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>40/70 mesh, ceramsite</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Slickwater</td>
<td>TF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10: The transport characteristics of proppants in the main fracture (a) and branch fractures (b) with different proppant performances (granularity, density).
the closer the diversion nodes, the smaller the fluid flow, the lower the flow velocity in the fractures, and the weaker the carrying and scouring effect of the proppant. Therefore, the farther away from the entrance of fractures, the higher the balanced heights of the proppant.

During the field operation, as the operation proceeds, the complexity of the fracture geometry increases, the fluid pump in the reservoir is diverted step by step, and the flow velocity in the branch fractures gradually decreases; meanwhile, the carrying capacity of the proppant and the scouring effect on the proppant dunes decrease. Therefore, the more complex the fractures are, the less conducive it is to the transport of the proppant. In the mid-term, high-displacement injection should be ensured to compensate the reduction in sand carrying capacity caused by the diversion of complex fractures and enough proppants should be provided in branch fractures to improve the hydrocarbon production from the well.

5. Sensitivity Analysis of Factors Affecting Proppant Transport Characteristics in Complex Fractures

In order to understand the sensitivity of five factors to proppant transport characteristics in complex fractures, the sensitivity analysis method is applied to analyze and compare the sensitivities between them.

Sensitivity analysis is a method of analyzing system stability in system analysis. Given a system whose system characteristic $P$ is mainly determined by $n$ factors $a = \{a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n\}$,
In a certain reference state \( a^* = \{a^*_1, a^*_2, \ldots, a^*_n\} \), the system characteristic is \( P^* \). Make each factor changes within its own possible range, and analyse the trend and extent of the deviation of the system characteristic \( P \) from the reference state \( P^* \) caused by the changes of these factors, which is called sensitivity analysis [20].

When analyzing the influence of the \( n \) parameter \( a_n \) on the characteristic \( P \), the other parameters are all referenced and the sensitivity of the system characteristic \( P \) to \( a_n \) is expressed as

\[
\psi(a_k) = f(a_1^*, a_2^*, \ldots, a_{k-1}^*, a_k^*, a_{k+1}^*, \ldots, a_n^*),
\]

where \( \psi(a_k) \) is the sensitivity of the system characteristic \( P \) to \( a_k \).

The relative error of the system characteristic \( P \) is

\[
\delta_p = \frac{|\Delta P|}{P},
\]

where \( \Delta P \) is the sensitivity of the system characteristic \( P \) to \( a_k \).

The relative error of the parameter \( a_k \) is

\[
\delta_{a_k} = \frac{|\Delta a_k|}{a_k},
\]

where \( \Delta a_k \) is the error of \( a_k \).

From formula (4), the sensitivity function curve \( S_k = \frac{a_k}{a_k} \) of \( a_k \) can be drawn. Take \( a_k = a^*_k \) to get the sensitivity factor \( S^*_k \) of the parameter \( a_k \)

\[
S^*_k = S_k(a^*_k) = \left( \frac{d\psi_k(a_k)}{da_k} \right)_{a_k=a^*_k} a^*_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, n.
\]

\( S^*_k, k = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) is a set of dimensionless nonnegative real numbers. The larger the value of \( S^*_k \), the more sensitive \( P \) is to \( a_k \) in the reference state. Through the comparison of \( S^*_k \), the sensitivity of the system characteristics to various factors can be compared and evaluated.

System characteristics, the proppant transport characteristics in complex fractures, are characterized by the ratio of the balance height of the proppant to the proppant transport distance in the main and branch fractures. The parameters for sensitivity analysis include proppant performance, displacement, sand concentration, liquid viscosity, and fracture geometry.

Observe the proppant transport characteristics in complex fractures, and use curve fitting methods to obtain the sensitivity function, and then, calculate the sensitivity factor. Based on the existing experimental results and analysis, the sensitivity values of each parameters is obtained. As shown in Table 3, the sensitivity degree to proppant transport in order is fracture geometry, proppant performance, liquid viscosity, displacement, and proppant concentration.

### 6. Conclusions

1. Discretize the disordered complex fractures to obtain an orthogonal three-dimensional complex fracture physical model. Develop a complex fracture experimental device, and form an experimental device to research the transport proppant in complex fractures. A highspeed video camera is used to record the proppant transport characteristics in the complex fracture during the entire experimental process under different conditions.

2. Complete experimental research with different factors on proppant transport characteristics in complex fractures. Results shows that, under different conditions (particle size, density, displacement, sand concentration, and liquid viscosity), the same proppant transport at the balance stage of the proppant dune is longer than that in the branch and the proppant dune has a relatively flat slope in the main fracture because liquid has a stronger scouring effect.
With the fracture complexity increasing gradually and the fluid in fractures is diverted step by step, the later the diverging node, the lower the flow velocity in the fractures and the higher the balanced heights of the proppant.

(3) Based on existing experimental results, observe the proppant transport characteristics in complex fractures and use curve fitting methods to establish the sensitivity function, and then, calculate the sensitivity factor of each influencing factors. Results show that the sensitivity degree to proppant transport in order is fracture geometry, proppant performance, liquid viscosity, displacement, and proppant concentration. The experimental device and research results can provide strong support to optimize the materials into wells and optimize field parameters for unconventional reservoirs multistaged horizontal well fracturing.
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