Hindawi Genetics Research Volume 2023, Article ID 5565646, 6 pages https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/5565646



Research Article

XRCC1 R194W and R399Q Polymorphisms and Colorectal Cancer Risk in a Northeastern Mexican Population

Juan Pablo Meza-Espinoza, Valeria Peralta-Leal, Jorge Durán-González, Nelly Macías-Gómez, Anabel Bocanegra-Alonso, and Evelia Leal-Ugarte,

Correspondence should be addressed to Evelia Leal-Ugarte; elugarte@docentes.uat.edu.mx

Received 1 May 2023; Revised 29 August 2023; Accepted 21 September 2023; Published 4 October 2023

Academic Editor: Xiaoye Jin

Copyright © 2023 Juan Pablo Meza-Espinoza et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most common cancers worldwide. Its etiopathogenesis is complex, mainly influenced by genetic instability caused by the accumulation of mutations. The *XRCC1* gene, which is involved in DNA repair, has been associated with CRC through the R194W (C194T) and R399Q (G399A) polymorphisms, but the results are inconsistent. Here, we analyzed the association of these polymorphisms with sporadic CRC in a northeastern Mexican population, including 155 male CRC patients and 155 male controls. Genotyping was performed using the RFLP method. An association with CRC was found for the 399A allele (G vs A; OR = 1.48 (1.03–2.13), P = 0.034) and for the 399AA genotype in a codominant model (AA vs GG; OR = 3.11 (1.06–9.10), P = 0.031). In contrast, there were no significant differences between CRC patients and controls for the C194T polymorphism (C vs T; OR = 0.82 (0.52–1.31), P = 0.41). These results are consistent with many similar studies, but further research is needed to verify whether the *XRCC1* R194W and R399Q polymorphisms play a role in CRC etiology. The functional significance of these polymorphisms is unclear, but some studies suggest that they influence DNA repair capacity and, thus, cancer risk.

1. Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most common cancers worldwide, being the third most diagnosed cancer in men (10.6%), after lung cancer (14.3%) and prostate cancer (14.1%), and the second most diagnosed cancer in women (9.4%), after breast cancer (24.5%) [1]. In 2020, there were 1,930,000 CRC cases and 940,000 CRC-associated deaths, representing 10% and 9.4% of all cancers, respectively [2, 3]. CRC is classified according to its etiology as sporadic, caused by somatic mutations, which represents nearly 70% of the cases, familial (predisposition to CRC), accounting for 10–30%, and hereditary (with Mendelian inheritance), explaining around 5–7% of the cases. Its etiopathogenesis is

complex and influenced by the genetic background, mainly by chromosomal and microsatellite instability, abnormal DNA methylation, and DNA repair defects [4].

High penetrance mutations, such as those in mismatch repair genes and APC gene, comprise about 5% of CRC cases, and their role in CRC pathogenesis is well established. In contrast, low-penetrance variants represent the remaining genetic factors and are poorly understood [5]. In this line, genetic polymorphisms in DNA repair genes, such as XRCC1, may contribute to differences in DNA repair capacity and thus increase susceptibility to CRC [6]. The XRCC1 gene encodes a scaffold protein that interacts with several enzymes, such as polyadenosine diphosphate (ADP)-ribose polymerase (PARP), DNA ligase III, and DNA

¹Facultad de Medicina e Ingeniería en Sistemas Computacionales de Matamoros, Universidad Autónoma de Tamaulipas, Sendero Nacional km 3, CP 87349, Col. San José, Matamoros, Tamaulipas, Mexico

²Centro Universitario del Sur, Universidad de Guadalajara, Av. Enrique Arreola Silva # 883, Col. Centro, Ciudad Guzmán, Jalisco, Mexico

³Unidad Académica Multidisciplinaria Reynosa-Aztlán, Universidad Autónoma de Tamaulipas, Calle 16 y Lago de Chapala, Col. Aztlán, Reynosa, Tamaulipas, Mexico

Genotype/alleles C194T	Controls, $n = 146$	Patients, $n = 146$	OR (95% CI)	$^{\mathrm{a}}P$
CC	103	107	1.0 (reference)	
CT	40	39	0.94 (0.56-1.57)	$0.81^{\rm b}$
TT	3	0	0.14 (0.007-2.70)	$0.19^{\rm b}$
CT + TT	43	39	0.87 (0.52–1.46)	0.60^{c}
C	246	253	1.0 (reference)	
T	46	39	0.82 (0.52–1.31)	0.41
G399A	n = 155	n = 155		^a P
GG	92	77	1.0 (reference)	
GA	58	65	1.34 (0.84-2.13)	0.22^{b}
AA	5	13	3.11 (1.06-9.10)	0.031 ^b
GA + AA	63	78	1.48 (0.94–2.32)	0.087^{c}
G	242	219	1.0 (reference)	
A	68	91	1.48 (1.03-2.13)	0.034

Table 1: Analysis of the XRCC1 C194T and G399A polymorphisms in CRC patients and controls from northeastern México.

n: sample size; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; P: P value. ^aPearson's chi-square test. ^bCodominant model. ^cDominant model. Bold indicates that the A allele and the AA genotype were associated with colorectal cancer.

polymerase β (poly β) to facilitate DNA single-strand breaks repair and base excision repair (BER), and thus contribute to DNA maintenance [7]. The most studied XRCC1 polymorphisms are R194W (rs1799782, C26304T, and C194T) and R399Q (rs25487, G2815A, and G399A); the former is located between the poly β and PARP-binding domains, while the latter is in the carboxyl-terminal side of the PARP-interacting domain [8]. These variants have been associated with CRC, but the results are inconsistent [8–35].

This study aimed to investigate whether the *XRCC1* R194W and R399Q polymorphisms are associated with CRC in a population from northeastern México.

2. Materials and Methods

2

2.1. Subjects. The study included 155 male patients aged 47-79 years (mean 59.7 years) with histopathologically confirmed CRC who were enrolled at the Oncology Service of the Dr. José María Cantú Hospital in Reynosa Tamaulipas, México. Some women diagnosed with CRC were excluded from the analysis due to the small sample size (less than 20). In addition, 155 cancer-free men over the age of 50 with no history of CRC or other cancers who were seen at the same hospital for other reasons were consecutively recruited as a control group. The mean age of this group was 58.4 years (50–73 years). This research was conducted according to the guidelines of the Helsinki Declaration and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine of the Universidad Autónoma de Tamaulipas, Campus Matamoros. In addition, written informed consent was obtained from the patients prior to enrollment.

2.2. Genotyping of the XRCC1 C194T and G399A Polymorphisms. Genotyping was performed using the RFLP method from the DNA extracted from peripheral blood, as previously reported by Meza-Espinoza et al. The description of the methodology partially reproduces their wording [36]. Briefly, the primers used were 5'-GCCCGTCCCAGGTA-3' and 5'-AGCCCCAAGACCCTTTCACT-3' for the C194T polymorphism and 5'-TTGTGCTTTCTCTGTGTCCA-3'

and 5'-TCCTCCAGCCTTTTCTGATA-3' for the G399A variant. The PCR amplification conditions for both polymorphisms consisted of an initial denaturation at 94°C for 4 min and 35 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 60°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s, followed by a final elongation at 72°C for 4 min. The PCR products were 491 base pairs (bp) for C194T and 615 bp for G399A. Digestion was performed with the *Hpa II* enzyme for both polymorphisms. For C194T polymorphism, cleavage yielded fragments of 292 bp, 178 bp, and 21 bp for the C allele and 313 bp and 178 bp for the T allele (Supplementary Figure 1), whereas for G399A polymorphism, cleavage rendered fragments of 377 bp and 238 bp for the G allele and 615 bp (uncut) for the A allele (Supplementary Figure 2).

2.3. Statistical Analysis. Allele and genotype frequencies were recorded, and Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium was assessed by the chi-squared test using the control group. Pearson's chi-squared test was used to compare allele and genotype frequencies between both groups, and the association with CRC was estimated by odds ratio and 95% confidence interval (SPSS 25.0). A P < 0.05 was considered significant.

3. Results

The genotype and allele frequencies of *XRCC1* C194T and G399A polymorphisms in CRC patients and controls are shown in Table 1. The C194T genotype frequencies were 70.5%, 27.4%, and 2.1% in controls and 73.3%, 26.7%, and 0% in patients for CC, CT, and TT, respectively; the 194T allele frequency was 15.8% in controls and 13.4% in patients. For G399A, the genotypes were 59.4%, 37.4%, and 3.2% in controls and 49.7%, 41.9%, and 8.4% in patients for GG, GA, and AA, respectively, and the 399A allele frequency was 21.9% in controls and 29.4% in patients. Both polymorphisms were consistent with Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium in the controls (C194T: $\chi^2 = 0.15$, P = 0.70; G399A: $\chi^2 = 1.33$, P = 0.25). As shown in Table 1, an association with CRC was found for the 399A allele (OR = 1.48 (1.03–2.13),

Table 2: Analysis of the XRCC1 G399A polymorphism in populations worldwide.

^a Country	Controls/ cases	OR (95% CI)	^b P value	Reference
Korea	209/209	1.39 (1.00-1.93)	0.047	[9]
Poland	153/113	1.51 (1.07-2.15)	0.02	[10]
Poland	310/318	1.35 (1.08–1.70)	0.01	[11]
China	970/485	1.42 (1.20-1.69)	0.001	[12]
China	350/320	1.41 (1.10–1.79)	0.006	[13]
Japan	776/685	1.19 (1.01-1.41)	0.04	[14]
Romania	162/150	2.37 (1.69–3.32)	0.0001	[15]
Thailand	230/230	1.60 (1.20-2.13)	0.001	[16]
Iran	150/150	1.54 (1.10-2.10)	0.01	[17]
Iran	160/180	1.50 (1.07-2.10)	0.018	[18]
Taiwan	729/718	0.89 (0.76–1.06)	0.19	[19]
Norway	399/157	0.92 (0.71–1.21)	0.56	[20]
Spain	322/355	0.96 (0.77–1.21)	0.74	[21]
Poland	100/100	0.84 (0.56–1.26)	0.41	[22]
Poland	100/133	1.08 (0.74–1.58)	0.69	[23]
Singapore	1120/294	0.87 (0.71–1.08)	0.21	[24]
Italy	121/109	1.10 (0.74–1.63)	0.64	[25]
Czech Republic	530/532	0.94 (0.79–1.12)	0.48	[26]
USA	1950/1582	0.95 (0.86–1.05)	0.33	[27]
USA	360/305	1.00 (0.80–1.25)	1.00	[28]
México	120/103	1.21 (0.80–1.83)	0.36	[29]
India	146/120	0.77 (0.55–1.10)	0.15	[30]
India	150/130	0.89 (0.63–1.26)	0.51	[31]
China	630/451	0.99 (0.82–1.19)	0.92	[32]
Sweden	558/452	1.12 (0.94–1.35)	0.21	[33]
Malaysia	212/130	1.04 (0.74–1.46)	0.81	[34]
aol		at least 100 pa		1001

^aOnly case-control studies with at least 100 patients and 100 controls reporting genotype frequencies were included. Meta-analyses were excluded. ^bComparisons were made using Pearson's chi-square test with the G allele as the reference. Bold indicates a significance for the A allele with colorectal cancer.

Table 3: Analysis of the *XRCC1* C194T polymorphism in several countries.

^a Country	Controls/ cases	OR (95% CI)	^b P value	Reference
China	438/438	1.30 (1.04-1.64)	0.023	[8]
Korea	168/209	2.87 (2.01-4.11)	0.0001	[9]
China	628/451	1.29 (1.07-1.55)	0.007	[32]
Iran	140/291	4.95 (2.11-11.6)	0.001	[35]
China	350/320	0.86 (0.68-1.08)	0.19	[13]
Japan	776/685	0.99 (0.85-1.16)	1.0	[14]
Norway	399/156	1.24 (0.74-2.08)	0.41	[20]
Spain	322/360	0.89 (0.58-1.35)	0.58	[21]
Poland	100/100	1.11 (0.46-2.67)	0.82	[22]
Poland	100/133	1.76 (0.71-4.37)	0.21	[23]
Singapore	1162/305	0.93 (0.76-1.24)	0.48	[24]
Italy	121/109	1.26 (0.64-2.50)	0.50	[25]
USA	1950/1582	1.19 (0.97-1.45)	0.09	[27]
USA	360/305	0.86 (0.58-1.29)	0.46	[28]
México	120/107	0.60 (0.34-1.06)	0.07	[29]
Malaysia	212/130	0.96 (0.68–1.35)	0.82	[34]

^aOnly case-control studies with at least 100 patients and 100 controls reporting genotype frequencies were included. Meta-analyses were excluded. ^bComparisons were made using Pearson's chi-square test with the C allele as the reference. Bold values indicate a significance for the T allele with colorectal cancer.

P = 0.034) and the AA genotype in a codominant model (OR = 3.11 (1.06–9.10), P = 0.031). In contrast, there were no significant differences in the distribution of C194T polymorphism between CRC patients and controls (OR = 0.82 (0.52–1.31), P = 0.41).

4. Discussion

Our results show that subjects carrying the 399A allele have a significantly increased risk of developing CRC. This finding is consistent with observations from studies conducted in other populations, namely, Korean [9], Polish [10, 11], Han Chinese [12, 13], Japanese [14], Romanian [15], Thai [16], and Iranian [17, 18] (Table 2). Similarly, the AA genotype was associated with CRC under a codominant model in these races: Han Chinese (OR = 2.28 (1.52-3.44), P < 0.0001) [12] and (OR = 1.93 (1.05-3.54), P = 0.03) [13], Japanese (1.05-2.48), P = 0.028) [14], Romanian (OR = 3.49 (1.55 - 8.02), P = 0.001) [15], Thai (OR = 4.95)(1.99-12.30), P = 0.0005) [16], and Iranian (OR = 5.30)(1.90-14.20), P = 0.001) [17]. The A allele also showed an increased CRC risk under a dominant model in Korean (OR = 1.61 (1.09-2.39), P = 0.017) [9] and Iranian (OR = 1.78 (1.16-2.74), P = 0.009) [18]. Even the GA genotype was associated with CRC under a codominant model in Polish (OR = 2.73 (1.31–5.68), P = 0.006) [10] and (OR = 2.48 (1.75-3.53), P < 0.0001) [11], Han Chinese (OR = 1.46 (1.06-2.01), P = 0.02) [13], and Romanian (OR = 1.75 (1.09-2.82), P = 0.017) [15]. However, similar findings have not been replicated in many other studies, especially in Taiwanese [19], Norwegian [20], Spanish [21],

Polish [22, 23], Singaporean [24], Italian [25], Czech [26], American [27, 28], Mexican [29], Indian [30, 31], Northeast Chinese [32], Swedish [33], and Malaysian [34] (Table 2).

Regarding the R194W polymorphism, like us, most studies showed no association with CRC, namely, Han Chinese [13], Japanese [14], Norwegian [20], Polish [22], Italian [25], Mexican [29], and Malaysian [34]. However, some Asian studies reported a risk of CRC in carriers of the 194T allele, specifically in Han Chinese (OR = 1.30 (1.04–1.64), P = 0.023) [8], Northeast Chinese (OR = 1.29 (1.07–1.55), P = 0.007) [32], Korean (OR = 2.87 (2.01–4.11), P < 0.0001) [9], and Iranian (OR = 4.95, (2.11–11.6), P < 0.001) [35] (Table 3).

The discordance observed between studies for both polymorphisms is likely due to multiple factors, but perhaps racial and genetic differences, cancer histology, and inclusion criteria are the most relevant. Even this research differs from another study we have previously reported, in which no association of the R194W and R399Q polymorphisms with CRC was found in a group of patients from western México [29]. Since both studies analyzed Mexican patients, these contradictory results may be explained by the genetic background between both regions, as genetic differences between Mexican geographic areas have been demonstrated [37]. Different dietary and lifestyle habits could also be involved.

Although CRC is complex and multifactorial, oxidative stress influenced by oxidizing agents plays a role in its etiopathogenesis [11]. One of the DNA damage principal agents is known to be reactive oxygen species [38]. This damage is mainly caused by the formation of 8-oxoguanine (8-oxoG), which can cause mispairing with adenine, resulting in guanine-to-thymine and cytosine-to-adenine changes [39]. Accumulation of DNA damage due to misrepair or incomplete repair can lead to mutagenesis and subsequent transformation [40]. In this regard, an increase in oxidatively damaged DNA by 8-oxoG has been reported in leukocytes from CRC patients [41]. The removal of 8oxoG from DNA is accomplished by BER, mainly through 8oxo-guanine glycosylase (OGG1) activity [42], which interacts with other proteins, such as XRCC1, to maintain genomic stability. The interaction of XRCC1 with OGG1 leads to a 2- to 3-fold stimulation of the DNA glycosylase activity of this enzyme, which accelerates the overall repair process of oxidized purines and single-strand breaks [43]. The R399Q polymorphism has been shown to increase cancer risk in association with lower 8-oxoG cleavage activity and, consequently, increased levels of 8-oxoG [11, 44].

The functional significance of these polymorphisms was evaluated in individuals exposed to mutagenic agents. Regarding R399Q, the A allele (399Q) was found to contribute to ionizing radiation hypersensitivity in subjects exposed to γ -rays [45]; this was also associated with an increase in chromosomal deletions in individuals exposed to X-rays [46]; in addition, among subjects exposed to bleomycin and benzo[a]pyrene diol epoxide, those with the AA genotype had higher levels of chromosomal breaks than those with other genotypes [47]. In contrast, wild-type CC homozygotes for the R194W polymorphism had increased

levels of chromosomal breaks [47], and subjects carrying the T allele had a reduced risk of chronic benzene poisoning [48]. It is known that benzene, through its metabolites, can induce genotoxicity and, consequently, malignancy through oxidative stress [49]. These studies demonstrate the importance of these genetic variants in the ability of *XRCC1* in DNA repair.

5. Conclusion

This study found that the *XRCC1* R399Q polymorphism, but not the R194W, is associated with CRC susceptibility in a population from northeastern México. However, further validation of our findings in larger samples is needed.

Data Availability

The datasets generated and analyzed in this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

JPME and ELU conceptualized and designed the study and drafted the manuscript. ABA and JDG collected the samples and data. JPME, ELU, and VPL performed the genetic and statistical analyses and data interpretation. ABA, JDG, VPL, and NMG revised the manuscript and provided critical intellectual input. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank all patients who participated in the study and the collaborating clinicians. This study was supported in part by an institutional grant Universidad Autónoma de Tamaulipas (UAT-CA-69 P/PFCE-2018-28MSU0010B-13).

Supplementary Materials

Supplementary Figure 1: photograph showing results of the XRCC1 C194T polymorphism. Lanes 1 and 4–8: wild homozygotes (CC). Lanes 2 and 3: heterozygotes (CT). Lane 10: polymorphic homozygote (TT). Lane 9: 100 bp marker. Supplementary Figure 2: photograph showing results of the *XRCC1* G399A polymorphism. Lanes 1, 3, 4, and 7–11: heterozygotes (GA). Lanes 2 and 12: wild homozygotes (GG). Lane 6: polymorphic homozygote (AA). Lane 5: 100 bp marker. (*Supplementary Materials*)

References

- Y. Xi and P. Xu, "Global colorectal cancer burden in 2020 and projections to 2040," *Translational Oncology*, vol. 14, no. 10, Article ID 101174, 2021.
- [2] M. R. Saraiva, I. Rosa, and I. Claro, "Early-onset colorectal cancer: a review of current knowledge," *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 29, no. 8, pp. 1289–1303, 2023.

[3] H. Sung, J. Ferlay, R. L. Siegel et al., "Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries," *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*, vol. 71, no. 3, pp. 209–249, 2021.

- [4] M. De Rosa, U. Pace, D. Rega et al., "Genetics, diagnosis and management of colorectal cancer (Review)," *Oncology Re*ports, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 1087–1096, 2015.
- [5] N. Whiffin, F. J. Hosking, S. M. Farrington et al., "Identification of susceptibility loci for colorectal cancer in a genome-wide meta-analysis," *Human Molecular Genetics*, vol. 23, no. 17, pp. 4729–4737, 2014.
- [6] A. Naccarati, B. Pardini, K. Hemminki, and P. Vodicka, "Sporadic colorectal cancer and individual susceptibility: a review of the association studies investigating the role of DNA repair genetic polymorphisms," *Mutation Research: Reviews in Mutation Research*, vol. 635, no. 2-3, pp. 118–145, 2007.
- [7] K. W. Caldecott, "XRCC1 and DNA strand break repair," DNA Repair, vol. 2, no. 9, pp. 955–969, 2003.
- [8] Q. Dai, H. Luo, X. P. Li, J. Huang, T. J. Zhou, and Z. H. Yang, "XRCC1 and ERCC1 polymorphisms are related to susceptibility and survival of colorectal cancer in the Chinese population," *Mutagenesis*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 441–449, 2015.
- [9] Y. C. Hong, K. H. Lee, W. C. Kim et al., "Polymorphisms of XRCC1 gene, alcohol consumption and colorectal cancer," *International Journal of Cancer*, vol. 116, no. 3, pp. 428–432, 2005
- [10] K. Jelonek, A. Gdowicz-Klosok, M. Pietrowska et al., "Association between single-nucleotide polymorphisms of selected genes involved in the response to DNA damage and risk of colon, head and neck, and breast cancers in a Polish population," *Journal of Applied Genetics*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 343–352, 2010.
- [11] J. Kabziński and I. Majsterek, "Association of base excision repair pathway genes OGG1, XRCC1 and MUTYH polymorphisms and the level of 8-oxo-guanine with increased risk of colorectal cancer occurrence," *International Journal of Occupational Medicine and Environmental Health*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 625–633, 2022.
- [12] Y. Zhao, X. Deng, Z. Wang, Q. Wang, and Y. Liu, "Genetic polymorphisms of DNA repair genes XRCC1 and XRCC3 and risk of colorectal cancer in Chinese population," *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 665–669, 2012.
- [13] Y. Huang, X. Li, J. He et al., "Genetic polymorphisms in XRCC1 genes and colorectal cancer susceptibility," *World Journal of Surgical Oncology*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 244, 2015.
- [14] G. Yin, M. Morita, K. Ohnaka et al., "Genetic polymorphisms of XRCC1, alcohol consumption, and the risk of colorectal cancer in Japan," *Journal of Epidemiology*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 64–71, 2012.
- [15] L. M. Procopciuc and G. Osian, "Lys751Gln XPD and Arg399Gln XRCC1 in Romanians. Association with sporadic colorectal cancer risk and different stages of carcinomas," *Chirurgia*, vol. 108, no. 5, pp. 711–718, 2013.
- [16] K. Poomphakwaen, S. Promthet, K. Suwanrungruang, P. Chopjitt, N. Songserm, and S. Wiangnon, "XRCC1 gene polymorphism, diet and risk of colorectal cancer in Thailand," *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, vol. 15, no. 17, pp. 7479–7486, 2014.
- [17] S. M. Hosseini, J. Mohammadiasl, A. Talaiezadeh, R. Alidadi, and M. Bijanzadeh, "Influence of two DNA repair pathway polymorphisms in colorectal cancer risk in southwest Iran," *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, vol. 21, no. 7, pp. 1919–1924, 2020.

[18] J. Mehrzad, M. Dayyani, and M. Erfanian-Khorasani, "The independent and combined effects of selected risk factors and Arg399Gln XRCC1 polymorphism in the risk of colorectal cancer among an Iranian population," *Medical Journal of the Islamic Republic of Iran*, vol. 34, p. 75, 2020.

- [19] C. C. Yeh, F. C. Sung, R. Tang, C. R. Chang-Chieh, and L. L. Hsieh, "Polymorphisms of the XRCC1, XRCC3, and XPD genes, and colorectal cancer risk: a case-control study in Taiwan," *BMC Cancer*, vol. 5, no. 1, p. 12, 2005.
- [20] C. F. Skjelbred, M. Saebø, H. Wallin et al., "Polymorphisms of the XRCC1, XRCC3 and XPD genes and risk of colorectal adenoma and carcinoma, in a Norwegian cohort: a case control study," BMC Cancer, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 67–69, 2006.
- [21] V. Moreno, F. Gemignani, S. Landi et al., "Polymorphisms in genes of nucleotide and base excision repair: risk and prognosis of colorectal cancer," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 12, no. 7, pp. 2101–2108, 2006.
- [22] T. Sliwinski, R. Krupa, M. Wisniewska-Jarosinska et al., "No association between the Arg194Trp and Arg399Gln polymorphisms of the XRCC1 gene and colorectal cancer risk and progression in a Polish population," *Experimental Oncology*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 253-254, 2008.
- [23] J. Gil, D. Ramsey, A. Stembalska et al., "The C/A polymorphism in intron 11 of the XPC gene plays a crucial role in the modulation of an individual's susceptibility to sporadic colorectal cancer," *Molecular Biology Reports*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 527–534, 2012.
- [24] M. C. Stern, D. V. Conti, K. D. Siegmund et al., "DNA repair single-nucleotide polymorphisms in colorectal cancer and their role as modifiers of the effect of cigarette smoking and alcohol in the Singapore Chinese Health Study," *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention*, vol. 16, no. 11, pp. 2363–2372, 2007.
- [25] G. Improta, A. Sgambato, G. Bianchino et al., "Polymorphisms of the DNA repair genes XRCC1 and XRCC3 and risk of lung and colorectal cancer: a case-control study in a Southern Italian population," *Anticancer Research*, vol. 28, no. 5B, pp. 2941–2946, 2008.
- [26] B. Pardini, A. Naccarati, J. Novotny et al., "DNA repair genetic polymorphisms and risk of colorectal cancer in the Czech Republic," Mutation Research: Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis, vol. 638, no. 1-2, pp. 146–153, 2008
- [27] K. Curtin, W. S. Samowitz, R. K. Wolff et al., "Assessing tumor mutations to gain insight into base excision repair sequence polymorphisms and smoking in colon cancer," *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention*, vol. 18, no. 12, pp. 3384–3388, 2009.
- [28] A. Brevik, A. D. Joshi, R. Corral et al., "Polymorphisms in base excision repair genes as colorectal cancer risk factors and modifiers of the effect of diets high in red meat," *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention*, vol. 19, no. 12, pp. 3167–3173, 2010.
- [29] R. Muñiz-Mendoza, M. L. Ayala-Madrigal, M. Partida-Pérez et al., "MLH1 and XRCC1 polymorphisms in Mexican patients with colorectal cancer," *Genetics and Molecular Research*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 2315–2320, 2012.
- [30] N. P. Khan, A. A. Pandith, A. Yousuf et al., "The XRCC1 Arg399Gln gene polymorphism and risk of colorectal cancer: a study in Kashmir," *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, vol. 14, no. 11, pp. 6779–6782, 2013.
- [31] S. Nissar, T. A. Lone, M. Z. Banday et al., "Arg399Gln polymorphism of XRCC1 gene and risk of colorectal cancer in

Kashmir: a case control study," *Oncology Letters*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 959–963, 2013.

- [32] Y. Li, S. Li, Z. Wu et al., "Polymorphisms in genes of APE1, PARP1, and XRCC1: risk and prognosis of colorectal cancer in a northeast Chinese population," *Medical Oncology*, vol. 30, no. 2, p. 505, 2013.
- [33] J. Dimberg, M. Skarstedt, R. Slind Olsen, R. E. Andersson, and A. Matussek, "Gene polymorphism in DNA repair genes XRCC1 and XRCC6 and association with colorectal cancer in Swedish patients," Acta Pathologica, Microbiologica et Immunologica Scandinavica, vol. 124, no. 9, pp. 736–740, 2016
- [34] T. P. Lau, L. H. Lian, P. L. Cheah et al., "Lack of correlation between X-ray repair cross-complementing group 1 gene polymorphisms and the susceptibility to colorectal cancer in a Malaysian cohort," *European Journal of Cancer Prevention*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 506–510, 2017.
- [35] A. Hashemi, F. Baghbani-arani, and M. S. Larijani, "Genetic polymorphisms of three DNA-repair genes (PRKDC, XPD, XRCC1) are related to colorectal cancer susceptibility," *Cytology and Genetics*, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 363–371, 2020.
- [36] J. P. Meza-Espinoza, V. Peralta-Leal, M. Gutierrez-Angulo et al., "XRCC1 polymorphisms and haplotypes in Mexican patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia," *Genetics and Molecular Research*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 1451–1458, 2009.
- [37] X. H. Castro-Martínez, C. Leal-Cortés, S. E. Flores-Martínez et al., "Tumor necrosis factor haplotype diversity in Mestizo and native populations of México," *Tissue Antigens*, vol. 83, no. 4, pp. 247–259, 2014.
- [38] M. S. Cooke, M. D. Evans, M. Dizdaroglu, and J. Lunec, "Oxidative DNA damage: mechanisms, mutation, and disease," *The FASEB Journal*, vol. 17, no. 10, pp. 1195–1214, 2003.
- [39] K. C. Cheng, D. S. Cahill, H. Kasai, S. Nishimura, and L. A. Loeb, "8-Hydroxyguanine, an abundant form of oxidative DNA damage, causes G-T and A-C substitutions," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 267, no. 1, pp. 166–172, 1992.
- [40] T. Obtulowicz, M. Swoboda, E. Speina et al., "Oxidative stress and 8-oxoguanine repair are enhanced in colon adenoma and carcinoma patients," *Mutagenesis*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 463–471, 2010.
- [41] T. B. Kryston, A. B. Georgiev, P. Pissis, and A. G. Georgakilas, "Role of oxidative stress and DNA damage in human carcinogenesis," *Mutation Research: Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis*, vol. 711, no. 1-2, pp. 193–201, 2011.
- [42] C. Colussi, E. Parlanti, P. Degan et al., "The mammalian mismatch repair pathway removes DNA 8-oxodGMP incorporated from the oxidized dNTP pool," *Current Biology*, vol. 12, no. 11, pp. 912–918, 2002.
- [43] S. Marsin, A. E. Vidal, M. Sossou et al., "Role of XRCC1 in the coordination and stimulation of oxidative DNA damage repair initiated by the DNA glycosylase hOGG1," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 278, no. 45, pp. 44068–44074, 2003.
- [44] J. Janik, M. Swoboda, B. Janowska et al., "8-Oxoguanine incision activity is impaired in lung tissues of NSCLC patients with the polymorphism of OGG1 and XRCC1 genes," *Mutation Research: Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms* of Mutagenesis, vol. 709-710, pp. 21-31, 2011.
- [45] J. J. Hu, T. R. Smith, M. S. Miller, H. W. Mohrenweiser, A. Golden, and L. D. Case, "Amino acid substitution variants of APE1 and XRCC1 genes associated with ionizing radiation sensitivity," *Carcinogenesis*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 917–922, 2001.

[46] W. W. Au, S. A. Salama, and C. H. Sierra-Torres, "Functional characterization of polymorphisms in DNA repair genes using cytogenetic challenge assays," *Environmental Health Perspectives*, vol. 111, no. 15, pp. 1843–1850, 2003.

- [47] Y. Wang, M. R. Spitz, Y. Zhu, Q. Dong, S. Shete, and X. Wu, "From genotype to phenotype: correlating XRCC1 polymorphisms with mutagen sensitivity," *DNA Repair*, vol. 2, no. 8, pp. 901–908, 2003.
- [48] Z. Zhang, J. Wan, X. Jin et al., "Genetic polymorphisms in XRCC1, APE1, ADPRT, XRCC2 and XRCC3 and risk of chronic benzene poisoning in a Chinese occupational population," *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention*, vol. 14, no. 11, pp. 2614–2619, 2005.
- [49] R. D. Mathialagan, Z. Abd Hamid, Q. M. Ng, N. F. Rajab, S. Shuib, and S. R. Binti Abdul Razak, "Bone marrow oxidative stress and acquired lineage specific genotoxicity in hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells exposed to 1,4-benzoquinone," *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, vol. 17, no. 16, p. 5865, 2020.