

# Research Article

# **Pilot Study of Trace Elements in the Infusion of Medicinal Plants Used for Diabetes Treatment**

Eid I. Brima <sup>()</sup><sup>1,2</sup> and Saifeldin M. Siddeeg<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, College of Science, King Khalid University, Abha 61413, Saudi Arabia <sup>2</sup>School of Allied Health Sciences, Faculty of Health and Life Science, De Montfort University, Leicester LE1 9BH, UK <sup>3</sup>Department of Chemistry, School of Natural Sciences, Faculty of Science and Engineering, The University of Manchester, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK

Correspondence should be addressed to Eid I. Brima; ebrahim65@gmail.com

Received 16 December 2021; Revised 19 February 2022; Accepted 11 March 2022; Published 18 April 2022

Academic Editor: Sheng Tang

Copyright © 2022 Eid I. Brima and Saifeldin M. Siddeeg. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate trace element contents in different medicinal plants used for diabetes treatments by residents in Asir region. Five medicinal plants—Tut leaves (*Mulberry*), olive leaves (*Olea europaea*), clove (*Syzygium aromaticum*), Luban Dhakar (*Boswellia carterii*), and Karela or bitter melon (*Momordica charantia*)—were collected from two cities, Khamis Mushait and Abha, in the Asir region, Saudi Arabia. Infusions (hot water extracts) were obtained from each plant, and elemental analysis was conducted using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). Fourteen elements (Al, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, As, Se, Sr, Cd, Ba, and Pb) were measured in all plants. The results revealed that the fourteen elements were present at different levels (µg/g) in all plants. *Momordica charantia* exhibited high levels of essential (Mn (251.4), Co (1.18), Cu (54.64), and Se (2.18)) and toxic elements (Al (39.20), As (0.57), Cd (0.33), and Pb (4.48)), followed by *Syzygium aromaticum* (Mn (736.36)) and *Boswellia carterii* (Pb (0.93)), which exceeded the PMTDI in traditional doses used for diabetes treatments. However, *Mulberry* and *Olea europaea* did not exceed the daily guideline values for all elements. Based on our findings, we cautiously recommend the latter two plants for the traditional treatment of diabetes, because they are not considered as source of harm based on their levels of elements. Their use should be restricted by comprehensive compound analysis to guarantee their safe use.

# 1. Introduction

The existence of many essential human nutrients, including elements in plants, enables them to significantly affect human health. It is known that the excess or deficiency of essential elements critically affects biochemical processes within the human body. The amount of elements varies among plants; thus, plants with considerable content are termed 'medicinal plants.' Medicinal plants are used as starting materials to produce medications. In addition, they can be used directly prior to any chemical therapy to treat certain diseases [1]. This direct usage of fresh plants has a long history in human medicine. It has been reported that 70–80% of the global population use medicinal plants as an alternative medicine to treat some types of diseases [2]. Therefore, medicinal plants are important for pharmacological research and drug production, and many diseases are treated with alternative medicine today, especially in areas enriched with medicinal plants.

One of the major and growing contemporary health concerns is diabetes mellitus (DM). The figures of affected people are widely debated; however, according to World Health Organization (WHO) data, the disease affects about 200 million of the world's population. In Saudi Arabia, a recent study concluded that about 30% of the population were afflicted with diabetes [3]; hence, the disease represents a global as well as a local health problem. The disease is associated with unnatural levels of glucose in the blood, as a result of the unusual function of beta cells in the pancreas [4]. Glucose levels in the blood are controlled through the hormone insulin. Therefore, the deficiency of insulin and/or the ineffectiveness of the insulin produced are the main causes that disturb the metabolic process in diabetes; this results in the sugar present in the blood [5]. It has been claimed that deviations in the metabolism of some trace elements, such as Cu, Zn, Mg, and Mn, are associated with diabetes [6]. Researchers have concluded that some trace elements, such as copper, zinc, selenium, and manganese, may help in protecting the insulin-secreting pancreatic  $\beta$ -cells [7]. Therefore, the quantification of trace elements in medicinal plants used by local diabetic people can provide useful information about the safety of utilization and the

effectiveness of such herbal medicine. In the present study, fourteen trace elements concentrations were determined in five antidiabetic medicinal plants used by local diabetic residents in the Asir region using the inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) technique.

The purpose of this study was to determine both essential and toxic trace elements in five antidiabetic medicinal plants. Additionally, they were evaluated as sources of both types of elements, and the efficacy of their use was based on elemental analysis.

## 2. Methods

2.1. Samples' Collection and Pretreatment. Five types of medicinal plants were collected from local markets (Attar's shops) in the cities of Khamis Mushait and Abha, Saudi Arabia. These medicinal plants are traditionally used for diabetic treatments. The types of medicinal plants sampled were Tut leaves (*Mulberry*), olive leaves (*Olea europaea*), clove (*Syzygium aromaticum*), Luban Dhakar (*Boswellia carterii*), and Karela or bitter melon (*Momordica charantia*). In total, nine samples were collected and pretreated for elemental measurements. All plants are presented in Table 1.

2.2. Infusion of the Samples. One gram from each plant type was boiled in deionized water for 10 minutes and made up to 50 ml. The infusion (filtrate solution) was filtered with a syringe through a  $0.45 \,\mu\text{m}$  filter millipore before elemental analysis. Only Karela was dried in an oven overnight at  $70^{\circ}\text{C}$  and then powdered, before following the same procedure. This is because Karela's samples were wet, while other plants' samples were dry.

2.3. Chemicals and Reagents. A single-stock solution mixture of 27 elements at a concentration of  $10 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$  (Agilent Technologies, USA) was used for standards preparation. Calibration standards 2, 10, 20, 40, and  $100 \,\mu\text{g/L}$  were prepared by dilution with 1% HNO<sub>3</sub>.

2.4. Elemental Analysis Using Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS). In total, fourteen elements were measured in all collected samples. Concentrations of the fourteen elements—aluminum (Al), chromium (Cr), manganese (Mn), iron (Fe), cobalt (Co), nickel (Ni), copper

TABLE 1: The studied plant species and the parts used for both diabetes treatment and analysis.

Scientific name	Common name	Used part
Mulberry	Tut	Leaves
Olea europaea	Olive	Leaves
Syzygium aromaticum	Clove	Seeds
Boswellia carterii	Luban Dhakar	Gum
Momordica charantia	Karela	Fruit

(Cu), zinc (Zn), arsenic (As), selenium (Se), strontium (Sr), cadmium (Cd), barium (Ba), and lead (Pb)—were measured in each sample by Agilent 7900 ICP-MS at De Montfort University, United Kingdom. Samples were divided into two parts and analyzed in duplicate (n = 4). Oxide ratios and double-charge ratios were 2.5% and 1.1%, respectively. The Agilent 7900 ICP-MS operating conditions are presented in Table 2.

2.5. *Quality Control.* A method was validated for all measured elements; limits of detection (LODs) and limits of quantification (LOQs) were calculated. LOD = Xb1 +3Sb1 and LOQ = Xb1 + 10Sb1, where Xb1 is the mean concentration of the blank and Sb1 is the standard deviation of the blank (deionized water). LODs and LOQs (expressed in  $\mu$ g/L) for the measured elements were Al (6.24 and 20.8), Cr (0.09 and 0.3), Mn (1.26 and 4.2), Fe (15.1 and 49.5), Co (0.04 and 0.11), Ni (0.38 and 1.15), Cu (1.05 and 3.5), Zn (1.38 and 4.6), As (0.17 and 0.45), Se (0.27 and 0.9), Sr (3.54 and 11.8), Cd (0.03 and 0.08), Ba (2.31 and 7.7), and Pb (0.66 and 2.2).

The LODs and LOQs for elements were treated the same as samples (( $\mu$ g/L \* 0.05 L)/1g). Therefore, the LODs and LOQs for the real samples (expressed in  $\mu$ g/g) are as follows: Al (0.312 and 1.04), Cr (0.005 and 0.015), Mn (0.063 and 0.21), Fe (0.755 and 2.475), Co (0.002 and 0.006), Ni (0.019 and 0.058), Cu (0.053 and 0.175), Zn (0.069 and 0.23), As (0.009 and 0.023), Se (0.014 and 0.045), Sr (0.177 and 0.59), Cd (0.002 and 0.004), Ba (0.116 and 0.385), and Pb (0.033 and 0.11).

Quality control checks were performed by measuring 50  $\mu$ g/L of mixed elements three times after each batch of five samples. Recoveries (%) of triplicate measurements of each element were as follows: Al (92.7), Cr (103.7), Mn (102.4), Fe (115.9), Co (107.6), Ni (110.3), Cu (108.6), Zn (149.2), As (125.7), Se (107.8), Sr (115.3), Cd (117.2), Ba (131.6), and Pb (115.5). High recoveries revealed from Zn, As, and Ba are not surprising, since measurements were spread over 15 samples (3x after each batch of 5 samples).

2.6. *Quality Assurance.* A 50 µg/L mixed standard was spiked in a sample and the obtained recoveries (%) were as follows: Al (93.3), Cr (92.4), Mn (92.9), Fe (85.6), Co (94.4), Ni (92.8), Cu (108.6), Zn (95.3), As (97.9), Se (96.5), Sr (93.7), Cd (93.6), Ba (95.8), and Pb (93.4). Actually, we had no CRM to be used to assess the accuracy of the method, specifically for infusion method. Therefore, recoveries range between 93 and 109% are used instead.

#### International Journal of Analytical Chemistry

TABLE 2: Operating conditions for the Agilent 7900 ICP-MS.

Rf power	1550 W
Sampling depth	8 mm
Carrier gas	1.05 L/min
Nebulizer pump	0.1 rps
Integration time	0.1 s
Sampling period	0.311 s
Acquisition time	22.74 s
Cell gas	He

2.7. Statistical Analysis. Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), version 20, was used for statistical analysis. Differences in the concentrations of elements among the medicinal plants were evaluated by using ANOVA (SPSS) with a 95% confidence level.

#### 3. Results

Average concentrations ( $\mu$ g/g) of the fourteen measured elements (Al, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, As, Se, Sr, Cd, Ba, and Pb) in the five types of medicinal plant (Karela, clove, Luban Dhakar, olive leaves, and Tut leaves) are presented in Table 3.

A dose weight was calculated based on personal communications with consumers. The average weights (g) of three bunches in five fingers were as follows: Tut leaves (2.37), clove (1.98), olive leaves (4.04), and Luban Dhakar (7.80). Karela was calculated as an average of three pieces (drv weight = 9.22; wet weight = 164.72 g, with moisture = 94.4%). Exposure calculations should be based on the actual dose size and frequency of use. Diabetic patients who drink the infusion of each plant are exposed to different quantities (µg) of elements included in the specific plant. We considered the number of grams in one dose of each plant as mentioned above. The exposures (µg) to each element related to the specific plant are presented in Table 4. The frequency of use was considered as one.

For comparison purposes, elements were divided into essential and toxic elements as explained in Figure 1(a) for essential elements and Figure 1(b) for toxic elements. Karela showed the highest contents for both essential and toxic elements.

SPSS was used to explore correlations between the medicinal plants related to the contents of the measured elements. The correlations are presented in Table 5.

#### 4. Discussion

The elemental contents of the five medicinal plants in their infusions are presented in Table 3. The infusion was prepared from one gram of each plant. Karela exhibited the highest levels for the toxic elements (As and Pb), exceeding PMTDI values [9, 14]. The trends of decreasing element concentrations in the five medicinal plants are as follows: Karela Fe > Mn > Zn > Ni > Sr > Cu > Al > Ba > Pb > Cr > Se > Co > As > Cd; clove <math>Mn > Zn > Sr > Ni > Fe > Al > Ba > Cu > Pb > Se > Cr > As > Co > Cd; Luban Dhakar Sr > Ba > Cu > Fe > Mn > Al > Zn > Ni > Pb > Se > Cr > As > Co ≥ Cd;

3

olive leaves  $Mn > Zn > Fe > Ni > Sr > Al > Ni > Cu > Ba > Pb > Se > Co <math>\ge Cr > Cd$ ; Tut leaves Sr > Mn > Zn > Ni > Fe > Ba > Al > Cu > Se > Pb > As > Co > Cr > Cd.

In general, all five medicinal plants exhibited cadmium at the lowest levels. However, iron, manganese, and strontium had the highest concentrations in Karela, clove and olive leaves, and Luban Dhakar and Tut leaves, respectively. The element concentrations in clove represented a similar trend to that of olive leaves. Additionally, Luban Dhakar and Tut leaves displayed similar trends. The correlations between the medicinal plants related to their elements contents were confirmed statistically using SPSS, and the results are presented in Table 5. Significant correlations were recorded between clove and olive leaves; additionally, Luban Dhakar and Tut leaves were also correlated. The element contents of the clove showed a highly significant correlation (p < 0.01) with the element contents of olive leaves. Additionally, Luban Dhakar and Tut leaves exhibited a similar correlation (0.694) significant at p = 0.01 as shown in Table 5. Karela was not correlated with any of the other plants. This is because Karela is a source of more essential and toxic elements, which gave it a unique profile related to element concentrations.

Dose exposures presented in Table 4 are based on one dose from each plant. The results showed that Karela exceeded PMTDI values [10, 12, 13, 13] for essential elements (Mn, Co, Cu, and Se) and PMTDI values [8, 9, 14, 17] for toxic elements (Al, As, Cd, and Pb). We advise against the use of these plants (Karela and Luban Dhakar), because they are sources of toxic elements, specifically PB, which exceeded the PMTDI. A dose of Luban Dhakar exceeded the PMTDI of Pb > 2-fold, while for Karela it was >11-fold [9].

We infer that Karela is a natural accumulator of both essential and toxic elements. Therefore, Karela is considered a major source of exposure to both types of elements. One dose of Karela contained high percentages of Al (120%), Mn (644%), Co (73%), Cu (101%), As (1252%), Se (214%), Cd (304%), and Pb (1157%), calculated against the PMTDI. Therefore, an infusion of Karela contains high concentrations of essential and toxic elements, which lead to health harm if used for treatment. A recent study suggested the use of Karela for diabetic treatment due to the high Zn contents [20]. However, the limitation of this study was that it was not comprehensive and only focused on the investigation of one essential element. The study did not investigate the contents of toxic elements in Karela, which cause adverse health effects in humans. Holistic studies including both types of elements are always required to ensure the safe use of such medicinal plants, regarding the levels of toxic metals [21]. Notably, high levels of both essential and toxic elements can cause negative health implications, such as mutagenic effects in humans, reduced growth, morphological abnormalities, and increased mortality [22]. Therefore, paper [20] is suitable for pharmacological research, to extract and use specific protein fractions of the plant. However, their study approach is only suitable for conventional uses of medicinal plants, whereas diabetic patients use the whole plant.

Our results showed that one dose (1.98 g) of ground clove contributed to 66.3% of Mn of the PMTDI. This agrees

Element	Karela	Clove	Luban Dhakar	Olive leaves	Tut leaves
Al	$39.18 \pm 1.27$	$4.21 \pm 0.34$	$2.94 \pm 2.13$	$3.13 \pm 1.50$	$2.73\pm0.85$
Cr	$3.85 \pm 0.22$	$0.05 \pm 0.01$	$0.08 \pm 0.00$	$0.06 \pm 0.01$	$0.07\pm0.02$
Mn	$251.40 \pm 8.41$	$736.36 \pm 40.42$	$3.13 \pm 0.22$	$14.44 \pm 2.64$	$12.20 \pm 2.27$
Fe	$671.13 \pm 11.02$	$4.26 \pm 0.15$	$6.98 \pm 0.03$	$4.87 \pm 1.93$	$6.64 \pm 1.39$
Со	$1.20 \pm 0.02$	$0.03 \pm 0.00$	$0.02 \pm 0.00$	$0.06 \pm 0.05$	$0.08\pm0.03$
Ni	$64.39 \pm 4.63$	$4.25 \pm 0.39$	$1.62 \pm 0.12$	$3.06 \pm 1.24$	$7.31 \pm 2.12$
Cu	$54.64 \pm 4.28$	$3.55 \pm 0.22$	$12.70 \pm 0.53$	$1.65 \pm 0.12$	$2.55\pm0.40$
Zn	$103.78 \pm 7.59$	$5.97 \pm 4.49$	$2.30 \pm 1.74$	$5.80 \pm 3.94$	$9.09 \pm 6.68$
As	$0.57 \pm 0.02$	$0.05 \pm 0.01$	$0.04 \pm 0.01$	$0.04 \pm 0.01$	$0.12\pm0.05$
Se	$2.18\pm0.16$	$0.11 \pm 0.01$	$0.09 \pm 0.05$	$0.12 \pm 0.07$	$0.21\pm0.03$
Sr	$60.97 \pm 1.44$	$4.74 \pm 0.06$	$61.72 \pm 4.25$	$3.85 \pm 1.30$	$67.39 \pm 5.86$
Cd	$0.33 \pm 0.01$	< LOD	$0.02 \pm 0.01$	$0.01 \pm 0.01$	< LOD
Ba	$13.10 \pm 0.32$	$3.57 \pm 0.23$	$56.95 \pm 1.37$	$1.25 \pm 0.38$	$3.38\pm0.92$
Pb	$4.48\pm0.02$	$0.36\pm0.05$	$0.93\pm0.01$	$0.15\pm0.03$	$0.20\pm0.01$

TABLE 3: Average concentrations ( $\mu g/g$ ) of fourteen measured elements in five types of medicinal plants, mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 4) with a 95% confidence level. The infusion was one gram of each plant boiled in deionized water for ten minutes.

TABLE 4: Exposure ( $\mu$ g) to each element related to a specific plant, based on the number of grams used in each dose of a plant. Provisional maximum tolerable daily intake (PMTDI).

Element	Karela	Clove	Luban Dhakar	Olive leaves	Tut leaves	PMTDI (µg/day)	Reference
Al	$361.27 \pm 9.90$	$8.33 \pm 0.68$	$22.97 \pm 16.59$	$12.63 \pm 11.74$	$6.48 \pm 2.02$	143-7000	[8]
Cr	$35.53 \pm 1.71$	$0.10\pm0.03$	$0.59\pm0.04$	$0.23 \pm 0.06$	$0.17 \pm 0.04$	100	[9]
Mn	$2317.94 \pm 65.63$	$1458.00 \pm 80.04$	$24.38 \pm 1.75$	$58.35 \pm 20.62$	$28.91 \pm 5.39$	1800-2200	[10]
Fe	$6187.86 \pm 85.99$	$8.44\pm0.30$	$54.45\pm0.25$	$19.66 \pm 15.08$	$15.74 \pm 3.29$	11000	[11]
Со	$11.04\pm0.17$	$0.06\pm0.01$	$0.16\pm0.01$	$0.24 \pm 0.35$	$0.19\pm0.08$	5-8	[12]
Ni	$593.68 \pm 36.15$	$8.41 \pm 0.76$	$12.62\pm0.97$	$12.38 \pm 9.65$	$17.33 \pm 5.04$	1000	[10]
Cu	$503.78 \pm 33.40$	$7.02\pm0.43$	$99.07 \pm 4.16$	$6.68 \pm 0.96$	$6.04\pm0.95$	500	[13]
Zn	956.81 ± 59.21	$11.82\pm8.90$	$17.98 \pm 13.57$	$23.43 \pm 30.73$	$21.54 \pm 15.83$	1000	[13]
As	$5.26 \pm 0.18$	$0.09\pm0.02$	$0.28 \pm 0.06$	$0.18\pm0.06$	$0.28 \pm 0.12$	0.42	[14]
Se	$20.12 \pm 1.23$	$0.21\pm0.03$	$0.68 \pm 0.36$	$0.47\pm0.55$	$0.49\pm0.08$	70	[15]
Sr	$562.17 \pm 11.23$	$9.38\pm0.13$	$481.43 \pm 33.19$	$15.57 \pm 10.13$	$159.72 \pm 13.88$	1900	[16]
Cd	$3.04\pm0.08$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.13 \pm 0.06$	$0.03\pm0.08$	$0.01 \pm 0.01$	1	[17]
Ba	$120.80\pm2.47$	$7.07\pm0.46$	$444.24 \pm 10.67$	$5.06 \pm 2.99$	$8.00\pm2.19$	750 (200)	[18, 19]
Pb	$41.31\pm0.17$	$0.70\pm0.11$	$7.25\pm0.07$	$0.60\pm0.27$	$0.48\pm0.02$	3.57	[9]



FIGURE 1: Amount (µg) of each element in each dose of a plant: (a) essential elements and (b) toxic elements.

TABLE 5: Correlations between the five different medicinal plants associated with the fourteen measured elements.

	Karela	Olive leaves	Tut leaves	Clove	
Olive leaves	0.510				
Tut leaves	0.077	0.283			
Clove	0.261	0.867**	0.074		
Luban Dhakar	-0.050	0.024	0.694**	-0.099	
**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).					

with other reports, which have stated that this plant contributes to 55-63% of the daily value (DV) in one teaspoon ( $\ge 2$  g) of ground cloves [23, 24].

Many studies have suggested that Mn, Cu, Zn, and Cr are responsible for the secretion of insulin from the beta cells of the islets of Langerhans and are involved in increasing the ability of insulin action [25, 26].

Mn was found in high concentrations in Karela and clove (Table 3). This requires further investigation; as in a previous study [27], it is indicated that manganese plays a role in immunity through the regulation of blood sugar and cell energy. In addition, Mn is essential for the synthesis and secretion of insulin, and a lack of this element may reduce insulin discharge and alter carbohydrate and lipid metabolism [28]. The contents of Mn in the five medicinal plants in decreasing order were as follows: clove > Karela > olive leaves > Tut leaves > Luban Dhakar (Table 3).

Zinc, copper, and chromium play significant roles, along with calcium and manganese, in glucose tolerance factor (GTF), which affects the level of glucose in the blood by regulating insulin [29]. Interestingly, the levels of Zn in olive leaves and cloves were about the same, whereas Tut leaves presented the highest and Luban Dhakar presented the lowest levels. The plant contents of elemental copper were similar for clove, olive leaves, and Tut leaves, with Karela presenting the highest levels, followed by Luban Dhakar, as shown in Table 4. For chromium, the highest concentration was found in Karela, which was 50-80-fold higher than Cr contents in the other four plants, all of which had similar concentrations (Table 4). Therefore, our results showed that the highest concentration of Cr was in the Karela infusion, which is promising for it to be suitable for diabetes treatment, due to the role of Cr in reducing glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) in type 2 diabetic patients [30]. A recent study encouraged the use of Karela as an antidiabetic treatment due to high Cr contents [31]. Moreover, based on their speciation analysis, they reported that high Cr contents are bound to protein fractions. However, more speciation analysis is needed to determine which species (Cr (III) or Cr (VI)) of Cr is most present in Karela's protein fractions. This is because exposure to Cr (VI) poses a toxic risk to human health [32], whereas Cr (III) is essential for human health, improving glucose tolerance [33].

Elemental selenium is a well-known antioxidant and thus may help in reducing the health risks associated with insulin irregularities [34]. Selenium content was found to be high in Karela, up to 22-fold greater than the other four plant contents. However, the concentration of Se in all plants was lower than the guidelines set by the WHO. It has been reported experimentally that some Se compounds behave similarly to insulin, demonstrating a positive link between higher selenium levels and the prevalence of diabetes [35, 36]. A recent study investigated the effects of Se supplements on glucose homeostasis. The study concluded that Se might affect the control of blood sugar at different levels of regulation, associated with insulin signaling, glycolysis, and pyruvate metabolism [37]. Jablonska et al. concluded their study with an open question; the exact effects of selenium supplementation on glucose homeostasis and diabetes risk remain unclear.

The presence of large quantities of toxic elements (As, Al, Cd, and Pb) in Karela and Luban Dhakar exceeded the PMTDI, the allowance level recommended by the WHO (Table 4). These high levels of toxic elements represent a serious health risk for patients who use these plants for diabetic treatment. It has been reported that heavy metals such as lead, arsenic, and cadmium contribute toward the binding of  $\beta$ -cells in the pancreas and thus stimulate an autoimmune reaction to  $\beta$ -cells through the actual destruction of  $\beta$ -cells. In particular, lead is bonded to proteins that could induce morphological changes in the body [29]. A previous study [38] reported a significant positive correlation between blood lead concentrations and fasting blood glucose, which led them to infer that there is a possible association between Pb exposure and diabetes. A recent study [39] investigated the association between arsenic exposure and diabetes; the authors concluded that exposure to arsenic was linked to the development of diabetes. Another study [40] suggested that exposure to arsenic causes oxidative stress, which, in turn, causes  $\beta$ -cell dysfunction and glucose homeostasis, and then induces diabetes, although this remains a hypothesis. It was found that aluminum toxicity causes adverse diabetic effects, in a study that investigated the effects of diabetes mellitus and aluminum toxicity [41]. A previous study [42] investigated the effect of aluminum exposure on rats' testicular tissue. This study concluded that the exposure to aluminum exacerbated diabetes-induced testicular lesions and impaired male reproductive variables in Wistar rats. A recent study [43] investigated the effects of cadmium exposure in rats and concluded that Cd exposure might increase the risk of diabetes. It was also inferred that exposure to cadmium decreased the activity of liver glucokinase, which affects sugar regulation. In a review article [44], groups of studies demonstrated that exposure to Cd affects adipose tissue, which leads to insulin resistance and enhances diabetes.

We measured two elements (Ba and Sr) considered as nonessential in medicinal plants. However, strontium is essential for bone metabolism [45]. Our results showed that Ba exceeded the PMTDI (200 ug) set by the Scientific Committee on Health and Environmental Risks [46] in Luban Dhakar (Table 4). Barium salts (BaSO<sub>4</sub> and BaCO<sub>3</sub>) have a role in diabetes therapy. As concluded by a very recent study [47], an in vivo study on rats has proven the effectiveness of barium salts in controlling hyperglycemia after oral ingestion. This leads us to conclude that Luban Dhakar is an effective traditional treatment for diabetes based on its high Ba content, which could be used to manage blood sugar levels. Another study [48] concluded that plasma strontium was inversely associated with diabetes and impaired glucose regulation. Their study was yet to explore the mechanism which linked high Sr contents with low blood glucose levels in diabetic patients. Another study showed that strontium has antidiabetic effects by reducing blood glucose levels and improving the tolerance to insulin in diabetic mice [49]. Based on the conclusions of these studies, we can infer that Ba and Sr can be considered for diabetes treatment.

In fact, a comprehensive analysis is needed, including compound and speciation analyses, to guarantee the safety of the global community using these medicinal plants. Our conclusion is based on an elemental analysis point of view; however, more research is required to confirm our findings and to enhance the understanding of the health benefits and harmful effects of these medicinal plants. We recommend that future studies investigating such plants should cultivate them in clean soil supplied with water free from contamination (i.e., a controlled growth area) to ensure that results are not influenced by external parameters.

#### 5. Conclusion

This study measured fourteen elements, including essential, nonessential, and toxic elements, in five medicinal plants. In a traditional dose of these plants for diabetes treatment, some plants exhibited high concentrations of some elements, exceeding the PMDTI. Karela displayed the highest contents for both essential (Mn, Co, Cu, and Se) and toxic elements (Al, As, Cd, and Pb), followed by clove for Mn and Luban Dhakar for Pb. Therefore, we advise against the use of Karela, clove, and Luban Dhakar as traditional antidiabetic treatments. However, we cautiously recommend the use of the two other medicinal plants (olive leaves and Tut leaves) based on their low levels of essential and toxic elements, which did not exceed the guideline values. Further studies, including compound analysis, are required for these medicinal plants to confirm the safety of the continued traditional usage of these plants for diabetes treatment. Also for future studies, the physiochemical properties of soil where these plants grow such as soil pH and organic matter will be of interest to assess and their extent to uptake from soil.

# **Data Availability**

By sharing the data included in our manuscript, we help in sharing knowledge with researchers in the wider scientific community.

# **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

## Acknowledgments

The authors extend their appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Khalid University for funding this work through research groups program under grant number R.G.P.2/111/42. Thanks are due to the Ph.D.

student, Raheema Salim Sayani at De Montfort University, UK, who helped in the measurement of the samples by using ICP-MS.

#### References

- A. A. D. Djama, M. C. Kouassi Goffri, A. A. Koua, F. G. Ofosu, and I. J. K. Aboh, "Heavy metal analysis of some anti-diabetic medicinal plants in côte d'Ivoire," *Current Research Journal of Biological Sciences*, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 633–637, 2012.
- [2] N. Sahoo, P. Manchikanti, and S. Dey, "Herbal drugs: standards and regulation," *Fitoterapia*, vol. 81, no. 6, pp. 462–471, 2010.
- [3] K. A. Alqurashi, K. S. Aljabri, and S. A. Bokhari, "Prevalence of diabetes mellitus in a Saudi community," *Annals of Saudi Medicine*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 19–23, 2011.
- [4] K. Kimura, "Role of essential trace elements in the disturbance of carbohydrate metabolism," *Nihon Rinsho*, vol. 54, pp. 79– 84, 1996.
- [5] N. K. Singh, D. Sharat, C. Bino, T. S. Singh, and N. Singh Rajmuhon, "Trace elements of some selected medicinal plants of Manipur," *Indian journal of natural products and resources*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 227–231, 2010.
- [6] R. M. Walter, J. Y. Uriu-Hare, K. L. Olin et al., "Copper, zinc, manganese, and magnesium status and complications of diabetes mellitus," *Diabetes Care*, vol. 14, no. 11, pp. 1050–1056, 1991.
- [7] P S, S Pasula, and K Sameera, "Trace elements in diabetes mellitus," *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research: Journal* of Clinical and Diagnostic Research, vol. 7, no. 9, pp. 1863– 1865, 2013.
- [8] WHO and JECFA: Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives, "Food additives and contaminants," in Proceedings of the Seventy-Fourth Meeting, Rome, Italy, June 2011, https://www.who.int/foodsafety/chem/jecfa74.pdf.
- [9] WHO, "Expert committee on biological Standardization; Meeting and World health organization," WHO Expert Committee on Biological Standardization: Sixty-Third Report, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2013.
- [10] Food and N Board, Dietary Reference Intakes for Vitamin A, Vitamin K, Arsenic, Boron, Chromium, Copper, Iodine, Iron, Manganese, Molybdenum, Nickel, Silicon, Vanadium, and Zinc, National Academies Press, DC, USA, 2001.
- [11] European Food Safety Authority (Efsa), "Scientific opinion on dietary reference values for iron. EFSA panel on dietetic products, nutrition andallergies (NDA), European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), Parma," *Italy EFSA Journal*, vol. 13, no. 10, p. 4254, 2015.
- [12] University of Rochester Medical Center Rochester URMC, "Cobalt-health encyclopedia," *Health Encyclopedia*, 2022, https://www.urmc.rochester.edu/encyclopedia/content.aspx? contenttypeid=19&contentid=cobalt.
- [13] Who, Evaluation of Certain Food Additives and Contaminants, Seventy-Second Meeting of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives JECFA, Technical report series 683 and 960, WHO, Geneva, Switzerland, 1982.
- [14] M. D. Kirk, S. M. Pires, R. E. Black et al., "World health organization estimates of the global and regional disease burden of four foodborne chemical toxins, data synthesis," *PLoS Med*, vol. 12, Article ID e1001940, 2010.
- [15] EFSA, "Scientific opinion on dietary reference values for selenium, EFSA panel on dietetic products, nutrition and

allergies (NDA), European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), Parma, Italy," *EFSA Journal*, vol. 12, no. 10, pp. 1–67, 2014.

- [16] P Varo, E Saari, A Paaso, and P Koivistoinen, "Strontium in Finnish foods," *International journal for vitamin and nutrition research*, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 342–350, 1982.
- [17] T. Hald, W. Aspinall, B. Devleesschauwer et al., "World health organization estimates of the relative contributions of food to the burden of disease due to selected foodborne hazards: a structured expert elicitation," *PLoS One*, vol. 11, no. 1, Article ID e0145839, 2016.
- [18] ATSDR, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, U.S. Department of health and human services Public Health Service, Atlanta, Georgia, Toxicological Profile for Barium and Barium Compounds, 2007.
- [19] SCHER-Scientific Committee on Health and Environmental Risks, Assessment of the Tolerable Daily Intake of Barium, 2012, European Union, https://www.scribbr.com/apa-examples/pdf/.
- [20] A. M. Ebrahim, A. O. Alnajjar, M. E. Mohammed, A. M. Idris, E. A. Mohammed, and B. Michalke, "Investigation of total zinc contents and zinc-protein profile in medicinal plants traditionally used for diabetes treatment," *Bimetals*, Springer Nature B.V, vol. 2019, , 2019.
- [21] O. Ifeoma and S. Oluwakanyinsola, "Screening of herbal medicines for potential toxicities," *New Insights into Toxicity* and Drug Testing, 2022, doi: 10.5772/54493.
- [22] J. Malik, J. Szakova, O. Drabek, J. Balik, and L. Kokoska, "Determination of certain micro and macroelements in plant stimulants and their infusions," *Food Chemistry*, vol. 111, no. 2, pp. 520–525, 2008.
- [23] R. Link, "Healthline," 8 Surprising Health Benefits of Cloves, 2020, https://www.healthline.com/nutrition/benefits-of-cloves.
- [24] M. Metropulos, "Medicalnewstoday," Cloves-Nutritional Facts and Health Benefits, 2018, https://www.medicalnewstod ay.com/articles/320768#nutritional-information.
- [25] R. T. Narendhirakannan, S. Subramanian, and M. Kandaswamy, "Mineral content of some medicinal plants used in the treatment of diabetes mellitus," *Biological Trace Element Research*, vol. 103, no. 2, pp. 109–116, 2005.
- [26] R. Narendhirakannan, S. Subramanian, and M. Kandaswamy, "Biochemical evaluation of antidiabetogenic properties of some commonly used Indian plants on streptozotocin-induced diabetes in experimental rats," *Clinical and Experimental Pharmacology and Physiology*, vol. 33, no. 12, pp. 1150–1157, 2006.
- [27] E. S Koh, S. J Kim, H. E Yoon et al., "Association of blood manganese level with diabetes and renal dysfunction: a crosssectional study of the Korean general population," *BMC Endocrine Disorders*, vol. 14, no. 24, p. 24, 2014.
- [28] G. Nicoloff, K. Mutaftchiev, D. Strashimirov, and C. Petrova, "Serum manganese in children with diabetes mellitus type 1," *Diabetologia Croatica*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 47–51, 2004.
- [29] A. R. Prasada and A. S. N. Rao, "Trace elemental analysis of some anti-diabetic medicinal plants using particle induced X-ray emission [PIXE]," *Materials Today Proceedings*, vol. 3, pp. 3930–3934, 2016.
- [30] N. Parsaeyan and H. Mozaffari-Khosravi, "Effect of chromium supplementation on blood glucose, hemoglobin A1c, lipid profile and lipid peroxidation in type 2 diabetic patients," *Iranian journal of diabetes and obesity*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 178–184, 2013.
- [31] A. M. Ebrahim, A. M. Idris, A. O. Alnajjar, and B. Michalke, "Cr and Mn total, accessible species, and protein-fraction contents in plants used for traditional anti-diabetes

treatment," Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine & Biology, vol. 62, Article ID 126645, 2020.

- [32] R. Michalski, "Ion chromatography method for the determination of trace levels of chromium (VI) in water," *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies*, vol. 13, pp. 73–77, 2004.
- [33] K. Mandiwana, N. Panichev, and T. Resane, "Electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometric determination of total and hexavalent chromium in atmospheric aerosols," *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, vol. 136, no. 2, pp. 379–382, 2006.
- [34] H. Steinbrenner, L. H. Duntas, and M. P. Rayman, "The role of selenium in type-2 diabetes mellitus and its metabolic comorbidities," *Redox Biology*, vol. 50, Article ID 102236, 2022.
- [35] J. Bleys, A. Navas-Acien, and E. Guallar, "Serum selenium and diabetes in U.S. adults," *Diabetes Care*, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 829–834, 2007.
- [36] J. Wei, C. Zeng, Q.-y. Gong et al., "The association between dietary selenium intake and diabetes: a cross-sectional study among middle-aged and older adults," *Nutrition Journal*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 18, 2015.
- [37] E. Jablonska, E. Reszka, J. Gromadzinska et al., "The effect of selenium supplementation on glucose homeostasis and the expression of genes related to glucose metabolism," *Nutrients*, vol. 8, no. 12, Article ID 772, 2016.
- [38] A. Bener, E. Obineche, M. Gillett, M. A. H. Pasha, and B. Bishawi, "Association between blood levels of lead, blood pressure and risk of diabetes and heart disease in workers," *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*, vol. 74, no. 5, pp. 375–378, 2001.
- [39] T. Sung, J. Huang, and H. Guo, "Association between arsenic exposure and diabetes: a meta-analysis," *BioMed Research International*, vol. 2015, p. 10, Article ID 368087, 2014.
- [40] C. F. Huang, Y. W. Chen, C. Y. Yang, K. S. Tsai, R. S. Yang, and S. H. Liu, "Arsenic and diabetes: current perspectives," *The Kaohsiung Journal of Medical Sciences*, vol. 27, no. 9, pp. 402–410, 2011.
- [41] S. N Levine, G. B Sonnier, and K Abreo, "Effects of diabetes mellitus and aluminum toxicity on myocardial calcium transport," *Toxicology*, vol. 65, pp. 137–148, 1990.
- [42] O. B. Akinola, S. A. Biliaminu, O. G. Adedeji, B. S. Oluwaseun, O. M. Olawoyin, and T. A. Adelabu, "Combined effects of chronic hyperglycaemia and oral aluminium intoxication on testicular tissue and some male reproductive parameters in Wistar rats," *Andrologia*, vol. 48, no. 7, pp. 779–786, 2015.
- [43] Iskandar, W. Y. Boitano, and E. Suhartono, "Effect of cadmium exposure on increasing risk of diabetes melitus through the measurement of blood glucose level and liver glucokinase activity in rats," *Berkala Kedokteran*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 137– 145, 2017.
- [44] A. A. Tinkov, T. Filippini, O. P. Ajsuvakova et al., "The role of cadmium in obesity and diabetes," *The Science of the Total Environment*, vol. 601-602, pp. 741–755, 2017.
- [45] Z. Saidak and P. J. Marie, "Strontium signaling: molecular mechanisms and therapeutic implications in osteoporosis," *Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, vol. 136, no. 2, pp. 216–226, 2012.
- [46] SCHER, Scientific Committee on Health and Environmental Risks (SCHER), Assessment of the Tolerable Daily Intake of Barium, 2012.
- [47] R. Zaman, M. E. Karim, I. Othman, A. Zaini, and E. H. Chowdhury, "Insulin-loaded barium salt particles facilitate oral delivery of insulin in diabetic rats," *Pharmaceutics*, vol. 12, no. 8, Article ID 710, 2020.

- [48] L. Chen, Q. Guo, and Q. Wang, "Association between plasma strontium, a bone-seeking element, and type 2 diabetes mellitus," *Clinical Nutrition*, vol. 2019, 2019.
- [49] F. Maehira, N. Ishimine, I. Miyagi, Y. Eguchi, K. Shimada, and D Kawaguchi, "Antidiabetic Effects Including Diabetic Nephropathy of Anti-osteoporotic Trace," *Nutrition*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 488–495, 2011.