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The platform inertial-stellar composite guidance is a composite guidance method supplemented by stellar correction on the basis of inertial navigation, which can effectively improve the accuracy of responsive launch vehicles. In order to solve the problem of rapid determining the optimal navigation star in the system, this paper proposes an algorithm based on the equivalent information compression theory. At first, this paper explains why the single-star scheme can achieve the same accuracy as the dual-star scheme. At the same time, the analytical expression of the optimal navigation star with significant initial error is derived. In addition, the available optimal navigation star determination strategy is also designed according to the arrow-borne navigation star database. The proposed algorithm is evaluated by two representative responsive launch vehicle trajectory simulations. The simulation results demonstrate that the proposed algorithm can determine the optimal navigation star quickly, which greatly shorten the preparation time before the rapid launch of vehicles and improve the composite guidance accuracy.

1. Introduction

Inertial-stellar composite guidance is a composite guidance method based on inertial guidance supplemented by stellar guidance. It utilizes the inertial space azimuth datum provided by the star to calibrate the error angle between the platform coordinate system and the launch inertial coordinate system and corrects the impact point deviation caused by the platform pointing error [1]. Inertial-stellar composite guidance system corrects the drift error of inertial platform according to the star sensor information, which can not only improve the guidance accuracy and rapid launch ability [2] but also reduce the cost. Moreover, the motion parameters of spacecraft in space can be determined [3–5], and it has strong environmental adaptability.

Inertial-stellar guidance is essentially a problem of determining attitude through vector observation. This problem was first proposed by Wahba [6], and various attitude determination algorithms were developed, such as TRIAD [7], QUEST [8, 9], SVD [10], FOAM [11], Euler-q [12], and fast linear attitude estimator method [13–15]. In order to solve the case that there are a large number of outliers, Yang and Carlone formulated the Wahba problem by truncated least squares [16]. Ghadiri et al. [17] proposed a robust multi-objective optimization method to overcome the static attitude determination with bounded uncertainty. These algorithms need at least two vector information to calculate the attitude. However, in some cases, long-term observation of one vector is enough [18]. Reference [19] proposed an attitude determination algorithm based on the minimum squares sum of image point coordinate residuals. The algorithm can still determine the attitude when only one star is observed. Reference [20] derived the attitude analytical solution when only one sensor is used for observation. The analytical solution can be expressed by the combination of two limiting quaternions, and the covariance and singularity
analyses were carried out. However, it did not determine the optimal attitude solution. Similarly, according to the number of observation vectors, the inertial-star composite guidance can also be divided into single vector observation and double vectors observation, that is, single-star scheme and double-star scheme. For the platform inertial navigation system, the star sensor is usually fixedly installed on the platform. Because the direction of the platform in the inertial space cannot be adjusted after launch, the double-star scheme needs to install two star sensors on the platform, which will greatly complicate the structure. It is found that observing the specific direction star, the single-star scheme can achieve the same accuracy as the double-star scheme [21, 22]. Zhang et al. have proved it theoretically [23]. As it is known, the only practical application is the single-star scheme, such as the American “Trident” submarine long-range ballistic missile. However, the single-star scheme needs to determine the optimal navigation star before the vehicle launch. At present, the optimal navigation star is determined by numerical method [24, 25], which increases the preparation time and limits the wide application.

Motivated by the work of Zhang et al., this paper proposes a fast algorithm to determine the optimal navigation star for responsive launch vehicles. Firstly, the relationship equations between the initial error and the impact point deviation and the star sensor measurement are established. Then, our algorithm exploits the equivalent information compression theory [23] to explain why the single-star scheme can achieve the accuracy as the double-star scheme and deduces the optimal navigation star under the condition of significant initial error. The deduced analytical solution can greatly shorten the prelaunch preparation time. On this basis, the local navigation star database is determined according to the deviation angle, and the available optimal navigation star can be determined.

The structure of this paper is as follows. Section 2 presents the definitions of various coordinate system and the derivations of inertial platform system and star sensor model. Section 3 shows the analytical expression of the optimal navigation star. In Section 4, the available optimal navigation star is determined based on the arrow-borne navigation star database. The simulation results and conclusions are given in Section 5 and Section 6. The contribution of this paper is to provide an analytical solution of optimal navigation star to shorten the prelaunch preparation time and enhance the performance for responsive launch vehicles.

2. Inertial Platform System and Star Sensor Modeling

2.1. Definitions of Various Coordinate System

2.1.1. Geocentric Inertial Coordinate System $o_{E}-x_{E}y_{E}z_{E}$. The coordinate system origin $o_{E}$ is the earth centroid, and the basic plane is the J2000 earth equatorial plane. The $o_{E}x_{E}$ axis points from the earth centroid to the J2000 mean equinox in the basic plane. The $o_{E}z_{E}$ axis points to the north pole along the normal of the basic plane. The $o_{E}y_{E}$ axis and the other two axes constitute the right hand system. This coordinate system is abbreviated as the $i$-system.

2.1.2. Launch Coordinate System $o-xyz$. The system mainly describes the motion of responsive launch vehicle relative to the earth. The launch coordinate system is fixedly connected with the earth, and the origin is taken as the launch point $o$. In the system, the $ox$ axis points to the launch aiming direction in the launch horizontal plane, the $oy$ axis points upward perpendicular to the launch point horizontal plane, and the $oz$ axis is perpendicular to the $xoy$ plane. The axes $ox$, $oy$, and $oz$ form the right hand coordinate system. This coordinate system is abbreviated as the $g$-system (Figure 1).

2.1.3. Launch Inertial Coordinate System $o_{A}-x_{A}y_{A}z_{A}$. The launch inertial coordinate system coincides with the launch coordinate system at the launch time. But after launching the vehicle, the origin and the direction of each axis remain stationary in the inertial space. The coordinate system is used to establish the vehicle motion equation in inertial space. This coordinate system is abbreviated as the $A$-system.

2.1.4. Ideal Inertial Platform Coordinate System $o_{P}-x_{P}y_{P}z_{P}$. The coordinate system origin $o_{P}$ is located at the platform datum, and the coordinate axis is defined by the platform frame axis or the gyro-sensitive axis. After pre-launch alignment and leveling, each coordinate axis shall be parallel to each coordinate axis of the launch inertial coordinate system. This coordinate system is abbreviated as the $p'$-system.

2.1.5. Inertial Platform Coordinate System $o_{P}-x_{P}y_{P}z_{P}$. Due to the platform misalignment angle, there is a deviation between the inertial platform coordinate system and the ideal inertial platform coordinate system. This coordinate system is abbreviated as the $p$-system.

2.1.6. Star Sensor Coordinate System $o_{S}-x_{S}y_{S}z_{S}$. The coordinate system mainly describes the star sensor measurement. In the system, the coordinate system origin $o_{S}$ is at the centre of the star sensor imaging device (charge couple device, complementary metal oxide semiconductor, etc.). The $o_{S}x_{S}$ axis is consistent with the axis of the optical lens, the $o_{S}y_{S}$ axis is vertical to the pixel readout direction, and the $o_{S}z_{S}$ axis is horizontal to the pixel readout direction. The $y_{S}o_{S}z_{S}$ plane is consistent with the imaging device plane. The transformation matrix between the star sensor coordinate system and the vehicle body coordinate system is determined by the star sensor installation angle. This coordinate system is abbreviated as the $s$-system.

2.2. Relationship between Impact Point Deviation and Platform Misalignment Angle. The platform misalignment angle represents the inertial reference deviation, that is, the error angle between the inertial platform and the launch inertial coordinate system. It is mainly caused by various initial errors and inertial navigation errors and affects the landing point accuracy. Although the platform misalignment angle is affected by many factors, the initial error accounts for the main part under certain conditions. For the rapid maneuvering launch vehicle, the accuracy of pre-launch orientation and alignment may not be very high, which leads to the significant portion of the initial error in the platform
misalignment angle. Therefore, this paper mainly studies the determination of the optimal navigation star under the significant initial error condition.

The platform inertial system and the launch inertial system can be coincident with the help of the platform initial alignment. The initial alignment error will be caused due to the equipment inherent error, the external interference influence in the alignment process, and the method error. And the platform alignment error around the \( y \)-axis will be caused owing to the initial orientation error during launch. Thus, the orientation error can be considered together with the initial alignment error.

The initial alignment and orientation errors can be expressed by the three axis misalignment angles between the \( p \)'-system and the \( A \)-system, which are defined as \([\varepsilon_{ax} \varepsilon_{oy} \varepsilon_{oz}]^T\). And there are two parts in \( \varepsilon_{oz} \): orientation error and aiming error. It is assumed that the adjustment platform adopts the method of yaw first and then pitch; there is

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_x \\
\alpha_y \\
\alpha_z
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \varphi_x \cos \psi_x & \sin \varphi_y - \cos \varphi_x \sin \psi_x & 0 \\
-\sin \varphi_x \cos \psi_x & \cos \varphi_y + \cos \varphi_x \sin \psi_x & 0 \\
\sin \psi_x & 0 & \cos \psi_x
\end{bmatrix}
\varepsilon_{ox} = C^A_p \begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon_{ax} \\
\varepsilon_{oy} \\
\varepsilon_{oz}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where \([\alpha_x \alpha_y \alpha_z]^T\) are misalignment angles caused by initial alignment and orientation errors, \(\varphi_x\) and \(\psi_x\) are the rotation angles around the \( y \)-axis and \( z \)-axis, respectively, and \(C^A_p\) is the transformation matrix from the \( A \)-system to the \( p \)'-system.

The inertial guidance accuracy meets the following relationship with the initial alignment error:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\Delta L \\
\Delta H
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
q_{11} & q_{12} & q_{13} \\
q_{21} & q_{22} & q_{23}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_x \\
\alpha_y \\
\alpha_z
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_x \\
\alpha_y \\
\alpha_z
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

In which, \(n_{l1}, n_{l2}, n_{l3}\) are the partial derivatives of the longitudinal impact point deviation to the initial errors in three directions, respectively. \(n_{h1}, n_{h2}, n_{h3}\) are the partial derivatives of the lateral impact point deviation to the initial errors in three directions, respectively.

It can be obtained by combining Equation (1) and Equation (2).

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\Delta L \\
\Delta H
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
q_{11} & q_{12} & q_{13} \\
q_{21} & q_{22} & q_{23}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon_{ax} \\
\varepsilon_{oy} \\
\varepsilon_{oz}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

In which,

\[
\begin{align*}
q_{11} &= n_{l1} \cos \varphi_x \cos \psi_x + n_{l2} \sin \varphi_x - n_{l3} \cos \varphi_y \sin \psi_x, \\
q_{12} &= -n_{l1} \sin \varphi_x \cos \psi_x + n_{l2} \cos \varphi_x + n_{l3} \sin \varphi_y \sin \psi_x, \\
q_{13} &= n_{l1} \sin \varphi_y + n_{l3} \cos \psi_x, \\
q_{21} &= n_{h1} \cos \varphi_x \cos \psi_x + n_{h2} \sin \varphi_x - n_{h3} \cos \varphi_y \sin \psi_x, \\
q_{22} &= -n_{h1} \sin \varphi_x \cos \psi_x + n_{h2} \cos \varphi_x + n_{h3} \sin \varphi_y \sin \psi_x, \\
q_{23} &= n_{h1} \sin \varphi_y + n_{h3} \cos \psi_x.
\end{align*}
\]

2.3. Acquisition of Star Sensor Measurement. The elevation and azimuth angle of the optimal navigation star in the \( A \)-system are defined as \(e_x\) and \(e_y\), respectively. Thus, the stellar direction unit vector in the \( A \)-system can be expressed as

\[
S_A = \begin{bmatrix} \cos e_x \cos e_y & \sin e_x \cos e_y & \sin e_y & \cos e_y \end{bmatrix}^T.
\]

In the \( s \)-system, the \( o_x \), \( o_y \) axis is the optical axis. The angle between the optical axis and the stellar vector is very small, and its directional cosine is approximately 1. The \( o_x, o_y \), \( o_z \) are the output axes. The stellar vector representation in the star sensor coordinate system is shown in Figure 2. It is assumed that the star sensor outputs are \( \xi \) and \( \eta \); the stellar vector can be expressed as

\[
S_S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\xi & -\eta \end{bmatrix}^T.
\]

The ideal star sensor output should be \( S_S = [1 \ 0 \ 0]^T \); then, there is the following equation according to the coordinate transformation relationship:

\[
S_S = C_{p}^{S} C_{A}^{p'} S_A,
\]

where \(C_{p}^{S}\) is the transformation matrix from the \( p \)-system to the \( s \)-system and \(C_{A}^{p'}\) is the transformation matrix from the \( A \)-system to the \( p \)'-system. According to the stellar vector representation in the \( A \)-system and the \( s \)-system, the following equation can be obtained by the transformation matrix between different coordinate systems.

\[
S_S = C_{p}^{S} C_{p}^{p'} C_{A}^{p'} S_A,
\]

where \(C_{p}^{p'}\) is the transformation matrix from the \( p \)
3. Theoretical Optimal Navigation Star Determination Method

For the platform inertial-stellar composite guidance scheme, the single-star scheme for measuring a special navigation star can achieve the same accuracy as the double-star scheme for measuring two stars. This special star is called the optimal navigation star. In terms of the difficulty and cost of realization, the single-star scheme is definitely better than the double-star scheme. Therefore, the single-star scheme is adopted in the practical engineering, which requires the determination of the optimal navigation star.

In this section, the equivalent information compression theory is utilized to explain why the single-star scheme can achieve the same accuracy as the double-star scheme firstly. Then, the optimal navigation star is further determined based on the principle. Since it is not combined with the navigation star in the star library, it is also called the theoretical optimal navigation star.

3.1. Equivalent Information Compression Theory. The impact point deviation and platform misalignment angle can be expressed in the matrix form

\[ p = q \cdot a, \quad (16) \]

where \( p = [\Delta L, \Delta H]^T \), \( q = [q_0, q_1]^T \), and \( a = [a_x, a_y, a_z]^T \). It can be seen from Equation (16) that the rank of \( q \) is 2, so there is information compression in the mapping from \( a \) to \( p \). It is worth noting that \( a \) cannot be uniquely determined by \( p \), which indicates that Equation (16) has numerous solutions. Although there are countless sets of solutions in Equation (16), there is a special solution \( a_0 \) which belongs to the subspace \( q = \text{span}\{q_0, q_1\} \) formed by each row of vectors. Therefore, \( a_0 \) can be expressed as

\[ a_0 = a_0^0 q_1 + a_0^1 q_2 = q^T X. \quad (17) \]

Substitute Equation (17) into Equation (16), and there is

\[ p = (qq^T) X. \quad (18) \]

From Equation (17) and Equation (18), we can get

\[ a_0 = q^T (qq^T)^{-1} p. \quad (19) \]

It can be seen from the above equation that \( a_0 \) and \( p \) correspond to each other one by one. If the inner product of two column vectors is defined as \( \langle a \cdot b \rangle = a^T \cdot b \), then Equation (16) can be expressed as

\[ p = [\langle q_1 \cdot a \rangle \quad \langle q_2 \cdot a \rangle]^T, \quad (20) \]

where \( \langle q_i \cdot a \rangle \) reflects the projection of \( a \) in the \( q_i \) direction. \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \) are linearly independent; therefore, \( p = q \cdot a \) reflects the projection \( a_i \) of \( a \) on space \( q_i \), and the projection
information \( a_i \) of a on the orthogonal complement \( q_2^\perp \) of \( q_i \) is lost. Since \( q_i \) is a complete subspace on Hilbert space \( R^n \), there is

\[
R^n = q_s + q_s^\perp. \tag{21}
\]

According to the projection theorem, we can get

\[
a = a_s + a_s^\perp. \tag{22}
\]

It can be seen from the relationship between the impact point deviation and the platform misalignment angle that \( q \) is not full rank. So only the information \( a_s \) in the subspace can be obtained through the impact point deviation, and the information \( a_s^\perp \) in the orthogonal complement cannot be obtained.

The star sensor measurement equation can also be expressed in the matrix form

\[
Z = h \cdot a. \tag{23}
\]

Assuming that another set of bases of \( q_s \) is \( \{ h_1, h_2 \} \), it can be seen from the above analysis that \( Z \) also reflects all the information projected by \( a \) on \( q_s \), which can be expressed as

\[
a_0 = h^T \left( h h^T \right)^{-1} Z. \tag{24}
\]

Substitute Equation (24) into Equation (16), and we can get

\[
p = q \cdot h^T \left( h h^T \right)^{-1} Z. \tag{25}
\]

Therefore, from the perspective of information compression, \( q \) and \( h \) are equal compression maps; that is, the impact point deviation \( p \) can be uniquely determined by the single-star observation \( Z \).

The impact point deviation is only affected by the projection \( a_s \) of the misalignment angle \( a \) on the subspace \( q_s = \text{span} \{ q_1, q_2 \} \). Therefore, according to Equation (25), it is only necessary to select \( h_1 \) and \( h_2 \), so that \( h \) and \( q \) are equal information compression. Then, the observation information \( Z \) contains all the useful information. The schematic diagram of determining the optimal navigation star is shown in Figure 3.

In the figure, all the information of misalignment angle \( a \) is composed of \( a_s \) and \( a_s^\perp \), but only \( a_s \) affects the impact point deviation. If \( h \) and \( q \) are equal information compression maps, the single-star scheme can measure all the information of \( a_s \). Although the double-star scheme can measure all the information of \( a \), only the \( a_s \) part is used in the correction, and \( a_s^\perp \) belongs to the useless information, so it has the same accuracy as the single-star scheme. In more popular terms, there are only two indicators \( \Delta L \) and \( \Delta H \) describing the impact point deviation, which are the reflection of part of the misalignment angle \( a \). When observing a single star, two measurements \( \xi \) and \( \eta \) can be obtained, which are also the reflection of part of the misalignment angle \( a \). By selecting the optimal navigation star, \( \xi \) and \( \eta \) can include all the information of misalignment angle \( a \) contained in \( \Delta L \) and \( \Delta H \). Therefore, the single-star scheme can achieve the same accuracy as the dual-star scheme.

3.2 Determining the Optimal Navigation Star. According to the equivalent information compression theory, the optimal navigation star should satisfy

\[
h_1 \times h_2 = \frac{q_1 \times q_2}{|q_1 \times q_2|} \quad \text{or} \quad h_1 \times h_2 = -\frac{q_1 \times q_2}{|q_1 \times q_2|}. \tag{26}
\]

For the left side of the above equation, it can be obtained according to the Equation (15).

\[
h_1 \times h_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \varphi_0 & \cos \psi_0 & \cos \varphi_0 & \cos \psi_0 \sin \psi_0 \end{bmatrix}^T. \tag{27}
\]

It is assumed that the star sensor is installed on the \( xoy \) plane of the platform, and the platform is adjusted to aim at the navigation star by first yaw and then pitch. By substituting \( \psi_0 = 0^\circ \) into Equation (27), we can get

\[
h_1 \times h_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \varphi_0 & \sin \varphi_0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T. \tag{28}
\]

Define

\[
Q_z = \begin{bmatrix} Q_{z1} & Q_{z2} & Q_{z3} \end{bmatrix} = q_1 \times q_2, \tag{29}
\]

where,
According to Equations (26), (27), and (28), we can get

\[
\begin{align*}
Q_1 &= (n_{j1}n_{i1} - n_{j2}n_{i2}) \sin \varphi_i + (n_{j1}n_{i2} - n_{j2}n_{i1}) \cos \varphi_i, \\
Q_2 &= (n_{j1}n_{i1} - n_{j2}n_{i2}) \cos \varphi_i + (n_{j1}n_{i2} - n_{j2}n_{i1}) \cos \varphi_i, \\
Q_3 &= -(n_{j1}n_{i2} - n_{j2}n_{i1}) \cos \varphi_i + (n_{j1}n_{i1} - n_{j2}n_{i2}) \sin \varphi_i.
\end{align*}
\]  

(30)

By traversing \( j \), the minimum angular distance between the optimal navigation star and the available navigation star can be obtained.

100000 samples are sampled, and the results are shown in Figures 4 and 5.

Figures 4 and 5, respectively, show the statistical histogram and probability density histogram of the angles that the available navigation stars deviate from the optimal navigation star. Here, each straight bar represents 0.1°, and the sum of all the sampling times is 100000. In Figure 4, the angular deviations are between 0° and 6° mostly, which mainly concentrated in 1°~3° and relatively few more than 5° or less than 1°. Compared with Figure 4, the shapes of the statistical histogram and probability density histogram are basically the same. Figure 5 also shows the probability density function diagram of the corresponding normal distribution. However, it is obvious that the distribution is not quite consistent with the normal distribution.

Tables 1 and 2 provide the corresponding numerical statistical results. It can be seen from Table 1 that the maximum deviation angle is 7.4221° and the mean deviation angle is 2.0949°. The more detailed statistical analysis results of the available navigation star deviation from the optimal navigation star are illustrated in Table 2. The table counts the single probability and cumulative probability of the deviation angle. It can be observed that \( 2° > \alpha \geq 1° \) is the most, accounting for 33.558% and the deviation angle greater than 7° accounts for only 0.014%.

Therefore, if the upper limit of star-sensitive measurement magnitude is 5.5 mag, the available navigation star can be found within the angular distance range within 7° from the optimal navigation star.
In the above analysis, constraints such as occlusion of the sun, moon, and earth have not been taken into account, and the deviation angle will be much larger after consideration.

4.2. Determining the Available Optimal Navigation Star Based on the Arrow-Borne Navigation Star Database.

In practical application, the navigation star must be selected in the arrow-borne navigation star database. According to the above analysis, within the range of \(7^\circ\) from the theoretical optimal navigation star, the probability of finding the navigation star is 100%. Therefore, a method determining the available optimal navigation star based on the local navigation star database is proposed to improve the efficiency of star selection.

4.2.1. Determining the Local Navigation Star Database.

Strong light sources should be avoided when determining the local navigation star database (this paper takes avoiding the sun as an example). The right ascension and declination of the sun obtained from the ephemeris table are defined as \(\alpha_{\text{sun}}\) and \(\delta_{\text{sun}}\), and the unit sun direction vector in the i
the unit sun direction vector in the $i$-system.

Then, the unit sun direction vector in the $A$-system can be further obtained:

$$i_{\text{sun}} = C_i^A \cdot i_i,$$

where $i_i$ is the unit sun direction vector in the $i$-system. Then, the unit sun direction vector in the $A$-system can be expressed as

$$i_i = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \delta_{\text{sun}} \cos \alpha_{\text{sun}} \\ \cos \delta_{\text{sun}} \sin \alpha_{\text{sun}} \\ \sin \alpha_{\text{sun}} \end{bmatrix},$$

(38)

where $i_{\text{sun}}$ is the unit sun direction vector in the $A$-system and $C_i^A$ is the transformation matrix from the $i$-system to the $A$-system.

Therefore, the angular distance $\theta_i$ between the theoretical optimal navigation star and the sun can be calculated as

$$\theta_i = \arccos (S_i \cdot i_{\text{sun}}).$$

(40)

If $\theta_i$ is less than the sum of the solar avoidance angle $\alpha_{\text{sun}}$ and deviation angle $\Delta \alpha$, the deviation angle can be recalculated according to the following equation:

$$\Delta \beta = -\frac{\alpha_{\text{sun}}}{\alpha_{\text{sun}} + \Delta \alpha} \cdot \theta_i + (\alpha_{\text{sun}} + \Delta \alpha),$$

(41)

where $\Delta \beta$ is the recalculated deviation angle.

The navigation star orientation in the star database is defined as $[e_0 \, \sigma_0]$, and its unit vector in the $A$-system can be expressed as

$$i_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \cos e_0 \cos \sigma_0 \\ \sin e_0 \\ \cos e_0 \sin \sigma_0 \end{bmatrix},$$

(42)

Then, the angular distance $\theta_i$ between the navigation star and the theoretical optical navigation star and the angular distance $\theta_0$ between the navigation star and the sun can be calculated, respectively.

$$\begin{align*}
\theta_i &= \arccos (i_0 \cdot S_i), \\
\theta_0 &= \arccos (i_0 \cdot i_{\text{sun}}).
\end{align*}$$

(43)

Therefore, the value of $\theta_i$ and the deviation angle can be compared, so as $\theta_0$ and $\alpha_{\text{sun}}$.

$$\begin{align*}
\theta_i < \Delta \alpha, & \quad \theta_i \geq \alpha_{\text{sun}} + \Delta \alpha, \\
\theta_0 < \Delta \beta, & \quad \theta_0 \geq \alpha_{\text{sun}} + \Delta \alpha, \\
\theta_0 > \alpha_{\text{sun}}.
\end{align*}$$

(44)

If the above equation is valid, it means that the navigation star is within the deviation angle range of the optimal navigator star and outside the sun avoidance angle range. And the navigation star can be put into the local navigation star database. After calculating all the navigation stars in the star database, the local star database for determining the available optimal navigation star can be obtained.

### Table 2: Statistical analysis results of the available navigation star deviation from the optimal navigation star.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deviation angle</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Probability (%)</th>
<th>Cumulative number</th>
<th>Cumulative probability (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1^\circ &gt; \alpha \geq 0^\circ$</td>
<td>15449</td>
<td>15.449</td>
<td>15449</td>
<td>15.449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^\circ &gt; \alpha \geq 1^\circ$</td>
<td>33558</td>
<td>33.558</td>
<td>49007</td>
<td>49.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3^\circ &gt; \alpha \geq 2^\circ$</td>
<td>29392</td>
<td>29.392</td>
<td>78399</td>
<td>78.399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4^\circ &gt; \alpha \geq 3^\circ$</td>
<td>14842</td>
<td>14.842</td>
<td>93241</td>
<td>93.241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5^\circ &gt; \alpha \geq 4^\circ$</td>
<td>5216</td>
<td>5.216</td>
<td>98457</td>
<td>98.457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$6^\circ &gt; \alpha \geq 5^\circ$</td>
<td>1289</td>
<td>1.289</td>
<td>99746</td>
<td>99.746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$7^\circ &gt; \alpha \geq 6^\circ$</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>99986</td>
<td>99.986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha \geq 7^\circ$</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>100000</td>
<td>100.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: The value of each error in the simulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Error types</th>
<th>Error symbols</th>
<th>Value ($3\sigma$)</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial orientation (alignment) error</td>
<td>$\epsilon_{0x}$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>($''$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\epsilon_{0y}$</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>($'$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\epsilon_{0z}$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>($''$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Star sensor measurement error</td>
<td>$\epsilon_x, \epsilon_y, \epsilon_z$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>($'$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Star sensor installation error</td>
<td>$\Delta \phi_0, \Delta \psi_0$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>($'$)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.2.2. Determining the Available Optical Navigation Star. Considering that the navigation star with the smallest angular distance from the theoretical optimal navigation star is not necessarily the available optimal navigation star, this paper utilizes the combination of minimum angular distance and minimum accuracy change to determine the available optimal navigation star. Firstly, the angular distance between the stars in the local navigation star database and the optimal navigation star is calculated, and the one with the smallest angular distance is the first available navigation star. Secondly, estimate the accuracy variation of any star in the local navigation star database, and the smallest is the second available navigation star. The calculation method for estimating the accuracy change caused by navigation star deviation is as follows.
Figure 8: Simplex optimal vertex iterative change diagram with a range of 6000 km.

Figure 9: Simplex convergent error variation diagram with a range of 6000 km.

Table 4: Optimal navigation star at different range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>$e_s$ (deg)</th>
<th>$\sigma_s$ (deg)</th>
<th>CEP$_{INS}$ (m)</th>
<th>CEP$_{COM}$ (m)</th>
<th>$t$ (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6000km</td>
<td>Traversing</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2628.89</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>2963.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Simplex</td>
<td>37.6011</td>
<td>-0.0411</td>
<td>2628.89</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>12.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Analysis</td>
<td>37.6011</td>
<td>-0.0404</td>
<td>2628.89</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12000km</td>
<td>Traversing</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3198.36</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>2985.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Simplex</td>
<td>30.0226</td>
<td>-0.1316</td>
<td>3198.36</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>13.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Analysis</td>
<td>30.0264</td>
<td>-0.1318</td>
<td>3198.36</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The gradient can be calculated from the partial derivative of the composite guidance accuracy at the optimal navigation star varying with the navigation star orientation.

\[ \frac{dCEP}{d\sigma_i} = \frac{\partial CEP}{\partial e_s}i + \frac{\partial CEP}{\partial e_j}j, \]  

(45)

where CEP is the circular error probable and \( \frac{\partial CEP}{\partial e_s} \) and \( \frac{\partial CEP}{\partial \sigma_i} \) are the partial derivative of composite guidance CEP to elevation and azimuth angle at the optimal navigation star. The direction perpendicular to the gradient is the direction with the slowest change in the composite guidance accuracy.

\[ \frac{dCEP}{d\sigma_i} = -\frac{\partial CEP}{\partial \sigma_i}i + \frac{\partial CEP}{\partial e_j}j. \]  

(46)

Therefore, for any star in the local navigation star database, the accuracy change \( \Delta CEP \) can be estimated according to

\[ \Delta CEP = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial CEP}{\partial \sigma_i} \Delta \epsilon_s \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial CEP}{\partial e_j} \Delta \sigma_i \right)^2}, \]  

(47)

where \( \Delta \) CEP is the estimated value of the accuracy change between the navigation star and the optimal navigation star. \( \Delta \epsilon_s \) and \( \Delta \sigma_i \) are the difference of elevation angle and azimuth angle between the star in the local navigation star database and the optimal navigation star. When the star is smallest, it is selected as the second available navigation star. For the first and the second available navigation star, the one with smaller CEP is the available optimal navigation star.

5. Simulation Results

This section mainly include two parts: (1) determining the theoretical optimal navigation star and (2) determining the available optimal navigation star based on the star database. The simulations are primarily aimed at verifying the effectiveness of the proposed method.

In the simulation, two representative responsive launch vehicle trajectories are adopted. The launch time is 00:00:00, 1 January 2019 (UTC). The first whole flight time is 1300 s, and the second whole flight time is 2300 s. The initial position is (0°N, 0°E). The star sensor works beyond the atmosphere. And the star sensor installation angle is \( [\psi_0, \psi_0] = [20°, 0°] \). The simulation parameters for the initial alignment error and star sensor error are listed in Table 3. Two trajectories can better verify the effectiveness of the proposed method.

5.1. Determining the Optimal Navigation Star. This section is used to evaluate the effectiveness of the algorithm in Section 3. In the simulation, the optimal navigation star is determined by three methods, which are traversal method, simplex evolutionary method, and analytical method proposed in this paper.

The traversal method searches in the full dimensional space with \(-90° \leq \epsilon_s \leq 90°\) and \(-180° < \sigma_i < 180°\). The step is 1°. Taking the 6000 km trajectory (first trajectory) as an example, when the optimal navigation star is determined by the traversal method, the composite guidance accuracy under different measurement orientations is shown in Figure 6, and the composite guidance CEP contour is shown in Figure 7.

Figure 6 shows the composite guidance CEP variation diagram for 6000 km. It can be observed that there are two minimum points in the composite guidance accuracy variation diagram corresponding to the single-star tuning platform; that is, there are two optimal navigation stars. And the two optimal navigation stars azimuth is approximately on the same line as the emission point, that is, \( \epsilon'_s = -\epsilon_s \) and \( \sigma'_i = \sigma_i - \pi \). This is consistent with the analysis conclusion of Equation (33). Besides, the composite guidance accuracy is approximately symmetric with respect to the line according to Figure 7.

In the simplex evolutionary method, the initial vertex is \( X_0 = [\epsilon_0, \sigma_0] \). Take the distance between vertices \( \Delta d = 10° \) to construct the initial simplex, and the iteration termination condition is taken as \( \varepsilon = 0.1m \). The change of the simplex optimal vertex in the iteration process is shown in Figure 8, and the convergence error is shown in Figure 9.

It can be seen from the above figures that when utilizing the simplex evolutionary method to determine the optimal navigation star, the simplex converges quickly in the solution process, and the algorithm has a large search range.

<p>| Table 5: Optimal navigation stars with different star sensor installation error. |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \Delta \psi_0 ), ( \Delta \psi_0 ) (( \epsilon_s ), ( \sigma_s ))</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>( \epsilon_s ) (deg)</th>
<th>( \sigma_s ) (deg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Simplex</td>
<td>37.6011</td>
<td>-0.0411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Simplex</td>
<td>37.5998</td>
<td>-0.0417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Simplex</td>
<td>37.5991</td>
<td>-0.0423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Analysis</td>
<td>37.6011</td>
<td>-0.0440</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Table 6: Optimal navigation star with different star sensor measurement error. |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \epsilon_s ), ( \sigma_s ) (( \epsilon_s ), ( \sigma_s ))</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>( \epsilon_s ) (deg)</th>
<th>( \sigma_s ) (deg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Simplex</td>
<td>37.6011</td>
<td>-0.0411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Simplex</td>
<td>37.6011</td>
<td>-0.0404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Simplex</td>
<td>37.6011</td>
<td>-0.0404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Analysis</td>
<td>37.6012</td>
<td>-0.0403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Analysis</td>
<td>37.6012</td>
<td>-0.0404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Analysis</td>
<td>37.6012</td>
<td>-0.0404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Analysis</td>
<td>37.6012</td>
<td>-0.0404</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
At the same time, it can achieve high accuracy by controlling the convergence domain.

Table 4 represents the required time and the optimal navigation stars determined by the three methods. In the table, CEP\textsubscript{INS} is the pure inertial guidance accuracy, and CEP\textsubscript{COM} is the composite guidance accuracy.

The simulation results show that under the condition of only considering the initial alignment error, the results obtained by analytical method are consistent with those obtained by traversal method and simplex evolutionary method, which verify the effectiveness of the proposed method. At the same time, the azimuth angle of the navigation star is about 0°, which indicates that the optimal navigation star is near the shooting plane when the star sensor is installed on the \(xoy\) plane of the platform.

According to the results of the optimal navigation stars and the corresponding composite guidance accuracy, the accuracy of the traversal method is limited because the traversal method is searched with a fixed step, while the analytic method and simplex evolutionary method have no such limitation. Thus, the optimal navigation star azimuth can achieve high accuracy. When comparing the calculation time of the three methods, the results are calculated on PC. By contrast, the traversal calendar takes about 50 minutes, while the analytic method can be completed in a very short time. And the composite guidance accuracy corresponding to the optimal navigation star obtained by the analytical method is 99.99% (from 6.78 m to 0.001 m) and 92.31% (from 0.013 m to 0.001 m) higher than that obtained by the traversal method and the simplex evolutionary method. Moreover, the time-consuming of the traversal method is related to the traversal step size. The smaller the step size, the more time-consuming, but the more accurate the optimal star azimuth is determined. Therefore, under the condition of significant initial error, the method proposed in this paper can be used to help determine the optimal navigation star quickly. Since only the initial orientation error is considered in the simulation, the stellar guidance can correct all the effects of the error, and the corrected accuracy is close to 0 m. Of course, it is impossible to achieve when all error factors are considered.

Taking the responsive launch vehicle with a range of 6000 km as an example, the influence of the star sensor installation error and measurement error on the optimal navigation star is analyzed. In the simulation, simplex evolutionary method and analytic method are utilized to determine the optimal navigation star. Table 5 represents the optimal navigation stars when considering the star sensor installation error, and Table 6 represents the optimal navigation stars when considering the star sensor measurement error.

The star sensor installation error has a certain impact on the optimal navigation star, but the impact is small. The range of changes in elevation angle and azimuth angle is both within 0.01°. Comparing Tables 5 and 6, it can be seen that the star sensor measurement error has less impact on the optimal navigation star. Therefore, the method proposed in this paper can determine the optimal navigation star effectively.
5.2. Determining the Optimal Available Navigation Star. Stars are basically evenly distributed in the celestial coordinate system, and the earth shielding range of the star sensor view field is basically fixed. Due to the physical realization of the inertial platform frame angle, there will be some restrictions on the azimuth and elevation angles. It is assumed that the azimuth angle has a limit of ±45°, and the elevation angle has a limit of ±60°. At the same time, it is assumed that the sun's avoidance angle is 20°, the moon's avoidance angle is 10°, the horizon's additional avoidance angle is 5°, and the large planet's avoidance angle is 2°. The arrow-borne navigation star database is shown in Figure 10, and the generated local navigation star database based on Section 4.2.1 is shown in Table 7.

Figure 10 shows the generated arrow-borne navigation star database when the launch time is January 1, 2019. Due to the influence of constraints, the final number of available navigation stars is 292. Compared with Figure 10, it can be seen that there are only 8 alternative navigation stars in the local navigation star database, indicating that most stars in the array-borne navigation star database can be excluded based on the maximum deviation angle from the theoretical optimal navigation star, thus shortening the time to determine the available optimal navigation star. Tables 8 and 9 represent the available optimal navigation stars for the 6000 km and 12000 km launch vehicle.

Table 8: The available optimal navigation stars for the 6000 km launch vehicle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>2019/1/1</th>
<th>2019/3/10</th>
<th>2019/8/20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Theoretical optimal navigation star</td>
<td>37.6011</td>
<td>37.6011</td>
<td>37.6011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e_s$ (deg)</td>
<td>-0.0404</td>
<td>-0.0404</td>
<td>-0.0404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_s$ (deg)</td>
<td>2628.89</td>
<td>2628.89</td>
<td>2628.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEPINS (m)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEPCOM (m)</td>
<td>36.4093</td>
<td>36.5827</td>
<td>37.0740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma'_s$ (deg)</td>
<td>-3.5698</td>
<td>1.0344</td>
<td>-2.9698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEPINS (m)</td>
<td>67.152</td>
<td>26.320</td>
<td>54.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEPCOM (m)</td>
<td>2628.89</td>
<td>2628.89</td>
<td>2628.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9: The available optimal navigation stars for the 12000 km launch vehicle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>2019/1/1</th>
<th>2019/3/10</th>
<th>2019/8/20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Theoretical optimal navigation star</td>
<td>30.0264</td>
<td>30.0264</td>
<td>30.0264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e_s$ (deg)</td>
<td>-0.1318</td>
<td>-0.1318</td>
<td>-0.1318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_s$ (deg)</td>
<td>3198.36</td>
<td>3198.36</td>
<td>3198.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEPINS (m)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEPCOM (m)</td>
<td>30.5718</td>
<td>32.2593</td>
<td>25.3675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma'_s$ (deg)</td>
<td>0.7825</td>
<td>0.3548</td>
<td>-0.4691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEPINS (m)</td>
<td>3198.36</td>
<td>3198.36</td>
<td>3198.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEPCOM (m)</td>
<td>26.332</td>
<td>33.224</td>
<td>64.516</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2. Determining the Optimal Available Navigation Star. Stars are basically evenly distributed in the celestial coordinate system, and the earth shielding range of the star sensor view field is basically fixed. Due to the physical realization of the inertial platform frame angle, there will be some restrictions on the azimuth and elevation angles. It is assumed that the azimuth angle has a limit of ±45°, and the elevation angle has a limit of ±60°. At the same time, it is assumed that the sun’s avoidance angle is 20°, the moon’s avoidance angle is 10°, the horizon’s additional avoidance angle is 5°, and the large planet’s avoidance angle is 2°. The arrow-borne navigation star database is shown in Figure 10, and the generated local navigation star database based on the Section 4.2.1 is shown in Table 7.

Figure 10 shows the generated arrow-borne navigation star database when the launch time is January 1, 2019. Due to the influence of constraints, the final number of available navigation stars is 292. Compared with Figure 10, it can be seen that there are only 8 alternative navigation stars in the local navigation star database, indicating that most stars in the array-borne navigation star database can be excluded based on the maximum deviation angle from the theoretical optimal navigation star, thus shortening the time to determine the available optimal navigation star. Tables 8 and 9 represent the available optimal navigation stars for the 6000 km and 12000 km launch vehicle.

Table 8 and 9 show the comparison results of the proposed method and the traversal method to determine the available optimal navigation star. The traversal method in the table refers to traversing all stars in the local navigation star database, and the results obtained can be considered as accurate. The proposed method refers to the available optimal navigation star determined according to Section 4.2.2. The above results are the navigation stars selected from the real local navigation star database after considering various star selection constraints. It can be observed from the tables that the navigation star determined by the proposed method is the same as the traversal method, which proves that this method in this paper is effective. At the same, the angular
distance between the theoretical optimal navigation star and the available optimal navigation star is within 5′, and the variation of composite guidance accuracy is less than 70 m, indicating that the available optimal navigation star still has a good correction effect.

6. Conclusion

The demand for the application of single-star inertial-stellar guidance system in responsive launch vehicles is to determine the optimal navigation star quickly. However, the current optimal navigation star selection schemes are to determine the star by numerical method, which increase the preparation time before launch. This paper proposes a fast algorithm to determine the star. The key of this algorithm is to deduce the optimal navigation star based on the equivalent information compression theory under the condition of significant initial error. It is obvious that the analytical solution is less time-consuming than the numerical solution. And the analytical solution can achieve the same accuracy as the numerical solution, or even higher.

On the basis of determining the optimal navigation star, the available optimal navigation star should be further determined in combination with the arrow-borne navigation star database. There are certain deviations between the optimal navigation star and the navigation stars in the database. Therefore, the deviation angles between them without considering constraints are analyzed firstly. Based on the deviation angle, the navigation stars are selected to the local navigation database. Then, the available optimal navigation star can be determined according to certain criteria. The algorithm proposed in this paper can quickly determine the optimal navigation star and the available optimal navigation star.
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