A low-profile planar multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) antenna consisting of four elements with isolation improvement is proposed for 5G mm Wave (24–40 GHz) applications. Each radiating element of the MIMO antenna comprises of a microstrip-fed tilted spade-shaped radiator with four asymmetrical slots and a partial ground plane. The antenna is optimized to resonate at 35 GHz covering a wide impedance bandwidth from 23.9 to 40.1 GHz. Two cross lines are then loaded between the antenna elements to improve the isolation > – 30 dB. The MIMO structure with the decoupling lines is fabricated and tested. The measured results are in good correlation with the simulated results. Other MIMO performance metrics such as the envelope correlation coefficient (ECC), channel capacity loss (CCL), diversity gain (DG), and total active reflection coefficient (TARC) are examined, and the results are found to be satisfactory for the device to be used for mm-wave 5G MIMO applications. Also, the antenna’s performance metrics such as radiation efficiency, gain, and radiation patterns over the operating band are presented.

1. Introduction

The evolution of the cellular technology, from analog to 5G, has been a long road, requiring an out-of-box solution for wireless ultrahigh-speed data transmission. The 5G story will be one of momentum, evolution, and transformation, leading to an even more connected world, extending beyond consumers to industrial applications and defence-oriented niche applications to an enabling technology used in mobile handheld devices. 5G is being deployed worldwide using sub-6 GHz and mm Wave frequency ranges. The fifth-generation will be a mobile network and, unlike its predecessors, including 4G, 3G, and 2G; it aims to be an “everything network,” that is, available “everywhere” for “everyone” able to facilitate high-speed data rates with minimal power consumption [1–5]. The International Telecommunication Union (ITU) has announced quite a few 5G bands among several countries for cellular communication which varies from 24.25 GHz to 43.5 GHz as discussed in [6]. Thus, RF components design pertaining to millimetre wave (mm Wave) and submillimetre wave bands which have gained lot of attention among researchers globally. However, certain limitations such as signal fading and path loss attenuations occur with single antenna elements at such high frequencies [7, 8]. In such situations, multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) antenna systems are found to be a promising technology. It increases channel capacity thus enabling high data rates [9–11, 40–49].

Mobile service base stations find MIMO antenna systems to be incorporated with ease. Several designs of MIMO antenna systems for 5G applications have been proposed in the literature [12–18]. Few notable works based on different structures are PIFA pair-based MIMO configuration [12], EBG-based MIMO antennas [13, 14], DRA-based antennas [15, 16], MIMO-based slot antenna arrays for 5G exhibiting a wide mm Wave band resonance from 22.5 to beyond...
50 GHz [17], CSRR-loaded T-shaped MIMO antenna [18], and a MTM- and SIW-inspired MIMO antenna with AMC [19]. However, many of these antennas suffer limited bandwidth and complex geometry. The design of wideband and multiband 5G mm Wave MIMO antennas has attracted many researchers in recent times. A defected ground structure (DGS) based 4 ports MIMO antenna [20] shows resonance from 25.1 to 37.5 GHz. A wideband 8-port MIMO antenna covering a wide band from 27.4 to 28.23 GHz is discussed in [21]. A SIW cavity-backed antenna [22] is proposed to cover mm Wave bands ranging from 27.55 to 62.32 GHz. Few other antennas proposed in [23, 24] discussed MIMO antenna systems resonating at both sub-6 GHz frequency range like 2–5 GHz and also in the higher frequency mm Wave range like 23–29 GHz [25].

Nevertheless, the design of MIMO antenna systems for user interface components faces several encounters, such as the requirement of high bandwidth, high gain, and compactness of the structure. Apart from these, the main challenge lies in the design of closely packed antennas with low mutual coupling and high isolation, more the number of independent antennas, the higher the data rate transmission. The size of the mobile builds a limitation on the distance between the antenna elements. Thus, the arrangement of MIMO antennas with high isolation within the available space of a compact mobile phone or laptop is necessary [26]. Many isolation enhancement techniques have been discussed in the literature. Orthogonal polarization [27], metamaterial inspired split-ring resonators [28, 29], decoupling elements [30], defected ground structures and layered substrate configuration [31, 32], neutralization lines [33, 34], and self-isolated antenna [35–38] are few among them. To this end, the design of a four-element MIMO antenna with decoupling lines is proposed in this paper. The antenna is optimized to resonate at 35 GHz with a wide impedance bandwidth 23.9 to 40.1 GHz (16.2 GHz). The isolation between the antennas is improved by using a simple decoupling structure between them. The design of the single antenna element and its parametric analysis of the return loss characteristics are discussed in Sections 2 and 3, respectively. The orthogonal arrangement of the four elements MIMO system and the design of the decoupling structure are discussed in Sections 4 and 5, respectively. The radiation pattern and the MIMO parameter discussion are given under Sections 6 and 7, respectively.


The proposed single-element radiator consists of a tilted spade-shaped patch printed on a 5.5 × 4.4 mm² Rogers RO4003 substrate, whose dielectric constant $\varepsilon_r$ is 3.55, loss tangent is 0.0027, and height is 0.787 mm. Figure 1 shows the geometrical layout of the proposed antenna, and Figure 2 shows the evolution process. In configuration A, a spade-shaped patch element whose tip is slightly tilted is chosen as the main radiator. This element is fed by 1.1 mm × 1.375 mm, 50Ω microstrip feed line, and backed by a partial ground plane. Upon excitation, it yields a resonance around 42 GHz, with an impedance bandwidth from 36.28 GHz to 43.3 GHz. This response is mainly contributed by the feed length and the circumferential length of the spade radiator. As observed in Figure 3(a), the simulated surface current distribution shows a dense current flow along the transition section of feed line to the main radiator. An out-of-phase current flow is observed at a distance of around 1 mm from the feed location which corresponds to $\lambda /4$ at the resonant frequency. Also, in Figure 3(b), the simulated electric field distribution is shown, in which a “V”-shaped sparse vector field is located. This led to the evolution of configuration B, where two “V” shaped slots with width “g” inclined at an angle of 5° to the right and 32° to the left from the centre of the patch are introduced. The slots tend to improve the impedance matching at the operating frequency without much altering the resonance. The width of the slots is tuned to achieve the optimum impedance matching condition. Later, a rectangular patch of dimensions 1.54 × 1.925 mm² is added at a distance of Lg from the feed point, which contributes for more concentrated field distribution along the open ends of the slot in the patch, rather than at the centre. Open end of the slot reduces its Q factor and leads to a wider bandwidth [37–39]. This in turn leads to the shift in the resonant frequency to around 34 GHz from 42 GHz. Finally, in the proposed design, the feed width is altered by introducing a step feed at a distance of $f_L 2 \lambda$ from the feed point, to improve the impedance matching. Thus, the proposed single element exhibits a resonance at 35 GHz (23.9–37.3 GHz). The simulated return loss characteristics of all the configurations involved in the evolution of the final proposed element are shown in Figure 4. The parameters of the antenna are fine-tuned to obtain the expected results and are listed in Table 1.

3. Parametric Analysis on the Single Element

The simulations are performed in the commercially available EM tool Ansys HFSS 2018.1. There are several parameters that influence the operating frequency. Figure 5 shows the variation of parameters “g” (slot width), “fw2” (step feed width), “L” (distance from feed point to the slot) vs. frequency. Parameter $g$ is varied from 0.2 mm to 0.5 mm in steps of 0.1 mm, $fw2$ is varied from 1.70 mm to 1.79 mm in steps of 0.1 mm, and $L$ is varied from 3.19 mm to 3.25 mm in steps of 0.2 mm. It is inferred that increasing values of $g$ and $fw2$ shift the resonant frequency from lower to higher values, whereas increasing $L$ value retains the resonant frequency at 35 GHz but offers reduced impedance matching.

4. Four Port Orthogonal MIMO Configuration

A 4 port orthogonal MIMO arrangement is shown in Figure 6, and it occupies an area of 13.75 × 13.75 × 0.787 mm³. The antennas are placed at a distance 4 mm ($\lambda /2$) in $x$ and $y$ directions. The distance between the antenna elements plays a significant role in determining the mutual coupling. For any shorter distances than 4 mm, electromagnetic energy from the excited patch gets coupled to the nearby radiating element so mode separation happens in the lower frequency region as shown in Figure 7. The figure shows the simulated
Figure 1: (a) Geometrical layout of the proposed single element. (b) Outline of the titled patch. (c) Perspective view of the proposed single element.

Figure 2: Continued.
return loss characteristics of the proposed MIMO configuration for various distances from 3.5 mm to 5.0 mm in steps of 0.5 mm. It is observed that for a distance of 4.0 mm, the resonance of the antenna is well preserved as that of the single element, and for any of the incrementing distances, the impedance match is lost. Thus, an optimum distance of 4.0 mm along $x$ and $y$ directions is chosen. Figure 8 shows the simulated S parameters of the proposed MIMO configuration with Port 1 ON and all other ports OFF. The $S_{11}$ (dB) is observed to show resonance from 24 GHz to 39.6 GHz. A maximum isolation of around $-25$ dB is achieved at 35 GHz from port 2, and a minimum isolation of around $-28$ dB is achieved from port 3 at the resonating frequency. Figure 9 shows the simulated surface current distribution on the proposed MIMO configuration with each port ON and OFF individually. When each port is excited, we could visualize the current distribution only along that particular patch and moderate coupling to the adjacent antenna elements. Figure 10 shows the simulated return loss characteristics along all the 4 ports. The resonance at 35 GHz remains unaltered.

5. MIMO Structure with Decoupling Lines

To further improve the isolation between the antennas, a simple decoupling element comprising of two cross lines is used. The cross lines run between the antenna elements adjacent to each other as shown in Figure 11. The width of the decoupling line is optimized to be 2 mm. An increase or decrease in this parameter greatly affects the resonant
behaviour which is shown in Figure 12. The width of the line is varied from 1 mm to 4 mm in steps of 1 mm, and the optimum is chosen as 2 mm. The decoupling line has improved the isolation by reducing the surface wave propagation inside the substrate and space wave propagation related to the reactive coupling of nearby radiating elements. These lines excite orthogonal modes in the adjacent ports leading to low mutual coupling. This can be well understood by the surface current distribution of the MIMO configuration along with the decoupling structure, which is shown in Figure 13. It shows the surface current distribution under 4 conditions where each port is made ON, when the other remaining ports are made OFF. The current is dense only along the radiating element where excitation is applied, and all other radiating elements have very sparse current distribution, which validates the mutual coupling reduction.

The transmission parameters between ports 1 and 2, 1 and 3, 1 and 4 with and without the decoupling line are shown in Figure 14 for comparison. Mutual coupling between the antennas has reduced from around $-20$ dB to $-30$ dB over the entire operating band. A prototype of the final optimized
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**Figure 4:** Simulated return loss parameters of the several configurations given in Figure 2.

**Figure 5:** Variation of parameters “$g$”, “$fW_2$”, and “$L$” vs. frequency.

**Figure 6:**** 4 port orthogonal MIMO configuration.

**Figure 7:**** Simulated return loss characteristics of the proposed MIMO configuration for various values of distance “$x$”.

**Table 1:** Dimensions of the proposed single element.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$L$ (mm)</th>
<th>$W$ (mm)</th>
<th>$fL_1$ (mm)</th>
<th>$fL_2$ (mm)</th>
<th>$fW_1$ (mm)</th>
<th>$fW_2$ (mm)</th>
<th>$L_g$ (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$L$ (mm)</th>
<th>$W$ (mm)</th>
<th>$fL_1$ (mm)</th>
<th>$fL_2$ (mm)</th>
<th>$fW_1$ (mm)</th>
<th>$fW_2$ (mm)</th>
<th>$L_g$ (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 8: Simulated return loss and transmission parameters of the proposed MIMO configuration.

Figure 9: Simulated surface current distribution on the MIMO configuration. (a) PORT 1 ON, others OFF. (b) PORT 2 ON, others OFF. (c) PORT 3 ON, others OFF. (d) PORT 4 ON, others OFF.

Figure 10: Simulated return loss characteristics of the proposed MIMO configuration at each port.
MIMO system with the decoupling lines are fabricated and the photograph of the same is shown in Figure 15(a). The measured S parameters are shown in Figure 15(b), from where it is inferred that both the results fairly match with each other, making the proposed MIMO system suitable for 5G mm-wave applications.

6. Radiation Pattern, Gain, and Efficiency

The radiation pattern of the proposed MIMO configuration with the decoupling line is measured using the commercial far-field measurement system in an anechoic chamber. Field pattern is measured both along XZ and YZ planes with theta ranging from 0° to 360°. Figure 16 shows the measured co-pol and cross-pol radiation pattern of antenna 1 and 3 at 35 GHz. Since the antenna arrangements are symmetric along the centre, a similar radiation pattern is expected from the other two antennas (2 and 4) and so they are not shown. It is seen from the figure that both antennas exhibit almost omnidirectional radiation at the operating frequency, which is very important to make the proposed design a suitable one for wireless applications. Figure 17 shows the measured gain and radiation efficiencies of antennas 1–4. It is observed that the measured gain values are between 3 and 5 dB, and the radiation efficiencies are between 65 and 85% within the entire bandwidth which is satisfactory for 5G MIMO applications.

$$D_G = 10 \sqrt{1 - |ECC|^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)
7. MIMO Parameters

Few MIMO performance metrics such as ECC, DG, TARC, and CCL ensure the multichannel performance of the proposed antenna. Thus, these parameters are analysed individually for the proposed MIMO antenna and given below.

7.1. Envelope Correlation Coefficient (ECC). The ECC defines how independent each antenna’s radiation patterns are. It is calculated using the following formula:

\[
\rho_{ij} = \frac{|S_{ii}^*S_{ij} + S_{ii}^*S_{jj}|^2}{(1 - |S_{ii}|^2)(1 - |S_{jj}|^2)}
\]
Figure 15: (a) Fabricated prototype of the proposed MIMO antenna with decoupling lines. (b) Simulated and measured S parameters of the MIMO configuration with decoupling lines.

Figure 16: Measured radiation patterns of antennas 1 and 3 at 35 GHz.
Figure 18 shows the calculated ECC between antennas 1 and 2, 2 and 3, 3 and 4. The overall correlation values lie below the practical standard of 0.5.

The ECC of a MIMO antenna using the radiation pattern is given as [49]

\[
\rho_{12} = \frac{\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \left(XPR.E_{\theta i}.E_{\theta j}.P_\theta + XPR.E_{\phi i}.E_{\phi j}^*.P_\phi\right) \sin \theta \, d\theta \, d\phi}{\sqrt{\prod_{k=i,j} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \left(XPR.E_{\theta k}.E_{\theta k}^*.P_\theta + XPR.E_{\phi k}.E_{\phi k}^*.P_\phi\right) \sin \theta \, d\theta \, d\phi}^2.}
\]

Here, XPR is the cross-polarization ratio of vertical and horizontal polarized components. \(P_\theta\) and \(P_\phi\) represent the angular power spectrum of the propagation environment, \(E_{\theta i}, E_{\theta j}, E_{\phi i}, E_{\phi j}\) represent the far-field components in the \(\theta\) plane and \(\phi\) plane, respectively. The ECC is calculated between antennas 1 and 2 using the above expression and is shown in Figure 18. The obtained ECC lies below the expected value of 0.5, because the antennas have high isolation between them, as confirmed by the S parameter results.
7.2. Diversity Gain (DG). The DG signifies the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) improvement in the MIMO system as compared with the single antenna. The ideal value of DG (dB) is 10. It is calculated using the formula.

\[
TARC = \sqrt{\frac{\left| S_{11} + S_{12}e^{j\theta} \right|^2 + \left| S_{21} + S_{22}e^{j\theta} \right|^2}{2}}
\]  

Figure 18 shows the DG (dB) calculated between antenna elements 1 and 2, 2 and 3, 3 and 4. It is seen that the DG value varies between 9.6 and 10 which is very close to the ideal value as anticipated.

7.3. Total Active Reflection Coefficient (TARC). The TARC defines the return loss of the entire MIMO array and in turn signifies the effective bandwidth. It is calculated using the following formula:

\[
CCL = -\log_2 \det(\alpha)
\]  

where \( \alpha = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} & \alpha_{13} & \alpha_{14} \\ \alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} & \alpha_{23} & \alpha_{24} \\ \alpha_{31} & \alpha_{32} & \alpha_{33} & \alpha_{34} \\ \alpha_{41} & \alpha_{42} & \alpha_{43} & \alpha_{44} \end{bmatrix} \) and \( \alpha_{ij} = 1 - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{M} |S_{ij}|^2\right) \).

The CCL calculated between antennas 1 and 2, 2 and 3, 3 and 4 is shown in Figure 20. The obtained CCL values are considerably less than the standard value of 0.4 bits/s/Hz as required for a MIMO antenna design.

7.4. Channel Capacity Loss (CCL). The CCL defines the maximum attainable limit with which the signal can be transmitted without substantial loss. The following set of equations is involved in calculating CCL.

\[
CCL = -\log_2 \det(\alpha),
\]

\[
\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} & \alpha_{13} & \alpha_{14} \\ \alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} & \alpha_{23} & \alpha_{24} \\ \alpha_{31} & \alpha_{32} & \alpha_{33} & \alpha_{34} \\ \alpha_{41} & \alpha_{42} & \alpha_{43} & \alpha_{44} \end{bmatrix}
\]

The CCL calculated between antennas 1 and 2, 2 and 3, 3 and 4 is shown in Figure 20. The obtained CCL values are considerably less than the standard value of 0.4 bits/s/Hz as required for a MIMO antenna design.

8. Conclusion

A planar four-element MIMO antenna with decoupling lines is proposed for 5G mm Wave applications. The antenna structure is simple and compact occupying an area of 148.8 mm\(^3\) (13.75 x 13.75 x 0.787 mm\(^3\)), and the frequency dependency on each parameter is emphasized in this article. The antenna resonates at 35 GHz with a -10 dB impedance bandwidth of 50.62% (23.9–40.1 GHz). The decoupling lines greatly reduce the isolation between the antennas from -20 dB to -30 dB. The calculated MIMO parameters such as ECC, DG, TARC, and CCL lie within the acceptable limits making the proposed structure suitable for wireless applications.
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