

Research Article

Batch and Continuous Flow Adsorption of Phenolic Compounds from Olive Mill Wastewater: A Comparison between Nonionic and Ion Exchange Resins

Davide Pinelli,¹ Aurora Esther Molina Bacca,¹ Ankita Kaushik,^{1,2} Subhankar Basu,² Massimo Nocentini,¹ Lorenzo Bertin,¹ and Dario Frascari¹

¹Department of Civil, Chemical, Environmental and Materials Engineering, University of Bologna, Via Terracini 28, 40131 Bologna, Italy

²The Energy and Resources Institute (TERI), Darbari Seth Block, IHC Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi 110003, India

Correspondence should be addressed to Dario Frascari; dario.frascari@unibo.it

Received 12 May 2016; Revised 6 July 2016; Accepted 11 July 2016

Academic Editor: Evangelos Tsotsas

Copyright © 2016 Davide Pinelli et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The goals of this work were (i) to compare two anion ion exchange resins (IRA958 Cl and IRA67) and a nonionic resin (XAD16) in terms of phenolic compounds adsorption capacity from olive mill wastewater and (ii) to compare the adsorption capacity of the best resin on columns of different length. The ion exchange resins performed worse than nonionic XAD16 in terms of resin utilization efficiency (20% versus 43%) and phenolic compounds/COD enrichment factor (1.0 versus 2.5). The addition of volatile fatty acids did not hinder phenolic compounds adsorption on either resin, suggesting a noncompetitive adsorption mechanism. A pH increase from 4.9 to 7.2 did not affect the result of this comparison. For the best performing resin (XAD16), an increase in column length from 0.5 to 1.8 m determined an increase in resin utilization efficiency (from 12% to 43%), resin productivity (from 3.4 to 7.6 $\text{g}_{\text{adsorbed phenolics}}/\text{kg}_{\text{resin}}$), and phenolics/COD enrichment factor (from 1.2 to 2.5). An axial dispersion model with nonequilibrium adsorption accurately interpreted the phenolic compounds and COD experimental curves.

1. Introduction

Olive mill wastewaters (OMWs) represent a major environmental concern. Indeed, their high COD content (20–200 g/L) and relevant phenolic compounds (PCs) content (0.1–18 g/L) result in toxicity, bad smell, and inhibition of seed germination and plant growth [1–5]. Furthermore, the presence of high concentrations of PCs can inhibit aerobic and anaerobic biological processes that could be applied to make OMW suitable for irrigation [6]. On the other hand, several studies showed that OMW PCs have strong antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and antimicrobial properties [1, 3, 7–11]. OMW contains about 95% of the PC content of the original olives, as a result of the high solubility of PCs in water [1, 12]. Several processes were proposed to remove or recover PCs from OMW: solvent extraction with acidic ethyl acetate, acidified ethanol, or supercritical fluids [1, 9, 13–15], ultrasound treatment [16], different combinations of membrane separation

processes [17–20], and other emerging technologies such as cloud point extraction [21–23].

Adsorption represents an interesting technology for PC recovery from OMW. It has a relatively simple design, operation, and scale-up. It is characterized by ease of resin regeneration and low operating costs [24, 25]. Two alternative adsorption mechanisms can be used for PC recovery: simple adsorption on neutral nonionic resins and ion exchange on ionic resins. Both sorbent types were successfully used in several fields [25, 26]. Several studies focused on the use of these sorbents for the removal of single phenolic compounds from synthetic solutions [27–31]. More recently, adsorption processes were studied to recover valuable compounds from food processing byproducts (PCs, proteins, and peptides) from orange peels, apple pomace, grape seed oil, and pigeon pea [32–36]. In the presence of a complex matrix, such as an actual OMW, PC adsorption yields can be significantly

reduced by the competitive adsorption exerted by other compounds [37]. In addition, Kammerer et al. [38] showed that carbohydrates and amino acids can reduce PC adsorption yields on both neutral and ion exchange resins. pH has an important effect on PC adsorption: low pH values favour the protonated form and thus the adsorption on neutral resins, whereas higher pH values (up to 12) favour the corresponding anionic form and therefore adsorption on ion exchange resins [28–30]. Basic pH values are preferable in the case of ion exchange of PCs which do not contain carboxyl functional group such as tyrosol, hydroxytyrosol, and oleuropein. On the other hand, many PCs are also carboxylic acids. For this reason, PCs were successfully adsorbed via ion exchange also at neutral pH values [38].

Although several works dealt with PC adsorption, only a few studies focused on the development of continuous flow processes for the adsorption of PC mixtures [39–45]. Among these, only one used an actual OMW [39], whereas the others used other natural sources or synthetic PC mixtures. In other works, OMW adsorption was investigated as a wastewater treatment, rather than a PC recovery process [18, 46].

In a previous work [47], our research group tested the feasibility of recovering PCs from actual OMW by adsorption on a 0.5 m column. The nonionic XAD16 resin was selected on the basis of preliminary tests carried out with 4 resins: nonionic weakly polar acrylic ester Amberlite XAD7, nonionic nonpolar S-DV Amberlite XAD16, polar S-DV IE Amberlite IRA96 (polyamine functional group), and nonpolar hydroxylated polystyrene-divinylbenzene Isolute ENV+ [3, 48, 49]. The previous work [47] included PC isotherms, breakthrough tests followed by desorption with acidified ethanol, process simulation, and the design of a longer adsorption column (2–3 m) to be used for further investigations.

The main objectives of this work were the following.

(1) *Comparing the PC Separation Capacity of Two Ion Exchange Resins and a Neutral Resin (XAD16), by means of Isotherms and Breakthrough Tests Conducted with Actual OMW.* Indeed, although XAD16 previously proved to be an efficient and moderately selective sorbent [47], its relatively high cost could affect the economic feasibility of the PC recovery process. On the other hand, ion exchange resins are generally less expensive. For this purpose, a strong and a weak ion exchange resin (IRA958 Cl and IRA67) were selected.

(2) *Evaluating the PC Separation Capacity of Two Ion Exchange Resins and a Neutral Resin (XAD16) in the Absence and in the Presence of Significant Amounts of VFAs and at Different pH Values.* Indeed, PC recovery was investigated in the framework of a comprehensive process of OMW valorisation which may comprise several steps, including the conversion of the available COD into volatile fatty acids (VFAs) by anaerobic digestion. In turn, VFAs can be used as carbon source for a variety of purposes, including polyhydroxyalkanoates production [50–52]. In this perspective, PC adsorption can be carried out either before or after VFA production: in the first case, PCs will compete for adsorption with the other nonphenolic compounds present in the OMW, whereas in the second case PCs will compete mainly with VFAs. In

both cases, the competitive effect exerted on PC adsorption is strongly affected by pH. Indeed, for both VFAs and PCs, high pH values shift the equilibrium towards the ionized form and therefore favour adsorption on ion exchange resins, whereas low pH values enhance adsorption on nonionic resins. However, the pH threshold above which the ionized form prevails depends on the specific compounds considered: the pKa values of VFAs and of the carboxylic groups that some PCs contain are in the range 4.0–5.0, indicating that at pH values above 5–6 these compounds are mostly ionized; on the other hand, the phenolic groups have significantly higher pKa values (9.9 for phenol) and therefore get ionized at much higher pH values. Furthermore, pH impacts the distribution between the free and the protonated forms of the tertiary amine which functionalizes ion exchange resins such as IRA67. On the other hand, quaternary ammonium groups such as those of IRA958 Cl cannot be protonated. Thus, in this work, both conditions (with and without VFA addition) were tested at three pH values, from the natural pH of the fresh OMW (4.9) up to neutrality, in the perspective of a process in which no further pH correction is required before the final use of the treated water for either irrigation or further biotechnological transformations.

(3) *Assembling and Testing a 1.8 m Adsorption/Desorption Column and Comparing the Experimental Performances Obtained with XAD16 with Those Predicted on the Basis of the Results Previously Obtained in the 0.5 m Column.* Indeed, in our previous work [47], the model-based optimization of PC adsorption on XAD16, performed on the basis of the 0.5 m tests, showed that a column length in the 1.8–3 m range was expected to lead to high performances in terms of both PC adsorption yield and resin utilization efficiency.

The main novel elements of this study are (i) the development and modelling of a continuous flow adsorption/desorption process for OMW PC separation, (ii) the comparison of ion exchange and nonionic resins for OMW PC separation, and (iii) the evaluation of the competitive effect exerted by VFAs on PC adsorption.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. OMW, Resin, and Chemicals. The OMWs used in this study were produced by a 3-phase olive mill located near Imperia, in Northwest Italy. Different OMWs relative to three consecutive production years (2012–2014) were used. The main characteristics of the tested OMWs are reported in Table 1.

Amberlite XAD16 (DOW Chemical Europe GmbH, Horgen, Switzerland) is a nonionic styrene-divinylbenzene adsorption solid phase. Amberlite IRA958 Cl is a strongly basic anion exchange resin, with a quaternary ammonium functional group in a cross-linked acrylic polymer matrix releasing Cl^- in exchange for the dissociated polyphenols or acids. Amberlite IRA67 is a weakly basic anion exchange resin, with a tertiary amine functional group in a cross-linked acrylic gel structure. Both ion exchange resins are

TABLE 1: Main characteristics of the tested OMWs.

	Imperia 2012 OMW	Imperia 2013 OMW	Imperia 2014 OMW
Total phenolic compounds (g/L)	1.6	0.51	0.80
Total solids (g/L)	34	13	24
Suspended solids (g/L)	33	5	a
Dissolved solids (g/L)	1	8	a
COD (g/L)	32	21	32
Total carbohydrates (g/L)	5.4	23.0	16.2
Density (kg/L)	1.01	1.00	1.01
pH	4.6	4.6	4.9

^aParameter not available.

TABLE 2: Technical characteristics of the tested sorbents.

	XAD16	IRA958 Cl	IRA67
Polymeric matrix	Cross-linked styrene/divinylbenzene	Cross-linked acrylic	Cross-linked acrylic gel
Physical form	White translucent beads	White opaque beads	White translucent beads
Specific density (kg/L)	1.04	1.05–1.08	1.06
Adsorption capacity at saturation	370 mg/g _{dry resin} ^a	0.8 eq/L	>1.60 eq/L
Surface area (m ² /g)	800	b	b
Porosity (dry resin, L/L)	0.55	b	b
Average particle size (dry resin, mm)	0.63	0.63–0.85	0.50–0.75
Uniformity coefficient	2.0	1.8	<1.8
Fine content (mm)	<0.350: 2.0% max	<0.355: 1.0% max	<0.300: 3.0% max
Coarse content (mm)	>1.18: 2.0% max	>1.18: 5.0% max	b
Maximum reversible swelling	25%	b	<30%

^aReferring to medium molecular weight compounds.

^bParameter not provided by the resin supplier.

produced by Rohm and Haas Company, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA. The main characteristics of the three resins are described in Table 2.

XAD16 was activated as follows: (i) resin soaking with acidified ethanol (0.5% HCl 0.1N), (ii) overnight drying at 105°C, (iii) second resin soaking with acidified ethanol, and (iv) double washing with demineralized water [49]. Finally, the activation solvent was removed by syringe aspiration and a mass of activated and hydrated resin (28% w/w resin, 72% w/w water) was obtained. IRA958 Cl and IRA67 ion exchange resins did not need any activation.

The desorption-regeneration solvent, the HPLC mobile phase components, gallic acid, sodium carbonate, sodium chlorate, sulphuric acid, and the solvents for resin activation were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Milan, Italy). The COD Test Tubes were acquired from Aqualytic (Dortmund, Germany).

2.2. Analytical Methods. The total phenolic compounds content was determined by means of an HPLC method using a C18 Kinetex 2.6 μm 100 Å Phenomenex column, a Jasco 880 pump, and a Jasco 875-UV Intelligent UV/vis detector (Easton, Maryland) set at 264 nm. A two-mobile-phase gradient was applied: solvent A was HPLC water with 0.1% orthophosphoric acid and solvent B was acetonitrile. Gallic

acid was used as internal standard (50 mg/L). Total solid content was measured by drying the sample overnight at 105°C and weighing. Suspended solids were determined by filtration with a 0.45 μm ALBET cellulose nitrate membrane filter and weighing. Dissolved solids were calculated as the difference between total and suspended solids. COD was measured spectrophotometrically using the Aqualytic COD Vario Tubes (range: 0–1500 mg_{O₂}/L). OMW density was measured by means of a 100 mL ITI Tooling pycnometer. pH was measured with an EUTECH Instruments pH 2700 Series pH meter (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts). More details on the analytical procedures are reported in [47].

2.3. Adsorption Isotherms. The PC adsorption isotherms were studied in the 27–30°C temperature range, approximately corresponding to the operational temperature of the breakthrough experiments. Different amounts (0.1–2.0 g) of dry resin were introduced into 50 mL glass vials. Two vials were set up for each amount of resin. After adding 20 mL of OMW, the vials were placed in a rotatory shaker (140 rpm) for 2 h. The equilibrium concentration in the solid phase $C_{S,PC,eq}$ was then calculated as $C_{S,PC,eq} = (C_{L,PC,0} \cdot V_{L,added} - C_{L,PC,eq} \cdot V_{L,final})/m_S$, where m_S is the dry resin mass, $C_{L,PC,0}$ and $C_{L,PC,eq}$ are the initial and final PC concentrations in the liquid, and $V_{L,added}$ and $V_{L,final}$ are, respectively, the

OMW volume added to the solid and the final liquid volume resulting from the sum of the added OMW and the water initially contained in the activated resin. In the preliminary tests aimed at selecting one out of the two investigated ion exchange resins (Section 3.1), one single condition of the isotherm was tested, following the same procedure described above for the complete isotherm and mixing 10 g of dry resin with 20 mL of OMW. Each single-point test was characterized by means of the PC, COD, and VFA adsorption yield $Y_{ads,i}$, evaluated as $m_{i,sorbed}/m_{i,initial}$.

2.4. OMW Pretreatment. A 3-step OMW pretreatment was used to remove the suspended solids and avoid the adsorption bed fouling: (i) centrifugation of fresh OMW at 4000 rpm for 30 minutes, using a Thermo Fisher Scientific SCI16R centrifuge (Waltham, Massachusetts), (ii) in-line 25 μm microfiltration, and (iii) in-line 11 μm microfiltration (GE Healthcare Life Science Whatman filters). Filtration was performed at a 1 m/h superficial velocity. This procedure allowed the removal of 98.5% of the suspended solids. Only modest and reversible pressure drop increases (from 0.1 to 0.3 bar) were observed during the breakthrough tests. The PC loss with the separated solid resulted to be equal to 7–8%. More details on the OMW pretreatment are shown in [47].

2.5. Adsorption Column Packing. The semicontinuous adsorption/desorption tests were performed in an adsorber made of 4 0.5 m glass columns (inner diameter 0.0244 m) connected in series. After placing a 20–25 mm layer of quartz sand at the bottom, each column was filled with the chosen resin using the dynamic axial compression technique optimized and described in detail previously [47]. 150 mL of a diluted slurry (100 $\text{g}_{\text{dry, resin}}/\text{L}_{\text{DI water}}$) was sonicated for 5 minutes in order to remove the air trapped in the resin beads, poured on the sand layer, and left to natural settling for one hour. After recirculating deionized water downwards until the stable settling of the resin, 25 mL of a second more concentrated slurry (600 $\text{g}_{\text{dry, resin}}/\text{L}_{\text{DI water}}$) was sonicated and added in three steps. Further deionized water recirculation was applied and, finally, a further 20–25 mm quartz sand layer was placed at the top of the resin and the column was flushed downwards overnight with deionized water. Therefore, the actual resin bed length was 1.82 m.

2.6. Fluid-Dynamic Tests. For the best performing resins, frontal analysis experimental tests were carried out to evaluate the packing quality and the fluid-dynamic behavior of the adsorption bed. A 0.04 M NaCl solution was fed from the top of the column at a superficial velocity of 1.2 m/h. At the column outlet, the electrical conductivity was measured with an EUTECH Instruments 2700 series conductometer. The packing quality was evaluated by means of the method described in detail in [47], which allowed estimating (i) the number of theoretical plates N , (ii) the height equivalent to a theoretical plate (HETP), (iii) the HETP/ d_p ratio, where d_p indicates the average size of the packing particles, and (iv) the asymmetry factor A_s , defined as the ratio between the leading and tailing semiwidth of the peak at 10% of the peak height. For chromatography purposes, HETP/ d_p should be as low as possible

(<3) and A_s should be as close as possible to 1 ($0.8 < A_s < 1.8$) [53]. The same frontal analysis data were used to estimate the effective porosity (ϵ) and longitudinal dispersivity (α_L) of the resin packed bed. The former parameter was evaluated directly from the retention times distribution curve, whereas the latter was estimated by best fit of the experimental outlet concentrations with a 1D convection-dispersion model, assuming that no retardation is present due to adsorption phenomena. The experimental data were simulated with the time-dependent convection/diffusion module of the finite element PDE solver COMSOL Multiphysics 3.5a. The best-fitting value of $\alpha_{L, \text{resin}}$ and the 95% confidence interval were determined by applying the Gauss-Newton method, following the procedure illustrated by Englezos and Kalogerakis [54] and later adapted to convection-dispersion problems by Zama et al. [55]. More details are available in [47].

2.7. Adsorption Process: Breakthrough Tests and Simulations. Three adsorption breakthrough tests were performed at room temperature (24–30°C) in the 1.8 m column. The detailed operating conditions of each test are reported in Table 3. These tests were labelled XAD2 (1.8 m, 3.1 m/h; resin XAD16; Imperia 2013 OMW), IRA1 (1.8 m, 2.8 m/h; resin IRA958 Cl; Imperia 2014 OMW), and IRA2 (1.8 m, 1.3 m/h; resin IRA958 Cl; Imperia 2014 OMW). In each test label, the first number after the test ID indicates the column length, and the second refers to the superficial velocity. The superficial velocities, ranging from 1.3 m/h to 3.1 m/h, were selected on the basis of preliminary optimization performed in the previous work [47]. For comparison purposes, Table 3 includes also one of the tests previously performed with XAD16 in the 0.5 m column: this test, labelled bt2 in [47], was renamed XAD1 (0.5 m, 1.4 m/h) in this work for homogeneity.

The pretreated OMW was fed with a Masterflex L/S 0.1 HP 1–100 RPM peristaltic pump. Both pressure drop and temperature were measured hourly. The total PC and COD concentrations were measured in OMW samples taken every hour from the column exit and every 3 hours from the inlet. The average PC and COD levels at the inlet were used to normalize the corresponding outlet values. The experimental tests were continued up to 20 hydraulic residence times (calculated as $V_{\text{resin}} \cdot \epsilon_{\text{resin}}/Q + V_{\text{sand}} \cdot \epsilon_{\text{sand}}/Q$) corresponding to an outlet normalized PC concentration varying between 0.3 and 0.9. The adsorption performance was quantified by means of the following indexes, referred to a 0.2 dimensionless concentration used as breakpoint value: (i) adsorption yield ($Y_{ads,i}$), evaluated as $m_{i,adsorbed}/m_{i, \text{fed}}$; (ii) PC/COD adsorption selectivity, expressed as the corresponding adsorption yield ratios; (iii) resin utilization efficiency (η_{resin}), defined as (PC mass sorbed at the breakthrough point)/(total PC mass that could be sorbed if all the resin was saturated); (iv) PC/COD enrichment factor, defined as the ratio between the PC content in the total COD of the adsorbed matter ($\text{g}_{\text{PCs}}/\text{g}_{\text{COD}}$) and that in fresh OMW; (v) resin productivity, expressed as $\text{g}_{\text{PCs sorbed}}/\text{kg}_{\text{resin}}$. These indexes were calculated as described in [47].

For the best performing resin, the experimental normalized PC and COD breakthrough curves were interpreted by means of a 1D convection-dispersion model, in order to

TABLE 3: Experimental conditions, resin and packed bed properties, and adsorption/desorption performances relative to the breakthrough tests.

Parameter		Test ID			
		XAD1 (0.5 m, 1.4 m/h) ^a	XAD2 (1.8 m, 3.1 m/h)	IRA1 (1.8 m, 2.8 m/h)	IRA2 (1.8 m, 1.3 m/h)
Resin type		XAD16	XAD16	IRA958 Cl	IRA958 Cl
Resin type and properties	Resin bulk density (ρ_b , kg _{dry resin} /L)	0.87	0.87	0.65	0.65
	Resin porosity (ϵ_{resin} , —)	0.83	0.89	0.53	0.55
	Resin long. dispersivity ($\alpha_{L,resin}$, m)	0.004	0.029	b	b
Bed length (m)		0.5	1.8	1.8	1.8
Experimental conditions	v_{sup} (m/h)	1.4	3.1	2.8	1.3
	T (°C)	30	24	29	26
	Imperia OMW production year	2012	2013	2014	2014
PC adsorption yield ($Y_{ads,PC}$, —) ^c		88%	92%	87%	97%
COD adsorption yield ($Y_{ads,COD}$, —) ^c		75%	37%	95%	96%
Resin utilization efficiency (η_{resin} , —) ^c		12%	43%	9%	30%
Performances and best-fitting values of model parameters	PC desorption yield ($Y_{des,PC}$, —)	74%	75%	60%	51%
	PC/COD enrichment factor (—) ^c	1.2	2.5	0.9	1.1
	Productivity (g _{sorbed PC} /kg _{resin}) ^c	3.4	7.6	2.1	0.9
	$k_L a \cdot 10^3$ (1/s)	2.5 ± 0.2	3.1 ± 0.5	b	b
	$K_{eq,PC}$ (L _{pore volume} /kg _{dry resin})	85 ± 2	110 ± 10	b	b
	$K_{eq,COD}$ (L _{pore volume} /kg _{dry resin})	11 ± 2	19 ± 4	b	b

^aTest XAD1 corresponds to test bt2 in [47].

^bEstimates not available, as the simulations were performed only on the best performing resin, XAD16.

^cEvaluated at a 20% PC outlet normalized concentration.

evaluate the process performance and to set up the basis for model-based optimization and scale-up of the process. The model was developed, successfully tested, and applied in the previous work [47] on experimental data collected in a 0.5 m column. The simulation was conducted under the hypothesis of not negligible mass-transfer resistance. The normalized outlet PC and COD concentrations were interpreted with the mass balance equations relative to the liquid (see (1)) and solid (see (2)) phases as follows:

$$\frac{\partial C_{L,i}}{\partial t} = -v_{int} \cdot \frac{\partial C_{L,i}}{\partial z} + D_{eq} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 C_{L,i}}{\partial z^2} - k_L a \cdot \left(C_{L,i} - \frac{C_{S,i}}{K_{eq,i}} \right), \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\rho_b}{\epsilon} \cdot \frac{\partial C_{S,i}}{\partial t} = k_L a \cdot \left(C_{L,i} - \frac{C_{S,i}}{K_{eq,i}} \right), \quad (2)$$

where $C_{L,i}$ indicates the PC or COD liquid phase concentration, $C_{S,i}$ is the corresponding solid phase concentration (g_i/g_{dry resin}), $k_L a$ is the mass-transfer coefficient referred to liquid volume, ρ_b is the sand or resin bulk density (calculated as mass of dry sand or resin divided by the volume of the corresponding column portion), ϵ is the resin or sand porosity, $K_{eq,i}$ is the PC or COD equilibrium adsorption constant, and D_{eq} is the equivalent diffusion coefficient (calculated as $\alpha_L \cdot v_{int}$, with the longitudinal dispersivity α_L estimated from the fluid-dynamic tests).

In this model, internal and external mass-transfer phenomena are expressed by means of an overall volumetric coefficient [56]. On the basis of the results of the isotherm tests, a linear equilibrium model was utilized in the expression of the mass-transfer driving force. The same $k_L a$ value was assumed to be valid for PCs and COD. In these simulations, simple convection with dispersion was assumed for the inert sand added at the bottom and top of each sorbent column (no adsorption on the sand). $K_{eq,PC}$ and $k_L a$ were estimated by best-fit procedure on the experimental PC concentrations following the Gauss-Newton method, according to the procedure for its adaptation to convection-dispersion problems illustrated by Zama et al. [55]. Similarly, $K_{eq,COD}$ was estimated by best-fit procedure on the experimental COD concentrations. The quality of each best fit was evaluated by means of the correlation coefficient R^2 as defined in [57]. More details on the model and on the best-fit procedure are reported by Frascari et al. [47].

2.8. Desorption-Regeneration Tests. To desorb and recover PCs, at the end of each semicontinuous breakthrough adsorption test, acidified ethanol (0.5% v/v HCl 0.1N) was fed from the bottom of the column with a Masterflex L/S 0.1 HP -1-100 RPM pump. The solvent flow rate was initially equal to the OMW flow rate in the corresponding adsorption test. However, a gradual decrease in solvent flow rate was applied to maintain the total pressure at the column inlet <2 bar, as solvent viscosity increased due to the increase in PC dissolved concentration. Desorption was continued

TABLE 4: Single-point adsorption test: pH-averaged adsorption yields relative to the 3 tested resins, with standard deviations.

Resin	VFA content (g/L)	$Y_{ads,PC}$	$Y_{ads,COD}$	$Y_{ads,VFA}$
XAD16	1.3 (no VFA addition)	77% ± 3%	71% ± 10%	72% ± 1%
	10.3 (with VFA addition)	83% ± 7%	44% ± 14%	43% ± 19%
IRA958 Cl	1.3 (no VFA addition)	60% ± 5%	31% ± 7%	43% ± 3%
	10.3 (with VFA addition)	55% ± 10%	38% ± 4%	46% ± 7%
IRA67	1.3 (no VFA addition)	33% ± 12%	17% ± 5%	22% ± 2%
	10.3 (with VFA addition)	34% ± 23%	31% ± 11%	37% ± 23%

until the attainment of a PC concentration <5% of the average inlet concentration during the adsorption step. This criterion was fulfilled with a total desorption time equal to about 5 hydraulic residence times. Finally, the column was rinsed with demineralized water for at least 5 hydraulic residence times. The PC desorption yield $Y_{des,PC}$, defined as $m_{PC,desorbed}/m_{PC,sorbed}$, was determined on the basis of the PC content of the ethanol solution and of the rinsing water solution. To regenerate the solvent and recover the desorbed compounds, the desorbed ethanolic extract was fed to a low-pressure distillation process in a rotatory evaporator (LABOROTA 4002 Heidolph, Schwabach, Germany). Further details on the desorption tests are reported in [47]. The above-described desorption procedure, developed and successfully tested for XAD16 in the previous work [47], was tentatively applied to IRA958 Cl as well.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Comparison of the Performances of Nonionic and Ion Exchange Resins by means of Batch Tests. A first set of equilibrium tests was carried out to compare the PC adsorption performances of the selected sorbents (IRA67, IRA958 Cl, and XAD16) and in particular to choose the best performing ion exchange resin between IRA67 and IRA958 Cl. As described in Section 2.3 for the single-point isotherm tests, 20 mL of OMW was mixed with 10 g of dry resin. In the complete isotherm previously performed with XAD16 [47], this condition yields a low concentration point, corresponding to a dimensionless PC concentration of about 0.1. In actual industrial processes, this represents the typical threshold at the column outlet used to stop the adsorption phase (breakpoint).

The fresh Imperia 2014 OMW contains 1.3 g/L of VFAs (acetic acid 0.60 g/L, propionic acid 0.02 g/L, butyric acid 0.63 g/L, and valeric acid 0.03 g/L). On the other hand, VFA concentration can be much larger if the OMW is pretreated in an acidogenic anaerobic digestion process aimed at turning the nonphenolic COD into VFAs. In order to study the possible interference of VFAs on PC adsorption, the tests at different pH values (4.9, 6, and 7.2) were repeated after the addition of a VFA mixture whose composition mimicked that of a typical effluent of a process of OMW acidogenic anaerobic digestion [58]: acetic acid 4.26 g/L, propionic acid 1.61 g/L, butyric acid 3.42 g/L, valeric acid 0.97 g/L, and total VFAs 10.3 g/L.

The pH-averaged adsorption yields obtained with and without VFA addition are shown in Table 4. These average values can be used to compare the performance of the tested

sorbents also in terms of PC/COD and PC/VFA selectivity and to discuss the influence of VFAs on PC adsorption.

In all the experimental conditions, XAD16 performed better than both tested ion exchange resins, with average PCs adsorption yields between 77% and 83%, whereas IRA958 Cl, the best of the two ion exchange resins, ranged between 55% and 60%. Even if XAD16 confirmed its high PC affinity, the average XAD16 yields for PCs, COD, and VFAs were very similar (77%, 71%, and 72%, resp.), indicating seemingly poor PC/COD selectivity. The low selectivity of XAD16 in these tests is in apparent contradiction with the results of our previous work [47]. A tentative explanation of this incongruence will be discussed in Section 3.2 on the basis of the isotherm data. On the other hand, both ion exchange resins seem to possess a lower PC capacity than XAD16 but higher PC selectivity: for example, IRA958 Cl adsorbs 60% of PCs with no VFA addition but only 31% of COD and 43% of VFAs.

VFAs did not significantly hinder PC adsorption. Indeed, for all the tested resins, the PC yields obtained with and without VFA addition were equivalent. On the other hand, VFA addition determined a marked increase in the PC selectivity of XAD16 (both PC/COD and PC/VFAs yield ratios increased from 1.1 to 1.9), as a result of the decreases in COD and VFA yields. In fact, notwithstanding a 30% increase of COD due to VFA addition, the amount of COD adsorbed on XAD16 in the test with VFA addition is very close to that without addition (450 mg without addition, 370 mg with addition). On the contrary, a 10-fold increase of the initial VFA concentration (from 1.3 g/L to 10.3 g/L) led to a 5-fold increase of the adsorbed VFAs (from 19 mg to 88 mg). These data suggest that, in XAD16, COD is close to the saturation zone of a theoretical Langmuir type isotherm both in the raw OMW and in the samples with VFA addition, whereas VFAs reach saturation only after the increase in their concentration. Notwithstanding these marked changes in concentration of possible competitors, PCs are sorbed on XAD16 with similar yields, suggesting that PC and VFA adsorption occur without competition.

As for the effect of pH, the PC, COD, and VFA adsorption yields obtained with and without VFA addition at each tested pH (4.9, 6.0, and 7.2) are reported in Figure S1 in the Supplementary Material available online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2016/9349627>. pH seems to have a little effect on the adsorption performance in the tested range. In particular, no significant influence was seen on XAD16, both in the absence and in the presence of high VFA concentrations. This behavior is somehow expected as XAD16 is a nonionic resin and PCs are predominantly protonated at acidic pH.

On the contrary, opposite effects of pH were observed on the ion exchange resins. In the case of IRA 958 Cl (quaternary ammonium functionalized), a moderate increase of the PC yield was achieved by increasing pH from 4.9 to 7.2, both in the fresh OMW (from 54% to 64%) and in the samples with VFAs addition (from 44% to 64%). This result may be ascribed to the increase of the ionized PC fraction with increasing pH. On the other hand, the pH increase determined decay in the PC yields obtained with IRA67 (tertiary amine functionalized): from 47% to 23% with the fresh OMW and from 60% to 22% in the presence of VFA addition. This negative influence can be tentatively explained taking into account the protonation equilibrium of the tertiary amine: at relatively low pH, the prevailing protonated form allows ion exchange to effectively adsorb PCs, whereas at neutral pH the nonionic form of the amine is likely to dominate, and adsorption occurs by simple interaction with the polymeric matrix. However, acidic conditions significantly reduce the PC ionic form that can be retained by an ion exchange mechanism.

In conclusion, the performances of both tested IRA ion exchange resins are lower than those of XAD16 in terms of PC adsorption capacity, even if higher in selectivity for PCs in the specific tested condition. In particular, the lower affinity of the IRA ion exchange resins for VFAs may result to be interesting in case of a process of PC adsorption after conversion of the nonphenolic COD into VFAs by means of acidogenic anaerobic digestion. On the basis of these results, IRA958 Cl, the best performing of the two ion exchange resins, was selected for a further investigation of its performances, consisting in a complete isotherm curve and in breakthrough tests in the 1.8 m adsorbing bed.

3.2. Polyphenol Adsorption Isotherms. The PC isotherms relative to IRA958 Cl ($T = 30^{\circ}\text{C}$) and XAD16 ($T = 27^{\circ}\text{C}$) are shown in Figure 1 in terms of solid and liquid phase equilibrium concentrations and interpolation curves. In the case of XAD16, the experimental equilibrium points can be divided into two zones: first a low concentration zone (up to 150 mg/L in the liquid) with an unfavourable behavior and second a medium-high concentration zone where a linear trend is observed. The latter could be interpreted as the initial linear part of a Langmuir isotherm. This hypothesis is supported by the observation that the theoretical XAD16 capacity ($370 \text{ mg/g}_{\text{dry resin}}$) is about 6 times higher than the maximum sorbed concentration measured in this work. This peculiar behavior of XAD16, observed also at different temperatures [47] and with different OMWs (data not published), can be tentatively ascribed to the competitive adsorption of the single PCs and of other COD components. Overall, a linear interpolation of the XAD16 isotherm resulted in a high R^2 (0.94). Therefore, a linear adsorption model was successfully used in the simulation of the XAD16 breakthrough tests, as illustrated in Section 3.4. On the other hand, the IRA958 Cl experimental points show an unfavourable behavior throughout the entire PC concentration range. The IRA958 Cl isotherm was interpolated by means of a power-law curve (Freundlich type isotherm) with an exponent higher than 1 ($R^2 = 0.88$).




FIGURE 1: Isotherm tests conducted with resins XAD16 and IRA958 Cl: experimental data and best-fitting interpolations.

It should be noted that, for both XAD16 and IRA958 Cl, the single-point equilibrium experiments, object of Section 3.1, are at the very beginning of the isotherms, in the unfavourable behavior zone (resp., 72 and 350 mg/L of PC liquid concentration, 1.2 and 0.82 mg/g_{dry resin} of sorbed concentration). This evidence explains the low selectivity observed in the single-point experiment with XAD16, as the PC sorbed amount is five times lower than the amount that would be expected if the linear trend observed at higher liquid concentration was applicable also in this low concentration zone.

In conclusion, regardless of the different isotherm type and the slight difference in temperature, the comparison of the experimental data clearly shows that the IRA958 Cl PC adsorption performance is significantly worse than that of XAD16, at least at the PC concentrations typical of the tested OMWs. The comparison between IRA958 Cl and XAD16 was completed by means of fluid-dynamic and breakthrough tests conducted in the 1.8 m adsorption column, in order to assess the PC/COD selectivity in a continuous process.

3.3. Fluid-Dynamic Characterization. Before each group of PC breakthrough tests illustrated in Table 3, the 4 columns of the adsorption bed were packed with fresh resin (XAD16 or IRA958 Cl) and a fluid-dynamic test with NaCl as tracer was performed in order to evaluate the packing quality, the resin porosity, and, only for XAD16, the longitudinal dispersivity. Some representative cases of the experimental dimensionless NaCl concentrations at the column exit are shown in Figure 2. The results of the packing quality evaluation are reported in Table 5, whereas the estimated porosities and longitudinal dispersivities are reported in Table 3.

With regard to XAD16, the fluid-dynamic test performed before breakthrough test XAD2 (1.8 m, 3.1 m/h) resulted in

TABLE 5: Packing quality assessment on the basis of the fluid-dynamic tests.

Test ID ^a	N^b (—)	HETP ^c	HETP/ d_p^d (—)	A_s^e (—)
Before XAD2 (1.8 m, 3.1 m/h)	120	0.017	27	1.3
After XAD2 (1.8 m, 3.1 m/h)	57	0.037	58	1.0
Before IRA1 (1.8 m, 2.8 m/h)	46	0.045	61	1.3
After IRA2 (1.8 m, 1.3 m/h)	29	0.073	99	1.8

^aThe fluid-dynamic tests were performed at a 1.2 m/h superficial velocity. The velocities reported in the test ID refer to the PC breakthrough test performed before or after each fluid-dynamic test.

^bNumber of theoretical plates in the column packing (—).

^cHeight equivalent to a theoretical plate (m).

^dHETP/average size of the packing particles (—).

^eAsymmetry factor (—).




FIGURE 2: Fluid-dynamic tests conducted in the 1.8 m column: experimental points and, only for resin XAD16, best-fitting simulation.

a high packing quality (very high N , low HETP/ d_p , and asymmetry factor very close to 1). The axial dispersion model with no adsorption and mass transfer (see (1) with $k_L a = 0$) fits the data very well ($R^2 = 0.98$; continuous line in Figure 2). The quite low longitudinal dispersivity estimated ($\alpha_L = 29$ mm) confirms the high packing quality. As shown in Table 5, the fluid-dynamic test performed on the same adsorption bed after one adsorption/desorption cycle resulted on the one hand in a doubling of HETP/ d_p but on the other hand in a more symmetrical curve. The corresponding curve is not shown in Figure 2 as it is closely overlapped to that measured before test XAD2. This result indicates that the adsorption/desorption cycle has a small but not negligible impact on the packing quality. Further tests are in progress in order to evaluate this aspect in the long term, in the perspective to develop a process characterized by a high number of cycles performed with the same resin.

As a representative case of IRA958 Cl tests, the tracer curves obtained before the IRA breakthrough tests and after two adsorption/desorption cycles (i.e., after test IRA2) are shown in Figure 2. As shown in Table 5, the operation of 2 consecutive adsorption/desorption cycles with IRA958 Cl led to a 60% increase of reduced plate height and a 40% increase of asymmetry factor. This finding confirms that further research is needed to evaluate the impact of repeated

adsorption cycles on the packing quality and stability. Interestingly, despite the relevant changes in packing quality between the two abovementioned IRA tests, the experimental trends of the outlet tracer concentration appear to be almost overlapped (Figure 2). This observation enlightens the high sensitivity of the proposed packing quality assessment tool.

3.4. Phenolic Compounds and COD Breakthrough Tests. In our previous work [47], the XAD16 breakthrough tests conducted in a 0.5 m column at superficial velocities of 0.48 and 1.4 m/h resulted in an early breakpoint, in a consequent poor resin utilization efficiency (12%–17%), and in the model-based identification of the optimal bed length (between 2 and 4 m). The PC breakthrough curve and the main process performances obtained in the previous work [47] in the 1.4 m/h test, relabelled XAD1 (0.5 m, 1.4 m/h) in this work, are reported in Figure 3(a) and in Table 3 for comparison purposes.

In the present work, the breakthrough test performed with XAD16 at 3.1 m/h in the 1.8 m plant (XAD2, Table 3) was characterized by an increase of both resin bed length (from 0.5 to 1.8 m) and superficial velocity (from 1.4 to 3.1 m/h), with a resulting increase in hydraulic residence time (from 0.35 to 0.60 h). The 3.1 m/h superficial velocity was chosen as a compromise to decrease the mass-transfer resistance without decreasing the residence time, a key factor in the determination of the resin utilization efficiency. The main objective of test XAD2 was to compare the performances with those achieved in the 0.5 m column (XAD1, 1.4 m/h). The normalized PC and COD concentrations obtained at the column outlet in XAD2 (1.8 m, 3.1 m/h) are plotted in Figure 3(a) versus dimensionless time, defined as (actual breakthrough time)/(hydraulic residence time).

The simulations of the breakthrough curves were conducted using the axial dispersion model under the hypothesis of not negligible mass-transfer resistance and linear isotherm for both PCs and COD (see (1) and (2)). This approach led both groups of compounds to satisfactory simulations, with $R^2 = 0.95$ for PCs and 0.94 for COD. The best-fitting estimates of $K_{eq,PC}$, $K_{eq,COD}$, and $k_L a$ are reported in Table 3. The Gauss-Newton method utilized to estimate these parameters converged after 6-7 iterations and provided acceptably small 95% confidence intervals. $k_L a$ of XAD2 (1.8 m, 3.1 m/h) resulted to be 25% higher than that of XAD1




FIGURE 3: (a) PC and COD breakthrough tests conducted with resin XAD16 in the 0.5 m column (XAD1, 1.4 m/h) and in the 1.8 m column (XAD2, 3.1 m/h); the continuous lines indicate the best-fitting simulations performed with (1) and (2); (b) PC and COD breakthrough tests conducted with resin IRA958 Cl in the 1.8 m column (IRA1, 2.8 m/h; IRA2, 1.3 m/h); no simulations were performed for the IRA tests.

(0.5 m, 1.4 m/h) as a result of the 2-fold increase in velocity. A 30% increase in $K_{eq,PC}$ was observed, probably due to the lower temperature (24 °C for XAD2 versus 30 °C for XAD1). On the other hand, the $K_{eq,COD}/K_{eq,PC}$ ratio of XAD2 (0.17 ± 0.04) was analogous to that obtained in XAD1 (0.13 ± 0.02), confirming the high selectivity of XAD16 for PCs evaluated previously [47]. These results show that the apparently low PC/COD selectivity obtained for XAD16 in the single-point batch tests (Section 3.1) was due to the fact that the use of a high resin/OMW ratio led to an equilibrium point falling in the initial portion of the XAD16 isotherm (Figure 1), characterized by an unfavourable behavior.

The PC and COD simulated curves of test XAD2 (1.8 m, 3.1 m/h), shown in Figure 3(a), were used to estimate the corresponding adsorption yields ($Y_{ads,PC}$ and $Y_{ads,COD}$) and the resin utilization efficiency (η_{resin}) at the selected 20% breakpoint (Table 3). As expected, the deeper adsorption bed shifted the experimental curve towards higher dimensionless times, with a considerable enhancement of performance in terms of resin utilization efficiency (+260%, in comparison with XAD1), a key factor for the economic feasibility of the process. The performances of test XAD2 (1.8 m, 3.1 m/h) were compared to those expected for a 1.8 m column filled with XAD16 on the basis of the predictions made in our previous work [47]. While the PC adsorption yields are very close (92% versus 95%), the actual resin efficiency (43%) resulted to be lower than the predicted one (58%). This deviation can be explained by considering that the higher velocity of test XAD2 (3.1 m/h) in comparison with that used for the model predictions (1.4 m/h) determines a lower residence time and therefore a lower η_{resin} . An optimization of the superficial velocity is in progress.

A second breakthrough test, labelled IRA1 (1.8 m, 2.8 m/h), was carried out after packing the column with IRA958 Cl resin. The operating conditions were similar to those of test XAD2 (1.8 m, 3.1 m/h). The PC and COD experimental data are shown in Figure 3(b). The outlet

PC concentration increased steeply, reaching high levels in less than 5 residence times. The corresponding COD breakthrough curve had a similar trend and resulted to be much closer to the PC curve than in the case of the XAD16 test (XAD2). These data suggest that IRA958 Cl has very poor PC/COD selectivity. This conclusion is confirmed by the fact that, in test IRA1, the COD yield resulted to be higher than the PC yield (Table 3). Furthermore, the resin utilization efficiency was definitely too low (9%).

As the performance of IRA958 Cl was far below the expected, a third breakthrough test (IRA2, 1.8 m, 1.3 m/h) was characterized by halving of the surface velocity. This change doubles the residence time, with an expected positive influence on the resin utilization efficiency. On the other hand, the reduction in surface velocity could negatively affect the mass-transfer coefficient and therefore the overall performance. As shown in Figure 3(b) and Table 3, in IRA2 (1.8 m, 1.3 m/h), the PC outlet concentration increased more steeply than in IRA1 (1.8 m, 2.8 m/h) and rapidly reached the saturation condition. The PC/COD selectivity resulted again to be very poor. Despite an increase in resin efficiency, this parameter still resulted to be 30% lower than the value obtained with XAD16. Overall, test IRA2 (1.8 m, 1.3 m/h) confirmed the unsatisfactory PC adsorption capacity of resin IRA958 Cl.

3.5. Desorption-Regeneration Tests, Extract Purity, and Resin Productivity. After every breakthrough test, the adsorbed matter was desorbed from the resin by feeding and recovering acidified ethanol (0.5% v/v HCl 0.1 N). Then, the column was regenerated by demineralized water rinsing. The PC desorption yield, calculated on the basis of the analysis of the ethanol and water extracts, resulted to be equal to about 75% in both XAD16 tests (short and long column; Table 3). In the two IRA958 Cl tests, the desorption yields were lower (50–60%) and 1/3–1/2 of the desorbed PCs were found in the rinsing water. These results indicate that the desorption procedure developed for XAD16 is not suitable for IRA958 Cl, even if

other authors used alcoholic solutions to desorb PCs from ion exchange resins [32, 59]. However, due to the unsatisfactory adsorption results obtained with IRA958 Cl, a desorption protocol specifically adapted to this resin was not developed.

The PC and COD amounts adsorbed in the XAD and IRA tests were calculated and compared to the corresponding values in fresh OMW. This allowed the evaluation of a PC/COD enrichment factor, which assesses the effectiveness of the adsorption process in concentrating PCs in the organic matter produced (Table 3). In the XAD16 tests, the use of the 1.8 m bed (test XAD2) proved necessary in order to attain an acceptable enrichment factor (2.5). On the other hand, the lack of selectivity of IRA958 Cl did not yield any significant increase in relative PC/COD concentration in either IRA test. Working with XAD4 neutral adsorption resin, Zagklis et al. [18] found enrichment factors similar to those attained in test XAD2 (1.8 m, 3.1 m/h). They succeeded in partially circumventing the problem of coadsorption by applying two-step desorption: the first water washing eluted half of the adsorbed carbohydrates and only 14% of the PCs, whereas a rich PC fraction was recovered with ethanol.

A preliminary characterization of the PC/COD extract obtained from Imperia OMW with resin XAD16 indicated that (i) carbohydrate concentration in the OMW was reduced from 23 to 7 g/L, whereas proteins were completely adsorbed; (ii) in the desorbed product, the nonphenolic COD was composed of carbohydrates (84%) and proteins (16%); (iii) preliminary evaluations of the antioxidant power of an extract characterized by a 0.08–0.10 PC mass fraction resulted in a quite high antioxidant capacity (20–25 mM ascorbic acid equivalent) [47].

The possible need and design of a further purification step to be applied to the desorbed product depend on the specifications requested. Different purification technologies can be applied to separate the nonphenolic COD and thus to obtain a PC-rich product. Several membrane technologies can be applied to concentrate PCs, to separate them from proteins, and to separate high molecular weight PCs from low molecular weight ones [60–63]. Specific high-value PCs such as tyrosol and hydroxytyrosol can also be recovered by means of molecular fingerprinting adsorption processes, characterized by the use of polymers with highly selective sorption sites [11, 64, 65].

Finally, in the perspective of an economic feasibility assessment, an important parameter is the resin productivity, expressed as grams of PCs adsorbed in each adsorption/desorption cycle per kg of dry resin. This parameter allows taking into account the significant difference in bulk density between XAD16 and IRA958 Cl (0.87 versus 0.65 kg/m³). As shown in Table 3, the XAD16 productivity doubled with the adoption of the long column. As for IRA958 Cl, in test IRA1 (1.8 m, 2.8 m/h), this parameter was 70% lower than in XAD2 (1.8 m, 3.1 m/h), despite the similar operating conditions. Comparing IRA2 (1.8 m, 1.3 m/h) with IRA1 (1.8 m, 2.8 m/h), the halving of the superficial velocity led on the one hand to a further 60% decrease in productivity but on the other hand to a 3-fold increase in resin utilization efficiency.

4. Conclusions

In relation to the three goals stated in the Introduction, the following conclusions can be drawn.

(1) Both tested ion exchange resins (IRA67 and IRA958 Cl) performed worse than nonionic XAD16 in terms of both PC/COD selectivity and resin utilization efficiency.

(2) The addition to the OMW of a relevant amount of VFAs did not hinder PC adsorption on either resin, suggesting a noncompetitive adsorption mechanism. The pH increase from the natural value of the tested OMW (4.9) to neutrality did not impact PC adsorption on XAD16, favoured sorption on IRA958 Cl (quaternary ammonium functional group), and had a negative effect on IRA67 (tertiary amine functionalized).

(3) In the XAD16 breakthrough test, the increase in column length from 0.5 m to 1.8 m led to a marked improvement of the process performances in terms of resin utilization efficiency, resin productivity, PC adsorption yield, and PC/COD enrichment factor. The process model, based on the assumption of nonequilibrium adsorption and characterized by overall mass-transfer resistance, proved capable of accurately interpreting the experimental curves in both the 0.5 m and 1.8 m columns.

Overall, the continuous flow PC adsorption/desorption process proved to be a promising one for OMW valorisation. Further research is required to evaluate the number of adsorption/desorption cycles that can be operated with the same resin load, to optimize PC desorption, and to perform economic optimization of the process.

Abbreviations

OMW: Olive mill wastewater
PC: Phenolic compound
VFA: Volatile fatty acid.

Nomenclature

A_S : Asymmetry factor, defined as ratio between the leading and tailing semiwidth of the peak at 10% of the peak height (—)
 $C_{L,i}$: Liquid phase concentration of compound i (mg/L)
 $C_{L,PC,0}, C_{L,PC,eq}$: Initial and final (equilibrium) PC concentration in the liquid phase (OMW) during the isotherm tests (mg_{PC}/L)
 $C_{S,i}$: Solid phase (resin) concentration of compound i (mg/g_{dry resin})
 $C_{S,PC,eq}$: Final (equilibrium) PC concentration in the solid phase (resin) during the isotherm tests
(= $(C_{L,PC,0} \cdot V_{L,added} - C_{L,PC,eq} \cdot V_{L,final})/m_S$) (mg_{PC}/g_{dry resin})
 D_{eq} : Equivalent diffusion coefficient (= $\alpha_L \cdot v_{int}$) (m²/s)
 d_p : Average size of the packing particles (m)
HETP: Height equivalent to a theoretical plate, in the packed column (m)

HETP/ d_p :	Reduced height equivalent to a theoretical plate, in the packed column (—)
$K_{eq,i}$:	Adsorption constant of compound i , defined as the slope of the linear portion of the isotherm ($= C_{S,i,eq}/C_{L,i,eq}$) (L_{pore} volume/ kg_{dry} resin)
$k_L a$:	Mass-transfer coefficient referred to liquid volume (1/s)
$m_{i, fed}$:	Mass of compound i fed to the column until the breakpoint (mg)
$m_{i, sorbed, 20\%}$:	Mass of compound i adsorbed by the resin at the breakpoint (mg)
m_S :	Mass of dry resin in the isotherm studies (g_{dry} resin)
N :	Number of theoretical plates in the column packing (—)
Q :	Volumetric flow rate through the column (m^3/s)
V_i :	Adsorption column volume occupied by component i (resin or sand) (m^3)
$V_{L, added}, V_{L, final}$:	OMW volume added to the solid and final liquid volume resulting from the sum of the added OMWs and of the water initially contained in the activated resin in the isotherm tests (L)
v_{int} :	Interstitial velocity (m/s)
v_{sup} :	Superficial velocity, calculated as (Q /column section) and equal to $v_{int} \cdot \epsilon$ (m/s)
$Y_{ads,i}$:	Adsorption yield of compound i , calculated as $m_{i, sorbed}/m_{i, initial}$ in the batch tests and as $m_{i, sorbed, 20\%}/m_{i, fed, 20\%}$ in the breakthrough tests (—)
$Y_{des,i}$:	Desorption yield of compound i in a breakthrough test, defined as $m_{PC, desorbed}/m_{PC, sorbed}$ (—)
α_L :	Longitudinal dispersivity (m)
ϵ_i :	Effective porosity of component i (resin or sand) (—)
η_{resin} :	Resin utilization efficiency ($= m_{PC, sorbed, 20\%}/m_{PC, sorbed, sat}$) (—)
ρ_b :	Resin bulk density (kg/L).

Data Access

The dataset underlying this paper has been published in the AMS Acta Institutional Research Repository (<https://amsacta.unibo.it/>) [66].

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

Project cofunding by the European Commission under Grant Agreements nos. 311933 (Water4Crops project, 7th FP) and



688320 (MADFORWATER project, H2020) is acknowledged. The authors wish to thank Lucio Donati and Alberto Filetti for their support in the experimental work. Ankita Kaushik acknowledges the Department of Biotechnology, Ministry of Science and Technology, Government of India, for supporting the exchange visit under project Grant BT/IN/EU/06SPW/2012.

References

- [1] S. Dermeche, M. Nadour, C. Larroche, F. Moulti-Mati, and P. Michaud, "Olive mill wastes: biochemical characterizations and valorization strategies," *Process Biochemistry*, vol. 48, no. 10, pp. 1532–1552, 2013.
- [2] L. C. Davies, A. M. Vilhena, J. M. Novais, and S. Martins-Dias, "Olive mill wastewater characteristics: modelling and statistical analysis," *Grasas y Aceites*, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 233–241, 2004.
- [3] L. Bertin, F. Ferri, A. Scoma, L. Marchetti, and F. Fava, "Recovery of high added value natural polyphenols from actual olive mill wastewater through solid phase extraction," *Chemical Engineering Journal*, vol. 171, no. 3, pp. 1287–1293, 2011.
- [4] T. Yangui, S. Sayadi, A. Gargoubi, and A. Dhouib, "Fungicidal effect of hydroxytyrosol-rich preparations from olive mill wastewater against *Verticillium dahliae*," *Crop Protection*, vol. 29, no. 10, pp. 1208–1213, 2010.
- [5] N. Rahmanian, S. M. Jafari, and C. M. Galanakis, "Recovery and removal of phenolic compounds from olive mill wastewater," *Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society*, vol. 91, no. 1, pp. 1–18, 2014.
- [6] G. Pekin, S. Haskök, S. Sargin et al., "Anaerobic digestion of Aegean olive mill effluents with and without pretreatment," *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, vol. 85, pp. 976–982, 2010.
- [7] K. L. Tuck and P. J. Hayball, "Major phenolic compounds in olive oil: metabolism and health effects," *Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry*, vol. 13, no. 11, pp. 636–644, 2002.
- [8] A. El-Abbassi, H. Kiai, and A. Hafidi, "Phenolic profile and antioxidant activities of olive mill wastewater," *Food Chemistry*, vol. 132, no. 1, pp. 406–412, 2012.
- [9] N. Kalogerakis, M. Politi, S. Foteinis, E. Chatzisyseon, and D. Mantzavinos, "Recovery of antioxidants from olive mill wastewaters: a viable solution that promotes their overall sustainable management," *Journal of Environmental Management*, vol. 128, pp. 749–758, 2013.
- [10] C. Fortes, J. A. García-Vilas, A. R. Quesada, and M. Á. Medina, "Evaluation of the anti-angiogenic potential of hydroxytyrosol and tyrosol, two bio-active phenolic compounds of extra virgin olive oil, in endothelial cell cultures," *Food Chemistry*, vol. 134, no. 1, pp. 134–140, 2012.
- [11] F. Puoci, A. Scoma, G. Cirillo, L. Bertin, F. Fava, and N. Picci, "Selective extraction and purification of gallic acid from actual site olive mill wastewaters by means of molecularly imprinted microparticles," *Chemical Engineering Journal*, vol. 198–199, pp. 529–535, 2012.
- [12] P. S. Rodis, V. T. Karathanos, and A. Mantzavinou, "Partitioning of olive oil antioxidants between oil and water phases," *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 596–601, 2002.
- [13] T.-I. Lafka, A. E. Lazou, V. J. Sinanoglou, and E. S. Lazos, "Phenolic and antioxidant potential of olive oil mill wastes," *Food Chemistry*, vol. 125, no. 1, pp. 92–98, 2011.

- [14] A. De Leonardis, V. Macciola, G. Lembo, A. Aretini, and A. Nag, "Studies on oxidative stabilisation of lard by natural antioxidants recovered from olive-oil mill wastewater," *Food Chemistry*, vol. 100, no. 3, pp. 998–1004, 2007.
- [15] C. M. Galanakis, E. Tornberg, and V. Gekas, "Recovery and preservation of phenols from olive waste in ethanolic extracts," *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, vol. 85, no. 8, pp. 1148–1155, 2010.
- [16] T. J. Klen and B. M. Vodopivec, "Ultrasonic extraction of phenols from olive mill wastewater: comparison with conventional methods," *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, vol. 59, no. 24, pp. 12725–12731, 2011.
- [17] A. El-Abbassi, H. Kiai, J. Raiti, and A. Hafidi, "Application of ultrafiltration for olive processing wastewaters treatment," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 65, pp. 432–438, 2014.
- [18] D. P. Zagklis, A. I. Vavouraki, M. E. Kornaros, and C. A. Paraskeva, "Purification of olive mill wastewater phenols through membrane filtration and resin adsorption/desorption," *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, vol. 285, pp. 69–76, 2015.
- [19] E. Garcia-Castello, A. Cassano, A. Criscuoli, C. Conidi, and E. Drioli, "Recovery and concentration of polyphenols from olive mill wastewaters by integrated membrane system," *Water Research*, vol. 44, no. 13, pp. 3883–3892, 2010.
- [20] C. Conidi, R. Mazzei, A. Cassano, and L. Giorno, "Integrated membrane system for the production of phytotherapies from olive mill wastewaters," *Journal of Membrane Science*, vol. 454, pp. 322–329, 2014.
- [21] A. El-Abbassi, H. Kiai, J. Raiti, and A. Hafidi, "Cloud point extraction of phenolic compounds from pretreated olive mill wastewater," *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 1480–1486, 2014.
- [22] O. Gortzi, S. Lalas, A. Chatzilazarou, E. Katsoyannos, S. Papaconstantinou, and E. Dourtsoglou, "Recovery of natural antioxidants from olive mill wastewater using Genapol-X080," *Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society*, vol. 85, no. 2, pp. 133–140, 2008.
- [23] C. M. Galanakis, "Emerging technologies for the production of nutraceuticals from agricultural by-products: a viewpoint of opportunities and challenges," *Food and Bioprocess Technology*, vol. 91, no. 4, pp. 575–579, 2013.
- [24] M. L. Soto, A. Moure, H. Domínguez, and J. C. Parajó, "Recovery, concentration and purification of phenolic compounds by adsorption: a review," *Journal of Food Engineering*, vol. 105, no. 1, pp. 1–27, 2011.
- [25] J. Kammerer, R. Carle, and D. R. Kammerer, "Adsorption and ion exchange: basic principles and their application in food processing," *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, vol. 59, no. 1, pp. 22–42, 2011.
- [26] L. Bertin, D. Frascari, H. Domínguez, E. Falqué, F. A. Riera Rodríguez, and S. Alvarez Blanco, "Conventional purification and isolation," in *Food Waste Recovery: Processing Technologies and Techniques*, C. M. Galanakis, Ed., pp. 149–172, Elsevier/Academic Press, London, UK, 2015.
- [27] M. Caetano, C. Valderrama, A. Farran, and J. L. Cortina, "Phenol removal from aqueous solution by adsorption and ion exchange mechanisms onto polymeric resins," *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, vol. 338, no. 2, pp. 402–409, 2009.
- [28] L. Zhu, Y. Deng, J. Zhang, and J. Chen, "Adsorption of phenol from water by *N*-butylimidazolium functionalized strongly basic anion exchange resin," *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, vol. 364, no. 2, pp. 462–468, 2011.
- [29] Y. Ku and K.-C. Lee, "Removal of phenols from aqueous solution by XAD-4 resin," *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, vol. 80, no. 1–3, pp. 59–68, 2000.
- [30] Y. Ku, K.-C. Lee, and W. Wang, "Removal of phenols from aqueous solutions by purolite A-510 Resin," *Separation Science and Technology*, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 911–923, 2004.
- [31] M. Carmona, A. D. Lucas, J. L. Valverde, B. Velasco, and J. F. Rodríguez, "Combined adsorption and ion exchange equilibrium of phenol on Amberlite IRA-420," *Chemical Engineering Journal*, vol. 117, no. 2, pp. 155–160, 2006.
- [32] C. H. Geerkens, A. E. Matejka, R. M. Schweiggert, D. R. Kammerer, and R. Carle, "Optimization of polyphenol recovery from mango peel extracts by assessing food-grade adsorbent and ion exchange resins and adsorption parameters using a D-optimal design," *European Food Research and Technology*, vol. 241, no. 5, pp. 627–636, 2015.
- [33] J. G. Streeter, "Simple partial purification of D-pinitol from soybean leaves," *Crop Science*, vol. 41, no. 6, pp. 1985–1987, 2001.
- [34] A. Di Mauro, B. Fallico, A. Passerini, P. Rapisarda, and E. Maccarone, "Recovery of hesperidin from orange peel by concentration of extracts on styrene-divinylbenzene resin," *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, vol. 47, no. 10, pp. 4391–4397, 1999.
- [35] Y. Fu, Y. Zu, W. Liu et al., "Optimization of luteolin separation from pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.] leaves by macroporous resins," *Journal of Chromatography A*, vol. 1137, no. 2, pp. 145–152, 2006.
- [36] A. Schieber, P. Hilt, H.-U. Endreß, C. Rentschler, and R. Carle, "A new process for the combined recovery of pectin and phenolic compounds from apple pomace," *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 99–107, 2003.
- [37] J. Kammerer, D. R. Kammerer, U. Jensen, and R. Carle, "Interaction of apple polyphenols in a multi-compound system upon adsorption onto a food-grade resin," *Journal of Food Engineering*, vol. 96, no. 4, pp. 544–554, 2010.
- [38] J. Kammerer, D. R. Kammerer, and R. Carle, "Impact of saccharides and amino acids on the interaction of apple polyphenols with ion exchange and adsorbent resins," *Journal of Food Engineering*, vol. 98, no. 2, pp. 230–239, 2010.
- [39] A. Agalias, P. Magiatis, A.-L. Skaltsounis et al., "A new process for the management of olive oil mill waste water and recovery of natural antioxidants," *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, vol. 55, no. 7, pp. 2671–2676, 2007.
- [40] D. R. Kammerer, R. Carle, R. A. Stanley, and Z. S. Saleh, "Pilot-scale resin adsorption as a means to recover and fractionate apple polyphenols," *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, vol. 58, no. 11, pp. 6787–6796, 2010.
- [41] T. J. Buran, A. K. Sandhu, Z. Li, C. R. Rock, W. W. Yang, and L. Gu, "Adsorption/desorption characteristics and separation of anthocyanins and polyphenols from blueberries using macroporous adsorbent resins," *Journal of Food Engineering*, vol. 128, pp. 167–173, 2014.
- [42] M. Otero, M. Zabkova, and A. E. Rodrigues, "Phenolic wastewaters purification by thermal parametric pumping: modeling and pilot-scale experiments," *Water Research*, vol. 39, no. 15, pp. 3467–3478, 2005.
- [43] J. Kim, M. Yoon, H. Yang et al., "Enrichment and purification of marine polyphenol phlorotannins using macroporous adsorption resins," *Food Chemistry*, vol. 162, pp. 135–142, 2014.
- [44] K. Chen, H. Lyu, S. Hao, G. Luo, S. Zhang, and J. Chen, "Separation of phenolic compounds with modified adsorption resin

- from aqueous phase products of hydrothermal liquefaction of rice straw,” *Bioresource Technology*, vol. 182, pp. 160–168, 2015.
- [45] Y. Liu, Q. Bai, Y. Liu et al., “Simultaneous purification of tea polyphenols and caffeine from discarded green tea by macroporous adsorption resins,” *European Food Research and Technology*, vol. 238, no. 1, pp. 59–69, 2014.
- [46] M. D. Víctor-Ortega, J. M. Ochando-Pulido, D. Airado-Rodríguez, and A. Martínez-Ferez, “Comparison between different ion exchange resins combinations for final treatment of olive mill effluent,” *Separation and Purification Technology*, vol. 158, pp. 374–382, 2016.
- [47] D. Frascari, A. E. M. Bacca, F. Zama, L. Bertin, F. Fava, and D. Pinelli, “Olive mill wastewater valorisation through phenolic compounds adsorption in a continuous flow column,” *Chemical Engineering Journal*, vol. 283, pp. 293–303, 2016.
- [48] F. Ferri, L. Bertin, A. Scoma, L. Marchetti, and F. Fava, “Recovery of low molecular weight phenols through solid-phase extraction,” *Chemical Engineering Journal*, vol. 166, no. 3, pp. 994–1001, 2011.
- [49] A. Scoma, C. Pintucci, L. Bertin, P. Carlozzi, and F. Fava, “Increasing the large scale feasibility of a solid phase extraction procedure for the recovery of natural antioxidants from olive mill wastewaters,” *Chemical Engineering Journal*, vol. 198–199, pp. 103–109, 2012.
- [50] M. T. Agler, B. A. Wrenn, S. H. Zinder, and L. T. Angenent, “Waste to bioproduct conversion with undefined mixed cultures: the carboxylate platform,” *Trends in Biotechnology*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 70–78, 2011.
- [51] G. A. Martínez, S. Rebecchi, D. Decorti et al., “Towards multi-purpose biorefinery platforms for the valorisation of red grape pomace: production of polyphenols, volatile fatty acids, polyhydroxyalkanoates and biogas,” *Green Chemistry*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 261–270, 2015.
- [52] S. Campanari, F. A. E. Silva, L. Bertin, M. Villano, and M. Majone, “Effect of the organic loading rate on the production of polyhydroxyalkanoates in a multi-stage process aimed at the valorization of olive oil mill wastewater,” *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, vol. 71, pp. 34–41, 2014.
- [53] GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences AB, Column efficiency testing—application note 28-9372-07 AA, Uppsala, Sweden, 2010, https://www.gelifesciences.com/gehcls_images/GELS/Related%20Content/Files/1352880951136/litdoc28937207_20141127221416.pdf.
- [54] P. Englezos and N. Kalogerakis, *Applied Parameter Estimation for Chemical Engineers*, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, USA, 2001.
- [55] F. Zama, R. Ciavarelli, D. Frascari, and D. Pinelli, “Numerical parameters estimation in models of pollutant transport with chemical reaction,” in *System Modeling and Optimization: 25th IFIP TC 7 Conference, CSMO 2011, Berlin, Germany, September 12–16, 2011, Revised Selected Papers*, vol. 391 of *IFIP Advances in Information and Communication Technology*, pp. 547–556, Springer, Heidelberg, Germany, 2013.
- [56] W. L. McCabe, J. C. Smith, and P. Harriott, *Unit Operations of Chemical Engineering*, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY, USA, 6th edition, 2001.
- [57] D. Frascari, G. Zanolari, G. Bucchi et al., “Trichloroethylene aerobic cometabolism by suspended and immobilized butane-growing microbial consortia: a kinetic study,” *Bioresource Technology*, vol. 144, pp. 529–538, 2013.
- [58] M. Monti, A. Scoma, G. A. Martínez, L. Bertin, and F. Fava, “Uncoupled hydrogen and volatile fatty acids generation in a two-step biotechnological anaerobic process fed with actual site wastewater,” *New Biotechnology*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 341–346, 2015.
- [59] J. Kammerer, C. Schweizer, R. Carle, and D. R. Kammerer, “Recovery and fractionation of major apple and grape polyphenols from model solutions and crude plant extracts using ion exchange and adsorbent resins,” *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*, vol. 46, no. 8, pp. 1755–1767, 2011.
- [60] C. M. Galanakis, “Separation of functional macromolecules and micromolecules: from ultrafiltration to the border of nanofiltration,” *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 44–63, 2015.
- [61] C. M. Galanakis, E. Tornberg, and V. Gekas, “Clarification of high-added value products from olive mill wastewater,” *Journal of Food Engineering*, vol. 99, no. 2, pp. 190–197, 2010.
- [62] I. D’Antuono, V. G. Kontogianni, K. Kotsiou et al., “Polyphenolic characterization of olive mill wastewaters, coming from Italian and Greek olive cultivars, after membrane technology,” *Food Research International*, vol. 65, pp. 301–310, 2014.
- [63] C. Russo, “A new membrane process for the selective fractionation and total recovery of polyphenols, water and organic substances from vegetation waters (VW),” *Journal of Membrane Science*, vol. 288, no. 1–2, pp. 239–246, 2007.
- [64] P. Xiao, P. F.-X. Corvini, Y. Dudal, and P. Shahgaldian, “Design and high-throughput synthesis of cyclodextrin-based polyurethanes with enhanced molecular recognition properties,” *Polymer Chemistry*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 942–946, 2013.
- [65] P. Xiao, P. Corvini, Y. Dudal, and P. Shahgaldian, “Design of cyclodextrin-based photopolymers with enhanced molecular recognition properties: a template-free high-throughput approach,” *Macromolecules*, vol. 45, no. 14, pp. 5692–5697, 2012.
- [66] D. Pinelli, D. Frascari, and L. Bertin, “MADFORWATER—WP2: adaptation of wastewater treatment technologies for agricultural reuse—task 2.3: agro-industrial wastewater treatment—Subtask 2.3.1: treatment of olive mill wastewater—olive mill wastewater treatment by polyphenol separation and anaerobic digestion,” *AMS Acta Institutional Research Repository*, 2016.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

