Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans Leaching of Silica-Sulfide Gold Ores from May-Hibey Deposits, Tigray, Ethiopia
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Oxidative leaching is an inexpensive alternative to using chemical cyanide extraction methods for gold from low-grade gold sulfide. Oxidation of finely ground gold-bearing ore by Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans was evaluated in terms of cell density, pH, and leaching efficiency of Fe and Au in shake flask experiments. The compositional and elemental analyses of the beneficiated ore were analyzed using XRD and EDXRF spectroscopy. The ore’s primary constituents are gold (4.356 mg/L), silicon, iron, and sulfur (62.456, 15.441, and 7.912 wt%, respectively). XRD spectra, the main phases of the concentrated ore, showed that the major components of the ore were quartz, silicon sulfide, pyrite, and polymetallic elements such as siderenrite, gismondine, siderenikite, hematite, and syn. The experimental results, with Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans bacteria and blank, were evaluated. The pH of the blank remained nearly constant, and the pH of the bioleached was occasionally lowered. The Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans strain always grew better throughout the bioleaching process. For the Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans strain, the cell density of cells reached a maximum of 90.00 $\times$ 10^6 cells/mL after the 11th week and decreased to 87.00 $\times$ 10^6 cells/mL after the 12th week. The decrease in cell density may be due to the presence of polymetallic elements such as Al, Cr, Ti, and Ni, leading to reduced metal tolerance of the Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans strain. In the A. ferrooxidans leaching process, the maximum total iron and gold extraction reached 92.16% (14.23 mg/L) and 99.97% (4.355 ppm), respectively, after the 11th week, and leaching tends to decrease up to 14 weeks, which may be due to the formation of secondary minerals. More research will be performed to optimize the procedure and leaching kinetic, examine the impact of metal content, and take into account the potential for bioleaching process pollution in addition to the amount of gold recovered.

1. Introduction

The application of bioremediation metal sulfides and its understanding have evolved over the past several decades. Bioremediation typically a pretreatment process that involves the mobilization of metal cations from sulfate ores through biological processes including acidification, oxidation, and complexation, in addition, to mainly being used for the extraction of copper, cobalt, nickel, zinc, uranium, and gold ores from its sulfide ores [1–3]. Recently, precious metal mining production has increased significantly due to the development of advanced technology and global demands [1, 2], and new approaches will be needed to recover metals from low-grade ore deposits and mine tailings as a part of economic circular before further chemical treatment [4, 5]. The processes of mining gold, one of the most valuable and economical metals, produce large amounts of waste that still contain large amounts of valuable substances and in some
cases, the toxic chemicals cyanide and thiocyanide, often buried as landfill or waste near the mining areas [5]. It is important to develop better methods to avoid the use of these chemicals when extracting gold from its ores [6, 7].

Over the past decade, intensive research has focused on fundamental biochemical leaching reactions. Bioleaching typically involves in the removal of sulfide minerals by the action of chemolithotrophic acidophilic bacteria and is an economically viable competitive and respectful environmentally friendly biotechnology [2, 4, 8]. The environmental conditions of bioleaching are characterized by low pH values and high concentrations of reduced sulfur and iron compounds; these ores, rocks and acid drainage waters from the mine represent prime places of iron-oxidizing Acidithiobacillus species [4, 7, 9–11]. Bioleaching is a promising and revolutionary method that uses environmentally friendly technologies, which are useful for the mining industry as well as for environmental detoxification [1–5]. Bacterial leaching may be enhanced by an increase in bacterial population. Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans, the most widely used bacterium in the bioleaching of sulfide minerals, was grown in an electrolytic bioreactor containing a ferrous medium [12].

According to the multiple subprocess mechanisms, the sulfide mineral is chemically oxidized by ferric iron. The overall chemical reactions for pyrite are [2, 3, 12] as follows:

\[
4\text{MFeS}_2 + 11\text{O}_2 + 6\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 4\text{MSO}_4 + 4\text{Fe(OH)}_3 + 4\text{S}^0 (+ \text{Bacteria})
\]

\[
2\text{S} + 3\text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 (+ \text{Bacteria})
\]

where M represents metals.

Microorganisms that oxidize ferrous iron are responsible for converting the iron produced by these reactions into ferric iron. Sulfur-oxidizing microorganisms convert polysulfides, sulfur, or thiosulfates that are produced by the ferric leaching reactions to sulfate. By using bacteria to leach pyrite, a passivation layer is formed on the mineral surfaces, coating the unreacted materials and forming elemental sulfur in the process [1–3, 12]. This layer also prevents further leaching of the pyrite substrate coated in Fe(OH)_3. By adhering to the pyrite and chalcopyrite minerals in an ore containing gold, Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans helps break down the sulfide minerals, freeing up gold particles that facilitate further cyanidation.

The best-studied leaching bacteria are the genus Acidithiobacillus, which includes sulfur-oxidizing species and sulfur- and ferrous-oxidizing bacteria and which are common inhabitants of extremely acidic ecological sites, rich metals, has been extensively used in the bioleaching of concentrates, sludge, and metal-sulfide ores [13, 14]. Despite its high bioleaching efficiency, the genus Acidithiobacillus is often found at low densities in indigenous microbial consortia, which is still not fully understood and, conversely, Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans bacterium is most commonly found in bioleaching [2, 3, 5]. Iron- and sulfur-oxidizing Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans bacterium is capable of oxidizing the sulfur matrix before extracting cyanide, which contains gold particles encapsulated in gold-bearing sulfide ore [13, 14]. Biooxidation of gold ores is a less expensive and less polluting alternative to other oxidative pretreatment methods such as roasting and pressure oxidation. Recently, the biooxidation of gold ores has been researched worldwide to further apply to refractory gold ores [1, 3, 6, 15].

Acidophilic bacteria participate in the biooxidation of minerals and produce sulfuric acid or ferric ions that act as chemical lixiviants, and resilience to increasingly unfavorable environmental conditions that arise when minerals undergo biooxidation [3, 12, 15]. The dissolution of minerals is obtained by these bacteria, which alter the local environmental conditions such as pH, metal concentrations, oxygen availability, and redox potential [2, 4, 7, 15]. This bioleaching process is inhibited by the oxidation of Fe^{2+} and the presence of heavy metal ions [13–15]. Similarly, bioleaching efficiency varies significantly depending on mineral composition [16]. However, only a few studies have been reported on the bacterial sulfide oxidation, and the disadvantage of bioleaching is that moderate oxidation will slow down the bacterial growth cycle and thus slow down the turnover process [2, 8–10].

Effective bacterial growth and oxidation in bioleaching are influenced by many physical, chemical, and biological factors, including temperature, pH, oxygen, salt supply, and the amount of uptake and absorption [2, 6, 11]. In addition, it is important to find and maintain the optimal pH range for bioleaching. This can affect the quantity and quality of the excreted bioacids, heavy metal accessibility, and tolerance during bioleaching, elemental composition and properties of the sediments, the density of the leach sludge, and the particle size of the ore [6, 8, 10]. Therefore, the possible impact of heavy metal tolerant on bioleaching with indigenous iron-oxidizing Acidithiobacillus bacteria is the main focus of this study, making gold-bearing sulfide ore suitable for the subsequent processes [2, 3, 8–10, 15, 16].

A resilient bacterium, most likely Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans, was found to be effective in the bioleaching of soluble gold sulfide ore at low pH and in the determination of gold and iron concentrations in the presence of polymetals. This allowed the study to optimize the bioleaching process and avoid the use of fresh reagents, making the process more cost-effective and environmentally friendly. Despite being linked to silicon gold sulfide ore, this bacterium is not naturally suited to environments with elevated concentrations of heavy metals [3, 6, 10, 11].

To our knowledge, this is the first report on acidophilic consortia and bioleaching of gold-bearing sulfide ore from the May-Hibey deposit. Therefore, the aim of this work is to
elucidate the mineral composition of gold sulfide and the bioleaching of the indigenous Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans bacteria as a single culture in the presence of metals’ tolerance, and the cell density and concentrations of Fe and Au ions were quantified. The formation of liquid and solid-phase products over time/week is also characterized.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Mineralogy. The gold sulfide rock sample was collected from the May-Hibey deposit (Figure 1). May-Hibey is located in the northwestern zone of Tigray Regional State, Ethiopia [17]. The sample was ground in a disc mill and sieved to <74 μm (200 mesh size) in the Analytical Laboratory of Ezana Mining Share Company, Mekelle, Ethiopia. Compositional analyses of the benefeciated rock sample were conducted using energy-dispersive X-ray fluorescence (EDXRF 2800) (Table, Figure 2) and flame atomic absorption spectroscopy (FAAS) in the laboratories of the Ethiopian Ministry of Mines (Addis Ababa, Ethiopia) and Ezana Mining Share Company (Mekelle, Ethiopia), respectively. The crystallinity of each rock sample was determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD) at Adama Science and Technology University (Oromia, Ethiopia). The XRD output was processed, and pattern processing was identified using Jade 6 software (MD, USA) and plotted using Origin 8.0 software (OriginLab Corp., USA). Each trial was carried out three times, and the standard deviations were used to compute the error bars.

2.2. Microbial Agents and Chemicals

2.2.1. Culturing for Bacterial Bioleaching. The culturing and bioleaching analyses were conducted in the bioengineering laboratory of Mekelle Institute of Technology, Mekelle, Ethiopia. A. ferrooxidans bacteria culture was obtained from the microbiology laboratory of the Department of Biology, College of Natural and Computational Sciences, Mekelle University, Mekelle, Ethiopia. Bacteria were maintained in a medium enriched with ferrous sulfate and sulfur mineral salts [6]. The culturing for the bioleaching process was carried out based on Xie et al., 2013 [6], and the 9 K liquid medium was used to culture the bacterial strain (initial density of bacteria: 1.1 × 10^6/mL). The filtered 9 K liquid medium constituted by 3.0 g/L of (NH₄)₂SO₄, 0.50 g/L of MgSO₄·7H₂O, 0.50 g/L of K₂HPO₄, 0.10 g/L of KC1, and 0.01 g/L of Ca(NO₃)₂ as well as 0.01 g/L of FeSO₄·7H₂O is the source of energy.

The medium was sterilized at 121°C for 21 min before use. The bacterial load was inoculated into 100 mL of 9 K medium in a 250 mL flask, while their pH values were adjusted to 1.5 with drops of 5.0 mol/L of H₂SO₄. The flasks were then incubated at 40°C in a rotary shaker at 160 rpm. Care should be taken not to inhibit the bioleaching process due to changes in metal concentration, pH, and cell density compared to the first day of 1.1 × 90 × 10^6 cells/mL. Cell density was determined by the hemocytometer counting method under an optical microscope (Olympus CX31, USA, 0.1 mm depth chamber) using the following equation:

$$\text{cell/mL} = \left( \frac{\text{number of cells counted} \times \text{dilution factor}}{\text{number of large squales counted}} \right) \times 1000,$$

where the volume of the diluted sample (after dilution) divided by the volume of the original mixture in the sample (before dilution) is the dilution factor.

2.2.2. Metal Tolerance of A. ferrooxidans. The heavy metal tolerance of A. ferrooxidans was conducted in the microbiology laboratory of the Department of Biology, College of Natural and Computational Sciences, Mekelle University, Mekelle, Ethiopia.

For heavy metal tolerance studies, the stock solutions of heavy metals (mM/ml) were filter sterilized and added to sterile nutrient agar as follows: HgCl₂, 0.01-2; CuCl₂·2H₂O, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0 and 2.5; CdCl₂, 0.065, 0.125, 0.250, 0.500, and 1.0; ZnSO₄·7H₂O, 0.500, 1.0; AlK (SO₄)₃, 12H₂O (0.500, 1.0), K₂Cr₂O₇ (0.01-2), CoCl₂ (0.065, 0.125, 0.250, 0.500) and 2.0; Pb(C₂H₃O₂)₂, 3H₂O 0.5, 1.0 and 3.0. The pH range for these tests was 1–7, and the temperature range was 20–70°C. All the chemicals (metal salts) were of analytical grade and were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Addis Ababa, Ethiopia). Each water-soluble metal compound was dissolved in distilled sterile water, and those insoluble in water were dissolved in ethanol alcohol to prepare a 1000 ppm stock solution, from which solutions of lower concentrations were prepared by serial dilutions. Growth media, chemical solutions, and glassware were sterilized at 121°C for 15 min before use, and subsequent work was performed under sterile conditions in a laminar flow cabinet. The plates with each heavy metal to be tested were inoculated with about 10^6 cells, and the bacterial growth was evaluated after 7 days at 28°C [18, 19]. Isolates were considered resistant when growth occurred and sensitive when no growth was observed. The adaptation data were studied for a week.

2.2.3. Experiments

(1) Chemical Leaching. An experiment without the A. ferrooxidans bacteria was conducted as a blank to create a baseline and compare the efficacy of bacterial extraction. The sample was dissolved in sulfuric acid to a pH of 2.0, which was maintained during the extraction procedure [3].
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Mineralogical Analysis. The bioleaching of gold from gold ore is influenced by several factors, including ore type and particle size, type of leaching agent, temperature, time, pH of the medium (environment), and type of microbial agent. Before direct bioleaching, the <74 μm gold ore was concentrated by sequential benefciations such as crushing, grinding, sieving, washing, and gravity separation to improve the gold grade from the parent ore (Figure 1) [17].

Composition and elemental analyses of the benefciated ore show that the main elements in the ore were Si (62.456%), Fe (15.441%), and S (7.912%). The gold content was determined to be 4.356 mg/L (Table 1), which is similar to the author’s study [17]. The XRD spectrum also indicates that quartz was the main fracture phase (Figures 2(a) and 2(b)). In addition, quantitative XRD analysis (after 14 weeks) of the ore and tailings showed that the main components of the ore were quartz, syn (major, SiO₂), silicon sulfde (SiS₂), pyrite (FeS₂), and other polymetallic complexes. As illustrated in Figures 2(a) and 2(b), the polymetallic complexes include pyrite (FeS₂), boron nitride (BN), gismondite (CaAl₂Si₂O₈·4H₂O), siderenikite (Na₃Mn(PO₄) (CO₃)), hematite, and syn (Fe₂O₃) [17], and studies on gold deposits at the Chambishi copper mine in south-central Zambia [19] and Lape-Sumbawa gold rocks in Indonesia [20] reveal similar ore compositions, with gold combined with Si, S, and Fe as pyrite. These findings show that the rock samples have high compositions and patterns of sulfur, iron, and gold. In fact, May-Hibey was known to have high gold deposits [17].

A sample containing a 200g portion of ore concentrate was used for bioleaching studies.

3.2. Metal Tolerance. Due to their high toxicity, heavy metals, even at low concentrations, can pose serious threats to organisms, and the food chain transfer of metal ions has become a major public concern [21]. Moreover, heavy metals adversely influence microorganisms, which not only affect their growth but also their morphology and activities. Problems arising from heavy metal pollution can establish threats to human health and to ecosystems as well, as the metals exert a selective pressure on organisms, resulting in microbial populations with higher tolerance to metals, but with lower diversity, when compared to unpolluted neighboring areas [22].

Metal tolerance/resistance is a common property in many microorganisms that face toxic compounds in their habitats [22]. In this study, the effect of various metal ions such as, As, Cd, Co, Cr, Ni, Pb, and Zn and gold sulfde rock samples was studied, and it was found that both the bacteria
and *A. ferrooxidans* were resistant to metal ions significantly. In addition, the cell density could not be affected by the chemical composition of the gold sulfide ore for further biologic leaching processes [16, 22].

3.3. Bioleaching of Gold Concentrates. Results from sulfide biologic leaching experiments with and without *A. ferrooxidans* were evaluated against changes in pH, cell density, and iron and gold extraction over 14 consecutive weeks.

3.3.1. Effect of pH

(1) **Chemical Leaching.** Sulfuric acid was used in the blank experiment to chemically extract the sediment at a fixed pH of 2.0. Figure 3 illustrates the comparatively tiny drop in pH and the subsequent return to 2.0, indicating a very low leaching efficiency on the metal ion contents of the solution from week 1 to week 14. Chemical extraction led to lower leaching efficiencies for all elements than biological phase-enriched systems, as consistently noted by Ye et al. Dissolution in H₂SO₄ (pH 2.0) was the least effective for metal ions without *A. ferrooxidans* bacterium [3, 4, 23].

(2) **Biologic leaching.** pH values for leaching effects were performed in the presence of *A. ferrooxidans* to study the change in pH during the leaching of metal ions of iron and gold from the sulfide ore. Bacterial biologic leaching takes place in an acidic environment with pH values of 1.5–2.0 where most metal ions remain in solution. Lowering the pH value rapidly decomposes the gold ore as a sulfate solution [5, 21, 23–25]. *A. ferrooxidans* iron oxidants effectively oxidize sulfur and sulfides but grow at high pH values where metal ions are not retained in solution [5, 16, 21, 23–25]. As shown in Figure 3(a), the pH of the blank experiment (without bacteria) was nearly constant, but for the *A. ferrooxidans* leached sample, there was little change in pH from week 1 to 4, and this could be due to the leaching of metal ions into the sulfide ore. On the contrary, the result decreased sharply from week 11 and started to increase until week 14 in the biologic sulfide ore (Figure 3(a)). These results point to the fact that the higher the metal ion concentrations, the lower the pH of the extraction solution. Furthermore, the lowering of the pH until the 11th week and the increase around the 14th week of the biologic leaching process happen due to the activity of the *A. ferrooxidans* bacteria. It uses the H⁺ ions for the growth and reproduction at the beginning of the process, as shown in equation (3), where M represents the polymetallic element [6]. The biologic leaching process of the gold ore involves the oxidation of sulfur and a sulfide mineral which is represented by equations (3)–(6), leading to a decrease in pH [22].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Ag*</th>
<th>Au*</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Sb</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>As</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quantity</td>
<td>11.232</td>
<td>4.356</td>
<td>2.012</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>62.456</td>
<td>4.128</td>
<td>17.912</td>
<td>15.441</td>
<td>6.342</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>1.342</td>
<td>0.372</td>
<td>0.322</td>
<td>2.443</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Quantities are in mg/L.

This sulfuric acid in equation (5) maintains the pH at levels favorable to the growth of bacteria and also helps in the effective leaching of oxide minerals, and ferric sulfate is a strong oxidizing agent capable of dissolving a wide range of metal-sulfide minerals (3) [10, 22, 24]. An investigation was carried out on copper sulfide ore from Balaghat, Madhya Pradesh, India [2]. At pH values of 1.8 and from 2.0 to 2.5, the extraction of copper increases and decreases, respectively. Because of the lower oxidation potential of the leaching system and the decreased activity of the bacteria, the metal recovery was very low at low pH. The solubilization of copper was decreased when the pH was raised to 2.5, which may have been caused by a significant amount of iron (III) precipitating [2].

Since polymetallic sulfide ores contain structural Fe, oxidation releases iron into the solution, which acts as a redox shuttle in the biologic leaching process. At pH values of >1.5, ferric iron forms pyrite ore, an acid-forming precipitation reaction with certain monovalent and divalent cations in the biologic leaching solutions [10, 22, 24].
3.3.2. Effect of Density of the Cells. As shown in Figure 3(b), the cell density reached a maximum of $90 \times 10^6$ cells/mL at week 11, from the first week’s cell density of $1.1 \times 10^6$ cells/mL started to decrease at the beginning of the week 12–14. Similarly, *A. ferrooxidans* grew gradually during the first 11 weeks of bioleaching, as observed in other studies [18, 22]. The decrease in cell density may be attributed to the reduced metal tolerance of bacteria due to the accumulation of polymetallic elements such as Al, Cr, Ti, and Ni.

3.3.3. Leaching Effect on the Concentration of Iron and Gold with *A. ferrooxidans*. The average concentrations of iron and gold bioleached by *A. ferrooxidans* from weeks 10 to 14 are shown in Figures 4 and 5, respectively. These results imply that the *A. ferrooxidans* bacteria promoted the dissolution of total iron and gold. Compared with the elemental composition of the sulfide ore (Table 1), the total iron and gold extraction reached maximums of 92.16% (14.23 mg/L) and 99.97% (4.355 ppm), respectively, by the 11th week. At approximately the 10th week of bioleaching, the total amount of iron and gold dissolution began to decrease, possibly due to the formation of secondary minerals (3)–(6). As reported in many studies, mineral bioleaching leads to the formation of a passive layer that is adsorbed on the surface of the mineral to prevent its further extraction by the bioleaching process [5, 25]. In addition, a second simple physical gold recovery step will be performed to obtain the almost totally leached gold ions from the sulfide leached using the *A. ferrooxidans* bioleached process [8].

Observations in this study show that (Figures 3–5), in all experimental data, the degree of sulfide oxidation has a direct relationship with the rate of iron dissolution [11], which reduces the pH until the 11th week, and cell density reached
In summary, this study confirms the presence of high-grade gold in the form of concentrated gold sulfide ore in the May-Hibey deposit, Tigray, Ethiopia, and paves the way for further bioleaching of iron and gold. XRD spectrum, the dominant phases of the concentrated ore, shows that the main components of the ore are quartz, syn (major, SiO2), silicon sulfide (SiS2), pyrite (FeS2), and polymetallic elements such as silderenrite, gismondine, siderenikite, hematite, and syn. The ore’s primary constituents are gold (4.356 mg/L), silicon, iron, and sulfur (62.456, 15.441, and 7.912 wt%, respectively). For the A. ferrooxidans bacteria, the cell density reached a maximum of 90.00 × 10^6 cells/mL after the 11th week and decreased to 87.00 × 10^6 cells/mL from the 12th week onwards. This inhibition may be due to the presence of polymetallic elements in the gold sulfide ore. The pH of the blank sample (without bacteria) remains almost constant, and the pH of the bioleached sample drops from time to time. The A. ferrooxidans strain always grew better and better throughout the bioleaching process. Using A. ferrooxidans, the extraction efficiency of total iron and gold reached a maximum of 92.16% (14.23 mg/L) and 99.97% (4.355 ppm), respectively, after the 11th week. During the later stages of bioleaching, the extraction of total iron and sulfide tended to decrease, which may be due to the formation of secondary minerals. Further experiments will be carried out in studying, with the aim of optimizing the process and leaching kinetics, and the effect of the metal content takes into account not only the level of gold recovery but also the potential pollution of the process.

## 4. Conclusion
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