

### **Research** Article

## **Regression of Left Ventricular Hypertrophy in Patients Combined** with Peritoneal Dialysis and Hemodialysis

# Gao Luyan (), Zhang Haixia, Feng Sheng (), Sun Gang, Zhu Jing, Lu Ying, Jiang Linsen, Song Kai, Wang Zhi (), and Shen Huaying ()

The Second Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, Suzhou, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Wang Zhi; wangzhi1981@suda.edu.cn and Shen Huaying; shenhy513@sina.com

Received 29 July 2022; Revised 18 November 2022; Accepted 19 November 2022; Published 26 November 2022

Academic Editor: Dawei Cui

Copyright © 2022 Gao Luyan et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Background/Aims*. Combined peritoneal dialysis and hemodialysis (PHD) are used in treating PD patients who underwent technique failure. This study aimed to research the cardiac structural and functional change in patients before and after PHD. *Methods*. This retrospective study enrolled 58 patients at The Second Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University who switched from PD to PHD. Clinical data and echocardiographic examination results were collected. Data from the two groups with a normal distribution were compared with the paired *t*-test. A value <0.05 (two-tailed) was considered statistically significant. *Results*. A total of 58 subjects were enrolled, including 46 males and 12 females, with a median age of  $50.2 \pm 11.1$  (47–68) years. The mean duration of peritoneal dialysis was  $67.2 \pm 33.6$  months. Before and after PHD, the ultrafiltration volume (p = 0.021) and hemoglobin (p = 0.001) were increased, while SBP (p = 0.002), DBP (p = 0.002), phosphorus (p < 0.001), and ESA dosage (p < 0.001) were decreased. Before and after combined dialysis (PHD), the incidence of LVH was 76.4% and 61.8%, respectively (p = 0.013), and LVMI decreased from 173.8  $\pm$  86.2 g/m<sup>2</sup> to 160.6  $\pm$  78.5 g/m<sup>2</sup> (p < 0.001). *Conclusion*. Compared with PD alone, the combination of PD and HD resulted in regression of LVH and reduced LVMI.

#### 1. Introduction

Peritoneal dialysis is an effective treatment for uremia patients [1, 2]. In long-term PD patients, peritonitis recurrence, loss of residual renal function, and deterioration of peritoneal membrane function may cause ultrafiltration failure, fluid overload, and toxin accumulation, which may finally lead to technology failure and death [3–5]. Combining PD with hemodialysis (PHD) may be an effective solution for these patients [6, 7]. Several studies have already confirmed the benefits of PHD, including maintaining fluid balance, achieving dialysis adequacy, and prolonged life expectancy [8, 9].

It is well-established that cardiovascular disease is the primary cause of death in ESRD patients [10, 11]. Our previous studies have confirmed a high prevalence of left ventricular systolic and diastolic dysfunction, LVH, and valvular calcification in PD patients [12–14]. Many studies reported that hypertension, fluid overload, and phosphorus are risk factors

for LVH in dialysis patients [15–17]. These risk factors can be alleviated after PHD, which may lead to the remission of LVH. We conducted this study to research cardiac structure change and function in patients before and after PHD.

#### 2. Patients and Methods

PHD was defined as patients receiving combined therapy of peritoneal dialysis and hemodialysis [8]. In this retrospective study, there were 75 patients enrolled at The Second Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University who switched from PD alone to combination therapy with PD and HD between Jan 1, 2015, and Dec 30, 2021. Reasons for the switch to PDH include dialysis inadequacy (n = 38), ultrafiltration failure (n = 25), and fluid overload (n = 12). Seventeen patients were excluded because of missing data (n = 8), transfer to HD (n = 4), peritonitis within three months (n = 3), and congenital heart disease (n = 2). Thus, only 58 patients participated in this study (Figure 1).



FIGURE 1: The experimental flow chart.

2.1. Indication of Combination Therapy with PD and HD. Thirty-eight patients received six days of PD and one session of HD per week. Kt/V of HD was targeted from 1.0 to 1.2. Other 20 patients received four days of PD and two sessions of HD per week.

Peritoneal dialysis was not conducted on the day of the HD session, defined as the day of peritoneal rest. For the HD prescription, no patient used a high-flux membrane dialyzer. Twelve patients received hemofiltration every two weeks, and nine received hemoperfusion once a month.

2.2. Physical and Laboratory Examinations. Blood pressure (BP) and body weight (BW) were measured before echocardiographic studies in these patients. The dose of erythropoietin stimulating agent (ESA) for one week was also analyzed. Clinical data, including age, gender, body mass index (BMI), dialysis vintage, history of diabetes, statins, ca channel blockers, renin-angiotensin system blockers, and  $\alpha$   $\beta$ -receptor blockers, as well as combination preparations, were collected from all patients. The blood test was performed just before the HD session on the dialysis day. We collected fasting biochemical blood indices from all patients, including serum creatinine, urea nitrogen, albumin (Alb), prealbumin (PA), PTH, serum Ca, serum P, CRP, triglyceride (TG), TC, high-density lipoprotein, and low-density lipoprotein levels.

2.3. Definition of Ultrafiltration Volume. We calculated the daily UF volume by averaging the total weekly UF volume. Weekly UF volume includes ultrafiltration volume of peritoneal dialysis and hemodialysis.

2.4. Echocardiographic Examination. Cardiac sonography was examined before the HD session. We calculate the LV mass according to the following equation:

(1)

$$LV mass(g) = 0.8 * 1.04 * [(LVIDD + LVPWT + IVST)3 - LVIDD3] + 0.6,$$

LV mass index = LVMMSA $\left(\frac{g}{m^2}\right)^{1.3}$ .

LVH was defined as the LV mass/height 2.7 (LV mass divided by height in meters in the power of 2.7) >52 g/m<sup>2</sup>. 7 in men and >47 g/m<sup>2</sup>. 7 in women as suggested by the 2013 ESH/ESC guidelines [10]. LV systolic function was assessed by ejection fraction (EF) measurement, and systolic

dysfunction was defined as an EF <50%. Results of two echocardiographic data were collected at the initiation of PHD and during the following time. All echocardiographic measurements were performed by experienced technicians blinded to the clinical conditions.

2.5. Statistical Analysis. Data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD or median (interquartile range) based on the distribution type. The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 24.0 (IBM SPSS, Somers, N.Y., USA). Two groups of data with a normal distribution were compared with the paired *t*-test, skewed data were compared with the Mann–Whitney *U* test, and categorical data were compared with the  $\chi^2$  test. Univariate logistic regression analysis was performed to estimate the relationship with LVMI improvement. Factors enrolled in the multivariate regression analysis were based on the clinical significance or univariate logistic regression results (factors with p < 0.1). Thus, dialysis vintage, SBP, DBP, HGB, and ultrafiltration volume were enrolled in multivariate regression analysis. A *p* value <0.05 (two-tailed) was considered statistically significant.

#### 3. Results

A total of 58 subjects were enrolled, including 46 males and 12 females, with a median age of  $50.2 \pm 11.1$  (47–68) years. The mean duration of peritoneal dialysis was  $67.2 \pm 33.6$ months. All 58 patients were on CAPD before transfer to PHD. The follow-up of combined dialysis (PHD) was  $12.2 \pm 2.4$  months. The primary causes of chronic renal failure included 21 cases of chronic nephritis, 11 cases of hypertensive kidney, 5 cases of diabetic nephropathy, 2 cases of polycystic kidney, and 19 cases of other causes. All patients were treated with erythropoietin (EPO), 50% with RAAS receptor blockers, 53.4% with beta-blockers, and 75.9% with CCB (Table 1). The number of antihypertensives, including RAAS inhibitors, was unchanged during the observation period. There were twelve patients taking furosemide dosing from 60 mg/d to 200 mg/d. During the study, there was no heart failure, cardiovascular events, peritonitis, and death. Besides, there was no hospitalization during the study period.

Before and after PHD, the ultrafiltration volume (p = 0.021) and hemoglobin (p = 0.001) were increased, while SBP (p = 0.002), DBP (p = 0.002), phosphorus (p < 0.001) and ESA dosage (p < 0.001) were decreased. Other laboratory parameters, including Scr, BUN, ALB, iPTH, and calcium, did not reach statistical differences (Table 2, Figure 2). After PHD, 18 subjects (30%) reduced the proportion of 2.5% peritoneal dialyzate.

This study showed that left ventricular systolic diameter (p = 0.002), left ventricular posterior wall thickness (p < 0.001), and interventricular septum thickness (p < 0.001) had significant differences during the follow-up period. Before and after combined dialysis (PHD), the incidence of LVH was 76.4% and 61.8%, respectively (p = 0.013). After PHD, 41 patients (75.6%) showed an improvement in LVMI. LVMI decreased from 173.8 ± 86.2 g/m<sup>2</sup> to 160.6 ± 78.5 g/m<sup>2</sup> (p < 0.001). At the same time, the EF value did not change significantly during the follow-up period (Table 3, Figures 3 and 4).

We performed the univariate and multivariate analysis of factors (after PHD) associated with LVMI improvement. In univariate analysis, we found that SPB (p = 0.021), DBP (p = 0.015), ultrafiltration volume (p = 0.005), hemoglobin (p

TABLE 1: Characteristics of patients.

Variables	Results
Age (years)	50.2 ± 11.5 (47 ~ 68)
Male, <i>n</i> (%)	46 (79.3)
Dialysis vintage (months)	(26 ~ 154)
Diabetes, n (%)	5 (8.6)
Drug	—
Diuretics, n (%)	15 (25.9)
RAS inhibitor, <i>n</i> (%)	29 (50)
CCB, <i>n</i> (%)	44 (75.9)
$\alpha$ -receptor antagonist, $n$ (%)	20 (34.5)
$\beta$ -receptor antagonist, $n$ (%)	31 (53.4)
EPO, <i>n</i> (%)	58 (100)

CCB, Ca<sup>2+</sup> channel blockers; RAS, renin-angiotensin system; EPO, erythropoietin.

TABLE 2: Comparison of clinical and laboratory parameters before and after PHD.

	Before PHD	After PHD	р
Body weight (kg)	$61.1 \pm 9.0$	$59.3 \pm 8.6$	0.453
SBP (mmHg)	$141.7 \pm 21.8$	$135.5 \pm 15.6$	0.002
DBP (mmHg)	$85.7 \pm 7.9$	$82.7 \pm 8.3$	0.002
Ultrafiltration volume (mL/d)	$1012\pm553$	$1233\pm531$	0.021
PD	$1012 \pm 553$	$668 \pm 222$	
HD	0	$470 \pm 150$	
iPTH (pg/ml)	244 (126, 381)	225 (114, 354)	0.47
Hb (g/L)	$96.0 \pm 18.4$	$103.3 \pm 14.0$	0.001
ALB (g/L)	$36.1 \pm 5.2$	$36.4 \pm 4.8$	0.38
Ca (mmol/L)	$2.32\pm0.16$	$2.29\pm0.16$	0.20
P (mmol/L),	$1.96 \pm 0.54$	$1.84\pm0.49$	< 0.001
BUN (mmol/L)	$19.2 \pm 5.5$	$18.7 \pm 4.8$	0.24
Scr (umol/L)	$1209.6 \pm 259.4$	$1227.8 \pm 247.3$	0.54
ESA dosage $(10^4 \text{ u/W})$	$4.3 \pm 0.4$	$3.5 \pm 0.3$	< 0.001

SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; iPTH, intact parathyroid hormone; Hb, hemoglobin; ALB, albumin; Ca, calcium; P, phosphorus; BUN, urea nitrogen; Scr, serum creatinine; ESA, erythropoietin stimulating agents.



FIGURE 2: Comparison of SBP, DBP, hemoglobin, and ESA dosage before and after PHD (ESA dose, 1000 u/w; \*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.001).

TABLE 3:	Compariso	n of e	chocardiogra	aphic re	esults t	before and	1 after	PHD
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

	Before PHD	After PHD	P
Aortic diameter (mm)	$33.86 \pm 4.91$	$33.76 \pm 4.92$	0.083
Left ventricular systolic diameter (mm)	$34.11 \pm 6.12$	$32.41 \pm 5.15$	0.002
Left posterior ventricular wall thickness (mm)	$11.43 \pm 2.61$	$10.68 \pm 2.12$	< 0.001
Interventricular septal thickness (mm)	$11.61 \pm 2.29$	$10.88 \pm 2.10$	< 0.001
LV end diastolic diameter (mm)	$53.22 \pm 0.61$	$46.83 \pm 0.59$	< 0.001
Left ventricular mass index (g/m <sup>2</sup> )	$173.8 \pm 86.2$	$160.6 \pm 78.5$	< 0.001
Left ventricular hypertrophy, $n$ (%)	27 (76.4%)	21 (61.8%)	0.013
Pulmonary artery pressure (mmHg)	$38.0 \pm 6.55$	$37.8 \pm 6.57$	0.07
Ejection fraction (%)	$61.91 \pm 7.74$	$62.33 \pm 7.79$	0.32



= 0.023), and ESA dosage (p = 0.039) were associated with LVMI decrease. In multivariate analysis, there were only SPB (p = 0.014), DBP (p = 0.034), and ultrafiltration volume (p = 0.009) associated with LVMI improvement (Table 4).

#### 4. Discussion

The effectiveness of PD in the Chinese population has already been proved by the "PD first policy" in Hong Kong [2]. However, in long-term PD patients, deterioration of peritoneal membrane function, dialysis inadequacy, and fluid overload are significant causes of technique failure and death [3, 4]. The lack of biocompatible dialyzate in mainland China and the limited use of automated peritoneal dialysis (APD) due to medical insurance policies may worsen these problems. In recent years, several studies have confirmed the effectiveness of PHD in these subjects. Based on the evidence above, patients who cannot continue PD alone switch to PHD in our center. There were more male patients than female patients receiving PHD. This may cause more dialysis insufficiency in male than female PD patients. This result is also found in research conducted in Taiwan [18]. Primary nephritis is the most common cause in this study. However, a higher proportion (18.9%) of ESRD caused by hypertension was observed. One significant reason may be hypertensive nephropathy combined with paralleled cardiac disease, causing more strict volume control in long-term dialysis patients.

In this study, compared to PD alone, patients who received PHD showed better blood pressure control, increased ultrafiltration volume, decreased phosphorus, and elevated HGB with lower ESA usage. These findings are consistent with previous studies [19–21].

This study also reveals the amelioration of left ventricular hypertrophy and left ventricular diastolic function after receiving PHD treatment. There may be several reasons for the regression of LVH and reduced LVMI observed after PHD. Firstly, reduced



FIGURE 4: Comparison of left ventricular mass index results before and after PHD (p < 0.001).

TABLE 4: Univariate and multivariate analysis of factors (after PHD) associated with LVMI change.

Dama at an	Univa	iriate	Multivariate		
Parameter	β	Р	β	Р	
Age (years)	0.034	NS	_	_	
Dialysis vintage (years)	0.159	NS	0.122	NS	
SBP (mmHg)	0.031	0.012	0.026	0.014	
DBP (mmHg)	0.018	0.015	0.019	0.034	
Ultrafiltration volume (mL/d)	0.010	0.005	0.012	0.009	
iPTH (pg/ml)	0.160	NS	_	_	
Hb (g/L)	0.32	0.023	0.171	0.054	
ALB (g/L)	-0.112	NS	_	_	
Ca (mmol/L)	0.24	NS	_	_	
P (mmol/L)	0.26	NS	_	_	
BUN (mmol/L)	0.30	NS	_	_	
Scr (umol/L)	0.027	NS	_	_	
ESA dosage $(10^4 \text{ u/W})$	0.43	0.039	_	_	

SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; iPTH, intact parathyroid hormone; Hb, hemoglobin; ALB, albumin; Ca, calcium; P, phosphorus; BUN, urea nitrogen; Scr, serum creatinine; ESA, erythropoietin stimulating agents.

fluid overload and better blood pressure control are the primary factors for this phenomenon [22]. Ozkahya et al. report that the volume decrease in dialysis patients can achieve reasonable longterm BP control and decreased LVMI [23]. Secondly, elevated HGB also affects reducing LVMI [24]. Furthermore, better phosphorus control is also a benefit for the decreased prevalence of LVH [16]. The present study's limitations include a lack of controls, a small number of patients, and a short follow-up period. Some factors, such as residual renal function, combined obstructive sleep apnea dosage, and CKD-MBD disorders, that may affect LVMI were lacking in this study. Further studies are needed to focus on these issues. We look forward to multicenter and large-scale prospective research in the future. In conclusion, the present study demonstrates that, compared with PD alone, PD and HD's combination resulted in regression of LVH and reduced LVMI.

#### **Data Availability**

Available upon request.

#### **Ethical Approval**

The Ethics Committee of The Second Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University approved this study. The study protocol was developed in line with the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

#### Disclosure

Luyan Gao and Haixia Zhang contributed equally to this article. Zhi Wang and Huaying Shen were the corresponding authors.

#### **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest connected with the submitted article.

#### **Authors' Contributions**

ZW and HYS performed the study design. SF, JZ, YL, and LSJ collected the patient data. LYG and HXZ were significant contributors to writing the manuscript. GS contributed to data analysis. KS contributed to the manuscript review. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Acknowledgments

This study was supported by Key Talent's Subsidy Project in Science and Education, Suzhou, Jiangsu Province, China (KJXW2020017). This study was funded by the Department of Nephrology and Cardiology of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University. The authors thank all the staff for their help.

#### References

 D. N. Churchill, K. E. Thorpe, K. D. Nolph, P. R. Keshaviah, D. G. Oreopoulos, and D. Page, "Increased peritoneal membrane transport is associated with decreased patient and technique survival for continuous peritoneal dialysis patients. The Canada-USA (CANUSA) Peritoneal Dialysis Study Group," *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*, vol. 9, no. 7, pp. 1285–1292, 1998 Jul.

- [2] P. K. t Li and K. M. Chow, "Peritoneal dialysis first policy made successful: perspectives and actions," *American Journal* of Kidney Diseases, vol. 62, no. 5, pp. 993–1005, 2013 Nov.
- [3] W. K. Lo, J. M. Bargman, J. Burkart et al., "Guideline on targets for solute and fluid removal in adult patients on chronic peritoneal dialysis," *Peritoneal Dialysis International: Journal of the International Society for Peritoneal Dialysis*, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 520–522, 2006 Sep-Oct.
- [4] B. G. Jaar, L. C. Plantinga, D. C. Crews et al., "Timing, causes, predictors, and prognosis of switching from peritoneal dialysis to hemodialysis: a prospective study," *BMC Nephrology*, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 3, 2009 Feb 6.
- [5] J. Pajek, A. J. Hutchison, S. Bhutani et al., "Outcomes of peritoneal dialysis patients and switching to hemodialysis: a competing risks analysis," *Peritoneal Dialysis International* : Journal of the International Society for Peritoneal Dialysis, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 289–298, 2014 May.
- [6] H. Kawanishi, M. Moriishi, and S. Tsuchiya, "Five years' experience of combination therapy: peritoneal dialysis with hemodialysis," Advances in peritoneal dialysis Conference on Peritoneal Dialysis, vol. 18, pp. 62–67, 2002.
- [7] H. Kawanishi, Y. Hashimoto, H. Nakamoto, M. Nakayama, and A. Tranæus, "Combination therapy with peritoneal dialysis and hemodialysis," *Peritoneal Dialysis International: Journal of the International Society for Peritoneal Dialysis*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 150–154, 2006 Mar-Apr.
- [8] H. Kawanishi, M. Moriishi, S. Katsutani, E. Sakikubo, and S. Tsuchiya, "Hemodialysis together with peritoneal dialysis is one of the simplest ways to maintain adequacy in continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis," *Advances in peritoneal dialysis Conference on Peritoneal Dialysis*, vol. 15, pp. 127–131, 1999.
- [9] R. Kanda, H. Io, J. Nakata et al., "Evaluation of long-term combination therapy with peritoneal dialysis and hemodialysis," *Therapeutic Apheresis and Dialysis*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 180–184, 2017 Apr.
- [10] D. J. de Jager, D. C. Grootendorst, K. J. Jager, P. C. van Dijk, L. M. Tomas, and D. Ansell, "Cardiovascular and noncardiovascular mortality among patients starting dialysis," *JAMA*, vol. 302, no. 16, pp. 1782–1789, 2009 Oct 28.
- [11] Z. J. Modi, Y. Lu, N. Ji et al., "Risk of cardiovascular disease and mortality in young adults with end-stage renal disease: an analysis of the US renal data system," *JAMA cardiology*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 353–362, 2019 Apr 1.
- [12] C. Wang, L. Jiang, S. Feng et al., "Risk factor analysis of calcification in aortic and mitral valves in maintenance peritoneal dialysis patients," *Kidney & Blood Pressure Research*, vol. 37, no. 4-5, pp. 488–495, 2013.
- [13] Y. Tian, S. Feng, Z. Zhan et al., "Risk factors for new-onset cardiac valve calcification in patients on maintenance peritoneal dialysis," *Cardiorenal medicine*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 150– 158, 2016 Feb.
- [14] Q. Shi, J. Zhu, S. Feng, H. Shen, J. Chen, and K. Song, "Nonparallel progression of left ventricular structure and function in long-term peritoneal dialysis patients," *Cardiorenal medicine*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 198–206, 2017 Jun.
- [15] M. C. Wang, C. C. Tseng, W. C. Tsai, and J. J. Huang, "Blood pressure and left ventricular hypertrophy in patients on different peritoneal dialysis regimens," *Peritoneal Dialysis International : Journal of the International Society for Peritoneal Dialysis*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 2001 Jan-Feb.
- [16] S. G. Achinger and J. C. Ayus, "Left ventricular hypertrophy: is hyperphosphatemia among dialysis patients a risk factor?"

Journal of the American Society of Nephrology, vol. 17, no. 12 Suppl 3, pp. S255–S261, 2006 Dec.

- [17] K. Hassan, S. Hassan, S. Anwar, A. Zaher, R. Edgem, and F. Hassan, "Predictors of left ventricular hypertrophy and their cutoffs in peritoneal dialysis patients," *International Heart Journal*, vol. 56, no. 2, pp. 186–191, 2015.
- [18] M. Chung, T. Yu, M. Wu et al., "Is combined peritoneal dialysis and hemodialysis redundant? A nationwide study from Taiwan," *BMC Nephrology*, vol. 21, no. 1, p. 348, 2020.
- [19] Y. Hashimoto and T. Matsubara, "Combined peritoneal dialysis and hemodialysis therapy improves quality of life in end-stage renal disease patients," *Advances in peritoneal dialysis Conference on Peritoneal Dialysis*, vol. 16, pp. 108–112, 2000.
- [20] M. Agarwal, P. Clinard, and J. M. Burkart, "Combined peritoneal dialysis and hemodialysis: our experience compared to others," *Peritoneal Dialysis International : Journal of the International Society for Peritoneal Dialysis*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 157–161, 2003 Mar-Apr.
- [21] Y. Maruyama, K. Yokoyama, M. Nakayama et al., "Combined therapy with peritoneal dialysis and hemodialysis: a multicenter retrospective observational cohort study in Japan," *Blood Purification*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 149–153, 2014.
- [22] J. Liu, F. Sun, L. J. Ma, Y. Shen, X. Mei, and Y. L. Zhou, "Increasing dialysis sodium removal on arterial stiffness and left ventricular hypertrophy in hemodialysis patients," *Journal* of Renal Nutrition, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 38–44, 2016 Jan.
- [23] M. Ozkahya, E. Ok, M. Cirit, S. Aydin, F. Akcicek, and A. Basci, "Regression of left ventricular hypertrophy in haemodialysis patients by ultrafiltration and reduced salt intake without antihypertensive drugs," *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation: Official Publication of the European Dialysis* and Transplant Association - European Renal Association, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 1489–1493, 1998 Jun.
- [24] H. Io, M. Aizawa, K. Funabiki, S. Horikoshi, and Y. Tomino, "Impact of anaemia treatment for left ventricular remodelling prior to initiation of dialysis in chronic kidney disease patients: efficacy and stability of long acting erythropoietin stimulating agents," *Nephrology*, vol. 20, no. Suppl 4, pp. 7–13, 2015 Dec.