

Research Article

Relationship between Knowledge and Attitude toward Oral Function in Middle-Aged and Older Adults

Haruka Nakata ¹, Yuichi Masaki,² Yuri Watanabe,³ Mai Ohkubo ³,
Tetsuya Sugiyama ⁴ and Kenichiro Kobayashi²

¹Department of Dental Hygiene, Tokyo Dental Junior College, 2-9-18 Misakicho Tokyo, Chiyoda-Ku 101-0061, Japan

²Kobayashi Dental Clinic, Tokyo, Japan

³Department of Oral Health and Clinical Science, Division of Dysphagia Rehabilitation, Tokyo Dental College, 2-9-18 Misakicho Tokyo, Chiyoda-Ku 101-0061, Japan

⁴Division of General Dentistry, Division of Dysphagia Rehabilitation, Chiba Dental Center, Tokyo Dental College, 1-2-2 Masago Mihama-Ku, Chiba 261-8502, Japan

Correspondence should be addressed to Haruka Nakata; hnakata@tdc.ac.jp

Received 4 April 2022; Revised 13 July 2022; Accepted 21 July 2022; Published 18 August 2022

Academic Editor: Gaetano Isola

Copyright © 2022 Haruka Nakata et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Oral hypofunction is the stage at which recovery can be expected with proper diagnosis, management, and motivation before oral dysfunction occurs. The knowledge and attitude toward oral function can influence the maintenance and improvement of oral function. However, whether middle-aged and older adults with declining oral function have knowledge of their oral function and how this knowledge and their attitude affect their oral function are unclear. Therefore, we aimed to examine (1) the relationship between knowledge and attitude toward oral function and hypofunction in individuals with suspected oral hypofunction and (2) changes in knowledge and attitude toward oral function through evaluation and education. Participants aged ≥ 50 years were enrolled during their first community dental clinic visit. A questionnaire assessment of knowledge and attitudes before and after oral function evaluation was performed. The oral function was initially assessed with seven criteria: oral hygiene; oral dryness; occlusal force; tongue pressure; tongue-lip motor, masticatory, and swallowing function. Associations between knowledge and attitudes and their changes were statistically analyzed. Fifty-nine participants (93.7%) were unaware of “oral hypofunction.” Associations between knowledge and attitudes and their changes in the negative to positive response groups, from 86.4% and 61.0% to 6.8% and 25.4%, respectively, after oral function evaluation, indicated that participants understood their oral function and the need for training. Middle-aged and older individuals with poor knowledge and attitudes were more likely to have a worse oral function; however, their knowledge and attitudes toward oral function could be improved through oral function assessment and education.

1. Introduction

The global population is rapidly aging. The number of elderly individuals worldwide is projected to increase to 1.5 billion by 2050 [1], with the proportion of individuals aged ≥ 65 years increasing to 16.0% in 2050 [1]. People aged ≥ 65 years comprised 28.9% of the Japanese population in 2022, which is predicted to rise to 38.1% by 2060 [2]. The average life expectancy in 2019 was 86.9 and 81.5 years for women and men, respectively, which is among the highest in the world. The gap

between average and healthy life expectancies was 11.4 and 8.9 years for women and men, respectively [3], which indicates the period of ill-health with restrictions on everyday living activities. There is a particularly large gap between a healthy life and an average life expectancy in Japan. With the aging population and the declining birth rates, the lack of caregivers and increased nursing-care costs are becoming serious problems [4].

Aging is associated with a decrease in muscle mass and a decline in nervous system function, which leads to physical changes throughout the body, including the oral cavity [5].

Changes to the oral cavity caused by aging include a decrease in the number of teeth and changes in the dentition, occlusion, and temporomandibular joints [6]. These factors may lead to a decline in masticatory function. Malocclusion and changes in temporomandibular joints can also affect mastication [7, 8]. This decline in masticatory function may be influenced by physical factors in addition to the decline in tooth numbers and muscle strength [9]; thus, a more comprehensive review is essential in the evaluation of oral function.

Enjoying a meal is a pleasure in life, particularly for older adults. Thus, adults need to be able to eat properly even at an advanced age. The mouth is also involved in conversation, expression, and sensuousness, not only food intake. In time, the physical and mental activities of older adults decrease, possibly leading to dementia [10] or a bedridden state [11]. The decline in oral function (ORF) progresses in stages along with systemic function decline. The Japanese Society of Gerodontology (JSG) divided ORF into four stages: healthy state, oral frailty, oral hypofunction, and oral dysfunction [12]. To prevent the progression of these stages, implementing a program corresponding to each stage is recommended. Oral dysfunction causes difficulty in eating, diseases, and disturbances in social life. This may influence the healthy life expectancy of older adults. Improving the ORF of older individuals can prevent these consequences and lead to a decrease in the need for long-term care.

Frailty is an important consideration in geriatric medicine. Defined as a state of increased vulnerability to internal and external stresses due to aging or disease [13, 14], frailty is characterized by reversibility or the ability to return to a healthy state with appropriate intervention. As frailty progresses, the risk of developing irreversible, serious diseases and disabilities may increase. Similarly, oral frailty and hypofunction emerge with oral dysfunction among many aspects of declining ability [12]. Initially, the symptoms may be trivial (slurred speech and spilling of food); however, as the condition worsens, it can lead to disorders such as masticatory dysfunction and dysphagia, thereby affecting overall health. Therefore, prompt and appropriate intervention is necessary.

JSG published a position paper in 2018 [12] proposing “oral hypofunction” as the stage at which recovery can be expected with proper diagnosis, management, and motivation before oral dysfunction occurs. Motivation has a great impact on people’s ability to take action. Motivational interviewing can improve the periodontal status of patients with periodontal disease [15] or the oral health status of pregnant women [16]. Education regarding knowledge and attitude towards oral function (KAOF) or health may lead to improved patient behavior because there is a relationship between oral literacy and oral health status [17, 18]. This may be true for patients with periodontal disease and pregnant women, as well as middle-aged and older adults with oral hypofunction. The first step toward good KAOF is to be aware of one’s ORF status and the positive impact that improving ORF has on one’s overall health. This is extremely important: Having good KAOF and taking appropriate

actions from the middle-age stage is the main way to prevent oral hypofunction in the future. The same is true when one is in an aging state: Good KAOF will lead to the maintenance and improvement of ORF, while those with poor KAOF are at a very high risk of functional decline due to their lack of interest in ORF. However, whether middle-aged and older adults with declining ORF have knowledge of their ORF and how this knowledge and their attitude affect their ORF are unclear. In addition, few studies reported the improvement in KAOF of dental practitioners through evaluation and education. We hypothesized that those with poor KAOF may have lower ORF and that assessment and education related to ORF would improve KAOF. Therefore, to test this hypothesis, we aimed to examine (1) the relationship between KAOF and ORF in patients with suspected oral hypofunction and (2) changes in KAOF through evaluation and education.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Participants. We included patients aged ≥ 50 years who first visited a community dental clinic between November 2019 and May 2021. After verbally explaining the study purpose to participants and providing the written text, 63 patients provided consent to participate and were included in this cohort study. Exclusion criteria included difficulty in communication and diagnosis of dysphagia. The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of Tokyo Dental College (approval no: 948) and conformed to the provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in Brazil 2013).

2.2. KAOF Questionnaire. The KAOF was evaluated before and after evaluating the ORF, using a questionnaire on ORF (Table 1) in Japanese developed by the authors. The respondents answered each question by selecting one of four responses: “strongly agree/understand well,” “agree/know,” “disagree/do not know,” and “strongly disagree/do not understand.” The answers “strongly agree/understand well” and “agree/know” were classified as positive KAOF, while “disagree/do not know” and “strongly disagree/do not understand” were judged as negative KAOF (Figure 1). Data of participants were excluded if the questionnaire was not completed post evaluation.

2.3. Oral Function. ORF was assessed at the first visit based on seven criteria for diagnosing oral hypofunction: oral hygiene, oral dryness, occlusal force, tongue-lip motor function, tongue pressure, masticatory function, and swallowing function (Table 2). Oral hypofunction was diagnosed when three or more of the seven criteria were fulfilled.

2.3.1. Oral Hygiene. Oral hygiene was evaluated based on tongue coating. The degree of tongue coating was assessed through visual inspection using the tongue coating index (TCI) [19].

TABLE 1: Questionnaire on knowledge and attitude towards oral function (translated from Japanese).

Q1. I am familiar with the phrase “oral hypofunction.”
 Q2. I believe that oral exercises are necessary for me.
 Q3. Decreased oral function causes poor general health.
 Q4. In addition to dental caries and periodontal disease screenings, I should get an oral function examination at the dental clinic.
 Q5. I think it is important to evaluate oral function.




FIGURE 1: Schematic flow diagram of the study.

TABLE 2: Oral hypofunction criteria.

Oral function	Cut-off criterion
Oral hygiene	Tongue coating index $\geq 50\%$
Oral dryness	Measured value with a moisture checker < 27.0
Occlusal force	Occlusal force < 500 N
Tongue-lip motor function	Utterance count of /pa/, /ta/, /ka/ $< 6/s$
Tongue pressure	Maximum tongue pressure < 30 kPa.
Masticatory function	Glucose concentration in the chewing test < 100 mg/dL
Swallowing function	Total score in 10-item eating assessment tool ≥ 3

2.3.2. *Oral Dryness.* Oral dryness was evaluated using an oral moisture checker (Mucus, Life Co., Ltd., Saitama, Japan), which was used to measure mucosal wetness at the center of the dorsal surface of the tongue [20].

2.3.3. *Occlusal Force.* The occlusal force of the dentition for 3 s of clenching in the intercuspal position was measured using a pressure-indicating film. For denture wearers, measurements were performed with the dentures in place [21].

2.3.4. *Tongue-Lip Motor Function.* Tongue-lip motor function was evaluated using oral diadochokinesis (ODK). A participant was instructed to produce each of the syllables /pa/, /ta/, and /ka/ repeatedly for 5 s. The number of syllables produced per second was determined using an automatic counter (Kenkokun Handy, Takei Scientific Instruments Co., Ltd., Niigata, Japan) [22].

2.3.5. *Tongue Pressure.* Maximum tongue pressure was measured using a tongue pressure measuring instrument (JMS TPM-01, JMS Co., Ltd., Hiroshima, Japan). The average of the three measurements was considered the tongue pressure of the participant [23].

2.3.6. *Masticatory Function.* Masticatory function was evaluated by glucose concentration. Participants were asked to chew 2 g of gummy jelly; subsequently, the amount of eluted glucose was measured using a masticatory ability testing system (Gluko Sensor GS-II, GC Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) [24].

2.3.7. *Swallowing Function.* A self-administered questionnaire for swallowing screening (the 10-item Eating Assessment Tool [EAT-10]) was used to assess swallowing function [25].




FIGURE 2: Schematic flow diagram of study participants.

TABLE 3: Demographic data of the participants.

Characteristic	Analysis 1 (N = 63)		Analysis 2 (N = 59)	
	74.5 ± 11.1		74.2 ± 10.9	
Mean ± SD age, years	<75 years	≥75 years	<75 years	≥75 years
N	26	37	25	34
Male/female	13/13	15/22	13/12	12/22
Outpatient/home care	25/1	22/15	24/1	19/15
Education, N (%)				
Junior high school	4 (15.4)	14 (37.8)	4 (16.0)	13 (38.2)
High school	11 (42.3)	15 (40.5)	10 (40.0)	15 (44.1)
Junior college	4 (15.4)	3 (8.1)	4 (16.0)	3 (8.8)
University	6 (23.1)	3 (8.1)	6 (24.0)	2 (5.7)
Graduate school	1 (3.8)	1 (2.7)	1 (4.0)	1 (2.9)
Not responded	0 (0.0)	1 (2.7)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Medical history, N (%) [†]				
Hypertension	3 (11.5)	16 (43.2)	3 (12.0)	15 (44.1)
Diabetes mellitus	2 (7.7)	6 (16.2)	2 (8.0)	6 (17.6)
Stroke	0 (0.0)	4 (10.8)	0 (0.0)	4 (11.8)
Cardiovascular diseases	2 (7.7)	5 (13.5)	2 (8.0)	5 (14.7)
Cancer	2 (7.7)	5 (13.5)	2 (8.0)	5 (14.7)
Others	10 (38.5)	15 (40.5)	9 (36.0)	15 (44.1)
Unknown	9 (34.6)	9 (24.3)	9 (36.0)	7 (20.6)

[†]Includes duplicated data.

2.4. Data Analysis. Participants were divided by age (<75 years and ≥75 years). As the acquired ORF data were not normally distributed, the Mann–Whitney *U* test was used to examine the differences in KAOF for each question in both groups. The changes in the KAOF were tested using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (version 27.0; IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). The critical value for rejecting the null hypothesis was $p < 0.05$.

3. Results

A total of 596 patients were recruited for this study, and 63 patients (mean ± standard deviation [SD] age: 74.5 ± 11.1 years) were initially included (Figure 2).

The demographic data of the cohort are summarized in Table 3. Analysis 1 included 63 participants, of whom 28 were men and 16 performed care at home. Patients with a history of hypertension were the most numerous, followed by those with diabetes. Patients' educational background was most frequently high school. Of the 63 participants, four did not complete the questionnaire during the posttest. Therefore, Analysis 2 comparing before and after evaluation of ORF, included 59 participants, of whom 25 were men and 16 performed care at home. Hypertension and high school education were the most frequent.

3.1. Analysis 1: Relationship between KAOF and ORF before Evaluating ORF. The median (interquartile range) ORF values according to survey scores and age groups are presented in Table 4. For Question 1, all 26 participants aged <75 years answered “do not know” or “do not understand.” Of the 37 participants aged ≥75 years, 33 responded negatively. For Question 2, EAT-10 was significantly higher ($p = 0.036$) in respondents aged <75 years with positive answers. For Question 3, the TCI was significantly higher ($p = 0.018$) in participants aged <75 years who responded negatively. Among those aged ≥75 years, ODK/pa/, /ta/, and /ka/ were significantly lower in patients who responded negatively ($p = 0.002$, 0.008, and 0.001, respectively); masticatory function was significantly higher in this group ($p = 0.049$). For Question 4, ODK/pa/ in the group aged <75 years and ODK/ka/ among the participants aged ≥75 years were lower among participants who answered negatively ($p = 0.047$ and 0.033, respectively). For

TABLE 4: Medians (interquartile ranges) of parameters of oral function according to each question.

N (%) of answers	Oral hygiene (TCT†; (%))	Oral dryness	Occlusal force (N)	p-value	Tongue-lip motor function (n/s)		Tongue pressure (kPa)	p-value	Masticatory function (mg/dL)	p-value	Swallowing function (EAT-10§)	p-value
					ODK‡/pa/	ODK‡/ta/						
Q1. I am familiar with the phrase "oral hypofunction."												
<75 years												
Yes 0 (0.0)	—	—	—	N/A	6.6 (6.2-6.9)	6.6 (6.4-7.0)	—	N/A	—	N/A	—	N/A
No 26 (44.1)	28.0 (22.0-39.0)	27.9 (25.5-28.6)	445.8 (269.8-782.5)	N/A	6.6 (6.2-6.9)	6.6 (6.4-7.0)	36.7 (29.2-40.8)	N/A	172.0 (131.8-247.3)	N/A	0.0 (0.0-3.0)	N/A
≥75 years												
Yes 4 (6.8)	24.9 (13.8-28.0)	27.1 (26.4-28.0)	267.9 (161.9-267.9)	0.543	6.9 (5.5-7.2)	6.6 (5.2-7.3)	27.1 (23.5-30.8)	0.620	105.5 (92.8-222.5)	0.366	0.5 (0.0-1.8)	0.759
No 33 (55.9)	27.9 (12.3-27.5)	28.2 (24.7-29.3)	374.2 (204.6-718.9)	1.000	6.0 (5.2-6.2)	5.8 (5.2-6.0)	31.0 (19.8-34.3)	0.033*	148.5 (115.3-196.3)	0.620	0.0 (0.0-2.0)	0.620
Q2. I believe that oral exercises are necessary for me.												
<75 years												
Yes 11 (18.6)	28.0 (22.0-39.0)	28.1 (27.6-28.7)	415.0 (206.4-646.5)	0.330	6.6 (6.0-7.4)	6.6 (6.4-6.8)	37.5 (29.2-38.3)	0.574	212.0 (140.0-268.0)	0.443	3.0 (0.0-6.0)	0.036*
No 15 (25.4)	33.0 (22.0-39.0)	27.6 (25.2-28.6)	676.6 (381.3-944.2)	0.166	6.6 (6.4-6.8)	6.6 (6.2-7.0)	36.6 (29.1-51.5)	0.799	139.0 (131.0-245.0)	0.799	0.0 (0.0-1.0)	0.443
≥75 years												
Yes 13 (22.0)	28.0 (11.0-39.0)	27.0 (23.4-28.2)	425.1 (220.5-764.8)	0.132	6.0 (4.2-6.4)	5.8 (3.9-6.5)	29.9 (22.0-34.9)	0.728	181.0 (118.3-235.8)	0.695	2.0 (0.0-3.0)	0.128
No 24 (40.7)	27.8 (15.8-33.0)	28.4 (26.8-29.4)	359.3 (183.1-727.1)	0.595	6.0 (5.4-6.2)	5.9 (5.4-6.0)	30.9 (18.4-33.9)	1.000	135.5 (108.5-173.8)	0.695	0.0 (0.0-2.0)	0.212
Q3. Decreased oral function causes poor general health.												
<75 years												
Yes 15 (25.4)	22.0 (11.0-33.0)	27.8 (25.4-28.7)	415.0 (206.4-776.6)	0.799	6.6 (6.2-6.8)	6.6 (6.2-6.8)	36.7 (29.1-40.3)	0.259	147.0 (124.0-245.0)	1.000	0.0 (0.0-3.0)	0.721
No 11 (18.6)	39.0 (28.0-39.0)	28.1 (25.5-28.3)	603.0 (366.2-952.2)	0.018*	6.8 (6.0-7.0)	6.6 (6.4-7.0)	36.6 (30.3-42.1)	0.646	225.0 (132.0-276.0)	0.413	0.0 (0.0-3.0)	0.413
≥75 years												
Yes 18 (30.5)	22.0 (11.0-28.0)	27.6 (26.0-28.8)	519.4 (183.3-794.1)	0.121	6.2 (5.9-6.8)	6.1 (5.7-6.7)	30.4 (23.3-33.4)	0.008*	116.0 (103.0-177.5)	0.753	1.0 (0.0-2.3)	0.425
No 19 (32.2)	28.0 (16.7-50.0)	28.2 (24.3-29.6)	330.8 (219.8-423.3)	0.916	5.6 (4.4-6.0)	5.4 (5.0-6.0)	30.8 (17.8-34.2)	0.001*	164.0 (134.0-198.0)	0.049*	0.0 (0.0-2.0)	0.049*
Q4. In addition to dental caries and periodontal disease screenings, I should get an oral function examination at the dental clinic.												
<75 years												
Yes 18 (30.5)	28.0 (19.3-39.0)	28.0 (26.2-28.6)	464.2 (356.1-817.5)	0.144	6.8 (6.2-7.1)	6.7 (6.4-7.2)	37.0 (29.2-42.6)	0.080	205.0 (137.0-257.5)	0.461	0.0 (0.0-3.0)	0.683
No 8 (13.6)	36.0 (23.5-42.8)	27.8 (22.3-28.5)	445.8 (163.4-788.4)	0.531	6.5 (5.8-6.6)	6.5 (5.7-6.8)	34.2 (23.0-39.5)	0.495	135.5 (126.0-236.8)	0.461	0.0 (0.0-1.8)	0.285
≥75 years												
Yes 17 (28.8)	28.0 (16.3-36.0)	28.2 (27.3-28.9)	519.4 (253.3-811.5)	0.661	6.2 (5.3-6.4)	6.0 (5.3-6.3)	31.6 (27.1-35.8)	0.033*	143.5 (113.8-199.3)	0.069	1.0 (0.0-2.0)	0.460
No 20 (33.9)	22.2 (11.0-33.0)	27.3 (24.3-29.6)	267.2 (169.2-381.6)	0.407	5.7 (5.1-6.2)	5.6 (5.2-6.2)	25.7 (16.8-32.1)	0.390	140.5 (106.8-192.0)	0.789	0.0 (0.0-2.0)	0.789
Q5. I think it is important to evaluate oral function.												
<75 years												
Yes 21 (35.6)	28.0 (22.0-39.0)	27.7 (25.5-28.5)	504.2 (269.8-864.4)	0.447	6.6 (6.2-6.9)	6.6 (6.3-7.1)	36.6 (29.1-41.1)	1.000	225.0 (128.5-261.0)	0.801	0.0 (0.0-3.0)	0.486
No 5 (8.5)	33.0 (16.5-47.3)	28.2 (24.6-29.8)	410.7 (241.4-630.1)	0.753	6.6 (5.9-7.2)	6.6 (6.0-6.9)	37.7 (25.4-47.0)	0.705	138.0 (131.5-198.5)	0.308	0.0 (0.0-3.0)	0.308
≥75 years												
Yes 19 (32.2)	28.0 (15.8-39.0)	28.4 (27.6-29.6)	519.4 (316.5-811.5)	0.661	6.0 (4.4-6.2)	5.8 (5.2-6.0)	31.6 (23.6-34.6)	0.599	163.0 (115.8-199.0)	0.178	1.0 (0.0-2.0)	0.271
No 18 (30.5)	22.2 (11.1-33.0)	26.4 (24.3-28.4)	235.6 (172.5-336.5)	0.022*	6.0 (5.4-6.7)	5.9 (5.4-6.3)	26.5 (17.2-32.4)	0.767	131.0 (100.3-180.3)	0.323	0.0 (0.0-2.0)	0.323

†TCT: tongue coating index, ‡ODK: oral diadochokinesis, §EAT-10: the 10-item eating assessment tool. * p < 0.05.

TABLE 5: Changes in responses to each question.

	Before examination and education N (%)	After examination and education N (%)	p value
Q1. I am familiar with the phrase “oral hypofunction.”			
Understand well	0 (0)	23 (39.0)	<0.001*
Know	4 (6.8)	28 (47.5)	
Do not know	8 (13.6)	5 (8.5)	
Do not understand	47 (79.7)	3 (5.1)	
Q2. I believe that oral exercises are necessary for me.			
Strongly agree	5 (8.5)	27 (45.8)	<0.001*
Agree	18 (30.5)	17 (28.8)	
Disagree	9 (15.3)	11 (18.6)	
Strongly disagree	27 (45.8)	4 (6.8)	
Q3. Decreased oral function causes poor general health.			
Strongly agree	12 (20.3)	21 (35.6)	<0.001*
Agree	21 (35.6)	25 (42.4)	
Disagree	8 (13.6)	10 (16.9)	
Strongly disagree	18 (30.5)	3 (5.1)	
Q4. In addition to dental caries and periodontal disease screenings, I should get an oral function examination at the dental clinic.			
Strongly agree	8 (13.6)	27 (45.8)	<0.001*
Agree	26 (44.1)	25 (42.4)	
Disagree	12 (20.3)	5 (8.5)	
Strongly disagree	13 (22.0)	2 (3.4)	
Q5. I think it is important to evaluate oral function.			
Strongly agree	13 (22.0)	33 (55.9)	<0.001*
Agree	25 (42.4)	19 (32.2)	
Disagree	11 (18.6)	6 (10.2)	
Strongly disagree	10 (16.9)	1 (1.7)	

* $p < 0.05$.

Question 5, in the ≥ 75 -year group, oral dryness and occlusal force were significantly poorer in respondents who answered negatively ($p = 0.022$ and 0.025 , respectively).

3.2. Analysis 2: Changes in KAOF. The distribution of responses before and after ORF evaluation is shown in Table 5. For all questions, the frequency of positive answers increased significantly after the evaluation ($p < 0.001$). For Question 1, on knowledge regarding oral hypofunction, positive answers comprised 6.8% in the initial dental interview and increased to 86.4% after ORF evaluation ($p < 0.001$), showing that the participants' knowledge of oral hypofunction improved. For Questions 2 and 3, the percentages of negative answers were 61.0% and 44.1%, respectively, before ORF evaluation and decreased to 25.4% and 22.0%, respectively, after evaluation (both $p < 0.001$). Through oral management, including evaluation, participants were able to understand their own ORF and recognize the need for training; furthermore, there was increased recognition that ORF is related to general health. For the questions about the need for evaluation of ORF (Questions 4 and 5), negative answers significantly decreased from 42.4% to 11.9% and from 35.6% to 11.9%, respectively, after oral management (both $p < 0.001$). The number of participants who wanted to have their ORF (in

addition to caries and periodontal diseases) examined at the dental office increased.

4. Discussion

This study aimed to elucidate the relationship between KAOF and ORF in middle-aged and older individuals and the effect of management and motivation by dental practitioners on KAOF. The results indicated that older adults with poor KAOF had poor ORF. Furthermore, KAOF improved significantly after ORF evaluation and motivation. These results affirm the importance of KAOF, similar to previous reports that patients with poor dental knowledge had poor periodontal health [17, 18]. Patients with a poor understanding of oral health had poor oral hygiene and were found to improve their understanding of oral health and brushing frequency after education by dental hygienists [26]. According to the aforementioned studies, it is expected that ORF education will inculcate an understanding of ORF, resulting in improved ORF. For community-dwelling older adults, a decline in systemic and oral function is a serious health problem requiring long-term care [27]. Appropriate management of oral hypofunction is crucial for preventing these problems, as well as physical frailty and sarcopenia [28].

4.1. Relationship between KAOF and ORF before Evaluating ORF. For Question 1, which assessed understanding of oral hypofunction, 93.7% of the participants responded negatively, suggesting that public awareness of oral hypofunction was insufficient. Recently, in Japan, information about the relationship between general health and oral health has spread through various media, including the Internet and books. However, according to a previous survey in a Japanese region, only 11.6% of dental clinics in the area performed ORF examinations routinely [29]. Even in the survey by the training institutions of JSG, only 45 institutions (54%) measured ORF [30]. Therefore, awareness of oral hypofunction was low not only among general middle-aged and older adults but also among dental practitioners.

Individuals who responded positively to Question 2 tended to have poorer ORF than individuals who responded negatively. In the ≥ 75 year age group, individuals who responded positively showed poor ORF in four criteria: oral hygiene, oral dryness, tongue pressure, and swallowing function. Question 2 inquired about the necessity of training in ORF. Therefore, many participants who responded positively may be aware of their poor ORF and the need for training.

Individuals with negative responses to Question 3, on the relationship between ORF and general health, also showed poor tongue-lip motor function, especially those individuals ≥ 75 years old. Patients with positive KAOF may have higher health literacy and normally take care of their own health, including oral health. Since they are likely to be actively exercising for their oral and general health, regular feedback on their health status and suggestions for more effective exercises may be required. As it is evident that participants who responded negatively may not be aware of their poor ORF, it is important to provide health education.

For Questions 4 and 5, on the necessity of examining ORF, the ≥ 75 year group had a similar number of positive and negative responses; however, patients aged < 75 years had more positive responses, suggesting that the younger the age, the more healthconscious the participants were. Television programs and magazines include content on awareness regarding preventive medicine, such as the promotion of healthy lifestyles and the introduction and practice of simple exercises. In addition, preventive health education, including for ORF, should be provided to older individuals by professionals when they visit the dental office. This may improve healthy life expectancy. Our results also suggest that education on preventive healthcare is particularly important for those aged 75 years and above.

4.2. Changes in KAOF. The responses to Questions 1 to 5 significantly improved in terms of the ratio of positive responses after ORF evaluation. Therefore, the evaluation of ORF and awareness regarding oral hypofunction could have significantly influenced KAOF. As simple interventions, such as evaluation and education of ORF, can improve KAOF, it is important to motivate patients during dental office visits.

Some oral diseases have no noticeable symptoms and may progress gradually. If years pass without appropriate treatment, this may result in tooth loss. A decrease in the number of teeth has a significant effect on the decline in ORF, such as masticatory function and occlusal force. However, there are reports that masticatory function improves when occlusion is enhanced [31]. Tooth loss was associated with a lower intake of meat, fruits, and vegetables [32, 33], and a decline in occlusal force was associated with a lower intake of dietary fiber, most vitamins, and minerals [34]. Other reports suggest a relationship between poor ORF and malnutrition [35, 36]. Malnutrition, including a lower intake of proteins and vitamins, may worsen general health and decrease motor function and immunity, increasing the risk of musculoskeletal disorders and infections.

Temporomandibular joint disease can also make maintenance of oral hygiene and eating difficult. Fatigue and pain in opening and closing the mouth can lead to avoidance of hard foods and reluctance to eat [37]. In addition to affecting ORF, temporomandibular joint disease also decreases oral health satisfaction [38]. In this study, occlusal force and masticatory function were examined, but the status of the temporomandibular joint was not evaluated. Because there are systemic diseases that may affect the temporomandibular joint [39], it is important to carefully monitor medical histories when evaluating ORF in older adults and patients with systemic diseases. Since temporomandibular joint disease makes opening and closing the mouth, occlusion, and mastication difficult [37], it is recommended that temporomandibular joint symptoms also be identified when evaluating ORF.

The present study suggests that middle-aged and older adults with poor KAOF had significantly poorer ORF, especially ODK, which worsened with age. Although ORF declines with age, improving KAOF may help to slow the decline. In dental clinics, ORF assessment is recommended for middle-aged and older adults who show signs of declining ORF and who may be motivated by education that focuses on the impact of poor ORF and the need to maintain and improve it. In addition, patients and dental practitioners need to understand the importance of early evaluation and education. Oral health education will help end the cycle of frailty, slow down the speed of the cycle, and thus increase the healthy life expectancy of older adults.

4.3. Limitations. This study has several limitations. First, only short-term changes in KAOF, at the time of evaluation of ORF during a dental visit, were demonstrated. Participants were not necessarily patients who visited the dental office with ORF-related chief complaints. Therefore, routine management and follow-up of the participants were difficult. To maintain a good ORF, it is essential to maintain a positive KAOF through regular evaluation and education, and daily practice and training are required to maintain and improve ORF. Although KAOF can be improved by brief interventions, long-term and continuous management is desirable for sustained improvement, especially in patients with negative KAOF. Further studies with extended follow-up

periods are warranted to elucidate the effect of ORF management on sustained positive KAOF.

Second, this study was observational and lacked a control group. The ORF was evaluated, followed by education, and then a postassessment was conducted. If we had included a control group of individuals who were not provided education regarding ORF, the KAOF of that group may or may not have improved. Therefore, it would have provided better insight into whether education regarding ORF in conjunction with ORF examination is better than ORF examination alone. Further studies are warranted to elucidate how ORF evaluation and education contribute to the improvement of KAOF.

5. Conclusion

Middle-aged and elderly individuals with poor KAOF were more likely to have worsening ORF, although KAOF could be improved by ORF assessment and education. These results indicate that to prevent ORF decline and frailty, it is important to evaluate the general condition of the individual, including medical history and nutrition status, as well as the oral health status, including occlusion and temporomandibular joint condition, from an early age, and that appropriate oral management and motivation are important. Furthermore, this study suggests that the general awareness of oral hypofunction may be low; therefore, more public awareness programs are needed.

Data Availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by JSPS KAKENHI (Grant no. 19K24129).

References

- [1] PDH United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, World Population Ageing 2020, <https://population.un.org/wpp/>.
- [2] SBO Japan, Population Estimates, 2022.
- [3] WHO, *Life Expectancy and Healthy Life Expectancy Data by Country*, WHO, Geneva, Switzerland, 2020.
- [4] MOH Health and Welfare Bureau for the Elderly, Abour and Welfare, Long-Term Care Insurance System of Japan, 2016, https://www.mhlw.go.jp/english/policy/care-welfare/care-welfare-elderly/dl/lcjsj_e.pdf.
- [5] B. H. Goodpaster, S. W. Park, T. B. Harris et al., "The loss of skeletal muscle strength, mass, and quality in older adults: the health, aging and body composition study," *The Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences*, vol. 61, no. 10, pp. 1059–1064, 2006.
- [6] I. B. Lamster, L. Asadourian, T. Del Carmen, and P. K. Friedman, "The aging mouth: differentiating normal aging from disease," *Periodontology*, vol. 72, no. 1, pp. 96–107, 2016.
- [7] E. Krall, C. Hayes, and R. Garcia, "HOW dentition status and masticatory function affect nutrient intake," *The Journal of the American Dental Association*, vol. 129, no. 9, pp. 1261–1269, 1998.
- [8] K. Ikebe, T. Hazeyama, K. Iwase et al., "Association of symptomless TMJ sounds with occlusal force and masticatory performance in older adults," *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 317–323, 2008.
- [9] T. Gaszynski, E. Gaszynska, M. Godala, and F. Szatko, "Masseter muscle tension, chewing ability, and selected parameters of physical fitness in elderly care home residents in Lodz, Poland," *Clinical Interventions in Aging*, vol. 9, pp. 1197–1203, 2014.
- [10] S. Delwel, E. J. A. Scherder, R. S. G. M. Perez, C. M. P. M. Hertogh, A. B. Maier, and F. Lobbezoo, "Oral function of older people with mild cognitive impairment or dementia," *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*, vol. 45, no. 12, pp. 990–997, 2018.
- [11] A. Momose, S. Yamaguchi, A. Okada et al., "Factors associated with long-term care certification in older adults: a cross-sectional study based on a nationally representative survey in Japan," *BMC Geriatrics*, vol. 21, no. 1, p. 374, 2021.
- [12] S. Minakuchi, K. Tsuga, K. Ikebe et al., "Oral hypofunction in the older population: position paper of the Japanese society of gerodontology in 2016," *Gerodontology*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 317–324, 2018.
- [13] L. P. Fried, C. M. Tangen, J. Walston et al., "Frailty in older adults: evidence for a phenotype," *The Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences*, vol. 56, no. 3, pp. M146–M157, 2001.
- [14] Q. L. Xue, "The frailty syndrome: definition and natural history," *Clinics in Geriatric Medicine*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 2011.
- [15] B. Jonsson, K. Ohrn, P. Lindberg, and N. Oscarson, "Evaluation of an individually tailored oral health educational programme on periodontal health," *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*, vol. 37, no. 10, pp. 912–919, 2010.
- [16] M. Saffari, H. Sanaeinasab, M. Mobini et al., "Effect of a health-education program using motivational interviewing on oral health behavior and self-efficacy in pregnant women: a randomized controlled trial," *European Journal of Oral Sciences*, vol. 128, no. 4, pp. 308–316, 2020.
- [17] J. S. Holtzman, K. A. Atchison, M. D. Macek, and D. Markovic, "Oral health literacy and measures of periodontal disease," *Journal of Periodontology*, vol. 88, no. 1, pp. 78–88, 2017.
- [18] J. K. Baskaradoss, "Relationship between oral health literacy and oral health status," *BMC Oral Health*, vol. 18, no. 1, p. 172, 2018.
- [19] T. Shimizu, T. Ueda, and K. Sakurai, "New method for evaluation of tongue-coating status," *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*, vol. 34, no. 6, pp. 442–447, 2007.
- [20] Y. Fukushima, S. Kokabu, A. Kanaya et al., "Experimental examination of appropriate measurement method of oral moisture checking device," *Journal of Japanese Society for Oral Mucous Membrane*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 16–25, 2007.
- [21] T. Suzuki, H. Kumagai, T. Watanabe, T. Uchida, and M. Nagao, "Evaluation of complete denture occlusal contacts using pressure-sensitive sheets," *The International Journal of Prosthodontics*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 386–391, 1997.

- [22] A. Yamada, M. Kanazawa, Y. Komagamine, and S. Minakuchi, "Association between tongue and lip functions and masticatory performance in young dentate adults," *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*, vol. 42, no. 11, pp. 833–839, 2015.
- [23] Y. Utanohara, R. Hayashi, M. Yoshikawa, M. Yoshida, K. Tsuga, and Y. Akagawa, "Standard values of maximum tongue pressure taken using newly developed disposable tongue pressure measurement device," *Dysphagia*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 286–290, 2008.
- [24] H. Uesugi and H. Shiga, "Relationship between masticatory performance using a gummy jelly and masticatory movement," *Journal of Prosthodontic Research*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 419–425, 2017.
- [25] P. C. Belafsky, D. A. Mouadeb, C. J. Rees et al., "Validity and reliability of the eating assessment tool (EAT-10)," *Annals of Otology, Rhinology & Laryngology*, vol. 117, no. 12, pp. 919–924, 2008.
- [26] H. Nakata, K. Matsuo, H. Suzuki, and A. Yoshihara, "Peri-operative changes in knowledge and attitude toward oral health by oral health education," *Oral Diseases*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 1214–1220, 2019.
- [27] T. Tanaka, K. Takahashi, H. Hirano et al., "Oral frailty as a risk factor for physical frailty and mortality in community-dwelling elderly," *The Journals of Gerontology: Series A*, vol. 73, no. 12, pp. 1661–1667, 2018.
- [28] M. Yoshida, A. Hiraoka, C. Takeda et al., "Oral hypofunction and its relation to frailty and sarcopenia in community-dwelling older people," *Gerodontology*, vol. 31, 2021.
- [29] Y. Yamaguchi, K. Morinaga, M. Yamaguchi et al., "State of implementation of examination and issues of oral hypofunction: a questionnaire survey for general dental practitioners," *Ronen Shika Igaku*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 176–182, 2020.
- [30] Y. Sato, N. Kitagawa, T. Shichita, Y. Hatanaka, and Y. Uchida, "State of implementation of examination and management of oral hypofunction newly introduced to the national health insurance: number of operations, required time and problems," *Ronen Shika Igaku*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 415–421, 2019.
- [31] S. Kim, R.-M. Doh, L. Yoo, S.-A. Jeong, and B.-Y. Jung, "Assessment of age-related changes on masticatory function in a population with normal dentition," *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, vol. 18, no. 13, p. 6899, 2021.
- [32] K. Wakai, M. Naito, T. Naito et al., "Tooth loss and intakes of nutrients and foods: a nationwide survey of Japanese dentists," *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 43–49, 2010.
- [33] A. M. L. Pedersen, A. W. Dynesen, and B. L. Heitmann, "Older age, smoking, tooth loss and denture-wearing but neither xerostomia nor salivary gland hypofunction are associated with low intakes of fruit and vegetables in older Danish adults," *Journal of Nutrition Sciences*, vol. 10, p. e47, 2021.
- [34] C. Inomata, K. Ikebe, H. Okubo et al., "Dietary intake is associated with occlusal force rather than number of teeth in 80-y-old Japanese," *JDR Clinical & Translational Research*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 187–197, 2017.
- [35] M. Iwasaki, K. Motokawa, Y. Watanabe et al., "Oral hypofunction and malnutrition among community-dwelling older adults: evidence from the Otassha study," *Gerodontology*, vol. 39, 2021.
- [36] M. Ohta, Y. Imamura, N. Chebib et al., "Oral function and nutritional status in non-acute hospitalised elders," *Gerodontology*, vol. 39, 2021.
- [37] J. Grönqvist, B. Häggman-Henrikson, and P. O. Eriksson, "Impaired jaw function and eating difficulties in whiplash-associated disorders," *Swedish Dental Journal*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 171–177, 2008.
- [38] L. A. D. Melo, M. D. M. Sousa, A. K. B. D. Medeiros, A. D. F. P. Carreiro, and K. C. D. Lima, "Fatores associados à auto percepção negativa da saúde bucal em idosos institucionalizados," *Ciência & Saúde Coletiva*, vol. 21, no. 11, pp. 3339–3346, 2016.
- [39] G. Matarese, G. Isola, A. Alibrandi et al., "Occlusal and MRI characterizations in systemic sclerosis patients: a prospective study from Southern Italian cohort," *Joint Bone Spine*, vol. 83, no. 1, pp. 57–62, 2016.