

# Review Article

# Assessment of Oxidative Stress by the Estimation of Lipid Peroxidation Marker Malondialdehyde (MDA) in Patients with Chronic Periodontitis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

# Khadijah Mohideen,<sup>1</sup> Krithika Chandrasekar,<sup>2</sup> Saranya Ramsridhar,<sup>1</sup> Chandini Rajkumar,<sup>1</sup> Snehashish Ghosh<sup>0</sup>,<sup>3</sup> and Safal Dhungel<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Oral Pathology and Microbiology, Sathyabama Dental College and Hospital,

Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology, Chennai 600119, India

<sup>2</sup>Meenakshi Academy of Higher Education and Research, West K. K. Nagar, Chennai 600078, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Oral Pathology, College of Medical Sciences, Bharatpur 44200, Nepal

<sup>4</sup>Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, College of Medical Sciences, Bharatpur 44200, Nepal

Correspondence should be addressed to Snehashish Ghosh; drsnehashishop@gmail.com

Received 29 December 2022; Revised 7 May 2023; Accepted 12 May 2023; Published 30 May 2023

Academic Editor: Hua-Hong Chien

Copyright © 2023 Khadijah Mohideen et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Objective*. The present systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to assess the oxidative stress-mediated lipid peroxidation end product malondialdehyde (MDA) in periodontitis using the available literature. *Materials and Methods*. An electronic literature search was performed for the published articles from 2000 to 2022 in PubMed (MeSH), Science Direct, Wiley Online library, and cross-reference using specific keywords. *Results*. The literature search identified 1,166 articles. After analyzing the abstracts of the obtained articles, the articles were excluded for the following reasons: duplicate studies (n = 395) and not relevant to the research question (n = 726). The remaining 45 articles were chosen for full-text evaluation. Finally, the present qualitative synthesis selected 34 articles that met the inclusion criteria for evaluation and removed the articles which did not meet the required criteria (n = 11). Out of these, 16 articles had coherent data for quantitative synthesis. The meta-analysis used the standardized mean differences method at a 95% confidence interval by random-effects model. The periodontitis group displayed significantly higher MDA levels (P < 0.001) in gingival crevicular fluid, saliva, and serum samples of the studies analyzed than the healthy control. *Conclusion*. The analyzed studies showed significantly higher MDA levels in various biological samples of patients with periodontitis, supporting the role of elevated oxidative stress and consequent lipid peroxidation in periodontitis.

# 1. Introduction

Periodontitis is one of the most widespread oral diseases, affecting around 50% of the adult population [1]. Its incidence differs in various populations and depends on an individual's oral hygiene and socioeconomic position [2]. The inflammatory chronic periodontal diseases are triggered by dental biofilm infection, leading to the destruction of supporting tissue and alveolar bone and teeth loss [3]. The progression of the disease is considered a complex interplay of exaggerated inflammatory reactions, genetic risk factors, smoking habits, poor oral hygiene, malnutrition, and aberrant immune responses caused by periodontal pathogens [4].

The reactive oxygen or nitrogen species (ROS/RNS) or free radicals are excessively produced mainly by hyperactive neutrophils or by direct microbial release, which cannot be counteracted by the antioxidant defense system and results in oxidative stress (OS) and subsequent tissue damage [5]. Low levels of ROS are essential to maintain critical biological processes, eliminating pathogenic micro-organisms and stimulating epithelial and fibroblast cell growth [6]. The elevated concentrations of ROS create an OS environment and promote a diversity of biological processes, such as neutrophil infiltration and activation of fibroblasts and osteoclasts [7]. It is established that OS is an integral part of the inflammatory process and modulates the burden of disease and healing. Independent of the mechanism, OS mediates pathologic effects, leading to cell membrane lysis, activation of proteolytic enzymes, inactivation of proteolytic enzyme inhibitors, deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) fragmentation, and in most severe situations, cell death. Therefore, degradation of collagenous and extracellular matrix-specific components may occur, possibly explaining periodontal tissue destruction [8].

OS is characterized by increased metabolites or end products of lipid peroxidation (LPO), DNA damage, and protein damage [9]. Assessing these end products in various biological samples provides clues about specific footprints of lipid, protein, and DNA oxidative damage and is the most effective manner to detect OS response in a host. Optimal biomarkers for evaluating OS in pathological diseases should be stable, appreciable in small concentrations, reveal specific oxidation pathways, and relate to disease initiation, progression, and severity [10]. Though the free radicals or oxidants are highly reactive and possess a short half-life, the products released from the reaction of ROS with biological macromolecules are preferably used as biomarkers of oxidative injury in the pathological process of periodontitis [11].

LPO is the most commonly investigated derivative of oxidative damage associated with periodontal diseases [12]. LPO results from the reaction of lipids such as polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) with RNS/ROS that results in a complex process of degradation or decomposition reactions of hydroperoxides and a wide range of end products are released [12]. The end products of LPO are more stable than free radicals. They can also react with other macromolecules far from their production site, including DNA, proteins, and phospholipids. The markers produced during LPO are malondialdehyde (MDA), 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal, Isoprostanes, and conjugated dienes. The most investigated LPO marker is MDA; MDA is released from fatty acids and consists of two or more methylene-interrupted double bonds [13]. Extensive research data indicates that the MDA level in various biological samples may be a reliable indicator of the extent of oxidative injury to cells and tissues of the body [14]. Available literature indicates that MDA levels are strongly linked with periodontal tissue inflammation and supporting tissue destruction [15]. Only a few studies dealt with other LPO markers, so the literature about their usefulness for monitoring oral diseases is limited.

It is essential and urgent to validate the OS-mediated LPO biomarkers' effectiveness and their association with periodontal disease. Thus, the present systematic review (SR) aims to analyze the literature about the level of OS-mediated LPO by assessing the mean value of MDA in biological samples of patients affected with periodontitis.

# 2. Materials and Methods

The present SR was performed per the PRISMA statement guidelines [16].

2.1. Focused Question of Interest. Is there a significant difference present in the levels of MDA between the patients affected with periodontitis and the healthy control group? Considering the Population, Exposure, Comparators, and Outcomes principles, the SR focused on the alterations of OS-mediated LPO marker MDA in saliva, gingival crevicular fluid (GCF), serum, and plasma of patients with and without periodontitis to test the association between OS and periodontitis.

2.2. Electronic Search Identification. The literature search was performed in the electronic databases, including PubMed, Science Direct, Wiley online library and Cross-reference, for previously published articles that addressed lipid peroxidation in periodontitis by assessing MDA levels between 2000 and 2022 in the English language. The literature search in the PubMed database was performed using the following keywords in the title or abstract such as (1) keywords: ROS; Reactive oxygen species; OS; Oxidative stress; lipid peroxidation; MDA; Malondialdehyde; connected by Boolean operator OR. (2) keywords: Blood; saliva was connected by OR (3) keyword: Periodontitis was connected with AND. The articles identified in the PubMed database searches filtered by "humans"; publication year from 2000 to 2022; and articles in the English language.

Keywords searched in the Science Direct database were Oxidative Stress and Periodontitis. The articles identified in the Science Direct database searches filtered by Research Articles with subject areas: Medicine and Dentistry; Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology; Pharmacology, Toxicology and Pharmaceutical Science; publication year from 2000 to 2022.

In the Cochrane database, the title or abstract keywords given were lipid peroxidation AND periodontitis; Malondialdehyde AND periodontitis. The articles identified in the Cochrane database searches were filtered by publication year from 2000 to 2022; and articles in the English language.

In Wiley Online database, an adaptation of the belowmentioned search strategy was performed; <title>Wiley-Online-Library: keyword search (Keywords: Malondialdehyde) AND (Keywords: periodontitis) AND (Earliest: 2000 TO 2022).

2.3. Screening for Relevance. The titles and objectives of the identified articles were screened for relevance and duplication.

- 2.4. Inclusion Criteria
  - (i) Studies discussed the OS in periodontitis (localized or generalized) by the assessment of LPO marker MDA.
  - (ii) Cross-sectional or prospective, case—control, and observational studies with a healthy control group were conducted in human adults without any restrictions on the sample size.
  - (iii) Studies involving various biological samples and compared the data in the periodontitis group with the control group.

The case and control both included systemically healthy individuals who did not use antibiotics, anti-inflammatories, or other drugs and had no history of periodontal treatment in the last 3 months.

- (i) The studies of systemic diseases associated with periodontitis included only if they included systemically healthy control and periodontitis group as a separate evaluation group for the specified marker assessment.
- (ii) The studies involving smokers associated with periodontitis included only when they included nonsmokers in periodontitis and the control group as a separate evaluation group for the specified marker assessment.
- (iii) The studies evaluated the specified marker after therapy effect in periodontitis included only if they specified baseline values for periodontitis and the control group in particular.

Papers provided MDA mean values and standard deviation (SD) or median values with (minimum–maximum) details along with statistical significance *P*-value.

#### 2.5. Exclusion Criteria

- (i) Studies that did not address periodontal disease lacked a control group and the studies did not provide adequate data for comparison with other studies
- (ii) Studies with unmatched objectives used other OS or LPO markers as a marker of evaluation
- (iii) Being literature or systematic reviews
- (iv) Duplicated studies (studies involving the same subjects by the same authors)
- (v) Results displayed in histogram representation without sufficient data on MDA levels
- (vi) Observational studies involving only pregnant women or children
- (vii) The studies analyzed the therapy effect without the control group evaluation
- (viii) Studies involving systemic diseases or smoking patients without involving systemically healthy or nonsmoker periodontitis and control group as a separate group of evaluation
- (ix) The works have not provided adequate data for comparison with other studies.

2.6. Literature Search. First, two authors (K. M. and K. C.) independently searched the electronic database and extracted the relevant studies from all the articles after scanning titles, abstracts, or full texts. Then the identified articles were reviewed using predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. The articles which did not meet the criteria were excluded from the shortlisted category. In this process, discrepancies were discussed extensively with a third author (C. R.) and resolved. In addition, reference lists from available studies were also screened for other studies that the search strategy had not found.

2.7. Evaluation of the Articles. Two observers (S. G. and S. R.) independently evaluated all the studies against the New

Castle–Ottawa quality measures for the following criteria: selection of study groups (case definition and representativeness); comparison with the control group (consideration of confounding factors that induce OS such as smoking and systemic diseases); exposure (ethics approval, conflicts of interest statement, interviewer blindness, the similarity between the groups presentation of laboratory determined MDA values and nonresponse rate); other limitations such as imprecision (e.g., inadequate data).

2.8. Data Extraction. Two authors (K. M. and C. R.) manually extracted the data from the articles independently. The extracted data from full-text articles were authors, country, year of publication, criteria for periodontitis and control group, sample size, age details of the groups, method of assessment of MDA, MDA values in patients with periodontitis and control group expressed as the mean and SD or median (minimum–maximum) along with specific units and statistical significance. No efforts were made to obtain data that was unavailable from study investigators. The extracted details were reviewed and confirmed by the third author (S. R.) to ensure the accuracy of the collected data, and the discrepancies were discussed to reach a consensus.

2.9. Statistical Analyses. Meta-analyses were performed to summarize the differences in LPO biomarker MDA levels between periodontitis patients and healthy controls if three or more studies reported the MDA measurement and expressed by mean  $\pm$  SD or median (minimum-maximum). Since the selected studies used different assay methods and units for MDA assessment, the standardized mean difference (SMD) and 95% confidence interval (CI) levels were calculated as a summary statistic in meta-analysis to find and analyze the difference in the MDA levels between patients with periodontitis and healthy controls. Regarding the unit of MDA assessment,  $1 \text{ nmole/ml} = 1 \mu \text{M/l}$ , which is considered a similar unit and considered for meta-analysis. Other than that, no effort was taken for unit or data conversion. The studies were presented with out-of-range values and not presented SD values were not included in the meta-analysis. A random-effects model was used and the included studies were weighed by the generic inverse variance method (Q statistic [17]: P < 0.10,  $I^2 > 50\%$ ). The extent of heterogeneity was considered medium to high when the  $I^2$  value was >50%. The statistical analysis was performed by comprehensive meta-analysis software version 3 (Biostat Inc.; Englewood, New Jersey, United States).

### 3. Results

The present meta-analysis included all published relevant cross-sectional and case–control studies to provide a comprehensive quantitative synthesis of cumulative evidence. Science Direct search produced 830 articles, PubMed search produced 277 articles, Wiley Online library produced 10 articles, and cross-reference yielded five articles. After the advanced screening of 1,116 articles, 395 were disqualified due to duplicates and 726 were irrelevant to the topic or interest. After the exclusion of these articles, 45 papers had



FIGURE 1: Flowchart for the process of selection of the studies.

matching objectives to the present SR. The full-text papers were retrieved for the selected articles. Articles with noncoherent data (n = 11) were excluded. Only 34 articles were selected after a full-text review according to the exclusion and inclusion criteria for SR. The prospective clinical intervention studies provided the baseline data before therapy were also included in the review. When there were more than two study groups in an individual study, the SR focused only on systemically healthy periodontitis patients and the healthy control group. Studies selected by the investigators for the present SR had an agreement  $\kappa$  value of 0.86. Finally, 16 articles had coherent data for meta-analysis. The process of identification and appropriate selection of the studies is described in Figure 1.

In total, 34 articles fulfilled the selection criteria. All included studies had medium or high quality. The measured Cohen's  $\kappa$  value of interexaminer reliability was ( $\kappa = 0.84$ ). The results of the quality scale assessment scale are displayed in Table 1 [18–51].

The studies that obtained scores from 6 to 9 were considered high quality, 3–5 were considered fair quality, and scores from 0 to 2 were considered poor quality. The higherquality studies were selected for the present SR.

3.1. Reasons for Exclusion of Studies after Full-Text Assessment. Five studies with a quality score  $\leq 5$  were excluded from the present SR [52–56] during the manuscript revision process by considering the external peer reviewer's suggestions after reevaluation of the New Castle–Ottawa scale table.

Four studies showed histogram representation for MDA assessment [57–60]. One study evaluated MDA in periodontitis smokers and nonsmokers group without a control group assessment [61]. One study was performed on pregnant women with periodontitis [62].

*3.2. Data Summary.* The summary of all the collected data and assessment methodology in various biological samples from the identified studies [63–75] were displayed in a specified format (Table 2). The measured Cohen's  $\kappa$  value of interexaminer reliability was ( $\kappa$ =0.81).

3.3. Characteristics of Studies Included in the SR. Most of the studies matched the case and control groups concerning gender and age, mainly with an age range between 25 and 60 years. Nearly 24 studies included individuals with a gingival index (GI) index < 1 as the control group. There were no relevant data about the GI index of the control group in eleven studies. Most of the studies characterized periodontitis by clinical (periodontal pocket depth, clinical attachment level) and radiological assessment (bone loss) factors. Most of the studies utilized the Armitage [76] criteria to diagnose periodontal disease. One study did not mention the criteria used for periodontal disease diagnosis.

Regarding periodontal disease diagnosis, three studies categorized periodontitis into generalized and aggressive periodontitis (AgP) [33, 38, 45]. Two studies categorized periodontitis as early, moderate, and advanced or Stage I and Stage II periodontitis [19, 23]. Three studies included smokers and nonsmokers group patients with periodontitis [22, 24, 27]. One study included the obese and nonobese groups affected with periodontitis [41].

Three studies compared periodontitis and healthy control group with and without systemic diseases such as type II diabetes [34], hyperlipidemia [37], and acute coronary syndrome [39].

sessment.
c review as
systematic
n the
included i
e articles i
or the
a scale f
Ottaw
Castle
New (
TABLE 1:

		Selection			Compar	ability			Exposure		
Study (reference)	Case definition	Case representativeness	Control selection	Control definition	Matching known confounding factor	Matching potential confounding factor	Secure patient records	Interviewer blinded to cases and control	Similarity in the case and control ascertainment	Non-response rate	Total score
Panjamurthy et al. [18]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1	1	1	7
Mashayekhi et al. [19]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+	I	8
Tsai et al. [20]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+	I	8
Akalin et al. [21]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+	I	8
Borges et al. [22]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+	I	8
Khalili and Biloklytska [23]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+	I	8
Guentsch et al. [24]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+	+	6
Çanakçi et al. [25]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+	I	8
Wei et al. [26]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+	I	8
Tonguç et al. [27]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+	I	8
Dhotre et al. [28]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+	I	8
Dhotre et al. [29]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+	I	8
Gupta et al. [30]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+	I	8
Miricescu et al. [31]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+	I	8
Azizi et al. [32]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+	I	8
Baltacıoğlu et al. [33]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+	I	8
Trivedi et al. [34]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+	I	8
Almerich-Silla et al. [35]	+	+	+	+	+	I	I	I	+	I	9
Trivedi et al. [36]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+	I	8
Fentoğlu et al. [37]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+	I	8
Ghallab et al. [38]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+	I	8
Nguyen et al. [39]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+	I	8
Dahiya et al. [40]	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	I	+	I	7
Atabay et al. [41]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	6
Önder et al. [42]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+	I	8
Ahmadi-Motamayel et al. [43]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Ι	+	I	8
Lutfioğlu et al. [44]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Ι	6
Tripathi et al. [45]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+	I	8
Narendra et al. [46]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Ι	+	Ι	8
Cherian et al. [47]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+	I	8
Sánchez-Villamil et al. [48]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+	I	8
Inasu et al. [49]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+	I	8
Warad et al. [50]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+	I	8
Veljovic et al. [51]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+	+	6

TABLE 2: 1	he mean ;	and SD	values of MDA in	various biological flu	id samples	s between hea.	Ithy groups and patien.	ts with periodontiti	s in the select	ted studies of	t qualitativ	e synthesis.
Study name	Country	Type	Case criteria (periodontitis)	Age (years) group PD/control (mean±SD or median, minimum-maximum)	Sample type	Unit of measurement	Case mean ± SD/ median (minimum-maximum)	Case sample size (M/F)	Control mean±SD	Control sample size (M/F)	<i>P</i> -value	Method
			PPD (>3.5 mm),		Plasma TBARs	nmol/ml	$10.34\pm1.9$	25 M	$4.79\pm0.83$	25 M	<0.001	Yagi [63]
Daniamurthy			guigival recession (Grade III),		RBC	pmol/mg Hb	$8.79\pm2.1$	25 M	$3.65\pm0.52$	25 M	<0.001	
et al. [18]	India	8	furcation involvement and	25–35 (both groups)	RBC memb	nmol/mg protein	$1.06\pm0.13$	25 M	$0.39\pm0.06$	25 M	<0.001	Donnan [64]
			degree of tooth mobility.		Gingival tissue	nmol/100 mg protein	$170.6\pm24.8$	25 M	$130.8\pm16.9$	25 M	<0.001	Ohkawa et al. [65]
Mashayekhi et al. [19]	Iran	CC	American Dental Association	25–55 (both groups)	Saliva TBARs	/mol/ml	Early (1.22); moderate (1.22); advanced (1.35)	24 (12/12) early (8); moderate (8); advanced (8)	1.2	œ	<0.01- Advanced	Satoh [66]
Tsai et al.	Taiwan	00	PPD≥3 mm, PAL>2 mm, and		Saliva LPO	Мη	$0.66\pm0.36$	13	$0.13\pm0.08$	6	<0.0005	Bioxy tech
[20]			$GI \ge 1$		GCF LPO	μM	$151.9\pm77.7$	13	$50.66\pm37.22$	6	<0.005	assay
			AAP [76]: 30% periodontal bone	$40.66 \pm 5.31/$ $38.5 \pm 6.10$	Saliva	Μ'n	$0.127\pm0.08$	36 (19/17)	$0.08\pm0.059$	28 (13/15)	<0.05	
Akalin et al. [21]	Turkey	CC	loss with PAL≥2 mm and	$40.66 \pm 5.31/$ $38.5 \pm 6.10$	Serum	Μų	$0.6\pm0.16$	36 (19/17)	$0.58\pm0.16$	28 (13/15)	>0.05	Young and Trimble [67]
			GI≥5 mm deep pockets with bleeding on probing	$40.66 \pm 5.31/$ $38.5 \pm 6.10$	GCF	Μų	$0.88\pm0.18$	36 (19/17)	$0.67\pm0.13$	28 (13/15)	<0.05	
Borges et al. [22]	Brasil	CC	BOP: at least five or six sites with PPD ≥ 5 mm, attachment loss ≥3 mm, and extensive radiographic bone loss	52.9 ± 5.0/51.1 ± 9.6	Gingival tissue TBARS	nmol/g protein	188.8±20.7	9 (4/5)	113.1 ± 16.59	9 (4/5)	0.015	Ohkawa et al. [65]
Khalili and Biloklytska [23]	Ukraine	S	AAP [78]: CAL, early (1–2 mm), moderate (3-4 mm), and severe $(\geq 5 mm)$ with $\geq 30\%$ of the sites	18–65/22–29 years	Saliva	/loul/l	Early $(28.08 \pm 1.56)$ ; moderate $(39.01 \pm 1.59)$ ; severe $(65.20 \pm 2.00)$	74 (22/52) early (30); moderate(30); severe (14)	$5.16 \pm 0.03$	30 (10/20)	<0.05	Stalnaya and Garishvili [68]

						TABLE 2: Co	ontinued.					
Study name	Country	Type	Case criteria (periodontitis)	Age (years) group PD/control (mean ± SD or median, minimum-maximum)	Sample type	Unit of measurement	Case mean ± SD/ median (minimum-maximum)	Case sample size (M/F)	Control mean 土 SD	Control sample size (M/F)	<i>P</i> -value	Method
Guentsch et al. [24]	Germany	PS	At least 30% of teeth with pockets >5 mm	$46.3 \pm 13.1/$ $34.1 \pm 11.8$	Saliva	µmol/l	$0.109\pm0.07$	30 (14/16)	$0.075\pm0.065$	30 (14/16)	<0.05	Yagi [69]
Çanakçi et al. [25]	Turkey	CS	AAP [76]: two sites with PPD of $\geq 4 \text{ mm}$ , gingivitis and 30% bone loss	45.3±0.97/ 42.7±12.4	Saliva	nmol/ml	$7.35 \pm 1.45$	30 (15/15)	$5.41 \pm 1.13$	30 (15/15)	<0.001	Jain et al. [70]
Wei et al. [26]	China	PS	AAP [76]: teeth with 30% periodontal bone loss and with ≥5 mm deep	$40.1 \pm 7.3/42.1 \pm 7.7$ $40.1 \pm 7.3/42.1 \pm 7.7$ $40.1 \pm 7.3/42.1 \pm 7.7$	Saliva Serum GCF	mmol mmol	$0.11 \pm 0.05$ $0.72 \pm 0.13$ 1.03 + 0.22	48 (27/21) 48 (27/21) 48 (27/21)	$0.1 \pm 0.02$ $0.68 \pm 0.11$ $0.51 \pm 0.19$	35 (19/16) 35 (19/16) 35 (19/16)	>0.05 >0.05	Young and Trimble [67]
			pockets with gingivitis and bleeding on probing	///	700	TOTTTT	77.0 + 00.1	(17//7) 01	C1.0 + 10.0		C0:0~	
			Armitage [76]: moderate	20-50/25-49	Gingival tissue	nmol/mg protein	$1.257\pm0.49$	65 (32/33)	$0.863\pm0.759$	20 (11/9)	<0.01	
Tonguç et al. [27]	Turkey	CS	generalized periodontitis (≥3 mm AL, <5 mm throughout ≥30% of the mouth)	20-50/25-49	Blood	nmol/mg hemoglobin	$77.2 \pm 81.8$	65 (32/33)	$40.2\pm14.27$	20 (11/9)	<0.01	Draper and Hadley [71]
			AAP [76]: teeth with 30%	$52.7 \pm 9.27/$ $50.3 \pm 9.39$	Saliva	nmol/ml	$7.36\pm0.72$	100 (60/40)	$5.21\pm0.6$	100~(60/40)	<0.001	
Dhotre et al. [28]	India	CC	periodontal bone loss and with ≥5 mm deep pockets	$52.7 \pm 9.27$ / $50.3 \pm 9.39$	Serum	nmol/ml	<b>6.55</b> ±1.21	100 (60/40)	$3.71 \pm 0.4$	100 (60/40)	<0.001	Satoh [66]
Dhotre et al. [29]	India	CC	CAL ≥ 4 mm, PPD ≥ 4 mm, with BOP		Serum	nmol/ml	$6.97\pm1.03$	50	$3.71 \pm 0.4$	25	<0.001	Satoh [66]
			Existence of calculus and	30–65 (case)	Saliva	nmol/l	$3.39\pm0.54$	30	$1.17 \pm 0.27$	30	<0.001	
Gupta et al. [30]	India	CC	plaques with attachment loss of $\geq 2 \text{ mm in at least}$ three different sites	30–65 (case)	Serum	nmol/l	$1.75\pm0.35$	30	$1.18\pm0.28$	30	<0.001	Randox laboratory
Miricescu et al. [31]	Romania	CC	At least six sites with PPD≥ 4 mm, LB> 30% with gingivitis	$51.26 \pm 7.4/18.66 \pm 2$	Saliva	nmol/mg albumin	$0.296\pm0.1$	25 (14/11)	$0.25\pm0.4$	25 (20/5)	<0.05	TBARS assay
												(continued)

						TAULU 4.	onunuca:					
Study name	Country	Type	Case criteria (periodontitis)	Age (years) group PD/control (mean ± SD or median, minimum-maximum)	Sample type	Unit of measurement	Case mean±SD/ median (minimum–maximum)	Case sample size (M/F)	Control mean ± SD	Control sample size (M/F)	<i>P</i> -value	Method
Azizi et al. [32]	India	CC	AAP [76]: at least 30% sites with PPD 5 mm and CAL≥3 mm with gingivitis and BOP	$37-50/39.64\pm5.04$	Serum	nmol/ml	$4.4\pm0.45$	134 (M)	$2.1 \pm 0.2$	64 (M)	<0.001	Satoh [66]
Baltacıoğlu et al. [33]	Turkey	C & C	AAP [76]: multiple sites with $PDD \ge 5 mm$ , $LB \ge 30\%$ , and $LA \ge 5 mm$	$32.55 \pm 5.32/$ $30.10 \pm 4.06$ $32.55 \pm 5.32/$ $30.10 \pm 4.06$	Saliva Serum	lom <i>u</i> ,	Periodontitis: 0.15 (0.1–0.18); GAP: 0.15 (0.14–0.18) Periodontitis: 0.62 ± 0.14; GAP: 0.63 ± 0.09	<ul> <li>33 (16/17)</li> <li>periodontitis;</li> <li>35 GAP</li> <li>33 (16/17)</li> <li>periodontitis; 35</li> <li>GAP</li> </ul>	$0.087 \pm 0.035$ $0.6 \pm 0.08$	30 (16/14) 30 (16/14)	<0.05	Young and Trimble [67]
Trivedi et al. [34]	India	CC & CS	Armitage [76]: two or more tooth sites with $PP \ge 4 \text{ mm or}$ CAL $\ge 4 \text{ mm that}$ bled on probing	20–65 20–65	Saliva Plasma	nmol/ml nmol/ml	$9.09 \pm 8.16$ $17.56 \pm 6.07$	30 (11/19) 30 (11/19)	$1.53 \pm 1.3$ $8.62 \pm 8.46$	30 (6/24) 30 (6/24)	<0.05<0.05	Ohkawa et al. [65]
Almerich- Silla et al. [35]	Spain	CC	At least four zones with $PP \ge 5 \text{ mm}$ and $LA \ge 2 \text{ mm}$	41-45/38-43	Saliva	lomn	$5.94 \pm 1.02$	33 (19/14)	$4.427\pm0.922$	37 (15/22)	<0.05	NWLSS; NWK-MDA01
Trivedi et al. [36]	India	CS &CC	Armitage [76]: at least 30% sites with pockets >5 mm	30.7 ± 5.3/35.7 ± 5.9	Saliva	nmol/ml	<b>9.34</b> ±8.15	30 (14/16)	$1.39\pm1.28$	30 (15/15)	<0.001	Ohkawa et al. [65]
Fentoğlu et al. [37]	Turkey	CC	Armitage [76]: $\geq 4$ teeth with PD $\geq 5$ mm and CAL $\geq 2$ mm at the same time	$43.47 \pm 9.53/$ $43.41 \pm 9.82$	Serum	g/lomn	31.64±11.51	19 (9/10)	$39.11 \pm 10.01$	19 (9/10)	<0.04	Draper and Hadley [71]
Ghallab et al. [38]	Egypt	CS	AAP [77]: minimum of six teeth with at least one site each with PPD and CAL > 5 mm	$42.2 \pm 2.55/26 \pm 2.67$	GCF	lom <i>u</i>	Periodontitis: 1.1 $\pm$ 0.2; GAP: 1.8 $\pm$ 0.4	25 (14/11)	$0.5\pm0.1$	15 (6/7)	<0.001	Young and Trimble [67]
Nguyen et al. [39]	Vietnam	CS	Armitage [76]: at least 10 sites with a PPD of $\geq$ 5 mm and >30% of the sites with an AL of $\geq$ 2 mm	51.04±12.25/ 51.17±11.88	Saliva	lom <i>u</i> ,	$1.55\pm0.52$	24 (12/12)	$0.36\pm0.44$	24 (14/10)	<0.05	Ohkawa et al. [65]

TABLE 2: Continued.

8

						TABLE 2: CO	ontinued.					
Study name	Country	Type	Case criteria (periodontitis)	Age (years) group PD/control (mean ± SD or median, minimum-maximum)	Sample type	Unit of measurement	Case mean ± SD/ median (minimum–maximum)	Case sample size (M/F)	Control mean±SD	Control sample size (M/F)	<i>P</i> -value	Method
Dahiya et al. [40]	India	CC	AAP [76]: at least with 30% periodontal bone loss and with ≥5 mm deep pockets	20–50 (both groups)	Serum	lb/lomn	$308\pm58.5$	20 (10/10)	194.4±22	20 (10/10)	<0.01	Yagi [72]
Atabay et al. [41]	Turkey	CC	Armitage [76]: $\geq 30\%$ of sites with PPD $\geq 5$ mm and with CAL $\geq 5$ mm and $\geq 30\%$ alveolar bone loss	$42.47 \pm 2.99/$ $39.60 \pm 5.84$	GCF	µmol/l	826.4 ± 79	15 (9/6)	$566.3 \pm 14.1$	15 (6/9)	<0.001	Bioxytech, MDA-586
Önder et al. [42]	Turkey	Sd	Armitage [76]; minimum of 16 teeth and eight sites with $PPD \ge 6 mm$ , and at least four sites with AL $\ge 5 mm$ in two quadrants	45.8 ± 5.2/45.1 ± 6.6 45.8 ± 5.2/45.1 ± 6.6	Serum Saliva	pmol/l m/lomq	$0.165 \pm 0.01$ $0.602 \pm 0.18$	25 (14/11) 25 (14/11)	$0.16 \pm 0.016$ $0.355 \pm 0.1$	26 (11/15) 26 (11/15)	<0.612<0.001	Canakci et al. [73]
Ahmadi- Motamayel et al. [43]	Iran	CC	CAL of ≥4 mm in two or more teeth [79]	30–50 (both groups) 30–50 (both groups)	Serum TBARs Saliva	nmol/ml nmol/ml	$1.76 \pm 0.09$ $0.8 \pm 0.09$	55 (28/27) 55 (28/27)	$1.15 \pm 0.18$ $0.42 \pm 0.08$	56 (28/28) 56 (28/28)	0.0001 0.0001	Rai et al. [52]
Lutfioğlu et al. [44]	Turkey	CC	PI: 2.10 ± 0.18, GI: 2.31 ± 0.40, BOP: 92.72 ± 10.2%, PPD: 5.30 ± 0.55 mm, CAL: 7.41 ± 0.88 mm	42.17±3.1/ 38.30±4.84	GCF	lom <i>u</i>	$802.1 \pm 88.2$	15 (9/6)	144.1 ± 4.15	15 (7/8)	<0.01	Bioxy tech, MDA-586
Tripathi et al. [45]	India	CC	$\geq$ 30% of periodontal bone loss, with CL of $\geq$ 5 mm, along with PPD of $\geq$ 5 mm at more than one site of all tooth quadrants		Saliva Serum	lom <i>u</i> ,	Periodontitis: 0.16; GAP: 0.16 Periodontitis: 0.68; GAP: 0.65	40	0.07	40	0.04 0.336	Young and Trimble [67]
												(continuea)

						i						
Study name	Country	Type	Case criteria (periodontitis) r	Age (years) group PD/control (mean ± SD or median, ninimum-maximum)	Sample type	Unit of measurement	Case mean±SD/ median (minimum–maximum)	Case sample size (M/F)	Control mean ± SD	Control sample size (M/F)	<i>P</i> -value	Method
Narendra et al. [46]	India	SC	AAP [76]: PPD≥4 mm in more than 1/3rd of	$47.13 \pm 7.00/$ $36.56 \pm 6.26$ $47.13 \pm 7.00/$	Serum	nmol/ml	$2.02\pm0.32$	46 (29/17)	$0.59\pm0.14$	50 (33/17)	<0.001	Satoh [66]
[o+]o			the total teeth, with BOP	$36.56 \pm 6.26$	GCF	nmol/ml	$1.98\pm0.32$	46 (29/17)	$0.63 \pm 0.12$	50 (33/17)	<0.001	
Cherian et al. [47]	India	CC	At least four teeth with one or more sites exhibiting $CAL \ge 4 \text{ mm}$ , $PPD \ge 4 \text{ mm}$ , and BOP	18-45	Saliva	µmol/100 ml	$281.6 \pm 83.5$	30	89.45 ± 46.47	30	<0.001	Esa [74]
Sánchez- Villamil et al. [48]	Colombia	CC & CS	Page and Eke [79]: ≥2 interproximal sites with CAL ≥ 3 mm, PPD ≥ 4 mm (not in the same tooth)	$45 \pm 12/31 \pm 10$	Saliva	µmol/g protein	2.1 ± 1.54	87 (45/42)	$0.46 \pm 0.3$	14 (6/8)	<0.0001	Sigma–Aldrich assay
			1999 International Workshon: more		Serum	µmol/l	$1.791\pm0.89$	30	$0.847\pm0.461$	30	<0.001	
Inasu et al. [49]	India	CC	with CAL> 3 mm and PPD>4 mm		Saliva	//oun	$1.783\pm0.45$	30	$0.485 \pm 0.292$	30	<0.001	Buege and Aust [75]
Warad et al. [50]	India	CC	1999 International Workshop: at least 30% teeth with PPD > 5 mm and CAL > 4 mm		Saliva	/m/lom/	8.96 ± 2.59	30	$5.42\pm1.55$	30	<0.0001	Stalnaya and Garishvili [68]
Veljovic		(	$\geq 2$ sites per quadrant with	$48.70 \pm 9.68/$ $46.25 \pm 9.25$	Saliva	pmol/µl	$2.99 \pm 1.21$	30 (10/20)	$1.33\pm0.92$	20 (9/11)	<0.05	ELISA kit, Cell
et al. [51]	Serbia	3	<i>PU</i> ≥4 mm, ≥30% bone loss and gingivitis	$48.70 \pm 9.68/$ $46.25 \pm 9.25$	Plasma	h//omd	$0.5\pm0.13$	30 (10/20)	$0.4\pm0.13$	20 (9/11)	<0.05	Biolabs
Case, periodo hyde; LPO, lij peroxidation; BOP, bleeding	ntitis; GAP, pid peroxida GI, gingival ; on probing	generea ntion p1 index; C ;; NWLS	lized aggressive periodc roducts; RBC, red blooc AL/CL, clinical attachn SS, Northwest Life Sciei	ntitits, SD, standard de l cells; RBC memb, RBG nent level; PD/PPD, poc nce Specialities; AAP, <i>I</i>	viation; CC C membran sket depth of American A	), case–control; C ne; GCF, gingiva or probing depth Academy of Peri	CS, cross-sectional; PS, prov l crevicular fluid; HPLC, hi i; PP, periodontal pocket; L/ odontology.	spective; TBARs, th igh-performance lid A, loss of attachmer	niobarbituric aci quid chromatogi nt; PAL, periodoi	l reacting subs aphy; MDA, n ıtal attachmen	tances; ME nalondialde t level; LB, l	A, malondialde- hyde; LPO, lipid evel of bone loss;

TABLE 2: Continued.

10

Meta-analysis of malondialdehyde levels in GCF between periodontitis and control group



FIGURE 2: The Forest plot displays SMD values with a confidence interval of 95%, representing the differences in GCF MDA levels between the patients with periodontitis and the healthy group.

Four studies evaluated therapy results with baseline values of the periodontitis and control groups [20, 24, 26, 42].

Two studies assessed TBARs concentration [18, 19], one study assessed LPO concentration [20], and the remaining studies assessed MDA to assess LPO status.

3.4. Assessment of MDA in the Included Studies. The detection of thiobarbituric acid reacting substances (TBARS) is a conventional method of MDA assessment, which depends on the reaction with thiobarbituric acid and is detected by spectrophotometric assay [72]. However, this method is not specific to MDA and detects other aldehydes, which also react with thiobarbituric acid and releases a product with similar absorption wavelengths as MDA [80]. TBARS assay still represents a commonly used, cheap, and more accessible method for quantitative measure of LPO. Some advanced, more reliable, and specific methods for measuring MDA are liquid chromatography and mass spectroscopy [21].

The present SR systematically summarized the results of 34 independent studies involving 1,342 patients with periodontitis and 967 healthy controls from different countries. Out of 34 studies of MDA assessment in various samples, nearly 17 studies confirmed significantly (P<0.001) higher MDA levels in patients with periodontitis compared with the clinically healthy control group, which indicated an elevation in OS status in periodontitis patients (Table 2).

3.5. Meta-Analysis. The periodontitis group displayed significantly higher MDA levels (P < 0.001) in GCF, saliva, and serum samples of the studies analyzed. The GCF samples depicted an overall standardized mean difference MDA value of 3.590 nmol/l (95% CI: 1.457–5.723) (Figure 2). The salivary samples showed an overall standardized mean difference MDA value of 1.777 nmol/l (95% CI: 0.962–2.591) (Figure 3). The serum samples displayed an overall standardized mean difference MDA value of 3.146 nmol/l (95% CI: 1.449–4.844) (Figure 4). The analysis of MDA values after

therapeutic intervention could not be achieved due to the scarcity of published reports.

The meta-analysis of the MDA assessment between periodontitis patients and the healthy control group displayed high heterogeneity, which was reflected by the greater  $I^2$ values of 97.361, 95.547, and 98.633 in Figures 2–4, respectively. The reasons for such variability are both technical and biological. The different methodologies and protocols utilized to measure MDA values could have caused higher heterogeneity. The heterogeneity may also be induced by a different population of periodontitis (sex and age) and different biological specimens (GCF, saliva, serum, plasma, or whole blood) among different studies and different study designs (crosssectional, case–control, or interventional). The SMD effect scale was used to reduce the discrepancy and the randomeffects model was applied in the meta-analysis.

3.6. Publication Bias. Studies included in the meta-analysis of MDA assessment between patients with periodontitis and the healthy group showed Egger's regression intercept values of 21.750 and 8.177 with two-tailed *P*-values 0.09 and 0.37 in GCF and saliva samples, respectively, indicating a lower risk of publication bias of selected studies in the present meta-analysis. Begg and Mazumdar's test for rank correlation denoted a *P*-value of 0.137, indicating no risk of publication bias for included studies of meta-analysis in salivary samples.

# 4. Discussion

The imbalance of ROS and antioxidant systems leads to OS, which contributes to functional and structural remodeling that favors the occurrence of periodontitis. Some studies pointed out ROS production by inflammatory neutrophils [81] and others described that ROS actively released by micro-organisms might contribute to OS in periodontitis [5]. ROS causes tissue damage via multiple mechanisms, including DNA damage, LPO damage, and enzyme oxidation [7, 21]. The end products of these OS-mediated reactions of cellular biomolecules can be

Forest plot shows the MDA levels in saliva between patients with periodontits and healthy control group

					1				· · · · · ·		1			1				
Study nar	ne				Statistic	s for each	study					<u>Std diff in</u>	i means ai	nd 95% CI				
			Std diff in means	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-value	P-value							Rel we	ative ight	
Akalin et Guentsch Çanakçi e Wei et al. Dhotre et Baltacıoğl Trivedi et Nguyen e Ahmadi-I Pooled Prediction	al. [20] et al. [23] t al. [24] [25] al. [27] uu et al. [32] al. [33] al. [35] t al. [38] Motamayel et n interval	al. [42]	0.637 0.523 1.492 0.249 3.244 1.852 1.294 1.363 2.776 4.465 1.777 1.777	0.258 0.263 0.292 0.223 0.215 0.301 0.284 0.287 0.404 0.355 0.415	$\begin{array}{c} 0.067\\ 0.069\\ 0.085\\ 0.050\\ 0.046\\ 0.091\\ 0.081\\ 0.082\\ 0.164\\ 0.126\\ 0.173\\ \end{array}$	0.131 0.008 0.920 -0.189 2.822 1.261 0.737 0.801 1.983 3.770 0.962 -1.328	$\begin{array}{c} 1.143\\ 1.038\\ 2.065\\ 0.686\\ 3.666\\ 2.443\\ 1.850\\ 1.925\\ 3.569\\ 5.161\\ 2.591\\ 4.881\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.468\\ 1.992\\ 5.112\\ 1.114\\ 15.075\\ 6.144\\ 4.557\\ 4.755\\ 6.863\\ 12.587\\ 4.277\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.014\\ 0.046\\ <0.001\\ 0.265\\ <0.001\\ <0.001\\ <0.001\\ <0.001\\ <0.001\\ <0.001\\ <0.001\\ <0.001\\ <0.001\end{array}$	-6.	00 Less	-3.00 F	0.00	3.00 More than c	- - - 6 control	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 9 9 9 9	).11 ).10 ).00 ).21 ).23 .97 ).03 0.02 .57 .77	
Model			Effect size	e and 95% c	onfidence ii	nterval		Test of nul	l (two-tailed	)	Predictio	on interval	Betwe	en study	Oth	er hetero	ogeneity sta	atistics
Model	Number of studies	Point estimate	Standar error	rd Va	ariance	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-value	P-value		Lower limit	Upper limit	τ	τ <sup>2</sup>	Q-value	df (Q)	P-value	$I^2$
Fixed Random	10 10	1.623 1.777	0.087 0.415		0.008 0.173	1.453 0.962	1.793 2.591	18.688 4.277	<0.001 <0.001		-1.328	4.881	1.281	1.640	202.092	9	< 0.001	95.547

FIGURE 3: The Forest plot displays SMD values with a confidence interval of 95%, representing the differences in salivary MDA levels between patients with periodontitis and the healthy group.



FIGURE 4: The Forest plot displays SMD values with a confidence interval of 95%, representing the differences in serum MDA levels between patients with periodontitis and the healthy group.

used as biomarkers of OS-associated periodontitis. LPO destroys cellular membrane lipids and initiates a pathway of the oxidation of PUFA, ultimately synthesizing MDA by maintaining through chain reactions. MDA, which can indicate the status of OS, is the primary and most stable product of PUFA peroxidation. MDA is a commonly measured LPO product to indicate OS in various diseases, including periodontitis [43].

Remarkably, many observational studies analyzing OS had relatively consistently elevated LPO end product MDA in patients with periodontitis compared with controls.

All the reported studies in the present SR that evaluated GCF MDA levels depicted significantly higher levels in periodontitis patients than in healthy controls. The meta-analysis of GCF samples depicted an overall standardized mean difference MDA value of 3.590 nmol/l (95% CI: 1.457–5.723) when the periodontitis group compared with the healthy control group.

Salivary MDA values in periodontitis were extensively investigated. Most studies showed higher salivary MDA levels in periodontitis patients than in healthy controls, except for one report [53]. Shankarram et al. [55] reported insignificant differences in salivary MDA levels between patients with periodontitis and healthy controls. The salivary samples showed an overall standardized mean difference MDA value of 1.777 nmol/l (95% CI: 0.962–2.591) when the periodontitis group was compared with the healthy control group. The increased GCF or salivary MDA level could have resulted from superoxide anion production during the interaction with periodontal pathogens or the by-product with neutrophils within periodontal tissues or pockets. These results suggest that salivary or GCF MDA levels could also be used to indicate periodontal damage by ROS.

There are also few studies investigating the level of MDA in the serum and saliva of periodontitis patients; their results were controversial. Though significantly higher MDA levels were observed in salivary samples, the differences were insignificant in serum samples [21, 33, 42, 45]. Their finding suggests that the effect of periodontitis on systemic OS might be limited. However, Wei et al. [26] reported insignificant MDA level differences between periodontitis and healthy controls in salivary and serum samples. The remaining studies of the present SR found significantly higher serum or plasma MDA in periodontitis than in healthy controls [27–30, 32, 34, 40, 43, 46, 49, 51, 54, 56]. The serum samples displayed an overall standardized mean difference MDA value of 3.146 nmol/l (95% CI: 1.449–4.844) when the periodontitis group was compared with the healthy control group.

Few studies confirmed the positive correlation between these markers with periodontal status scores [7, 82]. Baltacioğlu et al. [33] compared salivary MDA and healthy controls and found that periodontitis and AgP groups have significantly higher MDA levels than the control group. However, no differences between AgP and periodontitis groups were observed. Another study by Ghallab et al. [38] demonstrated that levels of MDA in GCF could differentiate between general periodontitis, AgP, and periodontally healthy controls. Other studies found higher LPO in patients with severe but not moderate periodontitis [19, 23]. It has also been displayed that the higher levels of MDA in patients with periodontitis can be diminished after periodontal therapy [20, 24, 26].

An important secondary finding was a positive correlation between LPO in saliva and GCF [20]. In contrast, Celec et al. [83] reported no specific association observed between plasma and salivary TBARS values in periodontitis. Baňasová et al. [59] study reported significantly higher salivary TBARS in male patients with periodontitis than the healthy controls, but this is not the case in female patients. That could be due to changes in salivary cytokines during the menstrual cycle [84].

Meanwhile, studies including diabetes mellitus, acute coronary syndrome, and hyperlipidemia pointed out that periodontitis could contribute to the higher systemic level of MDA among patients with systemic pathologies [34, 37, 39].

Conclusively LPO biomarker MDA mean values of various samples significantly differed between periodontitis patients and healthy subjects. It has been confirmed that elevated ROS production by the inflammatory cells in periodontitis is associated with increased local and systemic OS, which promotes tissue destruction in periodontal disease. More importantly, the status of OS parameters in biological samples can reflect their association with periodontal disease.

# 5. Conclusion

Our meta-analysis results suggested that LPO biomarker MDA levels from various biological samples were significantly different between patients with periodontitis and healthy subjects. Despite the limitations of the present meta-analysis, the results supported the fact that there was a direct association between periodontitis and LPO-related biomarkers levels, indicating the critical role of OS in periodontal disease.

### Abbreviations

MDA:	Malondialdehyde
MeSH:	Medical subject headings
GCF:	Gingival crevicular fluid
ROS/RNS:	Reactive oxygen species/reactive nitrogen species
DNA:	Deoxyribonucleic acid
OS:	Oxidative stress
LPO:	Lipid peroxidation
SR:	Systematic review
SD:	Standard deviation
SMD:	Standardized mean difference
CI:	Confidence interval
TBARs:	Thiobarbituric acid reacting substances
AgP:	Aggressive periodontitis
PPD:	Periodontal pocket depth
CAL:	Clinical attachment level
GI:	Gingival index.

#### **Data Availability**

Data analyzed in this study were a reanalysis of existing data, which are openly available at locations cited in the reference section.

# **Additional Points**

*Limitations*. Different measurement methods were utilized in different studies to detect LPO markers, so the MDA levels were in different orders of magnitude in reported studies. The heterogeneity persisted even though the pooled studies displayed measurements with reasonably comparable methods. The above discrepancies might also be due to the differences in patient populations, sample size, laboratory detection methods, and biological specimens used for OS biomarker detection.

# **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

# References

- P. I. Eke, X. Zhang, H. Lu et al., "Predicting periodontitis at state and local levels in the United States," *Journal of Dental Research*, vol. 95, no. 5, pp. 515–522, 2016.
- [2] M. Rylev and M. Kilian, "Prevalence and distribution of principal periodontal pathogens worldwide," *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*, vol. 35, no. s8, pp. 346–361, 2008.
- [3] D. F. Kinane, P. G. Stathopoulou, and P. N. Papapanou, "Periodontal diseases," *Nature Reviews Disease Primers*, vol. 3, Article ID 17038, 2017.
- [4] M. L. Laine, W. Crielaard, and B. G. Loos, "Genetic susceptibility to periodontitis," *Periodontology 2000*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 37–68, 2012.
- [5] B. Vlková and P. Celec, "Does Enterococcus faecalis contribute to salivary thiobarbituric acid-reacting substances?" *In Vivo*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 343–345, 2009.

- [6] M. Battino, P. Bullon, M. Wilson, and H. Newman, "Oxidative injury and inflammatory periodontal diseases: the challenge of anti-oxidants to free radicals and reactive oxygen species," *Critical Reviews in Oral Biology & Medicine*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 458–476, 1999.
- [7] I. L. C. Chapple and J. B. Matthews, "The role of reactive oxygen and antioxidant species in periodontal tissue destruction," *Periodontology 2000*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 160–232, 2007.
- [8] G. R. Brock, C. J. Butterworth, J. B. Matthews, and I. L. C. Chapple, "Local and systemic total antioxidant capacity in periodontitis and health," *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*, vol. 31, no. 7, pp. 515–521, 2004.
- [9] N. Nizam, P. Gümüş, J. Pitkänen, T. Tervahartiala, T. Sorsa, and N. Buduneli, "Serum and salivary matrix metalloproteinases, neutrophil elastase, myeloperoxidase in patients with chronic or aggressive periodontitis," *Inflammation*, vol. 37, pp. 1771–1778, 2014.
- [10] I. Dalle-Donne, R. Rossi, R. Colombo, D. Giustarini, and A. Milzani, "Biomarkers of oxidative damage in human disease," *Clinical Chemistry*, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 601–623, 2006.
- [11] Y. Chen, Y. Ji, X. Jin et al., "Mitochondrial abnormalities are involved in periodontal ligament fibroblast apoptosis induced by oxidative stress," *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, vol. 509, no. 2, pp. 483–490, 2019.
- [12] Y. Wang, O. Andrukhov, and X. Rausch-Fan, "Oxidative stress and antioxidant system in periodontitis," *Frontiers in Physiology*, vol. 8, Article ID 910, 2017.
- [13] A. Ayala, M. F. Muñoz, and S. Argüelles, "Lipid peroxidation: production, metabolism, and signaling mechanisms of malondialdehyde and 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal," *Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity*, vol. 2014, Article ID 360438, 31 pages, 2014.
- [14] D. Tsikas, "Assessment of lipid peroxidation by measuring malondialdehyde (MDA) and relatives in biological samples: analytical and biological challenges," *Analytical Biochemistry*, vol. 524, pp. 13–30, 2017.
- [15] A. Skutnik-Radziszewska and A. Zalewska, "Salivary redox biomarkers in the course of caries and periodontal disease," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 10, no. 18, Article ID 6240, 2020.
- [16] D. Moher, L. Shamseer, M. Clarke et al., "Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement," *Systematic Reviews*, vol. 4, Article ID 1, 2015.
- [17] R. DerSimonian and N. Laird, "Meta-analysis in clinical trials," *Controlled Clinical Trials*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 177–188, 1986.
- [18] K. Panjamurthy, S. Manoharan, and C. R. Ramachandran, "Lipid peroxidation and antioxidant status in patients with periodontitis," *Cellular & Molecular Biology Letters*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 255–264, 2005.
- [19] F. Mashayekhi, F. Aghahoseini, A. Rezaie, M. J. Zamani, R. Khorasani, and M. Abdollahi, "Alteration of cyclic nucleotides levels and oxidative stress in saliva of human subjects with periodontitis," *The Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 46–53, 2005.
- [20] C. C. Tsai, H. S. Chen, S. L. Chen et al., "Lipid peroxidation: a possible role in the induction and progression of chronic periodontitis," *Journal of Periodontal Research*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 378–384, 2005.
- [21] F. A. Akalin, E. Baltacioğlu, A. Alver, and E. Karabulut, "Lipid peroxidation levels and total oxidant status in serum, saliva and gingival crevicular fluid in patients with chronic periodontitis," *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*, vol. 34, no. 7, pp. 558–565, 2007.
- [22] I. Borges Jr., E. A. M. Moreira, D. W. Filho, T. B. de Oliveira, M. B. S. da Silva, and T. S. Fröde, "Proinflammatory and

oxidative stress markers in patients with periodontal disease," *Mediators of Inflammation*, vol. 2007, Article ID 045794, 5 pages, 2007.

- [23] J. Khalili and H. F. Biloklytska, "Salivary malondialdehyde levels in clinically healthy and periodontal diseased individuals," *Oral Diseases*, vol. 14, no. 8, pp. 754–760, 2008.
- [24] A. Guentsch, P. M. Preshaw, S. Bremer-Streck, G. Klinger, E. Glockmann, and B. W. Sigusch, "Lipid peroxidation and antioxidant activity in saliva of periodontitis patients: effect of smoking and periodontal treatment," *Clinical Oral Investigations*, vol. 12, pp. 345–352, 2008.
- [25] C. F. Çanakçi, V. Çanakçi, A. Tatar et al., "Increased salivary level of 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine is a marker of premature oxidative mitochondrial DNA damage in gingival tissue of patients with periodontitis," *Archivum Immunologiae et Therapiae Experimentalis*, vol. 57, pp. 205–211, 2009.
- [26] D. Wei, X.-L. Zhang, Y.-Z. Wang, C.-X. Yang, and G. Chen, "Lipid peroxidation levels, total oxidant status and superoxide dismutase in serum, saliva and gingival crevicular fluid in chronic periodontitis patients before and after periodontal therapy," *Australian Dental Journal*, vol. 55, no. 1, pp. 70–78, 2010.
- [27] M. Ö. Tonguç, Ö. Öztürk, R. Sütçü et al., "The impact of smoking status on antioxidant enzyme activity and malondialdehyde levels in chronic periodontitis," *Journal of Periodontol*ogy, vol. 82, no. 9, pp. 1320–1328, 2011.
- [28] P. S. Dhotre, A. N. Suryakar, and R. B. Bhogade, "Oxidative stress in periodontitis: a critical link to cardiovascular disease," *Biomedical Research*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 180–184, 2011.
- [29] P. S. Dhotre, A. N. Suryakar, and R. B. Bhogade, "Oxidative stress in periodontitis," *Electronic Journal of General Medicine*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 81–84, 2012.
- [30] M. Gupta, S. Chari, A. Kolte, and M. Chandankhede, "Malondialdehyde levels in patients with chronic periodontitis," *Journal of Evolution of Medical and Dental Sciences*, vol. 2, no. 24, pp. 4325–4328, 2013.
- [31] D. Miricescu, A. Totan, B. Calenic et al., "Salivary biomarkers: relationship between oxidative stress and alveolar bone loss in chronic periodontitis," *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica*, vol. 72, no. 1, pp. 42–47, 2014.
- [32] A. Azizi, F. Sarlati, A. Parchakani, and S. Alirezaei, "Evaluation of whole saliva antioxidant capacity in patients with periodontal diseases," *Open Journal of Stomatology*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 228– 231, 2014.
- [33] E. Baltacioğlu, P. Yuva, G. Aydın et al., "Lipid peroxidation levels and total oxidant/antioxidant status in serum and saliva from patients with chronic and aggressive periodontitis. Oxidative stress index: a new biomarker for periodontal disease?" *Journal of Periodontology*, vol. 85, no. 10, pp. 1432– 1441, 2014.
- [34] S. Trivedi, N. Lal, A. A. Mahdi, M. Mittal, B. Singh, and S. Pandey, "Evaluation of antioxidant enzymes activity and malondialdehyde levels in patients with chronic periodontitis and diabetes mellitus," *Journal of Periodontology*, vol. 85, no. 5, pp. 713–720, 2014.
- [35] J. M. Almerich-Silla, J. M. Montiel-Company, S. Pastor, F. Serrano, M. Puig-Silla, and F. Dasí, "Oxidative stress parameters in saliva and its association with periodontal disease and types of bacteria," *Disease Markers*, vol. 2015, Article ID 653537, 7 pages, 2015.
- [36] S. Trivedi, N. Lal, A. A. Mahdi, B. Singh, and S. Pandey, "Association of salivary lipid peroxidation levels, antioxidant enzymes, and chronic periodontitis," *The International*

Journal of Periodontics & Restorative Dentistry, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. e14–e19, 2015.

- [37] Ö. Fentoğlu, F. Yeşim Kırzıoğlu, M. T. Bulut et al., "Evaluation of lipid peroxidation and oxidative DNA damage in patients with periodontitis and hyperlipidemia," *Journal of Periodontology*, vol. 86, no. 5, pp. 682–688, 2015.
- [38] N. A. Ghallab, E. Hamdy, and O. G. Shaker, "Malondialdehyde, superoxide dismutase and melatonin levels in gingival crevicular fluid of aggressive and chronic periodontitis patients," *Australian Dental Journal*, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 53–61, 2016.
- [39] T. T. Nguyen, L. Q. Ngo, A. Promsudthi, and R. Surarit, "Salivary lipid peroxidation in patients with generalized chronic periodontitis and acute coronary syndrome," *Journal of Periodontology*, vol. 87, no. 2, pp. 134–141, 2016.
- [40] P. Dahiya, R. Kamal, R. Gupta, and H. Saini, "Evaluation of the serum antioxidant status in patients with chronic periodontitis," *Indian Journal of Multidisciplinary Dentistry*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 3–6, 2016.
- [41] V. E. Atabay, M. Lutfioğlu, B. Avci, E. E. Sakallioglu, and A. Aydoğdu, "Obesity and oxidative stress in patients with different periodontal status: a case–control study," *Journal of Periodontal Research*, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 51–60, 2017.
- [42] C. Onder, Ş. Kurgan, S. Merve Altıngöz et al., "Impact of nonsurgical periodontal therapy on saliva and serum levels of markers of oxidative stress," *Clinical Oral Investigations*, vol. 21, pp. 1961–1969, 2017.
- [43] F. Ahmadi-Motamayel, M. T. Goodarzi, Z. Jamshidi, and R. Kebriaei, "Evaluation of salivary and serum antioxidant and oxidative stress statuses in patients with chronic periodontitis: a case–control study," *Frontiers in Physiology*, vol. 8, Article ID 189, 2017.
- [44] M. Lutfioğlu, A. Aydoğdu, V. E. Atabay, E. E. Sakallioğlu, and B. Avci, "Gingival crevicular fluid oxidative stress level in patients with periodontal disease and hyperlipidemia," *Brazilian Oral Research*, vol. 31, Article ID e110, 2017.
- [45] V. Tripathi, S. T. Singh, V. Sharma, A. Verma, C. D. Singh, and J. S. Gill, "Assessment of lipid peroxidation levels and total antioxidant status in chronic and aggressive periodontitis patients: an *in vivo* study," *The Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 287–291, 2018.
- [46] S. Narendra, U. K. Das, S. K. Tripathy, and N. C. Sahani, "Superoxide dismutase, uric acid, total antioxidant status, and lipid peroxidation assay in chronic and aggressive periodontitis patients," *The Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice*, vol. 19, no. 7, pp. 874–880, 2018.
- [47] D. A. Cherian, T. Peter, A. Narayanan, S. S. Madhavan, S. Achammada, and G. P. Vynat, "Malondialdehyde as a marker of oxidative stress in periodontitis patients," *Journal of Pharmacy* & *BioAllied Sciences*, vol. 11, no. Suppl 2, pp. S297–S300, 2019.
- [48] J. P. Sánchez-Villamil, C. Pino-Vélez, J. Trejos-Suárez, N. Cardona, A. L. Spain, and P. A. Alfonso, "Salivary markers of oxidative stress and periodontal pathogens in patients with periodontitis from Santander, Colombia," *Biomédica*, vol. 40, no. Suppl. 1, pp. 113–124, 2020.
- [49] S. Inasu, A. Talwar, S. Kumari, and B. Thomase, "Malondialdehyde—an end product of tissue destruction in periodontal disease," *International Journal of Scientific Research*, vol. 11, no. 8, pp. 39518–39521, 2020.
- [50] S. B. Warad, J. Pattanashetty, N. Kalburgi, A. Koregol, and S. Rao, "Estimation of salivary malondialdehyde levels in smokeless tobacco chewers with chronic periodontitis—a cross sectional clinico biochemical study," *Odovtos - International Journal of Dental Sciences*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 137–146, 2021.

- [51] T. Veljovic, M. Djuric, J. Mirnic et al., "Lipid peroxidation levels in saliva and plasma of patients suffering from periodontitis," *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, vol. 11, no. 13, Article ID 3617, 2022.
- [52] B. Rai, S. Kharb, R. Jain, and S. C. Anand, "Salivary lipid peroxidation product malonaldehyde in various dental diseases," *World Journal of Medical Sciences*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 100-101, 2006.
- [53] E. Emekli-Alturfan, A. Yarat, E. Çalışkan-Ak, R. Pisiriciler, B. Kuru, and Ü. Noyan, "Determination of storage time of saliva samples obtained from patients with and without chronic periodontitis for the comparison of some biochemical and cytological parameters," *Journal of Clinical Laboratory Analysis*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 261–266, 2013.
- [54] C. Dalai, I. Ignat-Romanul, E. Roşca et al., "Correlation between histopathological aspects of periodontitis and biochemical changes of oxidative stress," *Romanian Journal of Morphology* and Embryology = Revue Roumaine de Morphologie et Embryologie, vol. 54, no. 3 Suppl, pp. 817–822, 2013.
- [55] V. Shankarram, L. Narayanan, U. Sudhakar, J. Moses, T. Selvan, and S. Parthiban, "Detection of oxidative stress in periodontal disease and oral cancer," *Biomedical and Pharmacology Journal*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 725–729, 2015.
- [56] K. Monisha and G. Savitha, "Assessment of oxidative stress in periodontitis patients," *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research*, vol. 8, no. 7, pp. 620–622, 2016.
- [57] L. Tóthová, V. Celecová, and P. Celec, "Salivary markers of oxidative stress and their relation to periodontal and dental status in children," *Disease Markers*, vol. 34, Article ID 591765, 7 pages, 2013.
- [58] V. Celecová, N. Kamodyová, L. Tóthová, M. Kúdela, and P. Celec, "Salivary markers of oxidative stress are related to age and oral health in adult non-smokers," *Journal of Oral Pathology & Medicine*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 263–266, 2013.
- [59] L. Baňasová, N. Kamodyová, K. Janšáková et al., "Salivary DNA and markers of oxidative stress in patients with chronic periodontitis," *Clinical Oral Investigations*, vol. 19, pp. 201– 207, 2015.
- [60] A. B. Acquier, A. K. De Couto Pita, L. Busch, and G. A. Sánchez, "Parameters of oxidative stress in saliva from patients with aggressive and chronic periodontitis," *Redox Report*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 119–126, 2017.
- [61] N. Garg, R. Singh, J. Dixit, A. Jain, and V. Tewari, "Levels of lipid peroxides and antioxidants in smokers and nonsmokers," *Journal of Periodontal Research*, vol. 41, no. 5, pp. 405–410, 2006.
- [62] V. Canakci, A. Yildirim, C. F. Canakci, A. Eltas, Y. Cicek, and H. Canakci, "Total antioxidant capacity and antioxidant enzymes in serum, saliva, and gingival crevicular fluid of preeclamptic women with and without periodontal disease," *Journal of Periodontology*, vol. 78, no. 8, pp. 1602–1611, 2007.
- [63] K. Yagi, "Lipid peroxides and human diseases," *Chemistry and Physics of Lipids*, vol. 45, no. 2–4, pp. 337–351, 1987.
- [64] S. K. Donnan, "The thiobarbituric acid test applied to tissues from rats treated in various ways," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 182, no. 1, pp. 415–419, 1950.
- [65] H. Ohkawa, N. Ohishi, and K. Yagi, "Assay for lipid peroxides in animal tissues by thiobarbituric acid reaction," *Analytical Biochemistry*, vol. 95, no. 2, pp. 351–358, 1979.
- [66] K. Satoh, "Serum lipid peroxide in cerebrovascular disorders determined by a new colorimetric method," *Clinica Chimica Acta*, vol. 90, no. 1, pp. 37–43, 1978.

- [67] I. S. Young and E. R. Trimble, "Measurement of malondialdehyde in plasma by high performance liquid chromatography with fluorimetric detection," *Annals of Clinical Biochemistry: International Journal of Laboratory Medicine*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 504–508, 1991.
- [68] I. D. Stalnaya and T. G. Garishvili, "The method of determines the malondialdehyde with the aid of thiobarbituric acid," in *Modern Methods in Biochemistry*, V. N. Orekhovich, Ed., pp. 66–68, Meditsina, Moscow, 1977.
- [69] K. Yagi, "Assay of serum lipid peroxide level and its clinical significance," in *Lipid Peroxides in Biology and Medicine*, K. Yagi, Ed., pp. 223–242, Academic Press, New York, 1982.
- [70] S. K. Jain, R. McVie, J. Duett, and J. J. Herbst, "Erythrocyte membrane lipid peroxidation and glycosylated hemoglobin in diabetes," *Diabetes*, vol. 38, no. 12, pp. 1539–1543, 1989.
- [71] H. H. Draper and M. Hadley, "Malondialdehyde determination as index of lipid peroxidation," in *Methods in Enzymology*, vol. 186, pp. 421–431, Academic Press, 1990.
- [72] K. Yagi, "A simple fluorometric assay for lipoperoxide in blood plasma," *Biochemical Medicine*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 212–216, 1976.
- [73] C. F. Canakci, Y. Cicek, A. Yildirim, U. Sezer, and V. Canakci, "Increased levels of 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine and malondialdehyde and its relationship with antioxidant enzymes in saliva of periodontitis patients," *European Journal of Dentistry*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 100–106, 2009.
- [74] Esa, "Application note—malondialdehyde," 2009, http://www. esainc.com/docs/spool/70-5033P\_Malondialdehyde.pdf.
- [75] J. A. Buege and S. D. Aust, "Microsomal lipid peroxidation," in *Methods in Enzymology*, vol. 52, pp. 302–310, Academic Press, 1978.
- [76] G. C. Armitage, "Development of a classification system for periodontal diseases and conditions," *Annals of Periodontol*ogy, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 1–6, 1999.
- [77] G. C. Armitage, "Development of a classification system for periodontal diseases and conditions," *Northwest Dentistry*, vol. 79, pp. 31–35, 2000.
- [78] C. B. Wiebe and E. E. Putnins, "The periodontal disease classification system of the American Academy of Periodontology—an update," *Journal of the Canadian Dental Association*, vol. 66, pp. 594–597, 2000.
- [79] R. C. Page and P. I. Eke, "Case definitions for use in population-based surveillance of periodontitis," *Journal of Periodontology*, vol. 78, no. 7S, pp. 1387–1399, 2007.
- [80] B. Halliwell and M. Whiteman, "Measuring reactive species and oxidative damage *in vivo* and in cell culture: how should you do it and what do the results mean?" *British Journal of Pharmacology*, vol. 142, no. 2, pp. 231–255, 2004.
- [81] H. Katsuragi, M. Ohtake, I. Kurasawa, and K. Saito, "Intracellular production and extracellular release of oxygen radicals by PMNs and oxidative stress on PMNs during phagocytosis of periodontopathic bacteria," *Odontology*, vol. 91, pp. 13–18, 2003.
- [82] N. Tamaki, H. Hayashida, M. Fukui et al., "Oxidative stress and antibody levels to periodontal bacteria in adults: the Nagasaki Islands study," *Oral Diseases*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. e49– e56, 2014.
- [83] P. Celec, J. Hodosy, V. Celecová et al., "Salivary thiobarbituric acid reacting substances and malondialdehyde—their relationship to reported smoking and to parodontal status described by the papillary bleeding index," *Disease Markers*, vol. 21, Article ID 693437, 5 pages, 2005.

[84] S. Becerik, Ö. Özçaka, A. Nalbantsoy et al., "Effects of menstrual cycle on periodontal health and gingival crevicular fluid markers," *Journal of Periodontology*, vol. 81, no. 5, pp. 673–681, 2010.