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In this paper, we establish the existence of at least three weak solutions for a parametric double eigenvalue quasi-linear elliptic $(p(x), q(x))$-Kirchhoff-type potential system. Our approach is based on a variational method, and a three critical point theorem is obtained by Bonano and Marano.

## 1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to show the existence of at least three weak solutions for the following class of nonlocal quasilinear elliptic systems in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-M_{1}\left(L_{p}(u)\right)\left(\Delta_{p(x)} u-a(x)|u|^{p(x)-2} u\right)=\lambda F_{u}(x, u, v), \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N},  \tag{1}\\
-M_{2}\left(L_{q}(v)\right)\left(\Delta_{q(x)} v-b(x)|v|^{q(x)-2} v\right)=\lambda F_{v}(x, u, v), \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $N \geq 2, p$ and $q \in C_{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right):=\left\{r \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right): 1<r^{-}=\right.$ $\left.\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} r(x)<r(x)<r^{+}=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} r(x)<N, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}\right\}, \lambda$ is a positive real parameter, and $a, b \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $a:=\operatorname{essinf}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x)>0$ and $b:=$ ess $\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x)>0 . M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are bounded continuous functions, $F$ belongs to $C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N^{2}} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and satisfies adequate growth assumptions, and $F_{u}$ (respectively, $F_{v}$ ) denotes the partial derivative of $F$ with respect to $u$ (respectively, $v$ ). Here, we denote $\Delta_{p(x)} u:=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u\right)$ the so-called $p(x)$-Laplacian operator, and for $u \in C_{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{r}(u):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{1}{r(x)}\left(|\nabla| u^{r(x)}+a(x)|u|^{r(x)}\right) \mathrm{d} x . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

System (1) is a generalization of the elliptic equation associated with the following Kirchhoff equation, introduced by Kirchhoff in [1]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}-\left(\frac{\rho_{0}}{h}+\frac{E}{2 L} \int_{0}^{L}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho, \rho_{0}, E$, and $L$ are constants. This equation extends classical D'Alembert's wave equation by considering the effects of the changes on the length of the strings during the vibrations. A distinguishing feature of equation (3) is that the equation contains a nonlocal coefficient $\left(\rho_{0} / h\right)+$ $(E / 2 L) \int_{0}^{L}|\partial u / \partial x|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x$ which depends on the average $(1 / 2 L) \int_{0}^{L}|\partial u / \partial x|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x$, and hence, the equation is no longer a pointwise equation. The parameters in equation (3) have the following meanings: $E$ is Young's modulus of the material, $\rho$ is the mass density, $L$ is the length of the string, $h$ is the area of cross section, and $\rho_{0}$ is the initial tension.

The $p(x)$-Laplacian operator possesses more complicated nonlinearities than $p$-Laplacian operator mainly due to the fact that it is not homogeneous. The study of various mathematical problems involving variable exponents has received a strong rise of interest in recent years. We can, for example, refer to [2-12]. This great interest may be justified
by their various physical applications. In fact, there are applications concerning nonlinear elasticity theory [13], electrorheological fluids $[14,15]$, stationary thermorheological viscous flows [16], and continuum mechanics [17]. It also has wide applications in different research fields, such as image processing model [18] and the mathematical description of the filtration process of an ideal barotropic gas through a porous medium [19].

The existence and multiplicity of solutions for the elliptic systems involving the $p(x)$-Kirchhoff model have been studied by many authors, where the nonlinear source $F$ has different mixed growth conditions. We refer the reader to see [20-22] and the references therein for an overview on this subject. In connection to our context, the author obtained in [23] the existence and multiplicity of solutions for the vector-valued elliptic system:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-M_{1}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{1}{p(x)}|u|^{p(x)}\right) \operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u\right)=\frac{\partial F}{\partial u}(x, u, v), \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{4}\\
-M_{2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{1}{q(x)}|v|^{q(x)}\right) \operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla v|^{q(x)-2} \nabla v\right)=\frac{\partial F}{\partial v}(x, u, v), \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
u=v=0, \quad \text { in } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, \quad p \quad$ and $\quad q \in C_{*}(\Omega)=\left\{r \in C(\Omega): 1<r^{-}=\inf \right.$ $\left.{ }_{x \in \Omega} r(x)<r(x)<r^{+}=\sup _{x \in \Omega} r(x)<N, \forall x \in \Omega\right\}$, and $M_{1}(t)$ and $M_{2}(t)$ are continuous functions such that $M_{1}(t)=M_{2}(t)$. The author applies a direct variational approach and the theory of variable exponent Sobolev spaces.

On the contrary, by using the mountain pass theorem, the authors in [24] showed the existence of nontrivial solutions for system (1) when $(p, q) \in\left[C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right]^{2}(N \geq 2)$, $M_{1}(t)$ and $M_{2}(t)$ are continuous functions such that $M_{1}(t)=M_{2}(t), a(x)=b(x)=0, \lambda=1$, and $F \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \times\right.$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ verifies some mixed growth conditions.

The goal of this work is to establish the existence of a definite interval in which $\lambda$ lies such that system (1) admits at least three weak solutions by applying the following very recent abstract critical point result of Bonanno and Marano [25], which is a more precise version of Theorem 3.2 of [26].

Lemma 1 (see [25], Theorem 3.6). Let $X$ be a reflexive real Banach space; $\Phi: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a coercive, continuously Gâteaux differentiable and sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous functional whose Gâteaux derivative admits a continuous inverse on $X^{*}$; and $\Psi: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously Gâteaux differentiable functional whose Gâteaux derivative is compact such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(0)=\Psi(0)=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that there exist $e>0$ and $\bar{x} \in X$, with $e<\Phi(\bar{x})$, such that

$$
\left(a_{1}\right) \sup _{\Phi(x)} \leq e \Psi(x) / e<\Psi(\bar{x}) / \Phi(\bar{x})
$$

( $a_{2}$ ) For each $\left.\lambda \in \Lambda_{e}:=\right](\Phi(\bar{x}) / \Psi(\bar{x})),\left(e / \sup _{\Phi(x)} \leq\right.$ $e \Psi(x))[$, the functional $\Phi-\lambda \Psi$ is coercive
Then, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_{e}$, the functional $\Phi-\lambda \Psi$ has at least three distinct critical points in $X$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains some basic preliminary knowledge of the variable exponent spaces and some results that we shall use here. Finally, in Section 3, we state and establish our main result.

## 2. Preliminaries and Basic Notations

First, we introduce the definitions of Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces with variable exponents. The details can be found in [27-29]. Denote $\mathscr{M}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ as the set of all measurable real functions on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{p \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right): \inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} p(x)>1\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $p \in C_{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we define

$$
\begin{align*}
& p^{-}:=\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} p(x), \\
& p^{+}:=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} p(x) . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

For any $p \in C_{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we define the variable exponent Lebesgue space as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{u \in \mathscr{M}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right): \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u(x)|^{p(x)} \mathrm{d} x<\infty\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

endowed with the Luxemburg norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{p(x)}:=|u|_{L^{p(x)}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\inf \left\{\mu>0: \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\frac{u(x)}{\mu}\right|^{p(x)} \mathrm{d} x \leq 1\right\} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $a \in M\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be such that $a(x)>0$, for a.e $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Define the weighted variable exponent Lebesgue space $L_{a}^{p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{a}^{p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{u \in \mathscr{M}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right): \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x)|u(x)|^{p(x)} \mathrm{d} x<\infty\right\} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{p(x), a(x)}:=|u|_{L_{a}^{p(x)}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\inf \left\{\mu>0: \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x)\left|\frac{u(x)}{\mu}\right|^{p(x)} \mathrm{d} x \leq 1\right\} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From now on, we suppose that $a \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $a:=\operatorname{essinf}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x)>0$. Then, obviously, $L_{a}^{p(x)}$ is a Banach space (see [30] for details).

On the contrary, the variable exponent Sobolev space $W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{u \in L^{p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right):|\nabla u| \in L^{p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right\} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is endowed with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{1, p(x)}:=\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}=|u|_{p(x)}+|\nabla u|_{p(x)}, \quad \forall u \in W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
W_{a}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right):=\left\{u \in L_{a}^{p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right):|\nabla u| \in L_{a}^{p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right\}
$$

Next, the weighted variable exponent Sobolev space $W_{a}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is defined as
and is endowed with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{a}:=\inf \left\{\mu>0: \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\frac{\nabla u(x)}{\mu}\right|^{p(x)}+a(x)\left|\frac{u(x)}{\mu}\right|^{p(x)} \mathrm{d} x \leq 1\right\}, \quad \forall u \in W_{a}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

 $W_{a}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Moreover, when $p^{-}>1$, it is well known that $L^{p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, and $W_{a}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ are separable, reflexive, and uniformly convex Banach spaces.

Now, we display some facts that we shall use later.

Proposition 1 (see [27, 28]). The conjugate space of $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is $L^{p^{\prime}(x)}(\Omega)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p(x)}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}(x)}=1 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for any $(u, v) \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \times L^{p^{\prime}(x)}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} u v \mathrm{~d} x\right| \leq\left(\frac{1}{p^{-}}+\frac{1}{\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{-}}\right)|u|_{p(x)}|v|_{p^{\prime}(x)} \leq 2|u|_{p(x)}|v|_{p^{\prime}(x)} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2 (see [27, 28]). Denote $\rho(u):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p(x)} d x$, for all $u \in L^{p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \left\{|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}},|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}}\right\} \leq \rho(u) \leq \max \left\{|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}},|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}}\right\} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the following implications are true:
(i) $|u|_{p(x)}<1($ resp. $=1,>1) \Longleftrightarrow \rho(u)<1($ resp. $=1,>1)$
(ii) $|u|_{p(x)}>1 \Longrightarrow|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}} \leq \rho(u) \leq|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}}$
(iii) $|u|_{p(x)}<1 \Longrightarrow|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}} \leq \rho(u) \leq|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}}$

Denote $\quad \rho_{a}(u):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)}+a(x)|u(x)|^{p(x)}\right) \mathrm{d} x$, for all $u \in W_{a}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. From Proposition 2, we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\|u\|_{a}^{p^{-}} \leq \rho_{a}(u) \leq\|u\|_{a}^{p^{+}}, & \text {if }\|u\|_{a} \geq 1 \\
\|u\|_{a}^{p^{+}} \leq \rho_{a}(u) \leq\|u\|_{a}^{p^{-}}, & \text {if }\|u\|_{a} \leq 1 \tag{20}
\end{array}
$$

Proposition 3 (see [31]). Let $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ be measurable functions such that $p \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $1 \leq p(x), q(x)<\infty$ almost everywhere in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. If $u \in L^{q(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), u \neq 0$, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
|u|_{p(x) q(x)} \leq 1 & \Longrightarrow|u|_{p(x) q(x)}^{p^{-}} \leq\left||u|^{p(x)}\right|_{q(x)} \leq|u|_{p(x) q(x)}^{p^{+}}, \\
|u|_{p(x) q(x)} \geq 1 & \Longrightarrow|u|_{p(x) q(x)}^{p^{+}} \leq\left||u|^{p(x)}\right|_{q(x)} \leq|u|_{p(x) q(x)}^{p^{-}} . \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, if $p(x)=p$ is constant, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left||u|^{p}\right|_{q(x)}=|u|_{p q(x)}^{p} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, denote

$$
p^{*}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{N p(x)}{N-p(x)}, & \text { for } p(x)<N  \tag{23}\\ +\infty, & \text { for } p(x) \geq N\end{cases}
$$

the critical Sobolev exponent of $p(x)$.
Proposition 4 (see [27,31]). Let $p \in C_{+}^{0,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, the space of Lipschitz-continuous functions defined on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. There exists a positive constant $c$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{p^{*}(x)} \leq c\|u\|_{a}, \quad \forall u \in W_{a}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 5 (see [27,31]). Assume that $p \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ satisfies $p(x)>1$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. If $q \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is such that $1<q(x)<p^{*}(x)$, for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, then there exists a continuous and compact embedding $W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \longrightarrow L^{q(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

In the following, we shall use the product space

$$
\begin{equation*}
X:=W_{a}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times W_{b}^{1, q(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

equipped with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|(u, v)\|:=\max \left\{\|u\|_{a},\|v\|_{b}\right\}, \quad \forall(u, v) \in X \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{a}$ (respectively, $\left.\|\cdot\|_{b}\right)$ is the norm in $W_{a}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ (respectively, $W_{b}^{1, q(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ ) defined above. We denote $X^{*}$ as the dual space of $X$ equipped with the usual dual norm.

Definition 1. $(u, v) \in X$ is called a weak solution of system (1) if

$$
\begin{array}{r}
M_{1}\left(L_{p}(u)\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi+a(x)|u|^{p(x)-2} u \varphi\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
+M_{2}\left(L_{q}(u)\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla v(x)|^{q(x)-2} \nabla v \nabla \psi+b(x)|v|^{q(x)-2} v \psi\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F_{u}(x, u, v) \phi \mathrm{d} x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F_{v}(x, u, v) \psi \mathrm{d} x=0, \tag{27}
\end{array}
$$

for all $(\varphi, \psi) \in X$, where $L_{r}(u)$ is defined in (2).
We denote $E_{\lambda}$ as the energy functional associated with problem (1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\lambda}(\cdot):=\Phi(\cdot)-\lambda \Psi(\cdot), \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi, \Psi: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Phi(u, v)=\Phi_{1}\left(L_{p}(u)\right)+\Phi_{2}\left(L_{q}(v)\right) \\
\Psi(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v})=\int_{\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{N}}} \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}) \mathrm{dx} \tag{29}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{1}\left(L_{p}(u)\right) & =\widehat{M}_{1}\left(L_{p}(u)\right) \\
\Phi_{2}\left(L_{q}(v)\right) & =\widehat{M_{2}}\left(L_{q}(v)\right) . \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $w=(u, v)$ in $X$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{M}_{i}(t):=\int_{0}^{t} M_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s, \quad \text { for all } t \geq 0,(i=1,2) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that we have the following formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, u, v)=\int_{0}^{u} \frac{\partial F}{\partial s}(x, s, v) \mathrm{d} s+\int_{0}^{v} \frac{\partial F}{\partial s}(x, 0, s) \mathrm{d} s+F(x, 0,0) . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well know that $E_{\lambda} \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and that critical points of $E_{\lambda}$ correspond to weak solutions of problem (1).
2.1. Hypotheses. In this paper, we use the following assumptions:
(H1) $F \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and $F(x, 0,0)=0$.
(H2) There exist positive functions $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}(i=1,2)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{\partial F}{\partial u}(x, u, v)\right| \leq a_{1}(x)|u|^{\mu_{1}-1}+a_{2}(x)|v|^{\mu_{2}-1}  \tag{33}\\
& \left|\frac{\partial F}{\partial v}(x, u, v)\right| \leq b_{1}(x)|u|^{v_{1}-1}+b_{2}(x)|v|^{v_{2}-1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $1<\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2}<\inf (p(x), q(x))$ and $p(x)$, $q(x)>N / 2$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, and the weight functions $a_{1}, b_{2}$ (respectively, $a_{2}, b_{1}$ ) belong to the generalized Lebesgue spaces $L^{\alpha_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ (respectively, $L^{\beta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ ), with

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\alpha_{1}(x)=\frac{p(x)}{p(x)-1}, \\
\alpha_{2}(x)=\frac{q(x)}{q(x)-1},  \tag{34}\\
\beta(x)=\frac{p^{*}(x) q^{*}(x)}{p^{*}(x) q^{*}(x)-p^{*}(x)-q^{*}(x)} .
\end{array}
$$

(H3) $M_{i}: \mathbb{R}^{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous and increasing functions such that $0<m_{0} \leq M_{i}(t) \leq m_{1}$, for all $t \geq 0,(i=1,2)$.
(H4) There exist $e>0$ and $\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right) \in X$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:
(C1) $m_{0} / p^{+} \min \left\{\left\|w_{1}\right\|_{a}^{p^{-}},\left\|w_{1}\right\|_{a}^{p^{+}}\right\}+m_{0} / q^{+} \min$ $\left\{\left\|w_{1}\right\|_{b}^{q^{-}},\left\|w_{1}\right\|_{b}^{q^{+}}\right\}>e$,


$$
<\left(1 / m_{1}\left(\max \left\{\left\|w_{1}\right\|_{a}^{p^{p}},\left\|w_{1}\right\|_{a}^{p^{+}}\right\}+\max \left\{\left\|w_{2}\right\|_{b}^{q^{-}}\right.\right.\right.
$$

where

$$
\left.\left.\left.\left\|w_{2}\right\|_{b}^{q^{+}}\right\}\right)\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F\left(x, w_{1}, w_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x,
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
K(t):= & \left\{\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \min \left\{\left.\left|\xi_{1}\right|\right|_{p^{*}(x)} ^{\left(p^{*}\right)},\left.\left|\xi_{1}\right|\right|_{p^{*}(x)} ^{\left(p^{*}\right)^{+}}\right\}\right. \\
& \left.+\min \left\{\left|\xi_{2}\right|_{q^{*}(x)}^{\left(q^{*}\right)^{-}},\left|\xi_{2}\right|_{q^{*}(x)}^{\left(q^{*}\right)^{+}}\right\} \leq t\right\},  \tag{35}\\
s= & \min \left\{p^{+} \min \left\{c_{p(x)}^{\left(p^{*}\right)^{-}}, c_{p(x)}^{\left(p^{*}\right)^{+}}\right\}, q^{+} \min \left\{c_{q(x)}^{\left(q^{*}\right)^{-}}, c_{q(x)}^{\left(q^{*}\right)^{+}}\right\}\right\}, \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

with $t>0$ and $c_{p(x)}$ and $c_{q(x)}$ representing the constants defined in Proposition 4.

## 3. The Main Results

We will use the three critical point theorem obtained by Bonano and Marano together with the following lemmas to get our main results.

Lemma 2. The functional $\Phi$ is continuously Gâteaux differentiable and sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous, coercive whose Gâteaux derivative admits a continuous inverse on $X^{*}$.

Proof. It is well known that the functional $\Phi$ is well defined and is continuously Gâteaux differentiable functional whose derivative at the point $(u, v) \in X$ is the functional $\Phi^{\prime}(u, v)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u, v),(\varphi, \psi)\right\rangle=\left\langle\Phi_{1}^{\prime}(u), \varphi\right\rangle+\left\langle\Phi_{2}^{\prime}(v), \psi\right\rangle, \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\Phi_{1}^{\prime}(u), \varphi\right\rangle= & M_{1}\left(L_{p}(u)\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi\right. \\
& \left.+a(x)|u|^{p(x)-2} u \varphi\right) \mathrm{d} x  \tag{38}\\
\left\langle\Phi_{2}^{\prime}(v), \psi\right\rangle= & M_{2}\left(L_{q}(u)\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla v(x)|^{q(x)-2} \nabla v \nabla \psi\right. \\
& \left.+b(x)|v|^{q(x)-2} v \psi\right) \mathrm{d} x,
\end{align*}
$$

for every $(\varphi, \psi) \in X$ and $L_{r}(u)$ is defined in (19).
Let us show that $\Phi$ is coercive. By using (19) and (20), we have for all $(u, v) \in X$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi(u, v) & =\widehat{M_{1}}\left(L_{p}(u)\right)+\widehat{M_{2}}\left(L_{q}(v)\right) \\
& \geq \frac{m_{0}}{p^{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)} \mathrm{d} x+a(x)|u(x)|^{p(x)}\right) \\
& +\frac{m_{0}}{q^{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla v(x)|^{q(x)} \mathrm{d} x+b(x)|v(x)|^{q(x)}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \geq \frac{m_{0}}{p^{+}} \min \left\{\|u\|_{a}^{p^{-}},\|u\|_{a}^{p^{+}}\right\}+\frac{m_{0}}{q^{+}} \min \left\{\|v\|_{b}^{q^{-}},\|v\|_{b}^{q^{+}}\right\} . \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

This shows that $\Phi(u, v) \longrightarrow+\infty$ as $\|(u, v)\| \longrightarrow+\infty$, that is, $\Phi$ is coercive on $X$.

Now, in order to show that the operator $\Phi^{\prime}: X \longrightarrow X^{*}$ is strictly monotone, it suffices to prove that $\Phi$ is strictly convex.

For $r \in C_{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, the functional $L_{r}: W_{a}^{1, r(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined in (2) is clearly a Gâteaux derivative at any $u \in W_{a}^{1, r(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, and his derivative is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle L_{r}^{\prime}(u), \varphi\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u(x)|^{r(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi+a(x)|u|^{r(x)-2} u \varphi\right) \mathrm{d} x, \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\varphi \in W_{a}^{1, r(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
Taking into account the inequality (see, e.g., Chapter I in [32]) for $\gamma>1$, there exists a positive constant $C_{\gamma}$ such that

$$
\left.\left.\langle | \alpha\right|^{\gamma-2} \alpha-|\beta|^{\gamma-2} \beta, \alpha-\beta\right\rangle \geq \begin{cases}C_{\gamma}|\alpha-\beta|^{\gamma}, & \text { if } \gamma \geq 2  \tag{41}\\ C_{\gamma} \frac{|\alpha-\beta|^{2}}{(|\alpha|+|\beta|)^{2-\gamma}},(\alpha, \beta) \neq(0,0), & \text { if } 1<\gamma<2\end{cases}
$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle L_{p}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)-L_{p}^{\prime}\left(u_{2}\right), u_{1}-u_{2}\right\rangle>0 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u_{1} \neq u_{2} \in W_{a}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ which means that $L_{p}^{\prime}$ is strictly monotone. So, by ([33], Proposition 25.10), $L_{p}$ is strictly convex. Moreover, since the Kirchhoff function $M_{1}$ is nondecreasing, $\widehat{M}_{1}$ is convex in [ $0,+\infty$ [. Thus, for every $u_{1}, u_{2} \in W_{a}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $u_{1} \neq u_{2}$ and every $] s, t \in 0,1[$ with $s+t=1$, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widehat{M}_{1}\left(L\left(s u_{1}+t u_{2}\right)\right)<\widehat{M_{1}}\left(\left(s L\left(u_{1}\right)+t L\left(u_{2}\right)\right)\right) \leq s \widehat{M}_{1}\left(L\left(u_{1}\right)\right) \\
&+t \widehat{M_{1}}\left(L\left(u_{2}\right)\right) . \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

This shows that $\Phi_{1}$ is strictly convex in $W_{a}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Similarly, we have that $\Phi_{2}$ is strictly convex in $W_{b}^{1, q(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Hence, $\Phi$ is strictly convex in $X$, and so $\Phi^{\prime}=\Phi_{1}^{\prime}+\Phi_{2}^{\prime}$ is strictly monotone.

It is clear that $\Phi^{\prime}$ is an injection since $\Phi^{\prime}$ is a strictly monotone operator in X. Moreover, since we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{\|(u, v)\| \longrightarrow+\infty} \frac{\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u, v),(u, v)\right\rangle}{\|(u, v)\|} \geq \\
& \lim _{\|(u, v)\| \longrightarrow+\infty} \frac{m_{0}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)}+a(x)|u|^{p(x)}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla v|^{q(x)}+b(x)|v|^{q(x)}\right) \mathrm{d} x\right)}{\|(u, v)\|}=+\infty, \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

then, we deduce that $\Phi^{\prime}$ is coercive (see (19)). Thus, $\Phi^{\prime}$ is a surjection. Now, since $\Phi^{\prime}$ is hemicontinuous in $X$, then by
applying (Proposition 4.2, [22]), we conclude that $\Phi^{\prime}$ admits a continuous inverse on $X^{*}$. Moreover, the monotonicity of
$\Phi^{\prime}$ on $X^{*}$ ensures that $\Phi$ is sequentially lower semicontinuously on $X$ (see [33], Proposition 25. 20). The proof of the lemma is complete.

Lemma 3 (see [8]). Under assumptions (H1) and (H2), the functional $\Psi$ is well defined and is of class $C^{1}$ on $X$. Moreover, its derivative is given by
$\Psi^{1}(u, v)(\varphi, \psi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{v}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}(x, u, v) \varphi+\frac{\partial F}{\partial v}(x, u, v) \psi \mathrm{d} x, \quad \forall(u, v),(\varphi, \psi) \in X$.

Moreover, $\Psi^{1}$ is compact from $X$ to $X^{*}$.

Theorem 1. Under assumptions (H1)-(H4), system (1) admits at least three distinct weak solutions in $X$ for each

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \in\left[\frac{m_{1}\left(\max \left\{\left\|w_{1}\right\|_{a}^{p^{-}},\left\|w_{1}\right\|_{a}^{p^{+}}\right\}+\max \left\{\left\|w_{2}\right\|_{b}^{q^{-}},\left\|w_{2}\right\|_{b}^{q^{+}}\right\}\right)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F\left(x, w_{1}(x), w_{1}(x)\right) \mathrm{d} x}, \frac{e}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \sup _{\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in K\left(\operatorname{sel} / m_{0}\right)} F\left(x, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x}\right] \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2, $\Phi$ is coercive, and by the definitions of $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ and from hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$, we have $\Phi(0,0)=\Psi(0,0)=0$. Moreover, the required hypothesis
$\Phi(\bar{x})>e$ follows from condition (C1) and the definition of $\Phi$ by choosing $\bar{x}=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)$. On the contrary, by applying
Proposition 4 for $(u, v) \in X$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{s}\left(\min \left\{|u|_{p^{*}}^{p^{-}},|u|_{p^{*}}^{p^{+}}\right\}+\min \left\{|v|_{s^{*}}^{q^{-}},|v|_{q^{*}}^{q^{+}}\right\}\right) \leq \frac{1}{p^{+}} \min \left\{\|u\|_{a}^{p^{-}},\|u\|_{a}^{p^{+}}\right\}+\frac{1}{q^{+}} \min \left\{\|v\|_{b}^{q^{-}},\|v\|_{b}^{q^{+}}\right\}, \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $s=\min \left\{p^{+} \min \left\{c_{p(x)}^{\left(p^{*}\right)^{-}}, c_{p(x)}^{\left(p^{*}\right)^{+}}\right\}, q^{+} \min \left\{c_{q(x)}^{\left(q^{*}\right)^{-}}, c_{q(x)}^{\left(q^{*}\right)^{+}}\right\}\right\}$,
defined in (36). Now, from (47), we obtain for $e>0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, e[)=\{x=(u, v) \in X: \Phi(u, v) \leq e\} \\
& \subseteq \\
& \qquad\left\{(u, v) \in X: \frac{m_{0}}{p^{+}} \min \left\{\|u\|_{a}^{p^{-}},\|u\|_{a}^{p^{+}}\right\}+\frac{m_{0}}{q^{+}} \min \left\{\|v\|_{b}^{q^{-}},\|v\|_{b}^{q^{+}}\right\} \leq e\right\}  \tag{48}\\
& \subseteq\left\{(u, v) \in X: \min \left\{|u|_{p^{*}}^{p^{-}},|u|_{p^{*}}^{p^{+}}\right\}+\min \left\{\left.|v|\right|_{s^{*}} ^{q^{-}},|v|_{q^{*}}^{q^{+}}\right\} \leq \frac{s e}{m_{0}}\right\} \\
&=K\left(\frac{s e}{m_{0}}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $K(\cdot)$ is defined in (35). Then,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sup _{(u, v) \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, e[)} \Psi(u)=\sup _{(u, v) \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, e[)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, u, v) \mathrm{d} x \\
\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \sup _{\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in K\left(\operatorname{sel} / m_{0}\right)} F\left(x, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x . \tag{49}
\end{array}
$$

Therefore, from condition (C2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{(u, v) \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, e[)} \Psi(u) & \leq e \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F\left(x, w_{1}(x), w_{1}(x)\right) \mathrm{d} x}{m_{1}\left(\max \left\{\left\|w_{1}\right\|_{a}^{p^{-}},\left\|w_{1}\right\|_{a}^{p^{+}}\right\}+\max \left\{\left\|w_{2}\right\|_{b}^{q^{-}},\left\|w_{2}\right\|_{b}^{q^{+}}\right\}\right)} \\
& \leq e \frac{\Psi\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)}{\Phi\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

from which condition $\left(a_{1}\right)$ of Lemma 1 follows.

To show that the functional $E_{\lambda}=\Phi-\lambda \Psi$ is coercive, we use inequality (3.8). For all $(u, v) \in X$, we have in virtue of (H1) and (H2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\lambda}(u, v) & =\widehat{M}_{1}\left(L_{p}(u)\right)+\widehat{M}_{2}\left(L_{q}(v)\right)-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, u(x), v(x)) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \geq \frac{m_{0}}{p^{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)} \mathrm{d} x+a(x)|u(x)|^{p(x)}\right) \\
& +\frac{m_{0}}{q^{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla v(x)|^{q(x)} \mathrm{d} x+b(x)|v(x)|^{q(x)}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\int_{0}^{u} \frac{\partial F}{\partial s}(x, s, v) \mathrm{d} s+\int_{0}^{v} \frac{\partial F}{\partial s}(x, 0, s) \mathrm{d} s+F(x, 0,0) \mathrm{d} x\right) \\
& \geq \frac{m_{0}}{p^{+}} \rho_{a}(u)+\frac{m_{0}}{q^{+}} \rho_{b}(v)-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(a_{1}(x)|u|^{\mu_{1}}+a_{2}(x)|v|^{\mu_{2}-1}|u|+b_{2}(x)|v|^{v_{2}}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \geq \frac{m_{0}}{p^{+}} \rho_{a}(u)+\frac{m_{0}}{q^{+}} \rho_{b}(v)-\left(\left.\left|a_{1}\right| \alpha_{1}(x)|u|^{\mu_{1}}\right|_{p(x)}+\left.\left.\left|a_{2}\right| \beta(x)| | v\right|^{\mu_{2}-1}\right|_{q^{*}(x)}|u|_{p^{*}(x)}\right. \\
& \left.+\left.\left.\left|b_{2}\right|_{\alpha_{2}(x)}| | v\right|^{v_{2}}\right|_{q(x)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Young's inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{\lambda}(u, v) & \geq \frac{m_{0}}{p^{+}}\|u\|_{a}^{p^{-}}+\frac{m_{0}}{q^{+}}\|v\|_{b}^{q^{-}}-\lambda\left(\left|a_{1}\right|_{\alpha_{1}(x)}\|u\|_{p(x)}^{\mu_{1}}\right. \\
& \left.+\left|a_{2}\right|_{\beta(x)}\left(\frac{\mu_{2}-1}{\mu_{2}}\|v\|_{q(x)}^{\mu_{2}}+\frac{1}{\mu_{2}}\|u\|_{p(x)}^{\mu_{2}}\right)+\left|b_{2}\right|_{\alpha_{2}(x)}\|v\|_{q(x)}^{v_{2}}\right)  \tag{52}\\
& \geq \frac{m_{0}}{p^{+}}\|u\|_{a}^{p^{-}}+\frac{m_{0}}{q^{+}}\|v\|_{b}^{q^{-}}-c\left(\left|a_{1}\right|_{\alpha_{1}(x)}\|u\|_{p(x)}^{\mu_{1}}\right. \\
& \left.+\left|a_{2}\right|_{\beta(x)}\|v\|_{q(x)}^{\mu_{2}}+\left|a_{2}\right|_{\beta(x)}\|u\|_{p(x)}^{\mu_{2}}+\left|b_{2}\right|_{\alpha_{2}(x)}\|v\|_{q(x)}^{v_{2}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

This shows that $\Phi-\lambda \Psi \longrightarrow+\infty$ as $\|(u, v)\|_{X} \longrightarrow \infty$ since we have $1<\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \nu_{1}, v_{2}<\inf (p(x), q(x))$, that is, $\Phi-$ $\lambda \Psi$ is coercive on $X$, for every parameter $\lambda$, in particular, for every $\left.\lambda \in \Lambda_{e}:=\right]\left(\Phi\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right) / \Psi\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)\right),\left(e / \sup _{\Phi(u, v)}\right.$
$\leq e \Psi(u, v))$. Then, condition $\left(a_{2}\right)$ in Lemma 1 also holds. Now, all the hypotheses of Lemma 1 are satisfied. Note that the solutions of the equation $\Phi^{\prime}(u, v)-\lambda \Psi^{1}(u, v)=0$ are exactly the weak solutions of (1). Thus, for each

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \in\left[\frac{m_{1}\left(\max \left\{\left\|w_{1}\right\|_{a}^{p^{-}},\left\|w_{1}\right\|_{a}^{p^{+}}\right\}+\max \left\{\left\|w_{2}\right\|_{b}^{q^{-}},\left\|w_{2}\right\|_{b}^{q^{+}}\right\}\right)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F\left(x, w_{1}(x), w_{1}(x)\right) \mathrm{d} x}, \frac{e}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \sup _{\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in K\left(\operatorname{sel} / m_{0}\right)} F\left(x, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x}[\right. \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

system (1) admits at least three weak solutions in $X$.

## Data Availability

No data were used to support this study.

## Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

## References

[1] G. Kirchhoff, Mechanik, Teubner, Leipezig, Germany, 1883.
[2] G. Bonanno and A. Chinnì, "Discontinuous elliptic problems involving the $p(x)$-Laplacian," Mathematische Nachrichten, vol. 284, no. 5-6, pp. 639-652, 2011.
[3] G. Bonanno and A. Chinni, "Multiple solutions for elliptic problems involving the $p(x)$-Laplacian," Matematiche (Catania), vol. 66, pp. 105-113, 2011.
[4] F. Cammaroto, A. Chinnì, and B. Di Bella, "Multiple solutions for a Neumann problem involving the-Laplacian," Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods \& Applications, vol. 71, no. 10, pp. 4486-4492, 2009.
[5] J. Chabrowski and Y. Fu, "Existence of solutions for $p(x)$ Laplacian problems on a bounded domain," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 306, no. 2, pp. 604-618, 2005.
[6] N. Chems Eddine and A. A. Idrissi, "Multiple solutions to a ( $p_{1}(x), \ldots, p_{n}(x)$ )-Laplacian-type systems in unbounded domain," Azerbaijan Journal of Mathematics, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 3-20, 2020.
[7] G. Dai, "Three solutions for a nonlocal Dirichlet boundary value problem involving the $p(x)$-Laplacien," Applicable Analysis, vol. 92, no. 1, pp. 191-210, 2013.
[8] A. Djellit, Z. Youbi, and S. Tas, "Existence of solutions for elliptic systems in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ involving the- $p(x)$ Laplacien," Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, vol. 131, pp. 1-10, 2012.
[9] X. L. Fan and Q.-H. Zangh, "Existence of solutions for $p(x)$-Laplacien Dirichlet problem," Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods \& Applications, vol. 52, no. 8, pp. 1843-1852, 2003.
[10] J. Liu and X. Shi, "Existence of three solutions for a class of quasilinear elliptic systems involving the ( $p(x), q(x)$ )-Laplacian," Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods \& Application, vol. 71, no. 1-2, pp. 550-557, 2009.
[11] R. A. Mashiyev, "Three solutions to a Neumann problem for elliptic equations with variable exponent," Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, vol. 36, no. 8, pp. 1559-1567, 2011.
[12] X. Shi and X. Ding, "Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a general $p(x)$-Laplacian Neumann problem," Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods \& Applications, vol. 70, no. 10, pp. 3715-3720, 2009.
[13] V. Zhikov, "Averaging of functionals in the calculus of variations and elasticity," Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 33-66, 1987.
[14] M. Ružǐcka, "Flow of shear dependent electro-rheological fluids," Comptes Rendus Mathématique, vol. 329, pp. 393-398, 1999.
[15] M. Ružičcka, Electro-rheological Fluids: Modeling and Mathematical Theory, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2000.
[16] S. N. Antontsev and J. F. Rodrigues, "On stationary thermorheological viscous flows," Annali Dell "universita" Di Ferrara, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 19-36, 2006.
[17] S. N. Antontsev and S. I. Shmarev, Handbook of Differential Equations: Stationary Partial Differential Equation, vol. 3, Elseiver, Amsterdam, Netherlands, 2006.
[18] Y. Chen, S. Levine, and M. Rao, "Variable exponent, linear growth functionals in image restoration," SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics, vol. 66, no. 4, pp. 1383-1406, 2006.
[19] S. N. Antontsev and S. I. Shmarev, "A model porous medium equation with variable exponent of nonlinearity: existence, uniqueness and localization properties of solutions," Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods \& Applications, vol. 60, no. 3, pp. 515-545, 2005.
[20] G. Dai and X. Li, "On nonlocal elliptic systems of $p(x)$ Kichhoff-type underNeumann bondary condition," Journal of Mathematical Research with Applications, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 443-450, 2013.
[21] E. H Hssini, N. Tsouli, and M. Haddaoui, "Existence and multiplicity solutions for ( $p(x), q(x)$ )-Kirchhoff type systems," Le Matematiche, vol. 71, pp. 75-88, 2016.
[22] M. Massar, M. Talbi, and N. Tsouli, "Multiple solutions for nonlocal system of ( $p(x), q(x)$ )-Kirchhoff type," Applied Mathematics and Computation, vol. 242, pp. 216-226, 2014.
[23] G. Dai, "Existence of solutions for nonlocal elliptic systems with nonstandard growth conditions," Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, vol. 2011, no. 137, pp. 1-13, 2011.
[24] A. Brahim, A. Djellit, and S. Tas, "Existennce of solutions for an elliptic $p(x)$-Kirchhoff-type systems in unbounded domain," Boletim da Sociedade Paranaense de Matemática, vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 193-205, 2018.
[25] G. Bonanno and S. A. Marano, "On the structure of the critical set of non-differentiable functions with a weak compactness condition," Applicable Analysis, vol. 89, no. 1, pp. 1-10, 2010.
[26] G. Bonanno and P. Candito, "Non-differentiable functionals and applications to elliptic problems with discontinuous nonlinearities," Journal of Differential Equations, vol. 244, no. 12, pp. 3031-3059, 2008.
[27] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, and M. Ružicka, "Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponents," in Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2017, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, Germany, 2011.
[28] X. Fan and D. Zhao, "On the spaces $L^{p}(x)(\Omega)$ and $W^{m, p}(\Omega)$," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 263, no. 2, pp. 424-446, 2001.
[29] O. Kováčik and J. Rákosník, "On spaces $L^{p}(x)(\Omega)$ and $W^{m, p}(\Omega), "$ Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, vol. 41, pp. 592-618, 1991.
[30] D. Cruz-Uribe, L. Diening, and P. Hästö, "The maximal operator on weighted variable lebesgue spaces," Fractional

Calculus and Applied Analysis, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 361-374, 2011.
[31] D. E. Edmunds and J. Rákosník, "Sobolev embeddings with variable exponent," Studia Mathematica, vol. 143, no. 3, pp. 267-293, 2000.
[32] E. DiBenedetto, Degenerate Parabolic Equations, SpringerVerlag, New York, NY, USA, 1993.
[33] E. Zeidler, Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications, Vol. II/B, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 1990.
[34] I. Aydin, "Weighted variable Sobolev spaces and capacity," Journal of Functional Spaces and Applications, vol. 2012, Article ID 132690, 17 pages, 2012.

