

Research Article

On the Existence and Stability of Bounded Solutions for Abstract Dynamic Equations on Time Scales

Cosme Duque (b,¹ Hugo Leiva (b,² Rodolfo Gallo (b,² and Abdessamad Tridane (b)³

¹Universidad de Los Andes, Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencias, Mérida, Venezuela ²University YachayTech, School of Mathematical and Computational Sciences San Miguel de Urcuqui, Imbabura, Ecuador ³Department Mathematical Sciences, United Arab Emirates University, Al Ain, UAE

Correspondence should be addressed to Abdessamad Tridane; a-tridane@uaeu.ac.ae

Received 21 February 2022; Revised 2 September 2022; Accepted 12 September 2022; Published 29 April 2023

Academic Editor: Jaume Giné

Copyright © 2023 Cosme Duque et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In this article we study the existence and stability of bounded solutions for semilinear abstract dynamic equations on time scales in Banach spaces. In order to do so, we use the definition of the Riemann delta-integral to prove a result about closed operator in Banach spaces and then we just use the representation of bounded solutions as an improper delta-integral from minus infinite to *t*. We prove the existence, uniqueness, and exponential stability of such bounded solutions. As particular cases, we study the existence of periodic and almost periodic solutions as well. Finally, we present some equations on time scales where our results can be applied.

1. Introduction

The time scales theory was introduced by Hilger (see [1, 2]) with the purpose to study difference and differential equations from a unified perspective. In recent decades, a large number of researchers have directed their efforts to study this powerful tool which has relevant applications in economics, population dynamics, quantum physics, controllability, and among others (see, for instance [3–9] and the references therein).

Particularly, this paper is devoted to study the existence and stability of bounded solutions of certain abstract dynamic equations on times scales, but before presenting the subject of this paper we recall that a time scale, denoted by \mathbb{T} , is any arbitrary nonempty closed subset of \mathbb{R} . On \mathbb{T} , the forward and backward jump operators $\sigma, \rho: \mathbb{T} \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}$ are defined, respectively, as $\sigma(t) = \inf \{s \in \mathbb{T}: s > t\}$ and $\rho(t) =$ $\sup \{s \in \mathbb{T}: s < t\}$. A point $t \in \mathbb{T}$ is said to be right-dense if $\sigma(t) = t$, right-scattered if $\sigma(t) > t$, left-dense if $\rho(t) = t$, leftscattered if $\rho(t) < t$, isolated if $\rho(t) < t < \sigma(t)$. The function $\mu: \mathbb{T} \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by $\mu(t): = \sigma(t) - t$ is known as graininess function. \mathbb{T} has the topology inherited from standard topology on the real numbers, and the time scale interval $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ is defined by $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} = \{t \in \mathbb{T}: a \le t \le b\}$, with $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$, similarly is defined open intervals and open neighborhoods. The set $\mathbb{T}^{\kappa} \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ is given by $\mathbb{T}^{\kappa} = \mathbb{T} \setminus (\rho(m), m]$ if sup $\mathbb{T} = m < \infty$ or $\mathbb{T}^{\kappa} = \mathbb{T}$ if sup $\mathbb{T} = \infty$.

A function $z: \mathbb{T} \longrightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is called rd-continuous (rightdense continuous) if z is continuous at every right-dense point of \mathbb{T} and its left-sided limit exists and is finite at leftdense points of \mathbb{T} . The set of all rd-continuous functions is denoted by $C_{rd}(\mathbb{T},\mathbb{X})$. The function z is said to be Δ -differentiable (delta differentiable) at $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$ if there exists a vector $z^{\Delta}(t)$ with the following property: given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a neighborhood $U = (t - \delta, t + \delta)_{\mathbb{T}}$, for some $\delta > 0$, such that $||z(\sigma(t)) - z(s) - z^{\Delta}(t)(\sigma(t) - s)|| \le \varepsilon |\sigma(t) - s)||$ for all $s \in U$. In this case the vector $z^{\Delta}(t)$ is called the delta derivative of z in t. When z is Δ -differentiable at $t \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa}$ it is easy to show that $z^{\Delta}(t) = (f(\sigma(t)) - f(t))/(\sigma(t) - t)$ if t is right-scattered, or $z^{\Delta}(t) = \lim_{s \to t} (f(t) - f(s))/(t - s)$ if t is right-dense. For more details about calculus on time scale we refer the reader to Bohner and Peterson's book [4].

For our purposes, in the sequel, we will assume that $0 \in \mathbb{T}$, $\inf \mathbb{T} = -\infty$, $\sup \mathbb{T} = +\infty$, $b - a \in \mathbb{T}$ if $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$ and a < b, and $\mu^* = \sup \{\mu(t) \colon t \in \mathbb{T}\} < \infty$. We will also denote by $\mathbb{T}_0^+ = \mathbb{T} \cap [0, \infty)$.

The main concern of this paper is to study the existence and stability of bounded solutions for the following abstract dynamic equation on time scales:

$$\begin{cases} z^{\Delta}(t) = Az(t) + f(t, z(t)), t \in [t_0, \infty_{\mathbb{T}}), \\ z(t_0) = z_0. \end{cases}$$
(1)

Here, we are considering $f: \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{X} \longrightarrow \mathbb{X}$ as a rdcontinuous function which is locally Lipschitz on a Banach space \mathbb{X} , uniformly on t and $A: \mathcal{D}(A) \subset \mathbb{X} \longrightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is a linear operator which generates a C_0 -semigroup of bounded linear operator $\{T(t): t \in \mathbb{T}_0^+\}$.

The motivation to study equation (1) was given by the works presented in [10, 11] where the authors studied the existence and stability of bounded solutions of the discretedependent system of difference equation

$$\begin{cases} z(n+1) = Az(n) + f(n, z(n)), n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \\ z(n_0) = z_0, \end{cases}$$
(2)

and the evolution equation,

$$\begin{cases} z'(t) = Az(t) + f(t, z(t)), t > t_0, \\ z(t_0) = z_0, \end{cases}$$
(3)

respectively. Therefore, this paper allows us to unify the results presented in [10, 11] and extend them.

Now, to accomplish this task, we will assume the following hypotheses for problem (1):

(H1)
$$||f(t,0)|| \le L_f$$
 for some $L_f > 0$ constant
(H2) Given $\varrho > 0$ there exits $L_\varrho > 0$ such that $|f(t,z_1) - f(t,z_1)| \le L_\varrho |z_1 - z_2|$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$ and $z_1, z_2 \in B_\varrho$
= $\{z \in \mathbb{X} : ||z|| < \varrho\}$

The organization of this paper is as follows: Next section is devoted to present some preliminary results about integration, generalized exponential function, and semigroup theory on time scales. In the third section, we analyze the existence and stability of bounded solutions. The fourth section is devoted to study, as particular case, the existence of periodic and almost periodic solutions. In the fifth section, we show that the obtained bounded mild solution is also, under certain conditions, a classical solution. Finally, we presented some examples as applications of our results.

2. Preliminaries

We start to present some facts about integration, the exponential function, and semigroup theory on time scales, that will be of utility in the development of this work.

A partition on $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ (see [12]) is a finite sequence of points $\{t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_n\} \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ such that $a = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = b$, this partition will be denoted by \mathscr{P} . It is clear that *n* depends on the partition \mathscr{P} , so we get $n = n(\mathscr{P})$.

Suppose that φ is a bounded function on interval $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ and let \mathscr{P} be a partition of $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$, the sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right),\tag{4}$$

is called the Riemann Δ -sum of φ on $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$, where ξ_i is an arbitrary point on $[\xi_{i-1}, \xi_i)_T$ for each $1 \le i \le n$. If $\delta > 0$, the set $\mathcal{P}_{\delta}[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ denotes the set of all partitions of $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ such that for every $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ either $t_j - t_{j-1} \le \delta$ or $t_j - t_{j-1} > \delta$ and $\rho(t_j) = t_{j-1}$. The set $\mathcal{P}_{\delta}[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \neq \emptyset$ by Lemma 2.7 in [12].

Definition 1 (see [12]). The function φ : $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is said to be Riemann Δ -integrable on $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ if there exists an element $I \in \mathbb{X}$, denoted by $\int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t) \Delta t$, with the property for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\varphi(\xi_{i})(t_{i}-t_{i-1})-\int_{a}^{b}\varphi(t)\Delta t\right\|<\varepsilon,$$
(5)

for all $\mathscr{P} \in \mathscr{P}_{\delta}[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ independently of $\xi_i \in [t_i, t_{i-1})_{\mathbb{T}}$ for $1 \le i \le n$.

The element $\int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t) \Delta t$ is unique and it is called the Riemann Δ - integral of φ from *a* to *b*.

Let $\varphi \in C_{rd}([a, \infty]_T, \mathbb{X})$. The improper Δ -integral of φ is defined by (see [13])

$$\int_{a}^{\infty} \varphi(s) \Delta s = \lim_{b \longrightarrow \infty} \int_{a}^{b} \varphi(s) \Delta s, \qquad (6)$$

provided that this limit exists. Analogously,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{b} \varphi(s) \Delta s = \lim_{a \longrightarrow -\infty} \int_{a}^{b} \varphi(s) \Delta s.$$
 (7)

The function $p: \mathbb{T} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called regressive (resp. positively regressive) if $1 + \mu(t)p(t) \neq 0$ (resp. $1 + \mu(t)p(t) > 0$) for $t \in \mathbb{T}$. The set of all regressive (resp. positively regressive) and rd-continuous functions is denoted by \mathcal{R} (resp. \mathcal{R}^+).

Definition 2 (see [4]). Let $p \in \mathcal{R}$, the generalized exponential function is defined by

$$e_{p}(t,s) = \exp\left(\int_{s}^{t} \xi_{\mu(\tau)}(p(\tau))\Delta\tau\right),$$
(8)

where

$$\xi_{h}(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{h} \text{Log}(1 + hz) & \text{if } h > 0, \\ \\ z, & \text{if } h = 0, \end{cases}$$
(9)

is the cylinder transformation defined on the Hilger complex numbers $z \in \mathbb{C}_h$: = { $z \in \mathbb{C}$: $z \neq -1/h$ } and Log $z = \log |z| + i \arg z$, $-\pi < \arg z \le \pi$.

For $p, q \in \mathcal{R}$ we define the operations

$$(p \oplus q)(t) \coloneqq p(t) + q(t) + \mu(t)p(t)q(t),$$

$$(\Theta p)(t) \coloneqq \frac{-p(t)}{1 + \mu(t)p(t)}.$$
(10)

It easy to see that (\mathcal{R}, \oplus) forms an Abelian group, for details see [4].

Theorem 1 (see [4, 14]). For $p, q \in \mathcal{R}$, the generalized exponential function $e_p(t, s)$ satisfy the following properties: For $t, s \in \mathbb{T}$,

- (1) $e_0(t,s) \equiv 1$, $e_p(t,t) \equiv 1$, $e_p(t,r)e_p(r,s) = e_p(t,s)$ (2) $e_p(t,s)e_q(t,s) = e_{p\oplus q}(t,s), \ e_p(t,s) = 1/e_p(s,t) = e_{\ominus p}(s,t)$
- (3) $e_p(\sigma(t), s) = (1 + \mu(t)p(t))e_p(t, s)$

$$(4) e_p^{\Delta}(t,s) = p(t)e_p(t,s)$$

- (5) if $p \in \mathcal{R}^+$ and $p(t) \le q(t)$ for all $t \ge s$, $t \in \mathbb{T}$, then $e_p(t,s) \le e_q(t,s)$ for all $t \ge s$
- (6) if p > 0, then for t > s, $0 < e_{\ominus p}(t, s) \le 1$, $\lim_{t \to \infty} e_{\ominus p}(t, s) \le 1$. $e_{\ominus p}(t,s) = 0$ and $\lim_{s \to -\infty} e_{\ominus p}(t,s) = 0$.

Definition 3 (see [15]). A one parameter family $\{T(t): t \in \mathbb{T}_0^+\} \subset L(\mathbb{X})$ is a C_0 -semigroup if it satisfies the following properties:

(i)
$$T(0) = I_{\chi}$$

- (ii) T(t + s) = T(t)T(s) for every $t, s \in \mathbb{T}_0^+$
- (iii) $\lim_{t \to 0^+} T(t)z = z$ for each $z \in X$

If $\lim_{t \to 0^+} ||T(t) - I_{\mathbb{X}}|| = 0$ then the semigroup T(t) is called uniformly continuous.

The linear operator A defined by

$$\mathcal{D}(A) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{X}: \lim_{s \to 0^+} \frac{T(\mu(t))z - T(s)z}{\mu(t) - s} \operatorname{exists} \right\},$$

$$Az = \lim_{s \to 0^+} \frac{T(\mu(t))z - T(s)z}{\mu(t) - s}, z \in \mathcal{D}(A),$$
(11)

is the infinitesimal generator of the semigroup T(t) and $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is the domain of A.

One class of important functions are the generalized polynomial functions (see [4]) $h_k: \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, which are defined recursively of the following form: $h_0(t,s) \equiv 1$ and $h_{k+1}(t,s) = \int_s^t h_k(\tau,s) \Delta \tau$.

Lemma 1 (see [4]). Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then, $0 \le h_k(t, s) \le ((t - t))$ s)^k/k!) for all $t \ge s$.

Theorem 2. If A is a bounded linear operator on X, then A is the generator of a uniformly continuous semigroup $\{T(t): t \in \mathbb{T}_0^+\}$ which is given by

$$T(t) = e_A(t,0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A^n h_n(t,0).$$
 (12)

Proof. Note that for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, with m > n,

$$\left\|\sum_{i=n}^{m} A^{i} h_{i}(t,0) z\right\| \leq \sum_{i=n}^{m} \|A\|^{i} h_{i}(t,0)\|Z\| \leq \sum_{i=n}^{m} \|A\|^{i} \frac{t^{i}}{i!} \|z\|,$$
(13)

which implies the convergence $e_A(t,0)$ in the uniform operator topology for any $z \in X$ and defines a bounded linear operator for each *t*.

Now,

(i)
$$T(0) = e_A(0,0) = A^0 h_0(0,0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A^n h_n(0,0)$$

 $= I_{\mathbb{X}}$
(ii) $T(t)T(s) = e_A(t,0)e_A(s,0) = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A^n h_n(t,0))$
 $(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A^m h_m(s,0)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\sum_{k=0}^{n} (A^k h_k(t,0)))$
 $(A^{n-k} h_{n-k}(s,0))) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A^n (\sum_{k=1}^{n} h_k(t,0) h_{n-k}(s,0))$
We shall see that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} h_k(t,0) h_{n-k}(s,0) = h_n(t+s,0).$$
(14)

Indeed, we proceed by using induction over n.

If n = 0, then $h_0(t + s, 0) = 1 = h_0(t, 0)h_0(s, 0)$; if n = 1, then $h_1(t + s, 0) = \int_0^{t+s} h_0(\tau, 0)\Delta\tau = t + s = 0$ $h_0(t,0)h_1(s,0) + h_1(t,0)h_0(s,0)$. Suppose that (14) holds for *n*, then

$$\begin{aligned} h_{n+1}(t+s,0) &= \int_{0}^{t+s} h_{n}(\tau,0) \Delta \tau = \int_{0}^{t} h_{n}(\tau,0) \Delta \tau + \int_{t}^{t+s} h_{n}(\tau,0) \Delta \tau \\ &= h_{n+1}(t,0) + \int_{0}^{s} h_{n}(\tau+t,0) \Delta \tau = h_{n+1}(t,0) + \int_{0}^{s} \sum_{k=0}^{m} h_{k}(t,0) h_{n-k}(\tau,0) \Delta \tau \\ &= h_{n+1}(t,0) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} h_{k}(t,0) \int_{0}^{s} h_{n-k}(\tau,0) \Delta \tau \\ &= h_{n+1}(t,0) + \sum_{k=0}^{n} h_{k}(t,0) h_{n+1-k}(s,0) = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} h_{k}(t,0) h_{n+1-k}(s,0). \end{aligned}$$
(15)

Therefore,
$$T(t)T(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A^n h_n(t+s,0) = e_A(t+s,0) = T(t+s).$$

(iii) Estimating the power series yields

$$\begin{split} \left\| T\left(t\right) - I_{\mathbb{X}} \right\| &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\| A \right\|^n h_n\left(t,0\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\| A \right\|^n \frac{t^n}{n!} \\ &= e^{t \left\| A \right\|} - 1 \longrightarrow 0, \end{split} \tag{16}$$

as $t \longrightarrow 0$.

Finally, we see that A is the infinitesimal generator of T(t).

$$\lim_{s \to 0^{+}} \frac{T(\mu(t))z - T(s)z}{\mu(t) - s} = \lim_{s \to 0^{+}} \frac{1}{\mu(t) - s} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A^{n} (h_{n}(\mu(t), 0) - h_{n}(s, 0))z$$

$$= \lim_{s \to 0^{+}} \frac{1}{\mu(t) - s} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A^{n} \int_{s}^{\mu(t)} h_{n-1}(\tau, 0) \Delta \tau z$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A^{n} \lim_{s \to 0^{+}} \frac{1}{s + t - \sigma(t)} \int_{\sigma(t)+t}^{s} h_{n-1}(\tau, 0) \Delta \tau z$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A^{n} \lim_{r \to t^{+}} \frac{1}{r - \sigma(t)} \int_{\sigma(t)}^{r} h_{n-1}(\tau - t, 0) \Delta \tau z$$

$$= Az + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} h_{n-1}(0, 0)z = Az.$$

Remark 1. The converse of Theorem 2 is also true and was proved in [15] Theorem 2.7.

Definition 4 (see [16]). Let T be a C_0 -semigroup. The semigroup is called exponentially stable if there exists $K \ge 1$ and $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\left\| T\left(t-t_{0}\right) \right\| \leq K e_{\ominus\beta}\left(t,t_{0}\right), \tag{18}$$

for all $t, t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$ with $t > t_0$.

It is known (see [16, 17]) that solution of system (1) satisfies the integral equation

$$z(t) = T(t - t_0)z_0 + \int_{t_0}^t T(t - \sigma(s))f(s, z(s))\Delta s, \quad (19)$$

but not conversely since a solution of (19) is not necessarily Δ -differentiable. We shall refer to a rd-continuous solution of (19) as a mild solution of equation (1). $z: \mathbb{T}_0^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is a classical solution of (1) on T_0^+ if z is rd-continuously Δ -differentiable, $z(t) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ for $t \in \mathbb{T}_0^+$ and (1) is satisfied on \mathbb{T}_0^+ .

3. Existence of Bounded Solutions

In this section, we study the existence and stability of bounded solutions for system (1). The natural space to study this problem is

$$\mathbb{X}_{b} = BC_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{X})$$
$$= \{z: \mathbb{T} \longrightarrow \mathbb{X}: z \text{ is } rd - \text{ continuous and bounded}\},$$
(20)

which we will endow with the norm

$$\|z\|_{b} = \sup \{ \|z(t)\| \colon t \in \mathbb{T} \}.$$
(21)

It is easy to show that the space $(X_b, \|\cdot\|_b)$ is a Banach space, and for $\varrho > 0$ we define

$$B^b_{\varrho} = \{ x \in \mathbb{X}_b \colon \|z\|_b < \varrho \}.$$
(22)

Lemma 2. Let T a exponentially stable C_0 -semigroup with infinitesimal generator A, and let $z \in X_b$. Then, z is a mild solution of (1) if and only if z is solution of the integral equation

$$z(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t - \sigma(s)) f(s, z(s)) \Delta s.$$
 (23)

Proof. If z is a mild solution of (1), then

$$z(t) = T(t - t_0)z_0 + \int_{t_0}^t T(t - \sigma(s))f(s, z(s))\Delta s.$$
 (24)

International Journal of Differential Equations

Since the semigroup *T* is exponentially stable, we have that there exist $M \ge 1$ and $\beta > 0$ such that

$$||T(t-t_0)z_0|| \le Me_{\ominus\beta}(t,t_0)||z_0||, \quad t \ge t_0.$$
 (25)

On the other hand $||z||_b \le m$ for $t \in \mathbb{T}$, therefore we obtain the estimate

$$\left\|T\left(t-t_0\right)z_0\right\| \le mMe_{\ominus\beta}\left(t,t_0\right), \quad t \ge t_0, \tag{26}$$

and hence $\lim_{t_0 \longrightarrow -\infty} ||T(t - t_0)z_0|| = 0$. Now, passing to limit $t_0 \longrightarrow -\infty$ in (24), it follows that

$$z(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t - \sigma(s)) f(s, z(s)) \Delta s.$$
 (27)

Our next step is to show the improper integral is convergent. For this end, let us consider $\varrho > 0$ such that $||z||_b < \varrho$ and let L_{ϱ} be the Lipschitz constant of f in $B_{\varrho} \subset X$. Then,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{t} \|T(t - \sigma(s))f(s, z(s))\| \Delta s \leq \int_{-\infty}^{t} Me_{\ominus\beta}(t, \sigma(s)) \|f(s, z(s))\| \Delta s$$

$$\leq M \int_{-\infty}^{t} (1 + \mu(s)\beta)e_{\beta}(s, t) [\|f(s, z(s)) - f(s, 0)\| + \|f(s, 0)\|] \Delta s$$

$$\leq M [L_{\varrho}\|z\|_{b} + L_{f}] \frac{(1 + \mu^{*}\beta)}{\beta} \int_{-\infty}^{t} \beta e_{\beta}(s, t) \Delta s \qquad (28)$$

$$\leq M [L_{\varrho}\|z\|_{b} + L_{f}] \frac{(1 + \mu^{*}\beta)}{\beta} (1 - \lim_{r \to -\infty} e_{\ominus\beta}(t, r))$$

$$\leq M [L_{\varrho}\|z\|_{b} + L_{f}] \frac{(1 + \mu^{*}\beta)}{\beta} < \infty.$$

Therefore, (23) is well defined.

Now, suppose that z is solution of (23). Then, for each $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$ we have that

$$z(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} T(t - \sigma(s)) f(s, z(s)) \Delta s$$

+
$$\int_{t_0}^t T(t - \sigma(s)) f(s, z(s)) \Delta s.$$
 (29)

Hence, for $t \ge t_0$ we get that

 $z(t) = T(t - t_0) \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} T(t_0 - \sigma(s)) f(s, z(s)) \Delta s + \int_{t_0}^{t} T(t - \sigma(s)) f(s, z(s)) \Delta s$ = $T(t - t_0) z(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} T(t - \sigma(s)) f(s, z(s)) \Delta s,$ (30)

where $z(t_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} T(t_0 - \sigma(s)) f(s, z(s)) \Delta s$. Therefore, z(t) is a mild solution of equation (1).

Theorem 3. Let T be a exponentially stable C_0 -semigroup with infinitesimal generator A. If

$$\mathrm{ML}_{f} < \left[\frac{\beta}{1+\mu^{*}\beta} - \mathrm{ML}_{\varrho}\right]\varrho, \tag{31}$$

where L_{ϱ} is the Lipschitz constant of f in B_{ϱ} , then equation (1) has a unique mild solution \overline{z} in B_{ϱ}^{b} . Moreover, \overline{z} is exponentially stable.

Proof. Let us consider the operator $\mathcal{T}: X_b \longrightarrow X_b$ defined by

$$(\mathcal{T}z)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t - \sigma(s)) f(s, z(s)) \Delta s.$$
(32)

By considering Lemma 2 we will show that the operator \mathcal{T} has a unique fixed point in B_{ϱ}^{b} .

For $z \in B_{\rho}^{b}$, we have that

$$\begin{split} \| \left(\mathscr{T}z \right)(t) \| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{t} Me_{\otimes\beta} \left(t, \sigma(s) \right) \left[L_{\varrho} \| z \|_{b} + L_{f} \right] \\ &\leq M \left[L_{\varrho} \| X \|_{b} + L_{f} \right] \frac{1 + \mu^{*}\beta}{\beta} \tag{33} \\ &\leq M \left[L_{\varrho} \varrho + L_{f} \right] \frac{1 + \mu^{*}\beta}{\beta} < \varrho. \end{split}$$

So, $\mathcal{T}z \in B_{\varrho}^{b}$ and therefore $\mathcal{T}: B_{\varrho}^{b} \longrightarrow B_{\varrho}^{b}$. Now, for $z_{1}, z_{2} \in B_{\varrho}^{b}$ it follows

$$\left\| \left(\mathcal{T}z_{1}\right)(t) - \left(\mathcal{T}z_{2}\right)(t) \right\| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{t} Me_{\Theta\beta}\left(t,\sigma(s)\right)L_{\varrho} \left\| z_{1}\left(s\right) - z_{2}\left(s\right) \right\| \Delta s$$
$$\leq ML_{\varrho} \frac{1 + \mu^{*}\beta}{\beta} \left\| z_{1} - z_{2} \right\|_{b}.$$
(34)

Since $ML_{\varrho}(1 + \mu^* + \beta/\beta) < 1$, we get \mathcal{T} is a contraction mapping. Thus, by using the Banach fixed point theorem, \mathcal{T} has a unique fixed point \overline{z} in B_{ϱ}^b , which satisfies

$$\overline{z}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t - \sigma(s)) f(s, \overline{z}(s)) \Delta s.$$
(35)

Hence by the preceding lemma, \overline{z} is a bounded mild solution of equation (1).

Finally, let us prove that $\overline{z}(t)$ is exponentially stable. Consider an arbitrary solution z(t) of (1) such that $||z(t_0) - \overline{z}(t_0)|| < \varrho/2M$, with $t_0 \ge 0$, then $||z(t_0)|| < 2\varrho$. As long as ||z(t)|| remains less than 2ϱ , we get the following estimate:

$$\|z(t) - \overline{z}(t)\| \le \|T(t - t_0)\| \|z(t_0) - \overline{z}(t_0)\| + \int_{t_0}^t \|T(t - \sigma(s))\| \|f(s, z(s)) - f(s, \overline{z}(s)\|\Delta s$$

$$\le Me_{\Theta\beta}(t, t_0) \|z(t_0) - \overline{z}(t_0)\| + \int_{t_0}^t M(1 + \mu^*\beta) L_{\varrho}e_{\Theta\beta}(t, s)\|z(s) - \overline{z}(s)\|\Delta s.$$
(36)

So,

$$e_{\beta}(t,0)\|z(t) - \overline{z}(t)\| \le M e_{\beta}(t_0,0) \|z(t_0) - \overline{z}(t_0)\| + \int_{t_0}^t M(1 + \mu^*\beta) L_{\varrho} e_{\beta}(s,0) \|z(s) - \overline{z}(s)\| \Delta s.$$
(37)

By using Gronwall's inequality (see [18]), we obtain that

$$e_{\beta}(t,0) \| z(t) - \overline{z}(t) \| \le M e_{\beta}(t_0,0) \| z(t_0) - \overline{z}(t_0) \|$$

$$e_{\mathrm{ML}_{\varrho}(1+\mu^*\beta)}(t,t_0),$$
(38)

which implies that

$$\|z(t) - \overline{z}(t)\| \le M \|z(t_0) - \widetilde{z}(t_0)\|$$

$$e_{\Theta(\beta \in \mathrm{ML}_{\varrho}(1+\mu^*\beta))}(t, t_0), \text{ for } t \in [t_0, t_{1\mathbb{T}}).$$
(39)

Since $||z(t)|| < 2\varrho$ and

$$\beta \ominus \mathrm{ML}_{\varrho} \left(1 + \mu^* \beta \right) = \frac{\beta - \mathrm{ML}_{\varrho} \left(1 + \mu^* \beta \right)}{1 + \mu(t) \mathrm{ML}_{\varrho} \left(1 + \mu^* \beta \right)} > 0, \qquad (40)$$

then we get

$$\|\boldsymbol{z}(t) - \overline{\boldsymbol{z}}(t)\| \le M \|\boldsymbol{z}(t_0) - \overline{\boldsymbol{z}}(t_0)\| \le \frac{\rho}{2}, \tag{41}$$

for $t \in [t_0, t_1)_{\mathbb{T}}$. Due that $||z(t)|| < 2\varrho$ for $t \in [t_0, t_1)_{\mathbb{T}}$, then $t_1 = \infty$ or $||z(t_1)|| = 2\varrho$, but in the second case, this contradicts the estimate $||z(t) - \overline{z}(t)|| \le \varrho/2$. Therefore, $t_1 = \infty$ and z(t) remains for all $t \ge t_0$ in the ball $B_{2\varrho}^b$ and

$$\|z(t) - \overline{z}(t)\| \le M \|z(t_0) - \overline{z}(t_0)\|$$

$$e_{\ominus \left(\beta \ominus \mathrm{ML}_{\varrho}\left(1 + \mu^*\beta\right)\right)}(t, t_0), \text{ for all } t \ge t_0.$$

$$(42)$$

If we suppose that f is globally Lipschitz, then the bounded solutions given by Theorem 3 is globally.

Theorem 4. Let T be a exponentially stable C_0 -semigroup with infinitesimal generator A, suppose that f is globally Lipschitz with constant L and such that

$$ML < \frac{\beta}{1 + \mu^* \beta}.$$
 (43)

Then, there exists a unique mild solution of (1) defined on \mathbb{T} and exponentially stable. *Proof.* If $\rho > (ML_f (1 + \mu^* \beta))/(\beta - ML(1 + \mu^* \beta))$, then the condition (43) implies that

$$\mathrm{ML}_{f} < \left[\frac{\beta}{1+\mu^{*}\beta} - \mathrm{ML}\right]\varrho.$$
(44)

Thus, from the preceding theorem, we have that equation (1) has a unique mild solution \overline{z} in B_{ϱ}^{b} . Since the condition (44) is satisfied for ϱ large enough, then \overline{z} is the unique solution of (1) on \mathbb{X}_{b} .

Now, if z is any other solution of (1), then

$$\|z(t) - \overline{z}(t)\| \le M e_{\Theta\beta}(t, t_0) \|z(t) - z(t_0)\| + \int_{t_0}^t M L e_{\Theta\beta}(t, \sigma(s)) \|z(s) - \overline{z}(s)\| \Delta s,$$
(45)

and by using Gronwall's inequality, it is obtained that

$$\begin{aligned} \|z(t) - \overline{z}(t)\| &\leq M \|z(t_0) - \overline{z}(t_0)\| \\ e_{\ominus(\beta \ominus \mathrm{ML}(1+\mu^*\beta))}(t,t_0), t > t_0. \end{aligned}$$
(46)

Since $\beta \ominus ML(1 + \mu^*\beta) > 0$, \overline{z} is exponentially stable for all $t > t_0$.

Corollary 1. Suppose that

$$f(t,z) = h(z) + \varphi(t), \qquad (47)$$

where $\varphi \in BC_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{X})$ and $h: \mathbb{X} \longrightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is a Lipschitz function with constant L. Then, the unique bounded solution $x_{\varphi}(t)$ giving by Theorems 3 and 4 depends continuously on φ .

Proof. Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in BC_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{X})$ and $z_{\varphi_1}(\cdot), z_{\varphi_2}(\cdot)$ be the bounded solutions of (1) given by Theorems 3 and 4. Then,

$$z_{\varphi_{1}}(t) - z_{\varphi_{2}}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t - \sigma(s)) \Big[h \Big(z_{\varphi_{1}}(s) \Big) - h \Big(z_{\varphi_{2}}(s) \Big) \Big] \Delta s \\ + \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t - \sigma(s)) \Big[\varphi_{1}(s) - \varphi_{2}(s) \Big) \Big] \Delta s.$$
(48)

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| z_{\varphi_{1}}(t) - z_{\varphi_{2}}(t) \right\| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{t} MLe_{\ominus\beta}(t,\sigma(s)) \left\| z_{\varphi_{1}}(s) - z_{\varphi_{2}}(s) \right\| \Delta s \\ &+ \int_{-\infty}^{t} Me_{\ominus\beta}(t,\sigma(s)) \left\| \varphi_{1}(s) - \varphi_{2}(s) \right\| \Delta s, \end{aligned}$$

$$(49)$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| z_{\varphi_1} - z_{\varphi_2} \right\|_b &\leq \mathrm{ML} \left(\frac{1 + \mu^* \beta}{\beta} \right) \left\| z_{\varphi_1} - z_{\varphi_2} \right\|_b \\ &+ M \left(\frac{1 + \mu^* \beta}{\beta} \right) \left\| \varphi_1 - \varphi_2 \right\|_b, \end{aligned}$$
(50)

and therefore,

$$\left\| z_{\varphi_{1}} - z_{\varphi_{2}} \right\|_{b} \leq \frac{M\left(1 + \mu^{*}\beta\right)}{\beta - ML\left(1 + \mu^{*}\beta\right)} \left\| \varphi_{1} - \varphi_{2} \right\|_{b}.$$
(51)

4. Periodic and Almost Periodic Cases

This section is dedicated to study the cases when the function f is either periodic or almost periodic. We begin with the periodic case.

Let $\tau \in \mathbb{T}_0^+$ fixed. The time scale \mathbb{T} is called τ -periodic if for each $t \in \mathbb{T}$ we get that $t + \tau \in \mathbb{T}$, and the function $f: \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{X} \longrightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is said to be τ -periodic on \mathbb{T} if $f(t + \tau, z) = f(t, z)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$. From Theorem 2.1 in [19] we get that $\sigma(t + \tau) = \sigma(t) + \tau$, $\rho(t + \tau) = \rho(t) + \tau$ and $\mu(t + \tau)$ $= \mu(t) + \tau$. For more details about periodic functions on times scales see [4, 19].

Theorem 5. Assume that \mathbb{T} is τ -periodic, and $f: \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{X} \longrightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is τ -periodic in t, then the solution \overline{z} obtained in Theorems 3 and 4 is also τ -periodic.

Proof. Let \overline{z} be the unique solution of (19) on B_{ϱ}^{b} . We will prove that z(t): $= \overline{z}(t + \tau)$ is also a solution of (19) on the ball B_{ϱ}^{b} . In fact, given $z_{0} = \overline{z}(t_{0})$ we have

$$\overline{z}(t+\tau) = T\left(t+\tau-t_0\right)z_0 + \int_{t_0}^{t+\tau} T\left(t+\tau-\sigma(s)\right)f\left(s,\overline{z}(s)\right)\Delta s$$

$$= T\left(t-t_0\right)\left\{T\left(\tau\right)x_0 + \int_{t_0}^{t_0+\tau} T\left(t_0+\tau-\sigma(s)\right)f\left(s,\overline{z}(s)\right)\Delta s\right\}$$

$$+ \int_{t_0+\tau}^{t+\tau} T\left(t+\tau-\sigma(s)\right)f\left(s,\overline{z}(s)\right)\Delta s$$

$$= T\left(t-t_0\right)\overline{z}\left(t_0+\tau\right) + \int_{t_0}^{t} T\left(t+\tau-\sigma(s+\tau)\right)f\left(s+\tau,\overline{z}\left(s+\tau\right)\right)\Delta s$$

$$= T\left(t-t_0\right)\overline{z}\left(t_0+\tau\right) + \int_{t_0}^{t} T\left(t-\sigma(s)\right)f\left(s,\overline{z}\left(s+\tau\right)\right)\Delta s.$$

$$E\{\varepsilon, f\} = \{\omega \in \Pi: \|f\left(t+\omega\right) - f\left(t\right)\| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{T}\}, \quad (54)$$

Thus, $z(t) := \overline{z}(t + \tau)$ satisfies (19). Since the operator \mathcal{T} has a unique fixed point which is defined by (32), then $\overline{z}(t) = \overline{z}(t + \tau)$ for $t \in \mathbb{T}$.

For studying the almost periodic case, we need some definitions and results about almost periodic functions on time scales, for details of it, one can read [20–22].

A time scale \mathbb{T} is called an almost periodic time scale if

$$\Pi \coloneqq \{ \omega \in \mathbb{R} \colon t \pm \omega \in \mathbb{T}, \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T} \} \neq \{ 0 \}.$$
(53)

Suppose that \mathbb{T} is an almost periodic time scale. A function $f \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{X})$ is called an almost periodic function in $t \in \mathbb{T}$ if the ε -translation set of f

is a relatively dense set in \mathbb{T} for all $\varepsilon > 0$; that is, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist a constant $l(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that each interval of length $l(\varepsilon) > 0$ contains a $\omega \in E\{\varepsilon, f\}$ such that

$$\|f(t+\omega) - f(t)\| < \varepsilon, \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{T}.$$
(55)

ω is called the *ε*-translation number of *f* and *l*(*ε*) is called the inclusion length of *E*{*ε*, *f*}.

For a given $g \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{X})$, the hull of g is defined as

$$H(g) = \left\{ \psi: \mathbb{T} \longrightarrow \mathbb{X}: \text{ there exist}\{\alpha_n\} \in \mathbb{T} \text{ such that } T_{\{\alpha_n\}}g(t) = \psi(t) \text{ exists uniformly on } \mathbb{T} \right\},$$
(56)

where $T_{\{\alpha_n\}}g(t) = \psi(t)$ means that $\psi(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} g(t + \alpha_n)$, when this limit exists.

Theorem 6. Suppose that $f(t, z) = h(z) + \varphi(t)$ where φ almost periodic and h globally Lipschitz with constant L_h , then the unique solution \overline{z} given by Theorems 3 and 4 is almost periodic.

Proof. To prove this theorem, we shall use the Theorem 3.18 in [20]. A function $g \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{X})$ is almost periodic if and only if the hull H(g) is compact in the topology of uniform convergence.

Now, let $\varrho > 0$ and we consider the set $\mathscr{A}_{\varrho} = \{z \in B_{\varrho}^{b}: z \text{ is almost periodic}\}$, by Theorem 3.27 in [21] we have that \mathscr{A}_{ϱ} is closed. If $z \in \mathscr{A}_{\varrho}$, then $g(t) = h(z(t)) + \varphi(t)$ is also an almost periodic function. Let us consider the function given by (32).

$$\phi(t) = (\mathcal{F}z)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t - \sigma(s)) [h(z(s)) + \varphi(s))] \Delta s$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t - s(s)) g(s) \Delta s, t \in \mathbb{T}.$$
(57)

It is enough to establish that $H(\phi)$ is compact in the topology of uniform convergence. In fact, if we consider the sequence $\{\phi_n\}$ in $H(\phi)$, then by using the diagonal procedure, there is a sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ in \mathbb{T} such that

$$\left\|\phi_n\left(\cdot+\alpha_n\right)-\phi_n\left(\cdot\right)\right\|<\frac{1}{n}.$$
(58)

Due *g* is almost periodic, then H(g) is relatively compact, and putting $\{g_n(t)\}_{n\geq 1} = \{g(t + \alpha_n)\}_{n\geq 1} \subset H(g)$, then there exists a convergent subsequence $\{g_{n_k}\}_{k\geq 1}$. On the other hand,

$$\phi_{n_k}(t+\alpha_{n_k}) = \int_{-\infty}^{t+\alpha_{n_k}} T(t+\alpha_{n_k}-\sigma(s))g(s)\Delta s = \int_{-\infty}^t T(t-\sigma(s))g(s+\alpha_{n_k})\Delta s.$$
(59)

Then,

$$\begin{split} \left\| \phi_{n_{k}}(t) - \phi_{n_{p}}(t) \right\| &\leq \left\| \phi_{n_{k}}(t) - \phi_{n_{k}}(t + \alpha_{n_{k}}) \right\| + \left\| \phi_{n_{k}}(t + \alpha_{n_{k}}) - \phi_{n_{p}}(t + \alpha_{n_{p}}) \right\| \\ &+ \left\| \phi_{n_{p}}(t + \alpha_{n_{p}}) - \phi_{n_{p}}(t) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{n_{k}} + \int_{-\infty}^{t} \|T(t - \sigma(s))\| \left\| g(s + \alpha_{n_{k}}) - g(s + \alpha_{n_{p}}) \right\| \Delta s \\ &+ \frac{1}{n_{p}} \leq \left\| g_{n_{k}} - g_{n_{p}} \right\|_{b} \int_{-\infty}^{t} Me_{\Theta\beta}(s, \sigma(s)) \Delta s + \frac{1}{n_{k}} + \frac{1}{n_{p}} \\ &\leq \left\| g_{n_{k}} - g_{n_{p}} \right\|_{b} \left(\frac{1 + \mu^{*}\beta}{\beta} \right) + \frac{1}{n_{k}} + \frac{1}{n_{p}}. \end{split}$$
(60)

Thus, $\{\phi_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{X})$, which implies that $H(\phi)$ is relatively compact. So $\mathcal{T}z$ is an almost periodic function. Moreover, $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}_{\varrho}) \subset A_{\varrho}$. For this reason, the only fixed point of \mathcal{T} on B_{ϱ}^{b} is in \mathcal{A}_{ϱ} , i.e., \overline{z} is almost periodic.

5. Existence of Classical Solution

In this section we will show that the bounded mild solution of (1) given by equation (32), obtained in Theorems 3 and 4, is also, under certain conditions, a classical solution of (1). In order to achieve this, we need the following theorem, which is an extension of Theorem 1.3.5 of [23]:

Theorem 7. Let A be a closed linear operator defined on $\mathcal{D}(A) \subset \mathbb{X}$. Let z be a function in $C_{rd}((a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}; X)$, where $a \ge -\infty$. If $z(t) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$, Az(t) is rd-continuous on $(a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ and the integrals

$$\int_{a}^{b} z(s)\Delta s, \int_{a}^{b} \operatorname{Az}(s)\Delta s, \qquad (61)$$

exist, then

$$\int_{a}^{b} z(s)\Delta s \in \mathcal{D}(A) \quad A \int_{a}^{b} z(s)\Delta s = \int_{a}^{b} Az(s)\Delta s.$$
(62)

Proof. Suppose that $a > -\infty$. Set $c = a + \varepsilon$ where $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small. Since $\int_{c}^{b} z(s)\Delta s$ and $\int_{c}^{b} Az(s)\Delta s$ exist, then for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\delta_m > 0$ such that

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n\left(\mathscr{P}_{m}\right)} z\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right)-\int_{c}^{b} z\left(s\right)\Delta s\right| < \frac{1}{m}$$

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n\left(\mathscr{P}_{m}\right)} \operatorname{Az}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right)-\int_{c}^{b} \operatorname{Az}\left(s\right)\Delta s\right| < \frac{1}{m},$$
(63)

for every Riemann Δ -sum of f corresponding to a partition $\mathscr{P}_m \in \mathscr{P}_{\delta_m}[c,b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ independently of $\xi_i \in [t_{i-1},t_i)_{\mathbb{T}}$ for $1 \le i \le n(\mathscr{P}_m)$.

If we put $S_m = \sum_{i=1}^{n(\mathscr{P}_m)} z(\xi_i) (t_i - t_{i-1})$, then $S_m \in \mathscr{D}(A)$ and $AS_m = \sum_{i=1}^{n(\mathscr{P}_m)} Az(\xi_i) (t_i - t_{i-1})$. Moreover,

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} S_m = \int_c^b z(s) \Delta s \quad \lim_{m \to \infty} AS_m = \int_c^b Az(s) \Delta s. \quad (64)$$

Since A is a closed operator on $\mathcal{D}(A)$, then it follows that

$$\int_{c}^{b} z(s)\Delta s \in \mathcal{D}(A) \quad A \int_{c}^{b} z(s)\Delta s = \int_{c}^{b} Az(s)\Delta s.$$
(65)

Now, making $c \longrightarrow a^+$, we have the result. If $a = -\infty$, the result follows from the fact that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{b} z(s)\Delta s = \lim_{a \longrightarrow -\infty} \int_{a}^{b} z(s)\Delta s \qquad \int_{-\infty}^{b} Az(s)\Delta s$$
$$= \lim_{a \longrightarrow -\infty} \int_{a}^{b} Az(s)\Delta s,$$
(66)

and the previous result.

Remark 2. Next theorem pretends to extend a result presented in [11] to time scales; particularly the conditions (1)–(3) of Theorem 8 can be satisfied if T(t) is an analytic semigroup (see [24, 25]).

Theorem 8. Let \overline{z} be the bounded mild solution of equation (1), obtained in Theorems 3 and 4. If for each $t \in [0, \infty)_T$ and $s \in [-\infty, \tau_n)_T$, where $\{\tau_n\} \subset T$, $\tau_n < t$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\tau_n \longrightarrow t$, $n \longrightarrow \infty$, and the following statements hold:

(1) $T(t - \sigma(s))f(s, \overline{z}(s)) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ (2) $AT(t - \sigma(s))f(s, \overline{z}(s))$ is rd-continuous (3) $\int_{-\infty}^{t} AT(t - \sigma(s))f(s, \overline{z}(s))\Delta s$ exists Then, \overline{z} is a classical solution of (1) on $[0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, i.e.,

$$\overline{z}^{\Delta}(t) = A\overline{z}(t) + f(t,\overline{z}(t)), t \in [0,\infty)_{\mathbb{T}}.$$
(67)

Proof. We know that \overline{z} can be expressed through

$$\overline{z}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t - \sigma(s)) f(s, \overline{z}(s)) \Delta s, t \in \mathbb{T}.$$
 (68)

Conditions (1)–(3) and the previous theorem imply that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\tau_n} T(t - \sigma(s)) f(s, \overline{z}(s)) \Delta s \in \mathscr{D}(A),$$

$$A \int_{-\infty}^{\tau_n} T(t - \sigma(s)) f(s, \overline{z}(s)) \Delta s = \int_{-\infty}^{\tau_n} AT(t - \sigma(s)) f(s, \overline{z}(s)) \Delta s.$$
(69)

Since

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\tau_n} T(t - \sigma(s)) f(s, \overline{z}(s)) \Delta s$$
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} A \int_{-\infty}^{\tau_n} T(t - \sigma(s)) f(s, \overline{z}(s)) \Delta s = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\tau_n} A T(t - \sigma(s), \overline{z}(s)) \Delta s$$
(70)
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{t} A T(t - \sigma(s)) f(s, \overline{z}(s)) \Delta s.$$

Baring in mind that A is a closed operator, we have that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} T(t - \sigma(s)) f(s, \overline{z}(s)) \Delta s \in \mathcal{D}(A).$$
(71)

Now, we shall use the fact that, for a Riemann Δ -integrable function g the following equality holds:

$$\int_{t}^{\sigma(t)} g(s)\Delta s = \mu(t)g(t).$$
(72)

Next, for s > 0, we consider the following equalities:

$$\frac{\overline{z}(\sigma(t)) - \overline{z}(t+s)}{\mu(t) - s} = \frac{\overline{z}(\mu(t) + t) - \overline{z}(t+s)}{\mu(t) - s}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mu(t) - s} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\mu(t)+t} T(t+\mu(t) - \sigma(\tau)) f(\tau, \overline{z}(\tau)) \Delta \tau \right]$$

$$- \int_{-\infty}^{t+s} T(t+s - \sigma(\tau)) f(\tau, \overline{z}(\tau)) \Delta \tau \right]$$

$$= \left(\frac{T(\mu(t)) - T(s)}{\mu(t) - s} \right) \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t - \sigma(\tau)) f(\tau, \overline{z}(\tau)) \Delta \tau$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\mu(t) - s} \int_{t}^{t+\mu(t)} T(t+\mu(t) - \sigma(\tau)) f(\tau, \overline{z}(\tau)) \Delta \tau$$

$$- \frac{1}{\mu(t) - s} \int_{t}^{t+s} T(t+s - \sigma(\tau)) f(\tau, \overline{z}(\tau)) \Delta \tau$$

$$= \left(\frac{T(\mu(t)) - T(s)}{\mu(t) - s} \right) \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t - \sigma(\tau)) f(\tau, \overline{z}(\tau)) \Delta \tau$$

$$- \frac{1}{\mu(t) - s} \int_{t}^{t+s} T(t+s - \sigma(\tau)) f(\tau, \overline{z}(\tau)) \Delta \tau$$

$$- \frac{1}{\mu(t) - s} \int_{t}^{t+s} T(t+s - \sigma(\tau)) f(\tau, \overline{z}(\tau)) \Delta \tau$$

$$- \frac{1}{\mu(t) - s} \int_{t}^{t+s} T(t+s - \sigma(\tau)) f(\tau, \overline{z}(\tau)) \Delta \tau$$

Passing to the limit when $s \rightarrow 0^+$, it is obtained that

$$\overline{z}^{\Delta}(t) = A\overline{z}(t) + f(t, \overline{z}(t)).$$
(74)

A similar discussion for s < 0, produces the same result. This concludes the proof of the theorem.

6. Examples

This section is devoted to present some applications of our results.

Example 1. Consider the equation

$$z^{\Delta}(t) = -\alpha z(t) + \xi(t)e^{-\gamma z(t)}$$

$$z(0) = z_0,$$
(75)

where α and γ are the positive constant; $-\alpha \in \mathscr{R}^+$ and ξ : $[0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a rd-continuous and bounded function. Notice that $T(t) = e_{-\alpha}(t, 0)$ and $||T(t)|| \le e_{\ominus\alpha}(t, 0)$. If we define $f(t, z) = \xi(t)e^{-\gamma z}$, then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| f(t, z_1) - f(t, z_2) \right| &= |\xi(t)| \left| e^{-\gamma z_1} - e^{-\gamma z_2} \right| \le \xi^* \gamma |z_1 - z_2|, \\ &|f(t, 0)| = \xi(t) \le \xi^*, \end{aligned}$$
(76)

where $\xi^* = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} {\{\xi(t)\}}$. If $(\xi^*)^2 \gamma < (\alpha/1 + \mu^* \alpha)$ then all conditions of Theorem 3 are satisfied, therefore the equation (75) has a unique bounded solution which is exponentially stable.

Example 2. Let us consider the time scale $\mathbb{T} = (1/4)\mathbb{Z}$ and the dynamic equation

$$u^{\Delta\Delta} + 3u^{\Delta} + 2u = \alpha \sin(\gamma t) \cos(u)$$

$$u(0) = u_0, u^{\Delta}(0) = u_0^{\Delta}.$$
 (77)

By using the change of variable $v = u^{\Delta}$, we get that the equation (77) can be written as first order system of dynamic equations.

$$z^{\Delta} = Az + f(t, z),$$

$$z(0) = z_0,$$
(78)

where

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -2 & -3 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$f(t, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \alpha \sin(\gamma t) \cos(u) \end{pmatrix}.$$
(79)

In this case $\mu(t) = (1/4)$ and the eigenvalues of the matrix A are $-2, -1 \in \mathcal{R}^+$. Then, it is easy to show that

$$T(t) = e_{A}(t, 0)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} e_{-1}(t, 0) & e_{-2}(t, 0) \\ -e_{-1}(t, 0) & -2e_{-2}(t, 0) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{4t} & \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{4t} \\ -\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{4t} & -\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{4t} \end{pmatrix},$$
(80)

and $||T(t)|| \le 4e_{\ominus 1}(t,0) = 4(4/5)^{4t}$. If $|\alpha| < 1/(1 + \mu^*) = (4/5)$, then all hypotheses of Theorem 3 are satisfied which implies that equation (77) has a unique bounded solution which is exponentially stable.

Example 3. We consider the following dynamic equation:

$$z^{\Delta} = -\alpha z(t) + \beta \tanh(z(t)) + \varphi(t),$$

$$z(0) = z_0,$$
(81)

where $\alpha > 0$. This dynamic equation is the representation on time scales of a single self-excited neuron without delayed excitation. Here *z* represents the voltage of the neuron, α is the ratio of the capacitance to the resistance, and β the feedback strength. The transfer function is given by tanh(*z*) and the function φ represents other input to the neuron. (see [26–28])

Notice that $f(t, z) = \beta \tanh(z) + \varphi(t)$ satisfies $|f(t, z_1) - f(t, z_2)| \le |\beta| |z_1 - z_2|$; therefore, if φ is periodic or almost periodic, and $|\beta| < (\alpha/1 + \mu^*)$. Then, the unique solution of (81) is periodic or almost periodic.

Data Availability

Data sharing are not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

- S. Hilger, "Differential and difference calculus- unified!"," Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications, vol. 30, no. 5, pp. 2683–2694, 1997.
- [2] S. Hilger, Ein Maßkettenkalkül mit Anwendung auf Zentrumsmanningfaltigkeiten, PhD thesis, Universität Würzburg, Würzburg, Deutschland, 1988.
- [3] F. M. Atici, D. C. Biles, and A. Lebedinsky, "An application of time scales to economics," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 43, no. 7-8, pp. 718–726, 2006.

- [4] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, Dynamics Equations on Time Scales. An Introduction with Applications, Birkähuser Boston Inc, Boston MA, USA, 2001.
- [5] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales, Birkähuser Bostos Inc, Boston MA, USA, 2003.
- [6] M. Bohner, M. Fan, and J. Zhang, "Existence of periodic solutions in predator-prey and competition dynamic systems," *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 1193–1204, 2006.
- [7] C. Duque, H. Leiva, and J. Uzcátegui, "Approximate controllability of semilinear dynamic equations on time scale," *Asian Journal of Control*, vol. 21, no. 5, pp. 1–7, 2019.
- [8] C. Duque, H. Leiva, and J. Uzcátegui, "Controllability of semilinear dynamic systems on time scales," *Journal of Abstract Differential Equations and Applications*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 70–80, 2017.
- [9] C. Lizama and J. Mesquita, "Almost automorphic solutions of dynamic equations on time scales," *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 265, no. 10, pp. 2267–2311, 2013.
- [10] M. Gil, Difference Equations In Normed Sapces, Stability And Oscillations North-Holland Mathematics Studies 206, Elseiver, Amsterdam, Netherlands, 2007.
- [11] H. Leiva and Z. Sivoli, "Smoothness of bounded solutions for semilinear evolution equations in Banach spaces and applications," *Far East Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 106, no. 1-2, pp. 1–24, 2020.
- [12] G. Guseinov, "Integration on time scales," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 285, no. 1, pp. 107–127, 2003.
- [13] E. Akin-Bohner, M. Bohner, and F. Akin, "Pachpatte inequalities on time scale," *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 6, no. 1, 2005.
- [14] A. Hamza and K. Oraby, "Semigroups of operators and abstract dynamic equations on time scales," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 270, pp. 334–348, 2015.
- [15] G. N'Guérékata, G. Mophou G, and A. Milcé, "Almost automorphic mild solutions for some semilinear abstract dynmamic equations on time scales," *Nonlinear Studies*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 381–395, 2015.
- [16] A. Hamza and K. Oraby, "Characterization of stability of abstract dynamic equations on time scales," *Commum. Korean Math. Soc.*vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 185–202, 2019.
- [17] R. Agarwal, M. Bohner, and A. Peterson, "Inequalities on time scales: a survey," *Mathematical Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 535–557, 2001.
- [18] L. Bi, M. Bohner, and M. Fan, "Periodic solutions of functional dynamic equations with infinite delay," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 68, no. 5, pp. 1226–1245, 2008.
- [19] Y. Li and C. Wang, "Almost periodic functions on time scales and applications," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2011, Article ID 727068, 20 pages, 2011.
- [20] Y. Li and C. Wang, "Uniformly almost periodic functions and almost periodic solutions to dynamic equations on time scales," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2011, Article ID 341520, 22 pages, 2011.
- [21] C. Wang, R. Agarwal, and D. O'Regan, "Periodicity, almost periodicity for time scales and related functions," *Non-autonomous Dynamical Systems*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 24–41, 2016.
- [22] G. Ladas and V. Lakshmikantham, Differential Equations in Abstract Spaces, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1972.
- [23] D. Henry, Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1981.

- [24] H. Leiva and Z. Sivoli, "Existence, stability and smoothness of a bounded solution for nonlinear time-varying thermoelastic plate equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 285, no. 1, pp. 191–211, 2003.
- [25] C. Duque, M. Lizana, and J. Uzcátegui, "Partial characterization of the global attractor of the equation $x't=-kxt+\beta$ tanh(x(t-r))," *Divulgaciones Matemáticas*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 221–229, 2008.
- [26] H. Smith, An Introduction to Delay Differential Equations with Applications to the Life Sciences, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2011.
- [27] F. Pasemann, "Dynamics of a single model neuron," *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 271–278, 1993.
- [28] M. Bohner and G. Guseinov, "Improper integral on time scale," *Dynamic Systems and Applications*, vol. 12, pp. 45–65, 2003.