

# Research Article

# Ultrasound Real-Time Tissue Elastography Improves the Diagnostic Performance of the ACR Thyroid Imaging Reporting and Data System in Differentiating Malignant from Benign Thyroid Nodules: A Summary of 1525 Thyroid Nodules

Shufang Pei,<sup>1</sup> Bin Zhang,<sup>2</sup> Shuzhen Cong,<sup>1,3</sup> Juanjuan Liu,<sup>1</sup> Suqing Wu,<sup>1</sup> Yuhao Dong,<sup>4</sup> Lu Zhang,<sup>2</sup> and Shuixing Zhang <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Ultrasound, Guangdong Provincial People's Hospital, Guangdong Academy of Medical Sciences, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Radiology, The First Affiliated Hospital, Jinan University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

<sup>3</sup>The Second School of Clinical Medicine, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

<sup>4</sup>Department of Radiology, Guangdong Provincial People's Hospital, Guangdong Academy of Medical Sciences, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Shuixing Zhang; shui7515@126.com

Received 20 December 2019; Revised 24 February 2020; Accepted 11 March 2020; Published 14 April 2020

Academic Editor: Giorgio Borretta

Copyright © 2020 Shufang Pei et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Background*. To explore the correlation between the ultrasound elasticity score (ES) of real-time tissue elastography (RTE) and the malignant risk stratification of the Thyroid Imaging Reporting and Data System (TI-RADS) and to evaluate the added value of RTE to TI-RADS in differentiating malignant nodules from benign ones. *Methods*. A total of 1,498 patients (885 women and 613 men; mean age of  $43.5 \pm 12.4$  years) with 1,525 confirmed thyroid nodules (D = maximum diameter,  $D \le 2.5$  cm) confirmed by fine-needle aspiration (FNA) and/or surgery were included. The nodules were divided into four groups based on their sizes ( $D \le 0.5$  cm,  $0.5 < D \le 1.0$  cm,  $1.0 < D \le 2.0$  cm, and  $2.0 < D \le 2.5$  cm). We assigned an ES of RTE and malignant risk stratification of the TI-RADS category to each nodule. The correlation between the ES of RTE and the malignant risk stratification of TI-RADS category was analyzed by the Spearman's rank correlation. The diagnostic performances of RTE, TI-RADS, and their combination were compared by the receiver operator characteristic (ROC) analysis. *Results*. The ES of RTE and the malignant risk stratification of TI-RADS showed a strong correlation in the size intervals of  $0.5 < D \le 1.0$  cm,  $1.0 < D \le 2.5$  cm (r = 0.768, 0.711, and 0.743, respectively). The diagnostic performance of their combination for each size interval was always better than RTE or TI-RADS alone (for all, P < 0.001). *Conclusions*. Overall, The ES of RTE and TI-RADS outperformed RTE or TI-RADS alone (for all, P < 0.001). *Conclusions*. Overall, The ES of RTE and TI-RADS outperformed RTE or TI-RADS alone (for all, P < 0.001). *Conclusions*. Overall, The ES of RTE and TI-RADS outperformed RTE or TI-RADS alone (for all, P < 0.001). *Conclusions*. Overall, The ES of RTE and TI-RADS outperformed RTE or TI-RADS alone. Therefore, RTE may be an adjunctive tool to the current TI-RADS system for differentiating malignant from benign thyroid nodules.

# 1. Introduction

Thyroid nodule disease is common worldwide, and its incidence has grown rapidly over the past decade [1]. With the application of ultrasound (US) technology in thyroid scans, the number of thyroid nodules detected through nonpalpation has increased, reaching 20–67% of the general population [2]. Ahn et al. [3, 4] reported that the application of US technology in thyroid examination has resulted in a dramatic increase in the detection rate of thyroid cancer in South Korea, causing great concern among the population. However, the proportion of malignant nodules is 5–10% among all thyroid nodules [5], which means that only a small portion of nodules require surgery, and most nodules only require regular follow-up. Therefore, the major clinical challenge is to reliably differentiate malignant nodules that need to be treated surgically from the majority benign nodules that do not require surgery, which emphasizes the importance of reliable and highly accurate diagnostic methods that can differentiate malignant from benign nodules on reducing overdiagnosis and overtreatment.

At present, various technical approaches, such as US, computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), positron emission tomography (PET), fine-needle aspiration (FNA), and genetic testing, are available for differentiating malignant from benign thyroid nodules. Among these, the conventional US is the most widely used and preferred adjunctive diagnostic tool because of the noninvasive, convenient, affordable, and nonradioactive characteristics. However, US also has several limitations in differentiating malignant from benign thyroid nodules. The multiple structures of nodules could lead to complex images and overlapping features of benign and malignant nodules, which would reduce the accuracy and specificity of US. To overcome these difficulties and find more effective diagnostic methods is particularly important.

In May 2017, the American College of Radiology (ACR) launched a classification system-Thyroid Imaging Reporting and Data System (TI-RADS) [6], in which all thyroid nodules are divided into five categories: 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5. Each category was given a different malignant risk, the malignant risk of TI-RADS 1, TI-RADS 2, TI-RADS 3, TI-RADS 4, and TI-RADS 5 was 2%, 2%, 5%, 5–20%, and more than 20%, respectively. Doctors treat the nodules differently based on this classification. In the process of evaluating thyroid nodules, we found that the application value of ACR TI-RADS was considerable, which helped to reduce the biopsy rate of thyroid nodules. However, it is possible for some thyroid nodules, especially small nodules, may have a broader management boundary and miss the suspicious nodules that should be paid attention to. For example, according to the existing evaluation indicators, a large part of thyroid nodules was classified as TI-RADS 4. Such nodules often only show solid component, hypoechoic, and without other common malignant features. Based on these conventional ultrasound features, it is difficult to distinguish malignant from benign. Further, inspection is needed, such as FNA. However, we know that FNA also has its limitations in identifying benign and malignant thyroid nodules, such as traumatic, unsatisfactory selection of materials, and uncertainty of results. That will cause mental and economic pressure to patients and clinical confusion to doctors. Except for conventional ultrasound indicators, is there a simple, noninvasive way to provide doctors with more diagnostic information and help assess the benign and malignant of thyroid nodules?

US real-time elasticity imaging technology has become well-developed in recent years, showing superiority for breast, thyroid, and prostate tissue, among others, due to its capacity for indicating tissue hardness [7–9]. In the clinical evaluation of thyroid nodules, the increased hardness of a nodule is closely associated with an increased risk of malignancy. The elasticity score (ES) of real-time tissue elastography (RTE) can reflect the elasticity characteristic

(hardness) of tissue, while tissue hardness is closely associated with its internal pathological structure. Malignant nodules have higher tissue hardness as compared with benign nodules, and reconstruction of tissue elasticity could provide important information for the diagnosis of the disease [10, 11]. Some studies have evaluated the diagnostic performance of RTE or the combination of RTE and US. However, most studies were performed in small series and evaluated RTE only without comparison with grayscale US, or just compared with combinations of a few suspicious grayscale US features [12-14]. The 2017 ACR TI-RADS thyroid guidelines did not make regular recommendations for RTE in thyroid nodule assessment, mainly due to inconsistent results of RTE reported by previous studies [15]. Nevertheless, Tian et al. [16] conducted a meta-analysis of the use of RTE to differentiate malignant and benign thyroid nodules, which showed a good sensitivity of 0.787 and a specificity of 0.805. Hence, the adjunctive performance of RTE remains unclear.

Therefore, we aimed to investigate the correlation between the ES of RTE and the malignant risk stratification of ACR TI-RADS category and to evaluate the added value of RTE to TI-RADS in differentiating malignant nodules from benign ones.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

This retrospective study was approved by our ethics committee, which waived the requirement for informed patient consent because the data for all subjects were anonymized.

2.1. Patients and Nodules. A total of 1,498 patients involving 1,525 thyroid nodules who underwent FNA and/or thyroidectomy in our hospital between May 2017 and August 2019 were included for analysis. The patients comprised 613 men and 885 women, with a mean age of  $43.5 \pm 12.4$  years ranging from 14 to 85 years. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) largest nodule diameter up to 2.5 cm; (2) no previous surgery or US-guided percutaneous thermotherapy; (3) underwent conventional US and RTE within one month before FNA and/or thyroidectomy. Cases were excluded if (1) they had coalescent thyroid lesions that were not clearly distinguishable; (2) they had nodules with ambiguous FNA diagnosis; (3) information about nodule was incomplete.

2.2. Real-Time Grayscale US Assessment of Thyroid Nodules. HI Vision 900, HI Vision Ascendus, and HI Vision Preirus colour US units (with US elasticity imaging capability) from Hitachi were used for RTE, and the probe frequency was 6.0–13.0 MHz. The following features were measured for each nodule: size, composition, echogenicity, margin, shape, and echogenic foci. The classifications and definitions of nodule features are shown in Table 1.

2.3. ACR Thyroid Imaging Reporting and Data System. The TI-RADS classification of nodules was conducted according to the 2017 ACR management guidelines [17].

| Features                  | Classifications  | Definitions  |  |  |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Size intervals            | $D \le 0.5$ cm, $0.5 < D \le 1.0$ cm, $1.0 < D \le 2.0$ cm,<br>$2.0 < D \le 2.5$ cm                                  | Maximum diameter of the nodule   |  |  |
| Composition               | Cystic/sponge-like/mixed/solid   | Cystic: the complete composition of the nodules is cystic<br>sponge-like: composed of multiple microcystic componen<br>accounting for more than 50% of the nodule volume/mix<br>solid and cystic components are >5%/solid nodules: soli<br>components >95%   |  |  |
| Echogenicity              | Absence/hyperechogenicity or isoechogenicity/<br>hypoechogenicity/very hypoechogenicity                              | Absence: for cystic or almost complete cystic nodules/<br>hyperechogenicity or isoechogenicity/hypoechogenicity:<br>reference is normal thyroid tissue next to the nodule/very<br>hypoechogenicity: reference is adjacent to the anterior cervical<br>muscle   |  |  |
| Margin                    | Smooth/ill-defined/lobulated or irregular/<br>extrathyroidal extension   | Smooth: the boundary between the nodule and the parenchyma<br>of the gland is clear/ill-defined: not clear/lobulated or irregular:<br>the dividing line between nodule and surrounding thyroid<br>parenchyma is irregular/extrathyroidal extension: the capsule of<br>thyroid is incomplete and the continuity is interrupted  |  |  |
| Shape                     | Tall/wide <1/tall/wide >1  | Wider-than-tall/taller-than-wide   |  |  |
| Echogenic foci<br>pattern | None or large comet-tail artifacts/macrocalcification/<br>peripheral (rim) calcifications/punctate echogenic<br>foci | Large comet-tail artifacts: artifact depth >1 cm, present in cystic<br>composition/coarse calcification: rear with sound shadow/<br>peripheral calcification: calcification completely or partially<br>encircles most of the edge of the nodule/punctate echogenic foci:<br>no sound behind, diameter <1 cm, including the small hui tail<br>sign in the solid composition |  |  |

TABLE 1: The classifications and definitions of nodule features.

According to the TI-RADS system, five features of each nodule were evaluated, including (1) component (choose one): cystic or almost completely cystic 0; spongiform 0; mixed cystic and solid 1; solid or almost completely solid 2; (2) echogenicity (choose one): anechoic 0; hyperechoic or isoechoic 1; hypoechoic 2; very hypoechoic 3; (3) shape (choose one): wider-than-tall, 0; taller-than-wide, 3; (4) margin (choose one): smooth 0; Ill-defined 0; lobulated or irregular 2; extrathyroid extension 3; and (5) echogenic foci (choose one): none or large comet-tail artifact 0; macrocalcification 1; peripheral (rim) calcification 2; punctate echogenic foci 3. Each feature was assigned a corresponding score, and then the nodules were assigned to different TI-RADS categories according to their total scores. Nodules with score 0 were classified as TI-RADS category 1 (malignancy risk of 2%, benign), score 2 were classified as TI-RADS category 2 (malignancy risk of 2%, probably benign), score 3 were classified as TI-RADS category 3 (malignancy risk of 5%, mild suspicious malignancy), score 4 to 6 were classified as TI-RADS category 4 (malignancy risk of 5-20%, moderate suspicious malignancy), and score  $\geq 7$  were classified as TI-RADS category 5 (malignancy risk of >20%, highly suspicious malignancy).

2.4. US Real-Time Strain Elastography. HI Vision 900, HI Vision Ascendus, and HI Vision Preirus colour US units (with US elasticity imaging capability) from Hitachi were used for strain elastography, and the probe frequency was 6.0–13.0 MHz. US elastography was routinely included in the thyroid US for all patients in the authors' institution. The conventional US and strain elastography were performed by two radiologists on the same day. The criteria for reliable

elasticity imaging were as follows [15, 18]. The handheld probe made a gentle vibration at the lesion site. A square region of interest positioning the target nodule at the centre of the box was set for elastography acquisition. The superior and inferior margins were set to include subcutaneous fat and longus colli muscle, respectively. The pressure release index on the instrument display was maintained between 3 and 4. Elastic images that clearly reflect tissue hardness in each layer were considered as appropriate. The imaging lasted for 3–5 s.

Different colour reflects different relative hardness levels in the lesion area. If more than 50% of the lesion was shown as green, it was defined as green-based, and if more than 50% was shown as blue, it was blue-based. The elastography performance was categorized into scores 0-4 based on the Asteria criteria as follows [18–20]: 0, the lesion region mainly contained cystic component manifested as alternating red and blue or alternating blue, green, and red, which presented a typical "mosaic" sign; 1, both the lesion and surrounding tissues showed a consistent green colour; 2, the lesion region showed an alternating blue and green colour with green as the main colour; 3, the lesion region had an alternating blue and green colour with blue as the main colour; and 4, the lesion region was completely covered by blue colour. In elastography, red represents soft, blue represents hard, and alternating from red to green to blue represents the process from soft to hard. In the elastic diagram of nodules, the more red and green the components, the softer the nodules and the lower the score; the more blue, the harder the nodules and the higher the score.

The ACR TI-RADS and RTE were performed by two radiologists with more than 10 years of experience in the diagnosis of thyroid disease. Both radiologists are experienced with developing the diagnostic criteria of TI-RADS and the ES system. In case of inconsistent opinions, a conclusion was reached by discussion with a third radiologist.

# 3. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed by SPSS version 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois) and Stata version 11.0 (StataCorp LP, Texas). Spearman's rank correlation was used to evaluate the correlation between the ES of RTE and the malignant risk stratification of the TI-RADS category. Binary logistic regression based on two variables (ES and TI-RADS category) was conducted to generate a logistic regression equation of malignancy prediction probability: Logit (*P*) =  $\alpha$ ES +  $\beta$  (TI-RADS category) + constant, where  $\alpha$ and  $\beta$  were the regression coefficients. The combined predictor (ES plus TI-RADS category) was then calculated as the TI-RADS category  $+\alpha/\beta$  ES. Receiver operator characteristic (ROC) analyses, including sensitivity, specificity, and area under the curve (AUC), which were performed to evaluate the diagnostic performance of RTE, TI-RADS, and combined predictor. FNA or postoperative pathology was used as a reference. A P value of <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

## 4. Results

4.1. Patient and Nodule Characteristics. In our study, there were 1,525 nodules in 1498 patients (including 1470 patients with one nodule, 26 patients with two nodules, one patient with three nodules, respectively). Of the 1525 nodules, 726 were benign (673 were nodular goiter, 47 adenoma, and 7 inflammation) and 799 were malignant (785 were papillary carcinoma, 4 follicular carcinoma, 7 medullary carcinoma, and 3 undifferentiated carcinoma). 501 benign and 724 malignant nodules were confirmed with surgery, and 225 benign and 75 malignant were confirmed with the cytologic examination.

Among them, 75 malignant confirmed by cytology had undergone surgery and were confirmed by surgery finally. Of the 1,525 nodules, a total of 134 nodules were classified as TI-RADS 4. Among these 134 cases were of TI-ADS 4 nodules, 72 cases were benign, and 62 cases were malignant, confirmed by pathology. The mean age of patients was associated with nodule malignancy (P < 0.001). The number of female patients with malignant nodules was higher than that of male patients (P < 0.001) (Table 2).

Among the 1525 thyroid nodules evaluated by two radiologists, 1522 of which were consistent between the two radiologists, but there were some differences in the evaluation of three nodules (two nodules, one radiologist thought that their RTE should be score 4, but the other one thought that they should be score 3. After the third radiologist evaluates repeatedly, the final score was 3. The remaining nodule, one radiologist thought it should be score 3, but the other one thought it should be score 2. After the third radiologist evaluates repeatedly, the final score was 3.) There was no significant difference between the two radiologists (P < 0.001).

TABLE 2: Nodule size and numbers of nodules and patients.

|                              |         |          | Final diagnosis |           | is          |
|------------------------------|---------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-------------|
| Parameter                    | Nodules | Patients | Benign          | Malignant | P<br>value* |
| All nodules                  | 1525    | 1498     | 726             | 799       |             |
| Mean patient<br>age (y)      |         | 43.5     | 44.7            | 42.3      | < 0.001     |
| Women                        | 904     | 887      | 352             | 552       | < 0.001     |
| Men                          | 621     | 611      | 374             | 247       |             |
| $0 < D \le 0.5 \text{ cm}$   | 74      | 71       | 16              | 58        |             |
| Mean patient<br>age (y)      |         | 44.4     | 45.6            | 44.1      | 0.625       |
| Women                        | 47      | 44       | 5               | 42        | 0.002       |
| Men                          | 27      | 27       | 11              | 16        |             |
| $0.5 < D \le 1.0 \text{ cm}$ | 528     | 518      | 197             | 331       |             |
| Mean patient<br>age (y)      |         | 43.0     | 43.1            | 42.9      | < 0.815     |
| Women                        | 315     | 308      | 80              | 235       | < 0.001     |
| Men                          | 213     | 210      | 117             | 96        |             |
| $1.0 < D \le 2.0 \text{ cm}$ | 715     | 704      | 429             | 286       |             |
| Mean patient<br>age (y)      |         | 44.3     | 45.1            | 43.1      | 0.035       |
| Women                        | 426     | 417      | 228             | 198       | < 0.001     |
| Men                          | 289     | 287      | 201             | 88        |             |
| $2.0 < D \le 2.5 \text{ cm}$ | 208     | 205      | 84              | 124       |             |
| Mean patient<br>age (y)      |         | 41.7     | 46.6            | 38.4      | < 0.001     |
| Women                        | 116     | 116      | 39              | 77        | 0.026       |
| Men                          | 92      | 89       | 45              | 47        |             |

*Note.* Unless otherwise indicated, the data point is the number of nodules or patients. \**P* value calculated by using the generalized estimating equation analysis.

4.2. Grayscale US Features, RTE, and TI-RADS Associated with Malignancy. Conventional grayscale US features of hypoechogenicity and very hypoechoic, poorly defined margin, taller-than-wide, Punctate echogenic foci, and Punctate echogenic foci plus macrocalcification were more common in malignant nodules (for all, P < 0.001). Scores 3 and 4 based on the Asteria criteria were also more common in malignant nodules (P < 0.001). Malignant nodules were mainly located in TI-RADS 4 and 5, particularly in TI-RADS 5 (for all, P < 0.001) (Table 3).

4.3. Correlation between the ES and TI-RADS in Various Size Intervals. Overall, a strong correlation was found between the ES (0–4) and the malignant risk stratification (1–5) of TI-RADS category in the assessment of thyroid nodules. The two methods showed a strong correlation in the nodule size intervals of  $0.5 < D \le 1.0$  cm (r = 0.768, P < 0.001),  $1.0 < D \le 2.0$  cm (r = 0.711, P < 0.001), and  $2.0 < D \le 2.5$  cm (r = 0.743, P < 0.001). However, the correlation was low (r = 0.481, P < 0.001) in nodules with a maximum diameter <0.5 cm.

4.4. Diagnostic Performance of the RTE and TI-RADS in Various Size Intervals. The sensitivity, specificity, and AUC of the TI-RADS category values were significantly higher than those of the ES system of RTE in nodules with  $D \le 0.5$  cm. In contrast, the sensitivity, specificity, and AUC

TABLE 3: Comparison of conventional ultrasound features, real-time strain elastography scores, and TI-RADS categories between the benign and malignant nodules.

| Features                                       | No. of benign nodules $(n = 726)$ | No. of malignant nodules $(n = 799)$ | P value*       |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| Composition                                    |                                   |                                      | < 0.001#       |
| Cystic $(n = 15)$                              | 15 (2.1)                          | 0                                    | < 0.001        |
| Spongiform $(n = 16)$                          | 16 (2.2)                          | 0                                    | < 0.001        |
| Mixed cystic and solid $(n = 97)$              | 71 (9.8)                          | 26 (3.3)                             | < 0.001        |
| Solid or almost completely solid $(n = 1,397)$ | 624 (85.9)                        | 773 (96.7)                           | < 0.001        |
| Echogenicity                                   |                                   |                                      | $< 0.001^{\#}$ |
| Absence $(n = 31)$                             | 31 (4.3)                          | 0                                    | < 0.001        |
| Hyperechoic or isoechogenicity $(n = 600)$     | 498 (68.5)                        | 102 (12.8)                           | < 0.001        |
| Hyperechogenicity $(n = 61)$                   | 61                                | 0                                    |                |
| Hypoechogenicity $(n = 863)$                   | 193 (26.6)                        | 670 (83.8)                           | < 0.001        |
| Very hypoechoic $(n = 31)$                     | 4 (0.6)                           | 27 (3.4)                             | < 0.001        |
| Margin   |                                   |                                      | < 0.001        |
| Smooth $(n = 687)$                             | 573 (78.9)                        | 114 (14.3)                           |                |
| ill-defined $(n = 597)$                        | 129 (17.8)                        | 468 (58.6)                           |                |
| Lobulated or irregular $(n = 52)$              | 11 (1.5)                          | 41 (5.1)                             |                |
| Extrathyroidal ertension $(n = 189)$           | 13 (1.8)                          | 176 (22.0)                           |                |
| Shape  |                                   |                                      | < 0.001        |
| Wider-than-tall $(n = 1, 101)$                 | 691 (95.2)                        | 410 (51.3)                           |                |
| Taller -than-wide $(n = 424)$                  | 35 (4.9)                          | 389 (48.7)                           |                |
| Echogenic foci                                 |                                   |                                      | $< 0.001^{\#}$ |
| None or large comet-tail $(n = 766)$           | 606 (83.5)                        | 160 (20.0)                           | < 0.001        |
| Punctate echogenic foci ( $n = 547$ )          | 21 (2.9)                          | 526 (65.8)                           | < 0.001        |
| Macrocalcifications $(n = 122)$                | 73 (10.1)                         | 49 (6.1)                             |                |
| Periphera (rim) calcifications $(n = 21)$      | 15 (2.1)                          | 6 (0.8)                              |                |
| Punctate + macrocalcification $(n = 69)$       | 11 (1.5)                          | 58 (7.3)                             | < 0.001        |
| ES system of RTE                               |                                   |                                      | < 0.001        |
| Score 0 $(n = 31)$                             | 31 (4.3)                          | 0 (0)                                |                |
| Score 1 $(n = 241)$                            | 236 (32.5)                        | 5 (0.6)                              |                |
| Score 2 $(n = 503)$                            | 417 (57.4)                        | 86 (10.8)                            |                |
| Score 3 $(n = 639)$                            | 39 (5.4)                          | 600 (75.1)                           |                |
| Score 4 ( <i>n</i> = 111)                      | 3 (0.4)                           | 108 (13.5)                           |                |
| TI-RADS  |                                   |                                      | < 0.001        |
| 1 (n = 31)                                     | 31 (4.3)                          | 0 (0)                                |                |
| 2(n=162)                                       | 159 (21.9)                        | 3 (0.4)                              |                |
| 3(n=430)                                       | 381 (52.5)                        | 49 (6.1)                             |                |
| 4 (n = 134)                                    | 72 (9.9)                          | 62 (7.8)                             |                |
| 5 (n = 768)                                    | 83 (11.4)                         | 685 (85.7)                           |                |

*Note.* Unless otherwise indicated, the data point is number of nodules, and the number in parentheses is percentage. \**P* value calculated by using the generalized estimating equation analysis. <sup>#</sup>Fisher exact test.

of the ES system of RTE were significantly higher than those of TI-RADS in nodules with  $0.5 < D \le 2.0$  cm (Table 4) (Figures 1–5).

The ROC curve showed that the combination of the two methods gave a higher AUC as compared with either the ES system of RTE or TI-RADS alone, including nodules of  $D \le 0.5$  cm,  $0.5 < D \le 1.0$  cm,  $1.0 < D \le 2.0$  cm, and  $2.0 < D \le 2.5$  cm (for all, P < 0.001) (Figure 6). The sensitivity of the combined method was significantly higher than that of TI-RADS (P < 0.001) (Table 4). The specificity of the combined method was also significantly higher than that of TI-RADS in each size interval (for all, P < 0.001) (Table 4).

# 5. Discussion

Here, we retrospectively analyzed US and RTE data of 1525 thyroid nodules. We found that the ES of RTE and the

malignant risk stratification of TI-RADS showed a strong correlation in the nodule size intervals of  $0.5 < D \le 1.0$  cm,  $1.0 < D \le 2.0$  cm, and  $2.0 < D \le 2.5$  cm, except for the interval of  $D \le 0.5$  cm. The diagnostic performance of the combination of RTE and TI-RADS in each size interval was always better than either RTE or TI-RADS alone. These results demonstrated the feasibility of strengthening the diagnosis of malignant thyroid nodules by RTE.

The ROC analysis showed RTE had higher AUCs in the size intervals of  $0.5 < D \le 1.0$  cm and  $1.0 < D \le 2.0$  cm than in the intervals of  $D \le 0.5$  cm and  $2.0 < D \le 2.5$  cm, which indicated that RTE may be more suitable for applying in nodules with size between 0.5 and 2.0 cm. For those nodules smaller than 0.5 cm or larger than 2.0 cm, the force applied during the RTE process was more likely to be uneven. To maintain even pressure on a nodule requires each part of the lesion and the surrounding gland tissues being deformed

| Size interval (cm)     | AUC (95%CI)         | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | Accuracy (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) |
|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|---------|---------|
| $0 < D \le 0.5$        |                     |                 |                 |              |         |         |
| TI-RADS                | 0.916 (0.815-1.000) | 89.7            | 87.5            | 91.89        | 91.94   | 91.67   |
| RTE                    | 0.832 (0.714-0.950) | 74.1            | 81.2            | 75.67        | 93.48   | 46.43   |
| TI-RADS plus RTE       | 0.941 (0.861-1.000) | 94.8            | 87.5            | 93.24        | 96.49   | 82.35   |
| $0.5 < D \le 1.0^{-1}$ |                     |                 |                 |              |         |         |
| TI-RADS                | 0.903 (0.871-0.935) | 87.9            | 85.3            | 89.20        | 87.03   | 94.30   |
| RTE                    | 0.943 (0.920-0.966) | 90.0            | 93.9            | 91.48        | 96.13   | 84.86   |
| TI-RADS plus RTE       | 0.961 (0.941-0.982) | 90.0            | 93.9            | 91.29        | 97.03   | 83.56   |
| $1.0 < D \le 2.0^{-1}$ |                     |                 |                 |              |         |         |
| TI-RADS                | 0.894 (0.869-0.919) | 85.0            | 88.1            | 83.08        | 73.11   | 93.02   |
| RTE                    | 0.954 (0.937-0.970) | 94.1            | 94.4            | 94.27        | 91.81   | 95.97   |
| TI-RADS plus RTE       | 0.972 (0.960-0.985) | 94.3            | 95.6            | 94.41        | 92.12   | 95.98   |
| 2.0 < <i>D</i> ≤ 2.5   |                     |                 |                 |              |         |         |
| TI-RADS                | 0.942 (0.912-0.973) | 86.3            | 92.9            | 88.94        | 94.69   | 82.11   |
| RTE                    | 0.919 (0.881-0.956) | 79.0            | 96.4            | 86.06        | 97.03   | 75.70   |
| TI-RADS plus RTE       | 0.971 (0.951-0.990) | 89.5            | 95.2            | 91.83        | 96.52   | 86.02   |

TABLE 4: Diagnostic performance of RTE, TI-RADS, or both in 1525 nodules.

*Note.* Unless otherwise indicated, the data point is percentage, and the number in parentheses is 95% CI. PPV = positive predictive value; NPV = negative predictive value.



FIGURE 1: Images in a 28-year-old woman who underwent routine check-up. A 16 mm left thyroid nodule with no echo, cystic, smooth margin, wider-than-tall, and no calcification was found by grayscale US and assessed as a benign nodule. A score of 0 was assigned at elastography. The TI-RADS category was assigned as 1. This thyroid nodule was diagnosed as a nodular goiter with cystic change at surgery.



FIGURE 2: Images in a 35-year-old woman who underwent routine check-up. A 14 mm right thyroid nodule with isoechogenicity, solid, smooth margin, wider-than-tall, and no calcification was found by grayscale US and assessed as a benign nodule. A score of 1 was assigned at elastography. The TI-RADS category was assigned as 2. This thyroid nodule was diagnosed as a nodular goiter at surgery.

under the same pressure to obtain an accurate elasticity score. Maintaining even pressure on larger nodules is difficult because of the increased tension and inadequate deformation caused by a long course of the disease and cystic changes within nodules, which would result in artificially higher RTE scores. For nodules smaller than 0.5 cm, the



FIGURE 3: Images in a 41-year-old man who underwent routine check-up. A 20 mm left thyroid nodule with isoechogenicity, mixed, smooth margin, wider-than-tall, and no calcification was found at grayscale US and assessed as a benign nodule. A score of 2 was assigned at elastography. The TI-RADS category was assigned as 3. This thyroid nodule was diagnosed as nodular goiter at surgery.



FIGURE 4: Images in a 42-year-old woman who underwent routine check-up. A 6 mm left thyroid nodule with hypoechogenicity, solid, irregular margins, wider-than-tall, and no calcification was found by conventional US and assessed as a benign nodule. A score of 3 was assigned at elastography. The TI-RADS category was assigned as 4. This thyroid nodule was diagnosed as papillary thyroid carcinoma at surgery.



FIGURE 5: Images in a 65-year-old woman who underwent routine check-up. A 10 mm left thyroid nodule with hypoechogenicity, solid, irregular margin, taller-than-wide, and no calcification was found by grayscale US and assessed as a malignant nodule. A score of 4 was assigned at elastography. The TI-RADS category was assigned as 5. This thyroid nodule was diagnosed as papillary thyroid carcinoma at surgery.

hardness is similar to the surrounding normal thyroid tissues due to genetic and biological heterogeneity, unnoticeable internal fibrosis hyperplasia, and no accompanying calcifications [21]. Thus, the elastography results were less satisfactory for nodules smaller than 0.5 cm or larger than 2.0 cm.



FIGURE 6: ROC analyses of RTE, TI-RADS, and RTE combined TI-RADS in the size intervals of  $D \le 0.5$  cm (a),  $0.5 < D \le 1.0$  cm (b),  $1.0 < D \le 2.0$  cm (c), and  $2.0 < D \le 2.5$  cm (d).

RTE could overcome the limitations of conventional detection techniques. It is widely used to distinguish malignant from benign nodules based on the elasticity or stiffness of the tissues [22–28]. We found that scores 3 and 4 were more common in malignant nodules, which was in accordance with the results of previous studies [29, 30]. However, the diagnostic value of RTE did not gain recognition in several studies [15, 20, 31–34]. One study of 703 thyroid nodules found that RTE led to lower diagnostic accuracy than US, and one prospective study showed that the positive predictive value of elastography was only 36%, and the negative predictive value was 97%. The variation was mainly due to discrepancies in nodule selection, different equipment, and the experience of operators [35]. The tissue

hardness assessed by RTE was relative hardness, which is the ratio of hardness between the lesion and surrounding normal tissues. This assessment required normal tissues surrounding the lesion for comparison to obtain a good imaging result. Therefore, we selected nodules  $\leq 2.5$  cm for assessment, which was in line with the findings of Veyrieres et al. that RTE cannot be applied to nodules larger than 3 cm [36]. In our institution, RTE was performed under common criteria to ensure the accuracy of the elasticity score system. This study was aimed to explore the additional value of RTE to the TI-RADS. The TI-RADS system referred to in the 2017 ACR guidelines had a satisfactory effect on the assessment of malignancy risk of thyroid nodules. TI-RADS played an important role in guiding clinically standardized treatments

and improving the diagnosis and treatment levels. This study showed that TI-RADS performed well in the evaluation of malignant thyroid nodules in all size intervals, with AUCs of 0.917, 0.918, 0.905, and 0.938 for the four size intervals. In addition, after adding RTE to the TI-RADS system, the sensitivity, specificity, and AUC of TI-RADS were significantly improved. In addition, in our study, a total of 134 nodules were classified as TI-RADS 4, and 72 benign and 62 malignant nodules were confirmed by pathology. Among the 134 TI-RADS 4 nodules, RTE accurately diagnosed 41 malignant and 70 benign, the sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of RTE in the diagnosis of such nodules were 97.2%, 66.1%, and 82.8%, respectively. These results suggested that RTE could provide additional information for TI-RADS, thereby improving its diagnostic accuracy for malignant thyroid nodules. The elasticity score reflects tissue hardness based on the pathological structural characteristics of a nodule. For example, fibrosis-associated hyperplasia and sand-like calcifications within the malignant nodules resulted in increased tissue hardness, which was assigned a score 3 or 4 corresponding to TI-RADS 5. In contrast, the hardness of benign nodules was similar to the surrounding normal thyroid tissues because the only hyperplasia of thyroid tissue was found in the benign nodules, so they were assigned scores 1 or 2 corresponding to TI-RADS 2 or 3. Therefore, the ES of RTE and the malignant risk stratification of TI-RADS demonstrated good consistency for benign and malignant pathological features.

Currently, there are two main elastographic systems available on the market: strain elastography and shear wave elastography (SWE). In SWE, shear wave emission is induced by a focused ultrasonic beam. The elasticity of the tissue is assessed qualitatively and quantitatively in real-time based on the received signals, which is considered to be more objective, reliable, and reproducible than RTE [36-39]. According to Zhang et al. [40], the sensitivity, specificity, and AUC for the diagnosis of malignant thyroid nodules by SWE were 0.84, 0.90, and 0.92, respectively. Zhuo et al. [41] and Sebag et al. [42] reported that the sensitivity and specificity for the diagnosis of malignant thyroid nodules by SWE were 0.963 and 0.962, and 0.852 and 0.939, respectively. However, SWE also has some disadvantages. Sebag et al. reported that macrocalcifications gave a high false-positive rate for malignancy when using SWE [42]. For microcarcinomas with a maximum diameter of  $\leq 1$  cm, the diagnostic performance of SWE was not satisfactory. The majority of the microcarcinomas were missed by SWE [27]. In the present study, we employed a strain elastography system to evaluate the hardness of thyroid nodules. It did not have the disadvantages of SWE as mentioned above. It could better find the nodules with a diameter less than or equal to 1 cm and reflect the hardness change caused by microcalcification and fibrosis-associated hyperplasia inside the nodules.

In our research, the malignancy rate was higher for TI-RADS 3, 4, and 5 categories than expected. This may be related to the cases we have collected. Affected by the level of ultrasound diagnosis, we included some atypical nodules that were difficult to be classified by ACR TI-RADS, and more typical ACR TI-RADS 5 nodules. In addition, this study also has some other limitations. Firstly, we selected only nodules smaller than 2.5 cm because the size range was suitable for elastography. Secondly, among malignant nodules, papillary carcinoma was the majority, and the number of other types of carcinoma was few. Studies to analyze more other types of malignant nodules are anticipated.

#### 6. Conclusions

In conclusion, there is a strong correlation between the ES system of RTE and the malignant risk stratification of the TI-RADS classification system, the ES system of RTE improves the diagnostic performance of the ACR TI-RADS in the evaluation of malignancy risk of thyroid nodules, especially for TI-RADS 4 nodules. RTE is recommended as an adjunctive diagnostic tool to ACR TI-RADS for differentiating malignant from benign thyroid nodules.

# Abbreviations

| ES:      | Elasticity score                          |
|----------|---|
| RTE:     | Real-time elastography                    |
| TI-RADS: | Thyroid imaging reporting and data system |
| CT:      | Computed tomography                       |
| MRI:     | Magnetic resonance imaging                |
| PET:     | Positron emission tomography              |
| ACR:     | American College of Radiology             |
| ROC:     | Receiver operator characteristic          |
| AUC:     | Area under the curve                      |
| CI:      | Confidence interval                       |
| PPV:     | Positive predictive value                 |
| NPV:     | Negative predictive value.                |

## **Data Availability**

The data sets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

#### **Ethical Approval**

This retrospective study was approved by the Medical Research Ethics Committee of Guangdong Provincial People's Hospital (Guangdong Academy of Medical Sciences).

#### Consent

Informed consent was waived.

#### **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

# **Authors' Contributions**

PSF, JJL, SQW, and YHD carried out the studies, participated in data collection, and drafted the manuscript. BZ and LZ performed the statistical analysis and participated in its design. SZC and SXZ helped to draft the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript. Shufang Pei, Bin Zhang, and Shuzhen Cong contributed equally to this work.

# Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge financial support from the following organizations: the Medical Science and Technology Foundation of Guangdong Province (CN) (A2018348) and the Guangzhou Science, Technology and Innovation Commission (CN) (201804010105). The funders had no role in study design, data collection, and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

# References

- J. H. Yoon, H. S. Lee, E.-K. Kim, H. J. Moon, and J. Y. Kwak, "Malignancy risk stratification of thyroid nodules: comparison between the thyroid imaging reporting and data system and the 2014 American thyroid association management guidelines," *Radiology*, vol. 278, no. 3, pp. 917–924, 2016.
- [2] S. Hwang, D. Y. Shin, E. K. Kim et al., "Focal lymphocytic thyroiditis nodules share the features of papillary thyroid cancer on ultrasound," *Yonsei Medical Journal*, vol. 56, no. 5, p. 1338, 2015.
- [3] H. S. Ahn, H. J. Kim, and H. G. Welch, "Korea's thyroidcancer "epidemic"—screening and overdiagnosis," *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 371, no. 19, pp. 1765–1767, 2014.
- [4] H. S. Ahn and H. G. Welch, "South Korea's thyroid-cancer "epidemic"-turning the tide," New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 373, no. 24, pp. 2389-2390, 2015.
- [5] F. Magri, S. Chytiris, F. Zerbini et al., "Maximal stiffness evaluation by real-time ultrasound elastography, an improved tool for the differential diagnosis of thyroid nodules," *Endocrine Practice Official Journal of the American College of Endocrinology & the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists*, vol. 21, no. 5, pp. 1–22, 2015.
- [6] F. N. Tessler, W. D. Middleton, E. G. Grant et al., "ACR thyroid imaging, reporting and data system (TI-RADS): white paper of the ACR TI-RADS committee," *Journal of the American College of Radiology*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 587–595, 2017.
- [7] C. Franco Uliaque, F. J. Pardo Berdún, R. Laborda Herrero, and C. Pérez Lórenz, "Usefulness of semiquantitative elastography in predicting malignancy in thyroid nodules," *Radiología (English Edition)*, vol. 58, no. 5, pp. 366–372, 2016.
- [8] A. Goddi, A. Sacchi, G. Magistretti, and J. Almolla, "Transrectal real-time elastography of the prostate: normal patterns," *Journal of Ultrasound*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 220–232, 2011.
- [9] C. Weismann, C. Mayr, H. Egger, and A. Auer, "Breast sonography—2D, 3D, 4D ultrasound or elastography?" *Breast Care*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 98–103, 2011.
- [10] P. Mehrotra, A. McQueen, S. Kolla, S. J. Johnson, and D. L. Richardson, "Does elastography reduce the need for thyroid FNAs?" *Clinical Endocrinology*, vol. 78, no. 6, pp. 942–949, 2013.
- [11] F. Ragazzoni, M. Deandrea, A. Mormile et al., "High diagnostic accuracy and interobserver reliability of real-time elastography in the evaluation of thyroid nodules," *Ultrasound in Medicine & Biology*, vol. 38, no. 7, pp. 1154–1162, 2012.

- [12] R. M. Friedrich, A. K. Sperber, J. Diener et al., "Real-time elastography and contrast-enhanced ultrasound for the assessment of thyroid nodules," *Experimental & Clinical Endocrinology & Diabetes*, vol. 118, no. 9, pp. 602–609, 2009.
- [13] T. Rago, M. Scutari, F. Santini et al., "Real-time elastosonography: useful tool for refining the presurgical diagnosis in thyroid nodules with indeterminate or nondiagnostic cytology," *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, vol. 95, no. 12, pp. 5274–5280, 2010.
- [14] Y. Wang, H.-J. Dan, H.-Y. Dan, T. Li, and B. Hu, "Differential diagnosis of small single solid thyroid nodules using real-time ultrasound elastography," *Journal of International Medical Research*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 466–472, 2010.
- [15] M. Hee Jung, S. Ji Min, K. Eun-Kyung et al., "Diagnostic performance of gray-scale US and elastography in solid thyroid nodules," *Radiology*, vol. 262, no. 3, pp. 1002–1013, 2012.
- [16] W. Tian, S. Hao, B. Gao et al., "Comparison of diagnostic accuracy of real-time elastography and shear wave elastography in differentiation malignant from benign thyroid nodules," *Medicine*, vol. 94, no. 52, Article ID e2312, 2015.
- [17] B. R. Haugen, E. K. Alexander, K. C. Bible et al., "2015 American thyroid association management guidelines for adult patients with thyroid nodules and differentiated thyroid cancer: the American thyroid association guidelines task force on thyroid nodules and differentiated thyroid cancer," *Thyroid*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 1–133, 2016.
- [18] C. Shuzhen, "Comparison analysis between conventional ultrasonography and ultrasound elastography of thyroid nodules," *European Journal of Radiology*, vol. 81, no. 8, pp. 1806–1811, 2012.
- [19] Á. Rivo-Vázquez, Á. Rodríguez-Lorenzo, J. E. Rivo-Vázquez et al., "The use of ultrasound elastography in the assessment of malignancy risk in thyroid nodules and multinodular goitres," *Clinical Endocrinology*, vol. 79, no. 6, pp. 887–891, 2013.
- [20] G. Azizi, J. Keller, M. Lewis, D. Puett, K. Rivenbark, and C. Malchoff, "Performance of elastography for the evaluation of thyroid nodules: a prospective study," *Thyroid*, vol. 23, no. 6, pp. 734–740, 2013.
- [21] N. G. Il Seong, K. Ha Young, G. Gyungyub et al., "Ultrasonography-guided fine-needle aspiration of thyroid incidentaloma: correlation with pathological findings," *Clinical Endocrinology*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 21–28, 2010.
- [22] P. N. T. Wells and H.-D. Liang, "Medical ultrasound: imaging of soft tissue strain and elasticity," *Journal of The Royal Society Interface*, vol. 8, no. 64, pp. 1521–1549, 2011.
- [23] M. L. Palmeri and K. R. Nightingale, "Acoustic radiation force-based elasticity imaging methods," *Interface Focus*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 553–564, 2011.
- [24] S. Wang and K. V. Larin, "Optical coherence elastography for tissue characterization: a review," *Journal of Biophotonics*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 279–302, 2015.
- [25] D. Junker, T. De Zordo, M. Quentin et al., "Real-time elastography of the prostate," *BioMed Research International*, vol. 2014, Article ID 180804, 11 pages, 2014.
- [26] K. Zaleska, "Potencjalna przydatność wykrywania krążących komórek raka stercza w pilotażowej grupie chorych z zaawansowanym rakiem stercza," *Zeszyty Naukowe WCO, Letters in Oncology Science*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 15–20, 2014.
- [27] N. Adriaenssens, D. Belsack, R. Buyl et al., "Ultrasound elastography as an objective diagnostic measurement tool for lymphoedema of the treated breast in breast cancer patients following breast conserving surgery and radiotherapy," *Radiology and Oncology*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 284–295, 2012.

- [28] G. Low, "General review of magnetic resonance elastography," World Journal of Radiology, vol. 8, no. 1, p. 59, 2016.
- [29] M. S. Menzilcioglu, M. Duymus, G. Gungor et al., "The value of real-time ultrasound elastography in chronic autoimmune thyroiditis," *The British Journal of Radiology*, vol. 87, no. 1044, Article ID 20140604, 2014.
- [30] J. Zhan, X.-H. Diao, Q.-L. Chai, and Y. Chen, "Comparative study of acoustic radiation force impulse imaging with realtime elastography in differential diagnosis of thyroid nodules," *Ultrasound in Medicine & Biology*, vol. 39, no. 12, pp. 2217–2225, 2013.
- [31] B. Cakir, R. Ersoy, F. N. Cuhaci et al., "Elastosonographic strain index in thyroid nodules with atypia of undetermined significance," *Journal of Endocrinological Investigation*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 127–133, 2014.
- [32] J. Xue, X.-l. Cao, L. Shi, C.-h. Lin, J. Wang, and L. Wang, "The diagnostic value of combination of TI-RADS and ultrasound elastography in the differentiation of benign and malignant thyroid nodules," *Clinical Imaging*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 913–916, 2016.
- [33] P. Trimboli, R. Guglielmi, S. Monti et al., "Ultrasound sensitivity for thyroid malignancy is increased by real-time elastography: a prospective multicenter study," *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, vol. 97, no. 12, pp. 4524–4530, 2012.
- [34] J. Sun, J. Cai, and X. Wang, "Real-time ultrasound elastography for differentiation of benign and malignant thyroid nodules," *Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 495–502, 2014.
- [35] T. Rago, F. Santini, M. Scutari, A. Pinchera, and P. Vitti, "Elastography: new developments in ultrasound for predicting malignancy in thyroid nodules," *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, vol. 92, no. 8, pp. 2917–2922, 2007.
- [36] J.-B. Veyrieres, F. Albarel, J. V. Lombard et al., "A threshold value in shear wave elastography to rule out malignant thyroid nodules: a reality?" *European Journal of Radiology*, vol. 81, no. 12, pp. 3965–3972, 2012.
- [37] K. S. S. Bhatia, C. S. L. Tong, C. C. M. Cho, E. H. Y. Yuen, Y. Y. P. Lee, and A. T. Ahuja, "Shear wave elastography of thyroid nodules in routine clinical practice: preliminary observations and utility for detecting malignancy," *European Radiology*, vol. 22, no. 11, pp. 2397–2406, 2012.
- [38] H. Kim, J.-A. Kim, E. J. Son, and J. H. Youk, "Quantitative assessment of shear-wave ultrasound elastography in thyroid nodules: diagnostic performance for predicting malignancy," *European Radiology*, vol. 23, no. 9, pp. 2532–2537, 2013.
- [39] P. Lin, M. Chen, B. Liu, S. Wang, and X. Li, "Diagnostic performance of shear wave elastography in the identification of malignant thyroid nodules: a meta-analysis," *European Radiology*, vol. 24, no. 11, pp. 2729–2738, 2014.
- [40] B. Zhang, X. Ma, N. Wu et al., "Shear wave elastography for differentiation of benign and malignant thyroid nodules," *Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine*, vol. 32, no. 12, pp. 2163– 2169, 2013.
- [41] J. Zhuo, Z. Ma, W.-J. Fu, and S.-P. Liu, "Differentiation of benign from malignant thyroid nodules with acoustic radiation force impulse technique," *The British Journal of Radiology*, vol. 87, no. 1035, p. 20130263, 2014.
- [42] F. Sebag, J. Vaillant-Lombard, J. Berbis et al., "Shear wave elastography: a new ultrasound imaging mode for the differential diagnosis of benign and malignant thyroid nodules," *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, vol. 95, no. 12, pp. 5281–5288, 2010.