Metabolic Effects of Testosterone Replacement Therapy in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus or Metabolic Syndrome: A Meta-Analysis
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Background. Testosterone replacement therapy (TRT) is commonly used for the treatment of hypogonadism in men, which is often associated with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and metabolic syndrome (Mets). Recent compiling evidence shows that TRT has beneficial metabolic effects on these patients. Objective. A meta-analysis has been conducted to evaluate the effects of TRT on cardiovascular metabolic factors. Methods. We conducted a systemic search on PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, Wanfang, and CNKI and selected randomized controlled trials (RCTs) to include. The efficacy of TRT on glycemia, insulin sensitivity, lipid profile, and body weight was meta-analyzed by Review Manager. Results. A total of 18 RCTs, containing 1415 patients (767 in TRT and 648 in control), were enrolled for the meta-analysis. The results showed that TRT could reduce HbA1c (MD $\approx -0.67$, 95% CI $-1.35$, $-0.19$, and $P < 0.006$) and improve HOMA-IR (homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance) (SMD $\approx -1.94$, 95% CI $-2.65$, $-1.23$, and $P < 0.001$). TRT could also decrease low-density lipoprotein (SMD $\approx -0.50$, 95% CI $-0.82$, $-0.90$, and $P = 0.002$) and triglycerides (MD $\approx -0.64$, 95% CI $-0.91$, $-0.36$, and $P < 0.001$). In addition, TRT could reduce body weight by 3.91 kg (MD $\approx -3.91$, 95% CI $-4.14$, $-3.69$, and $P < 0.0001$) and waist circumference by 2.8 cm (MD $\approx -2.80$, 95% CI $-4.38$, $-1.21$ and $P = 0.0005$). Erectile dysfunction (measured by IIEF-5) did not improve, while aging-related symptoms (measured by AMS scores) significantly improved. Conclusions. TRT improves glycemic control, insulin sensitivity, and lipid parameters in hypogonadism patients with T2DM and Mets, partially through reducing central obesity.

1. Introduction

Male hypogonadism is defined as insufficient testosterone due to variable pathology in any part of the hypothalamus-pituitary-testes axis [1]. It presents with primary symptoms of decreased libido, erectile dysfunction, and infertility. The incidence of male hypogonadism increases with age [2], with the prevalence in men aged 30–79 years at 3.1–7.0%, which increases markedly to 18.4% among men over 70 years [3]. Hypogonadism has a profound negative impact on both the physical health and the life satisfaction for middle-aged and elderly men.

Previous studies have reported a complex relationship between low testosterone levels and deteriorating metabolic status, such as hyperglycemia, obesity, and poor lipid profile [3]. The incidence of androgen deficiency in male patients with metabolic syndrome (MetS) and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is significantly higher than that in the normal population [4]. Studies have found that the prevalence of hypogonadism in T2DM is 46% ($n = 333$) [5] in Poland and 33.1% ($n = 112$) in China [6]. MetS is a group of clinical syndromes consisting of obesity, hypertension, hyperglycemia, dyslipidemia, and other metabolic disorders. It is a major risk factor for T2DM and cardiovascular diseases.
Considering the close interlinked relationship between diabetes, obesity, and hypogonadism [6, 7], we believe that further investigation in the causality among these factors is worthwhile.

Testosterone replacement therapy (TRT) is the primary treatment for male hypogonadism [8]. It has been confirmed that TRT can improve the symptoms of hypogonadism [1, 8]; however, the metabolic effects of this treatment on male hypogonadism remain controversial. Evidence supporting TRT improvement of glucose control, lipid profile, and weight control is still insufficient. For this reason, screening for testosterone deficiency and TRT for men with T2DM and MetS is not routinely recommended in some countries [9]. In recent years, compiling evidence consistently shows that TRT can improve metabolic factors, a compelling issue that leads us to conduct this meta-analysis.

2. Materials and Methods

Following the reporting recommendations made by the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systemic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) statement, we met all 27 items stated therein in our study.

3. Objectives

3.1. Inclusion Criteria. The inclusion criteria are fully published, randomized, and controlled clinical trials; aiming to evaluate the metabolic effects of TRT on patients with MetS and/or T2DM; language in English or Chinese.

3.2. Exclusion Criteria. The exclusion criteria are (1) case reports and reviews, (2) language in non-Chinese or non-English, (3) republished articles, (4) literature studies in which data were missing or unavailable, and (5) literature studies that did not provide standard deviation or quartile spacing.

Groups: the TRT group was defined as patients treated with testosterone supplementation. The control group was defined as a blank or receiving placebo.

3.3. Search Strategy. The search strategy was designed by an expert on public health (Figure 1). Databases, including PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, CNKI, and Wanfang, were searched in order to identify qualified trials published up to Dec 2019. Potentially eligible studies on the reference list were searched by hand. Meta-analysis was conducted by two independent reviewers (Li and Zhao). Discrepancies were resolved by discussion or submitted to a third party.

3.4. Data Extraction. Two investigators, Li and Zhao, extracted the relevant data independently using a standardized form. The data included demographic information, diagnosis of T2DM and/or MetS, number of participants, baseline testosterone levels, therapeutic regimen, and treatment duration. Differing opinions were resolved by consulting a third party.

3.5. Quality Assessment. Methodological quality evaluation was performed using a quality evaluation tool recommended by the Cochrane Reviewers’ Handbook (Figure 2).

3.6. Primary Outcome. The primary outcomes following TRT were improvement of glycemia, lipid parameters (HDLc, LDLc, and triglyceride), body weight, and waist circumference.

3.7. Secondary Outcome. The secondary outcome upon TRT was improvement of sexual function, as assessed by the International Index of Erectile Function-5 (IIEF-5) scores, as well as symptoms of senescence, as assessed by the Aging Males Symptoms (AMS) scores. In addition, we also evaluated the possible adverse effects of TRT, including blood pressure, prostate-specific antigen (PSA), hemoglobin, and hematocrit.

3.8. Data Synthesis and Statistical Analysis. The two investigators, Li and Zhao, independently analyzed the extracted data. Review Manager (RevMan5.3) software was used to generate the meta-analysis of the clinical efficacy from various studies. The heterogeneity of the included literature studies was firstly analyzed by the $I^2$ test. The fixed-effect model was adopted if $I^2$ was <50%. Otherwise, a random-effect model would be adopted. A funnel plot was used to analyze publication bias. In clinical studies, if a standard deviation (SD) was not provided, it was calculated using the following formula: the required correlation coefficient Corr was directly obtained or obtained through the included studies [10–12]:

\[
SD_{\text{change}}^2 = SD_{\text{baseline}}^2 + SD_{\text{final}}^2 - 2 \times Corr \times SD_{\text{baseline}} \times SD_{\text{final}} \tag{1}
\]

3.9. Ethical Approval. This meta-analysis review does not require approval from an ethics committee.

4. Results

4.1. Study Identification and Descriptive Data Synthesis. The computer initially retrieved 5,581 literature articles. After removing duplicating articles, animal experimental studies, non-Chinese and non-English studies, reviews, case reports, and unrelated studies, we enrolled 18 [10–27] RCTs meeting the inclusive criteria (Figure 1). A total of 1415 participants, 767 in the TRT group and 648 in the control group, were eligible for data analysis. The characteristics of the included studies are shown in Table 1. The quality evaluation for the included studies is shown in Figure 2.

4.2. The Effects of TRT on Glucose Metabolism

4.2.1. The Effects of TRT on HbA1c and Fasting Blood Glucose. A total of 15 studies were included to evaluate the effect of TRT on glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c)
The results of our analysis showed that TRT significantly reduced HbA1c by 0.67% (MD $\approx -0.67$, 95% CI $-1.35$, $-0.19$, and $P = 0.006$) (Figure 3).

A subgroup analysis performed according to the duration of TRT identified that the study by Khripun et al. [15] was the source of heterogeneity. TRT would improve HbA1c by $-0.58$ (95% CI $-1.08$, $-0.08$), $0.06$ (95% CI $-0.26$, 0.38), and $-0.50$ (95% CI $-0.74$, $-0.24$) in patients undergoing TRT for a duration of $\leq 6$ months, $6$–$12$ months, and $\geq 12$ months, respectively (Supplementary Figure S1).

A subgroup analysis performed according to baseline HbA1c level found that TRT would improve HbA1c by $-0.32$ (95% CI $-0.62$, $-0.03$) and $-0.76$ (95% CI $-1.07$, $-0.44$) in patients with baseline HbA1c $\leq 8$ and $>8\%$, respectively (Supplementary Figure S2).

A total of 15 studies (676 patients in TRT and 588 patients in control) were enrolled in order to clarify the effect of TRT on fasting blood glucose (FBG). The findings revealed that TRT significantly changed FBG by $-0.86$ mmol/l (95% CI $-1.15$, $-0.56$, and $P < 0.001$) (Supplementary Figure S3).

We next conducted subgroup and sensitivity analysis according to the duration of TRT. The results indicated that the studies by Dhindsa et al. [17], Khripun et al. [15], Heufelder et al. [26], and Yang [23] were the sources of heterogeneity (Supplementary Figure S4).

4.2.2. The Effect of TRT on Insulin Sensitivity (Fasting Insulin and HOMA-IR). We evaluated the effect of TRT on fasting insulin (FINS) by enrolling 11 studies (473 patients in TRT and 367 patients in control). Our findings revealed that TRT significantly reduced FINS (SMD $\approx -1.23$, 95% CI $-1.85$, $-0.62$, and $P < 0.0001$) (Supplementary Figure S5).

We also investigated the effect of TRT on HOMA-IR by enrolling 12 studies (496 patients in TRT and 409 patients in control). The results indicated that TRT could significantly reduce HOMA-IR (SMD $\approx -1.94$, 95% CI $-2.65$, $-1.03$, and $P < 0.0001$) (Figure 4).

4.3. The Effects of TRT on Lipid Profile (TC, TG, LDL, and HDL). To evaluate the effect of TRT on total cholesterol
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Random sequence generation (selection bias)</th>
<th>Allocation concealment (selection bias)</th>
<th>Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)</th>
<th>Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)</th>
<th>Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)</th>
<th>Selective reporting (reporting bias)</th>
<th>Other bias</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Figure 2: Continued.
### Table 1: Characteristics of the randomized clinical studies included in the meta-analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study, year</th>
<th>Objects</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Duration of treatment</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>HbA1c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shigehara, 2017</td>
<td>MetS + free testosterone (FT) ≤11.8 pg/ml</td>
<td>TRT</td>
<td>Testosterone enanthate 250 mg IM every 4 weeks</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>67.0 ± 9.4</td>
<td>12 m</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6.5 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>No treatment</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>69.3 ± 9.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6.3 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groti, 2018</td>
<td>T2DM + total testosterone (TT) &lt;11 nmol/l and/or free testosterone (FT) level &lt;220 pmol/l</td>
<td>TRT</td>
<td>Testosterone undecanoate (TU) 1000 mg IM every 10 weeks</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>60.2 ± 7.2</td>
<td>12 m</td>
<td>34.0 ± 4.4</td>
<td>8.1 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32.6 ± 3.7</td>
<td>7.2 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khrpoun, 2018</td>
<td>T2DM + TT &lt;12.1 nmol/L</td>
<td>TRT</td>
<td>1%-transdermal T-gel 50 mg qd + dietary control</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>53.3 ± 5.4</td>
<td>9 m</td>
<td>34.0 ± 1.9</td>
<td>7.8 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Dietary control</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>54.1 ± 5.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>33.6 ± 2.2</td>
<td>6.7 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di, 2017</td>
<td>T2DM + TT &lt;12 nmol/L</td>
<td>TRT</td>
<td>TU capsule 80 mg po bid, two weeks later 40 mg bid + hypoglycemic agents</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>44.5 ± 5.7</td>
<td>6 m</td>
<td>26.7 ± 2.4</td>
<td>7.7 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>6 m</td>
<td>39.8 ± 7.8</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhindsa, 2017</td>
<td>T2DM + FT &lt;6.5 ng/dL</td>
<td>TRT</td>
<td>TU 250 mg IM every 2 weeks</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>6 m</td>
<td>39.8 ± 7.8</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hackett, 2014</td>
<td>T2DM + FT &lt;225 pmol/L</td>
<td>TRT</td>
<td>TU 1000 mg IM in the 0th, 6th, and 18th weeks</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>61.2 ± 10.5</td>
<td>7.5 m</td>
<td>33.0 ± 6.1</td>
<td>7.7 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>62.0 ± 9.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>32.4 ± 5.5</td>
<td>7.5 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gianatti, 2014</td>
<td>T2DM + TT &lt;12 nmol/L</td>
<td>TRT</td>
<td>TU 1000 mg IM in the 0th, 6th, and 18th weeks</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>62.0 ± 7.4</td>
<td>10 m</td>
<td>31.5 ± 5.3</td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>33.4 ± 3.0</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones, 2011</td>
<td>T2D + TT &lt;11 nmol/L</td>
<td>TRT</td>
<td>Transdermal testosterone gel 60 mg daily + hypoglycemic agents</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>59.9 ± 9.1</td>
<td>6 m</td>
<td>32.9 ± 6.6</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Placebo + hypoglycemic agents</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>59.9 ± 9.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>31.3 ± 5.4</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aversa, 2010</td>
<td>MetS + TT &lt;3.0 ng/mL</td>
<td>TRT</td>
<td>TU 1000 mg IM every 12 weeks</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>51.6 (49.8–53.4)</td>
<td>12 m</td>
<td>31.0 ± 6.2</td>
<td>6.6 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>52.8 (50.5–55.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.2 ± 4.5</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalichenko, 2010</td>
<td>MetS + TT &lt;12.0 nmol</td>
<td>TRT</td>
<td>TU 1000 mg IM 0th, 6th, and 18th weeks</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>51.6 (49.8–53.4)</td>
<td>7.5 m</td>
<td>35.3 ± 1.8</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>52.8 (50.5–55.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td>34.2 ± 2.1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study, year</th>
<th>Objects</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Duration of treatment</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>HbA1c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kapoor, 2006</td>
<td>T2DM + TT &lt;11.8 nmol/l</td>
<td>TRT</td>
<td>TU 200 mg IM 2 weeks + hypoglycemic agents</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>64.0 ± 1.3</td>
<td>3 m</td>
<td>33.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boyanov, 2003</td>
<td>T2DM + TT &lt;15.1 nmol/l</td>
<td>TRT</td>
<td>TRT</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>57.5 ± 4.8</td>
<td>3 m</td>
<td>31.1 ± 4.8</td>
<td>10.4 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gopali, 2010</td>
<td>T2D + FT &lt;64.8 pg/mL</td>
<td>TRT</td>
<td>Placebo + conventional treatment</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>44.2 ± 3.3</td>
<td>3 m</td>
<td>23.9 ± 4.5</td>
<td>7.0 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francomano, 2014</td>
<td>MetS + TT &lt;320 ng/dL (11 nmol/L)</td>
<td>TRT</td>
<td>TU 1000 mg IM every 12 weeks</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>58.0 ± 10.0</td>
<td>60 m</td>
<td>31.0 ± 5.0</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang, 2014</td>
<td>MetS + hypogonadism</td>
<td>TRT</td>
<td>TU 40 mg po bid</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>56.2 ± 5.5</td>
<td>12 m</td>
<td>31.0 ± 6.0</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhao, 2016</td>
<td>T2D + T &lt;12 mol/L</td>
<td>TRT</td>
<td>Conventional treatment</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>52.5 ± 3.2</td>
<td>6 m</td>
<td>30.3 ± 3.8</td>
<td>7.7 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu, 2015</td>
<td>T2D + T &lt;12 mol/L</td>
<td>TRT</td>
<td>TRT</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>45.0–65.0</td>
<td>6 m</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8.7 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heufelder, 2009</td>
<td>T2D + MetS + T &lt;12 mol/L</td>
<td>TRT</td>
<td>Transdermal testosterone gel 50 mg daily</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>57.3 ± 1.4</td>
<td>12 m</td>
<td>32.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>7.5 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TT, total testosterone; FT, free testosterone; T2D, type 2 diabetes mellitus; TRT: testosterone replacement therapy.

Figure 3: TRT results in increased HbA1c reduction compared to the control group.
A total of 17 studies (735 patients in TRT and 612 patients in control) were included in order to evaluate TRT’s effect on triglyceride (TG) levels. Overall, we found that the inter-trial heterogeneity was nonsignificant (SMD = −0.36, 95% CI −1.04, 0.34, and P = 0.35) (Supplementary Figure S7).

Next, we evaluated the effect of TRT on HDLc by including 15 studies (682 patients in TRT and 562 patients in control). Overall, the change of HDLc after TRT was nonsignificant (SMD = 0.5, 95% CI −0.33, 0.38, and P = 0.79).

Changes in the lipid profile following TRT are summarized in Supplementary Table S2.

4.4. The Effects of TRT on Body Composition (Weight, BMI, and WC). Seven studies (343 patients in TRT and 299 in control) were included for the evaluation of TRT’s effect on body weight. We found that the inter-trial heterogeneity was not significant (Cochrane Q-test P = 0.68, I² = 0%), and so we adopted a fixed-effect model for combining the data. Testosterone therapy resulted in an average weight loss of 3.91 kg (MD = −3.91, 95% CI −4.14, −3.69, and P < 0.00001) (Supplementary Figure S8).

We also included a total of 14 studies (620 patients in TRT and 540 in control) to investigate the change in BMI after TRT. The results showed that TRT significantly reduced BMI (MD = −0.81, 95% CI −1.21, −0.42, and P < 0.0001) (Figure 6).

Additionally, we evaluated the change in waist circumference after TRT by including 14 studies (656 patients in TRT and 539 patients in control). We found that TRT significantly reduced waist circumference by 2.8 cm (MD = −2.8, 95% CI −4.38, −1.21, and P = 0.0005) (Supplementary Figure S9).

The changes in weight, BMI, and waist circumference are summarized in Supplementary Table S3.

4.5. The Change in Testosterone Levels after TRT. Overall, the total testosterone in serum increased (among the included 12 studies) following TST when compared to the controls (SMD = 1.92, 95% CI 4.61, 878, and P < 0.0001) (Supplementary Figure S10).

4.6. The Effects of TRT on Erectile Function and Aging-Related Symptoms. To evaluate the effect of TRT on the IIEF-5 score, a total of 5 studies (310 patients in TRT and 275
patients in control) were included. The findings indicated that TRT did not significantly improve the IIEF-5 score when compared to controls (SMD = 1.55, 95% CI = –0.43, 3.54, and \( P < 0.0001 \), data not shown).

We included a total of 6 studies (362 patients in TRT and 325 patients in control) for the evaluation of TRT’s effects on AMS scores. We found that testosterone therapy significantly reduces the AMS score (MD = –4.65, 95% CI = –8.76, –0.54, and \( P < 0.0001 \)) (Supplementary Figure S11), indicating that TRT improves aging-related symptoms.

4.7. The Safety of Testosterone Replacement Therapy. Changes in systolic blood pressure (SBP) following TRT were evaluated through the inclusion of 12 studies (465 patients in TRT and 393 controls). We found that TRT did not significantly influence SBP (MD = –0.31, 95% CI = –0.89, 0.28, and \( P = 0.91 \), data not shown).

Furthermore, changes in diastolic blood pressure (DBP) after TRT were evaluated through the inclusion of 13 studies (478 patients in TRT and 419 controls), which revealed no significant difference after TRT (MD = –0.67, 95% CI = –3.21, 1.88, and \( P = 0.61 \), data not shown).

We then evaluated the effect of TRT on PSA by examining 3 studies (122 patients in TRT and 91 in control). Because the inter-trial heterogeneity was not significant (Cochrane Q-test \( P = 0.14 \), \( I^2 = 0\% \)), we adopted a fixed-effect model for combining the data. The results showed that TRT did not increase PSA (MD = –0.12, 95% CI = –0.06, 0.30, and \( P = 0.20 \)) (Supplementary Figure S12).

Next, we analyzed the effect of TRT on hemoglobin and hematocrit by including 3 studies (125 patients in TRT and 93 controls). The data revealed an increase in the hemoglobin level of 1.49 g/dL (MD = 1.49, 95% CI 1.04, 1.93, and \( P < 0.00001 \)) (Supplementary Figure S13), and an increase in the hematocrit (MD = 0.16, 95% CI = –0.00, 0.33, and \( P = 0.05 \)) (Supplementary Figure S14).

Changes in the blood pressure, PSA, and hemoglobin after TRT are summarized in Supplementary Table S4.

4.8. Risk of Publication Bias. Publication bias was evaluated by examining the change of HbA1c after TRT. The funnel plot showed that the distribution was symmetrical with slight publication bias (Figure 7).

5. Discussion

We employed rigorous inclusion criteria in this meta-analysis, ultimately including 18 studies. We then set out to systemically investigate the effects of TRT on HbA1c, LDLc, body weight, waist circumstance, blood pressure, and hemoglobin. Our analysis concluded that TRT had favorable metabolic effects on glycemia control, lipid profile, and weight loss.

Our findings indicated that testosterone supplementation could improve glycemia control. This is based on our observation that TRT reduced HbA1c by 0.67%, fasting blood glucose by 0.86 mmol/L, and fasting insulin and insulin resistance index (HOMA-IR) by 1.23. There was significant heterogeneity in the meta-analysis of HbA1c, and sensitivity analysis revealed that the heterogeneity was primarily derived from differences in treatment duration. Subgroup analysis according to treatment duration showed that HbA1c did not improve in patients who underwent TRT for 6–12 months, possibly due to the small sample size. Another subgroup analysis, stratified by baseline HbA1c, showed that patients with higher baseline HbA1c values would have a greater reduction in HbA1c. Increasing insulin sensitivity by testosterone may be explained by various mechanisms: (1) testosterone can upregulate the expression of the insulin receptor, insulin receptor substrate 1, and GLUT4 [28, 29] and (2) testosterone inhibits lipoprotein lipase activity and thus reduces triglyceride flowing into adipocytes. Visceral adipocytes express more androgen receptors than subcutaneous adipocytes [14]; (3) testosterone increases antiinflammatory cytokine IL-10 and decreases proinflammatory cytokines, such as IL-1b, IL-6, and TNF-a. Suppression of the inflammatory state may improve insulin sensitivity [30].
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testosterone deficiency may slow down the metabolism of
triglycerides and increase the accumulation of adipose
[36, 37]. The supplementation of testosterone leads to weight
loss by increasing metabolic function and energy utilization
[36, 38, 39].

TRT may partially improve hypogonadism symptoms. The reduction of the AMS score (denoting improvement in
aging-related symptoms) in this meta-analysis is consistent
with the concept that TRT may improve the androgen de-
iciency associated with the symptoms of aging [9]. To our
disappointment, TRT did not significantly improve the IIEF-
5 score (erectile function) in this meta-analysis. Of all 5
studies included, only one by Gianatti showed a negative effect on erectile function resulting from TRT, which
influenced the final result. On the one hand, multiple factors
are involved in erectile dysfunction [40]. It is possible that
neurological and microvascular complications associated
with aging and diabetes, rather than low testosterone levels,
may be the dominant pathology for erectile dysfunction [12].
These patients would have poor response to TRT. Too short
period of observation in RCT studies may be another reason
for no improvement in the IIEF-5 score. Therefore, the effect
of TRT on erectile function is still uncertain, and long-term
RCT studies are needed.

No significant change was observed in systolic and di-
astolic blood pressure after TRT [10]. One RCT revealed that
TRT over a long period (60 months) might lower blood
pressure. A recent nonRCT study has confirmed that long-
term TRT can result in a significant improvement in arterial
stiffness and blood pressure control [41]. Unfortunately, due
to few randomized controlled trials, the comprehensive
effect of TRT on the vascular wall was not evaluated in our
meta-analysis.

The controversy of TRT on CVD is still existing [42]. In
recent years, many evidence showed that normalized test-
ostosterone is a protective factor for cardiovascular disease
[34]. It is found that TRT can reduce the incidence of CVD
by improving glycemic and lipid metabolism. A study,
lasting for 8 years and focusing on testosterone treatment on
CVD, found that TRT reduced not only the incidence of
CVD but also all-cause mortality and CVD-induced mort-
ality [41].

TRT can consistently increase hemoglobin and hemat-
ocrit, as indicated by our study. Bone marrow in the elderly
is more sensitive to testosterone than that in younger men
[1]. It has been shown that, during 6–12 months of TRT,
hemoglobin increases and then remains within the normal
range [43].

Some studies have brought forth concerns regarding
TRT-related prostate hyperplasia and cancer [44]. Our
meta-analysis revealed that the physiological dosage of
testosterone does not result in an increase in the PSA
biomarker. Guidelines were developed to assess the risk
of prostate cancer before or during TRT [44, 45]. However,
at least three studies found that TRT may reduce the
incidence of prostate cancer (1.08% in the TRT group vs.
7.35–9.6% in the control group) [34, 46], possibly by
reducing the risk factors, such as antiinflammatory effect
and weight loss [47]. The final effects of TRT on prostate
cancer should be clarified by future high-quality and
large-scale RCTs.

A study found that 97.2% of patients with testosterone
deficiency may have multiple comorbidities, including
dyslipidemia, hypertension, obesity, type 2 diabetes, and
chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) [39]. TRT
can improve all these comorbidities by its beneficial effect on
metabolism. TRT may improve COPD by improving re-
spiratory muscle function and by strengthening exercise
capacity [48–51]. More studies are needed to further evaluate
the effect of TRT on COPD.
Some limitations should be addressed. First, most of the participants in this study have moderate diabetes. Thus, the effect of TRT on patients with poor glycemic control cannot be assessed herein. Second, because most of the patients included are obese, it is, therefore, prudent to extrapolate our conclusion to the nonobese population with T2DM and MetS. Third, the analysis included different routes of administration and dosages of testosterone therapy, which may have influenced the effects of TRT on metabolic factors. Fourth, when PSA and hemoglobin were investigated, only 3 studies were included, yielding results that may potentially be unreliable. Finally, hard indicators of cardiovascular diseases, such as myocardial infarction, heart failure, and cerebral infarction, were not fully evaluated. Studies that include a large sample size and long-term follow-up are needed to demonstrate the favorable effects of TRT on the cardiovascular system.

6. Conclusion

TRT can improve multiple cardiovascular risk factors, including blood glucose control, insulin sensitivity, dyslipidemia, and central obesity. Short-term TRT is safe; however, more high-quality RCTs are needed to fully clarify the effects of long-term TRT on cardiovascular disease.
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