

# Research Article

# The Effect of Feeding Laying Hens with Nonindustrial Hemp Seed on the Fatty Acid Profile, Cholesterol Level, and Tocopherol Composition of Egg Yolk

Yassine Taaifi<sup>(1)</sup>,<sup>1</sup> Kamal Belhaj<sup>(1)</sup>,<sup>1,2</sup> Farid Mansouri<sup>(1)</sup>,<sup>1,3</sup> Youssef Rbah<sup>(1)</sup>,<sup>1</sup> Reda Melhaoui<sup>(1)</sup>,<sup>1</sup> Nadia Houmy,<sup>1</sup> Abdesammad Ben moumen,<sup>1</sup> Embarek Azeroual,<sup>4</sup> Mohamed Addi,<sup>1</sup> Ahmed Elamrani<sup>(1)</sup>,<sup>1</sup> and Hana Serghini-Caid<sup>(1)</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory for Agricultural Production Improvement, Biotechnology and Environment, Faculty of Sciences,

<sup>3</sup>Higher School of Education and Training, Mohammed I University, BP-410, 60000 Oujda, Morocco

<sup>4</sup>Royal Institute of Livestock Fouarat, Kenitra, Morocco

Correspondence should be addressed to Kamal Belhaj; belhaj.kamal90@gmail.com

Received 6 December 2022; Revised 16 April 2023; Accepted 10 May 2023; Published 27 May 2023

Academic Editor: Giorgia Spigno

Copyright © 2023 Yassine Taaifi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate how cannabis-derived nonindustrial hemp seed (HS) inclusion in laying hen diets, as well as treatment duration, affected the fatty acid (FA) profile, cholesterol level, and tocopherol composition of egg yolks. Ninety-six (n = 96) Lohmann Brown classic laying hens were randomly assigned to one of the four groups: control (standard diet) and HS-containing diets (10% HS, 20% HS, and 30% HS). The study was conducted for a period of 4 months. The findings demonstrated that the FA profile and the tocopherol composition are strongly impacted by the addition of HS to the diet of laying hens (p < 0.05), but the cholesterol content remained unaffected. The increase in the dose of cannabis incorporated into the hen's diet (HS-30% group) led to a significant increase in the amounts of the polyunsaturated fatty acids n-3 and n-6 content of egg yolk. This enrichment was accompanied by a considerable decrease in the n-6/n-3 ratio (p < 0.001) from 8.19 to 4.88, on day 84 of the experiment. The total tocopherol content significantly increased (p < 0.05) from 281.44 (control) to 327.02  $\mu g/g$  yolk (HS-30%) on day 84. Finally, in the context of warfare, these seeds might be used as a feed additive for laying hens to produce higher nutritive value eggs with affordable prices.

# **1. Introduction**

The fatty acid (FA) content of animal-based foods, such as meat, dairy products, and egg yolks, is a perfect reflection of the lipid profile and the constitution of nutrition. Due to the biohydrogenation phenomena, this association is more frequently found in monogastric [1] than polygastric ruminants [2]. Because polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) are vital for humans, there is a growing awareness of their health advantages [3]. Furthermore, the n-6/n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) ratio remains an important criterion for assessing fat quality and should be less than the value of 4 [4]. Moreover, nutritional survey shows that PUFA n-6 predominates over PUFA n-3 by a factor of 10 to 30, which indicates a PUFA n-3 deficiency. These latter nutrients are crucial for human health and important in preventing behavioral issues, diabetes, some cancers, inflammations, and cardiovascular disease (CVD) [5].

Furthermore, international health experts advise consuming enough PUFAs, namely, eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), mostly found in fishery products. Nevertheless, this recommendation is not

Mohammed I University, BP-717, 60000 Oujda, Morocco

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Laboratory of Sustainable Agriculture Management, Higher School of Technology Sidi Bennour, University Chouaib Doukkali, Street Jabran Khalil Jabran BP, 299-24000 El Jadida, Morocco

applicable in countries with low-income workforce (such as Morocco), where fish consumption is low due to its limited availability and high price. However, eggs are consumed more frequently in almost all countries around the world due to their availability to the consumers as well as their low cost. Therefore, enriching eggs with PUFA n-3 is a sustainable option for a healthy and well-balanced diet, as well as a way to reduce the intensive exploitation of fishery resources [6].

For a long time, egg consumption has been one of the simplest and cheapest ways to provide populations with the essential nutrients for a healthy and balanced diet (protein, fat, vitamins, and minerals). Eggs have been prevented from consumption by dieticians for a long time due to their high cholesterol and saturated fatty acid content [1]. Alexander et al. [7] reported in an interesting review that there are substantial correlations between cholesterol intake and heart disease. However, recent studies have revealed that there is minimal up to no connection between dietary cholesterol and cardiovascular risk indicators due to the interaction of several other factors of risk such as a sedentary lifestyle, smoking, obesity, and other physiological dysfunctions [7]. According to several studies, animal nutrition has a significant impact on both biochemical constitution and its nutritional value [8, 9].

Further studies found that the incorporation of n-3- and tocopherol-rich nutrients in laying hens' diet produced eggs with higher levels of these constituents and thus higher nutritional value [10]. Furthermore, incorporating flaxseed and fish oil in farm livestock feed items is a frequent method for enhancing meat with n-3 PUFA and eggs [11–13]. Nowadays, the development of innovative and alternative methods based on new natural plant resources would be of considerable interest.

Cannabis sativa seeds and derivatives have a long history of usage in ethnomedicine [14]. Recent research has shown interest in using cannabis seeds as a supplement of livestock feed, particularly as a source of PUFA n-3 [10, 15, 16]. These studies focused on supplementing the animal's diet with industrial hemp seed, seed meal, or flour, which revealed an increase in n-3 content while reducing the n-6/n-3 ratio. In addition, hemp seeds increased hen laying performance, such as the egg laying rate, as well as egg quality, namely, the average egg weight, eggshell strength, and the biochemical composition. Furthermore, Kasula et al. [17] showed that laying hens fed hemp seedcake have a high polyunsaturated fatty acid content as well as no tetrahydrocannabinol or cannabinoid residues in eggs, internal organs, or body tissue indicating that there are no transfer cannabinoid residues in hens' fats. According to a survey conducted in Morocco [18], 80% of the participants thought that the availability of eggs fortified with n-3 would be interesting, and 74% stated that they would be willing to pay more for them than ordinary eggs.

Therefore, the objective of this research was to investigate how the incorporation of the Moroccan nonindustrial cannabis seed affects the composition of tocopherols, cholesterol, and the fatty acid profile of yolk eggs. For this purpose, experiments were conducted using different ratios of nonin-

 
 TABLE 1: Chemical composition of Beldiya ecotype hemp seed used in this study.

Elements	Percentage of fresh matter
Dry matter	88.27
Total phosphorus*	11.60
Total proteins	22.00
Lipids	33.00
Calcium	1.725
Humidity	11.73
Minerals	49.70
Crude cellulose	14.68
Fatty acids (g/100 g fatty acids)	
C16:0	7.680
C18:1n9	18.050
C18:2n6	51.010
C18:3n3	16.460
Saturated fatty acids	11.910
Unsaturated fatty acids	88.090
Monounsaturated fatty acids	19.720
Polyunsaturated fatty acids	68.380

\*Total phosphorus on mineral element content of hemp seed.

dustrial cannabis seeds in a laying hen diet over different periods. The findings of this study will support the industry in reducing imports of more expensive oilseeds, such as soya, sunflower, and maize, and improving feed formulation to produce eggs that are n-enriched utilizing Moroccan nonindustrial cannabis seeds.

#### 2. Material and Methods

2.1. Experimental Animals. The animal experiment was conducted in accordance with the European regulations CO 74/99 regarding stocking density, lighting, ventilation, and vaccination, at the Royal Institute of Livestock in Kenitra, Morocco. The trials on laying hens were carried out at the Royal Institute specialized in breeding of Kenitra, Morocco, in conformity with the European code number 74/99. The layers used in this study were randomly distributed in four groups of the Lohmann Brown classic (LBC) strain repeated six times  $(4 \times 4 \times 6 = 96)$ , including a control group. During the rearing period, no new husbandry practices were introduced. The hens were raised in a semiautomatic coop in cages with the following characteristics: 61 cm long, 57 cm wide, and 50 cm high. The birds were housed with 4 hens per cage equipped with feeders and nipple drinkers, the building being equipped with automatic dejection mats. The environmental parameters were managed according to the guidelines of the exploited strain, with an average temperature between 18 and 20°C, humidity between 55 and 60%, and light duration of 16 hours/day, from 6:00 to 22:00. The laying hens come from a modern certified farm, at the age of 22 weeks.

#### International Journal of Food Science

TABLE 2: Dry matter composition (%) and nutritional composition.

Diet ingredient	Control	HS-10%	HS-20%	HS-30%
Hemp seed	0	10	20	30
Sunflower meal	1.685	13.000	13.000	13.000
Soybean meal	25.585	10.459	9.563	11.094
Calcium	8.609	7.379	8.934	8.927
DDGS	0.896	7.000	7.000	3.572
Corn	58.829	46.214	38.001	21.294
Dicalcium phosphate	1.368	3.726	1.162	2.000
Soybean oil	2.028	1.000	0.000	0.000
Premix 1	0.500	0.500	0.611	8.000
Sodium sulfate	0.000	0.237	0.300	0.200
Salt	0.183	0.112	0.200	0.200
DL-methionine	0.273	0.215	0.217	0.200
L-Lysine HCl	0.004	0.146	0.999	1.500
Premix 2	0.040	0.012	0.013	0.013
Total	100	100	100	100
Dry matter (%)	88.312	88.536	86.682	81.242
Calculated nutritional composition				
Metabolizable energy (kcal kg $^{-1}$ )	2989.920	3000.000	3000.002	3000.003
Humidity (%)	11.195	10.972	10.352	9.537
Crude protein (%)	17.799	17.800	18.000	18.000
Total lipids (%)	5.057	7.143	8.480	11.102
Ash (g/kg)	126.960	140.863	189.627	244.972
Calcium (g/kg)	38.996	40.000	40.000	40.000
Phosphorus available (g/kg)	4.396	8.510	27.762	27.752
Sodium (g/kg)	0.083	0.160	0.160	0.160
Linoleic acid (%)	2.491	7.454	12.092	17.370
Lysine (g/kg)	9.352	8.642	8.705	8.735
Methionine (g/kg)	5.580	5.589	5.823	6.138
Leucine (g/kg)	14.921	14.528	14.140	13.522
Methionine+cysteine (g/kg)	8.779	8.638	8.576	8.616
Threonine (g/kg)	6.902	6.634	6.944	6.913
Tryptophan (g/kg)	1.760	1.826	1.944	1.917

DDGS: distiller's dried grains with soluble; premix 1: vitamin premix; premix 2: mineral premix.

2.2. Diets and Experimental Approach. To standardize the energy level of 3000 kcal/kg, ingredients were added to the hen's foods to generate diets with similar levels of nutrient composition. The formulation of the diet was developed in consultation with BENWAY, a company specializing in poultry feed. The feed has been formulated in collaboration with BENWAY, a company specialized in the feed manufacture for laying hens. Maize-/soya bean-based diets were utilized by the inclusion of different hemp seed levels of the local ecotype "Beldiya": 0.0% (HS-0) control, 10% (HS-10), 20% (HS-20), and 30% (HS-30). The inclusion of hemp seeds of the local ecotype "Beldiya" on a feed composed of corn/soybean was on four levels: 0.0% (HS-0) control, 10% (HS-10), 20% (HS-20), and 30% (HS-30). Table 1 shows the HS compositions utilized in this investigation. The chemical composition of the cannabis-based diet used in this study was described in our previous article (Table 2) [19]. For two weeks, the hens were given time to adapt to their new environment system (cage and feeding method). In the first week, hens were given a commercial layer meal, and in the second week, the experimental diets were gradually introduced from 25%, 50%, and 75% to finally 100%. In the first week, the birds were fed a standard layer meal, and in the next week, the experimental regimes were gradually introduced at 25%, 50%, 75%, and finally 100%.

The birds were fed three times a day while given unlimited access to water. The study was conducted for a period of 4 months (from January 30th to the  $31^{st}$  of May 2021). Eggs were randomly sampled during the last three days of each period (28 days/period). 18 eggs per group (3 eggs × 6 replicates) were collected for a period of 3 consecutive days at 28, 56, and 84 days of treatment corresponding to 28, 32, and 36 weeks of age. The eggs were analyzed for lipids, fatty acids, cholesterol, and tocopherols.

	Control	HS-10%	y 28 HS-20%	HS-30%	Control	Day HS-10%	y 56 HS-20%	HS-30%	Control	Day HS-10%	84 HS-20%	HS-30%
C8:0	$0.002^{ab} \pm 0.001$	$0.003^{\rm b} \pm 0.002$	$0.0032^{b} \pm 0.006$	$0.003^{ab} \pm 0.001$	$0.002^{ab} \pm 0.000$	$0.003^{\rm b} \pm 0.002$	$0.002^{ab} \pm 0.001$	$0.002^{ab} \pm 0.000$	$0.002^{ab} \pm 0.000$	$0.001^{a} \pm 0.000$	$0.001^{a} \pm 0.000$	$0.001^{a} \pm 0.001$
C11:0	$0.003^{a} \pm 0.002$	$0.005^{a} \pm 0.002$	$0.005^{a} \pm 0.000$	$0.006^{a} \pm 0.004$	$0.015^{\mathrm{b}}\pm0.002$	$0.004^{a} \pm 0.001$	$0.006^{a} \pm 0.002$	$0.004^{a} \pm 0.002$	$0.020^{\circ} \pm 0.006$	$0.018^{a} \pm 0.001$	$0.014^{\mathrm{b}}\pm0.003$	$0.011^{c} \pm 0.006$
C12:0	$0.004^{abc}\pm0.000$	$0.004^{\rm abc}\pm0.00$	$0.003^{a} \pm 0.000$	$0.004^{\rm d}\pm0.001$	$0.004^{cd} \pm 0.000$	$0.003^{\rm ab} \pm 0.000$	$0.005^{e}\pm0.000$	$0.006^{\mathrm{f}}\pm0.000$	$0.004^{\mathrm{cd}}\pm0.000$	$0.003^{\rm a} \pm 0.00$	$0.003^{a} \pm 0.001$	$0.003^{a} \pm 0.000$
C14:0	$0.242^{\rm abc}\pm0.018$	$0.255^{abcde}\pm0.015$	$0.269^{e} \pm 0.007$	$0.258^{\rm cde}\pm0.005$	$0.268^{de}\pm0.005$	$0.239^{ab}\pm0.012$	$0.249^{\rm abc}\pm0.006$	$0.236^{a} \pm 0.007$	$0.266^{\mathrm{de}}\pm0.020$	$0.256^{bcde}\pm0.019$	$0.266^{\mathrm{de}}\pm0.007$	$0.249^{\rm abc}\pm0.008$
C14:1	$0.335^{\rm b} \pm 0.006$	$0.035^{\rm b}\pm0.001$	$0.022^{a} \pm 0.002$	$0.036^{\rm b}\pm0.012$	$0.547^{\mathrm{d}}\pm0.003$	$0.020^{a} \pm 0.004$	$0.074^{\mathrm{e}}\pm0.008$	$0.046^{c} \pm 0.006$	$0.535^{cd}\pm0.016$	$0.033^{\mathrm{b}}\pm0.002$	$0.033^{\mathrm{b}}\pm0.006$	$0.022^{a} \pm 0.003$
C15:0	$0.089^{bcd}\pm0.005$	$0.077^{\mathrm{ab}}\pm0.001$	$0.088^{\mathrm{bc}}\pm0.004$	$0.103^{\rm d}\pm0.022$	$0.075^{\rm ab} \pm 0.005$	$0.069^{a} \pm 0.005$	$0.084^{\rm bc}\pm0.005$	$0.128^{\mathrm{e}}\pm0.015$	$0.066^{a} \pm 0.003$	$0.075^{ab} \pm 0.006$	$0.083^{\mathrm{bc}}\pm0.012$	$0.092^{cd} \pm 0.005$
C15:1	$0.006^{\rm d}\pm0.002$	$0.003^{\mathrm{abc}}\pm0.002$	$0.003^{\mathrm{bc}}\pm0.002$	$0.002^{\rm abc}\pm0.002$	$0.002^{\rm abc}\pm0.001$	$0.002^{\rm abc}\pm0.001$	$0.001^{\rm ab} \pm 0.000$	$0.000^{\mathrm{a}}\pm 0.000$	$0.004^{\rm cd} \pm 0.000$	$0.003^{\rm abc} \pm 0.002$	$0.002^{abc} \pm 0.002$	$0.003^{\rm abc} \pm 0.002$
C16:0	$23.258^{\rm ef} \pm 0.523$	$23.639^{f} \pm 0.426$	$23.153^{\mathrm{de}}\pm0.072$	$22.728^{bcd}\pm0.167$	$25.463^{i} \pm 0.313$	$23.384^{\rm efg}\pm0.196$	$22.680^{abc}\pm0.153$	$22.209^{a} \pm 0.153$	$24.381^{\rm h}\pm0.430$	$23.808^{g} \pm 0.168$	$23.106^{cde} \pm 0.178$	$22.461^{ab} \pm 0.301$
C16:1n9	$1.824^{c} \pm 0.123$	$1.037^{\rm b}\pm0.073$	$1.047^{\rm b}\pm0.056$	$0.900^{ab} \pm 0.025$	$2.815^{\mathrm{e}}\pm0158$	$0.997^{\rm ab} \pm 0.057$	$1.015^{ab}\pm0.070$	$0.883^{\rm a}\pm 0.077$	$2.382^{\rm d}\pm0.173$	$0.998^{ab}\pm0.077$	$1.033^{\rm b} \pm 0.082$	$0.911^{ab} \pm 0.054$
C16:1n7	$0.743^{\rm b}\pm0.031$	$0.585^{ab}\pm0.254$	$0.590^{\mathrm{ab}}\pm0.190$	$0.620^{\mathrm{b}}\pm0.167$	$0.677^{\mathrm{b}}\pm0.040$	$0.731^{\mathrm{b}}\pm0.160$	$0.358^{a} \pm 0.141$	$0.561^{ab} \pm 0.202$	$0.616^{\rm ab} \pm 0.019$	$0.548^{ab}\pm0.227$	$0.573^{ab}\pm0.183$	$0.586^{\rm ab}\pm0.164$
C17:0	$0.008^{bc}\pm0.001$	$0.009^{\mathrm{de}}\pm0.001$	$0.009^{e} \pm 0.001$	$0.009^{\mathrm{de}}\pm0.001$	$0.008^{\rm cde}\pm0.001$	$0.008^{\rm cd}\pm0.001$	$0.006^{a} \pm 0.001$	$0.009^{de} \pm 0.001$	$0.006^{\rm ab} \pm 0.001$	$0.006^{ab}\pm0.000$	$0.007^{ab}\pm0.001$	$0.007^{\mathrm{abc}}\pm0.001$
C17:1	$0.003^{\rm abc}\pm0.001$	$0.005^{cd}\pm0.001$	$0.003^{\mathrm{abc}}\pm0.001$	$0.003^{\rm abc}\pm0.001$	$0.002^{ab} \pm 0.000$	$0.006^{\mathrm{de}}\pm0.001$	$0.002^{a} \pm 0.000$	$0.002^{ab} \pm 0.000$	$0.004^{\rm cd}\pm0.001$	$0.007^{\mathrm{e}}\pm0.001$	$0.004^{\rm bcd}\pm0.004$	$0.012^{\rm f} \pm 0.000$
C18:0	$9.603^{b} \pm 0.172$	$9.744^{\rm bc} \pm 0.084$	$10.713^{e} \pm 0.224$	$12.689^{h} \pm 0.225$	$9.112^{a} \pm 0.163$	9.797 <sup>bc</sup> 0.312	$10.319^{d} \pm 0.181$	$11.922^{g} \pm 0.215$	$8.980^a\pm0.117$	$9.813^{\rm bc} \pm 0.089$	$9.991^{c} \pm 0.082$	$11.170^{f} \pm 0.127$
C18:1n9	$30.853^{\text{e}} \pm 0.315$	$28.433^{\rm d} \pm 0.373$	$25.197^{\rm b} \pm 0.271$	$21.844^{a}\pm0.224$	$37.187^{g} \pm 0.762$	$27.925^{cd} \pm 0.240$	$24.824^{b} \pm 0.266$	$21.802^{a} \pm 0.151$	$33.984^{\rm f}\pm0.662$	$27.421^{c} \pm 0.526$	$24.695^{b} \pm 0.243$	$21.850^{a} \pm 0.202$
C18:1n7	$0.911^{a} \pm 0.051$	$0.844^{a} \pm 0.362$	$0.807^a\pm0.136$	$0.821^{\rm a}\pm 0.232$	$1.143^{\rm b}\pm0.018$	$0.840^{a} \pm 0.135$	$1.434^{\rm b}\pm0.224$	$1.039^{\mathrm{a}}\pm0.145$	$1.147^{\rm b}\pm0.174$	$1.027^{a} \pm 0.282$	$1.065^{a} \pm 0.190$	$1.043^{a} \pm 0.166$
C18:2n6t	$0.024^{\rm b}\pm0.002$	$0.025^{bc} \pm 0.001$	$0.022^{\rm b} \pm 0.002$	$0.026^{bc}\pm0.008$	$0.043^{\rm d} \pm 0.002$	$0.022^{\mathrm{b}}\pm0.003$	$0.047^{\mathrm{d}}\pm0.005$	$0.032^{c} \pm 0.008$	$0.040^{\mathrm{d}}\pm0.005$	$0.024^{\mathrm{b}}\pm0.004$	$0.019^{b} \pm 0.003$	$0.012^{a} \pm 0.003$
C18:2n6 (LA)	$25.454^{c} \pm 0.464$	$29.108^{d} \pm 0.242$	$29.999^{e}\pm0.173$	$30.554^{\rm f}\pm 0.253$	$17.922^{a} \pm 0.599$	$29.859^{e} \pm 0.389$	$30.709^{f} \pm 0.234$	$31.454^{\mathrm{gh}}\pm 0.233$	$21.923^{\rm b}\pm0.440$	$29.911^{\mathrm{e}}\pm0.226$	$31.020^{\mathrm{fg}}\pm0.237$	$31.785^{\rm h}\pm0.166$
C18:3n6 (GLA)	$0.181^{\circ}\pm0.020$	$0.219^{c} \pm 0.007$	$0.288^{\rm d}\pm0.019$	$0.353^{e}\pm0.025$	$0.160^{ab}\pm0.003$	$0.225^{c}\pm0.021$	$0.288^{c} \pm 0.021$	$0.350^{e} \pm 0.029$	$0.145^{a} \pm 0.005$	$0.232^{c} \pm 0.023$	$0.292^{\rm d}\pm0.017$	$0.348^{e}\pm0.033$
C18:3n3 (ALA)	$1.898^{c}\pm0.070$	$1.932^{c} \pm 0.051$	$3.693^{\rm d}\pm0.179$	$5.014^{f} \pm 0.271$	$0.549^{a} \pm 0.029$	$1.968^{c} \pm 0.091$	$3.815^{\rm de}\pm0.128$	$5.248^{g} \pm 0.049$	$1.623^{\rm b}\pm0.162$	$1.966^{\rm c}\pm0.061$	$3.938^{e} \pm 0.066$	$5.468^{\rm h}\pm0.052$
C20:0	$0.025^{\rm cd}\pm0.002$	$0.030^{de} \pm 0.004$	$0.030^{\rm de} \pm 0.002$	$0.027^{cd} \pm 0.008$	$0.036^{\mathrm{e}} \pm 0.011$	$0.027^{cd}\pm0.003$	$0.021^{\mathrm{bc}}\pm0.003$	$0.021^{c}\pm0.003$	$0.021^{\mathrm{bc}}\pm0.005$	$0.0134^{\rm ab} \pm 0.001$	$0.029^{\text{cde}} \pm 0.007$	$0.010^{a}\pm 0.001$
C20:1n9	$0.012^{e} \pm 0.001$	$0.015^{f} \pm 0.002$	$0.005^{\mathrm{ab}}\pm0.001$	$0.010^{\rm de} 0.003$	$0.008^{bcd}0.001$	$0.005^a\pm0.001$	$0.008^{cd}\pm0.001$	$0.006^{\rm abc}\pm0.000$	$0.006^{abc}\pm0.000$	$0.005^{ab}\pm0.003$	$0.007^{\rm abc}\pm0.002$	$0.006^{\rm abc}\pm0.003$
C20:2n6	$0.019^{\rm abc}\pm0.002$	$0.024^{bcd}\pm0.005$	$0.030^{cde} \pm 0.002$	$0.034^{\mathrm{de}}\pm0.004$	$0.044^{\mathrm{e}}\pm0.004$	$0.024^{bcd}\pm0.002$	$0.126^{f} \pm 0.023$	$0.101^{g}\pm0.018$	$0.025^{bcd}\pm0.002$	$0.015^{ab}\pm0.001$	$0.012^{ab}\pm0.001$	$0.009^{a} \pm 0.001$
C21:0	$0.049^{\mathrm{bc}}\pm0.005$	$0.052^{\rm bc} \pm 0.006$	$0.052^{\rm bc} \pm 0.005$	$0.050^{\rm bc} \pm 0.006$	$0.057^{\rm c}\pm0.003$	$0.056^{\mathrm{c}}\pm0.009$	$0.119^{f} \pm 0.012$	$0.074^{\mathrm{e}}\pm0.011$	$0.058^{\rm c}\pm0.008$	$0.051^{\rm bc} \pm 0.001$	$0.042^{\rm ab} \pm 0.006$	$0.033^{a}\pm0.004$
C20:3n6	$0.215^{ab}\pm0.017$	$0.220^{ab}\pm0.045$	$0.292^{c} \pm 0.019$	$0.239^{ab}\pm0.050$	$0.207^{\mathrm{a}}\pm0.019$	$0.242^{ab}\pm0.033$	$0.259^{bc}\pm0.027$	$0.290^{\mathrm{c}}\pm0.021$	$0.215^{ab}\pm0.040$	$0.224^{ab}\pm0.040$	$0.208^{a}\pm 0.030$	$0.258^{bc}\pm0.021$
C20:4n6 (ARA)	$2.695^{\mathrm{d}}\pm0.115$	$2.144^{\rm bc}\pm0.094$	$2.028^{ab}\pm0.069$	$1.988^{a} \pm 0.035$	$2.623^{\mathrm{d}}\pm0.087$	$2.070^{ab} \pm 0.153$	$1.970^{\mathrm{a}}\pm0.063$	$1.960^{a} \pm 0.035$	$2.283^{\circ} \pm 0.091$	$2.048^{ab} \pm 0.059$	$1.980^{\mathrm{a}}\pm0.114$	$1.992^{\mathrm{a}}\pm0.100$
C20:3n3	$0.006^{a} \pm 0.001$	$0.014^{\mathrm{b}}\pm0.002$	$0.0125^{ab} \pm 0.002$	$0.013^{\rm ab}\pm0.005$	$0.018^{\mathrm{b}}\pm0.008$	$0.012^{\mathrm{ab}}\pm0.001$	$0.014^{b}0.003$	$0.017^{\mathrm{b}}\pm0.005$	$0.057^{c}\pm0.003$	$0.087^{d} \pm 0.004$	$0.093^{d} \pm 0.004$	$0.126^{e} \pm 0.006$
C22:0	$0.010^{b} \pm 0.001$	$0.013^{\rm cd}\pm0.001$	$0.015^{\mathrm{de}}\pm0.002$	$0.012^{c}\pm0.002$	$0.007^{\mathrm{a}}\pm0.001$	$0.012^{\mathrm{c}}\pm0.002$	$0.017^{\mathrm{e}}\pm0.001$	$0.013^{\rm cd}\pm0.003$	$0.008^{\mathrm{ab}}\pm0.001$	$0.011^{c} \pm 0.001$	$0.008^{a} \pm 0.002$	$0.008^{\rm ab} \pm 0.001$
C22:1n9	$0.004^{\rm abc}\pm0.000$	$0.004^{\rm abc}\pm0.001$	$0.008^{\rm d}\pm0.002$	$0.004^{\rm abc}\pm0.001$	$0.004^{\rm abc}\pm0.001$	$0.006^{\mathrm{cd}}\pm0.002$	$0.004^{\rm abc}\pm0.001$	$0.003^{\rm ab} \pm 0.001$	$0.003^{\rm ab} \pm 0.001$	$0.003^{\mathrm{ab}}\pm0.001$	$0.005^{\mathrm{bc}}\pm0.003$	$0.002^{a} \pm 0.000$
20:5n3 (EPA)	$0.016^{a} \pm 0.004$	$0.036^{\rm b}\pm0.005$	$0.067^{c} \pm 0.004$	$0.096^{e} \pm 0.005$	$0.028^{b} \pm 0.011$	$0.035^{\mathrm{b}}\pm0.004$	$0.063^{c} \pm 0.003$	$0.089^{\mathrm{de}}\pm0.006$	$0.017^{\mathrm{a}}\pm0.001$	$0.035^{\mathrm{b}}\pm0.006$	$0.061^{c} \pm 0.002$	$0.085^{d} \pm 0.004$
C22:2n6	$0.021^{c} \pm 0.004$	$0.023^{c} \pm 0.003$	$0.022^{c} \pm 0.002$	$0.015^{\mathrm{b}}\pm0.007$	$0.022^{\mathrm{c}}\pm0.002$	$0.003^{a} \pm 0.001$	$0.003^{a} \pm 0.001$	$0.002^{\rm a} \pm 0.000$	$0.003^{a} \pm 0.000$	$0.023^{c} \pm 0.002$	$0.025^{c} \pm 0.006$	$0.024^{c}\pm0.003$
C24:0	$0.021^{\rm abc}\pm0.000$	$0.024^{\rm abc}\pm0.003$	$0.028^{\mathrm{bc}}\pm0.005$	$0.025^{\rm abc}\pm0.005$	$0.024^{\rm abc}\pm0.002$	$0.020^{\rm abc}\pm0.001$	$0.047^{e} \pm 0.009$	$0.045^{\mathrm{cd}} \pm 0.009$	$0.021^{\rm abc} \pm 0.004$	$0.014^{a} \pm 0.001$	$0.016^{ab}\pm0.003$	$0.031^{\rm cd} 0.024$
C24:1n9	QN	$0.003^{\rm b} \pm 0.000$	$0.005^{c} \pm 0.001$	$0.005^{c} \pm 0.001$	ND	$0.003^{\mathrm{b}}\pm0.000$	$0.004^{\mathrm{bc}}\pm0.001$	$0.005^{c} \pm 0.002$	ND	ND	$0.003^{\rm b} \pm 0.001$	ND
22:6n3 (DHA)	$1.764^{c} \pm 0.238$	$1.435^{\mathrm{b}}\pm0.135$	$1.489^{\mathrm{b}}\pm0.103$	$1.506^{\rm b}\pm0.221$	$1.130^{a} \pm 0.032$	$1.383^{\rm b}\pm0.175$	$1.424^{\rm b}\pm0.156$	$1.439^{\rm b}\pm0.107$	$1.313^{\rm ab} \pm 0.048$	$1.321^{ab} \pm 0.118$	$1.364^{\rm b}\pm0.175$	$1.370^{b} \pm 0.040$
Day 28: W	eek 28; day 56: w	veek 32; day 84: w	veek 36; HS-10%:	hemp seed 10%;	HS-20%: hemp	seed 20%; HS-30	)%: hemp seed 30	%; %: mean ± sta	andard deviation.	. Significant differ	rences $(p < 0.05)$	between means

are shown with different lowercase letters (a–h).

#### 2.3. Chemical Analysis

2.3.1. Diet and Egg Yolk Fatty Acid Extraction. Three eggs were randomly selected from each treatment with 6 replicates. Yolk and white were carefully separated to avoid mixing. The 3 yolks were pooled and homogenized in a 50 mL tube and stored at -20°C until analysis time. The four tested diets, on the other hand, were powdered and kept at -20°C for chemical composition analysis. Lipid extraction from all the samples was performed according to the method described by Bligh and Dyer [20] using a mixture of chloroform/methanol/water solvent (2/1/1; v/v/v). The solvents were evaporated using a Hahnvapor Rotary Evaporator HS-2005S-N (AM-486). The extracts were tested for fatty acids, cholesterol, and tocopherols.

2.3.2. Fatty Acid Profile. The fatty acids were converted into fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) before being analyzed using our previously published method [21]. The composition of FAME was evaluated using gas chromatography (GC Agilent 6890, Agilent Technologies) coupled with a flame ionization detector (FID). FAMEs (injection of 1 µL of the sample in splitless mode) were separated on a BPX70 capillary column with the following characteristics (60 m length, 0.32 mm internal diameter, and 0.25  $\mu$ m film thickness; SGE Europe). Helium was used as carrier gas at a flow rate of 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. The oven's temperature was set at 50°C and afterwards increased to 170°C at a rate of 30°C per minute and then increased by 4°C per minute to 220°C. The temperature was then held for 10 minutes. After identifying the fatty acids and comparing them to a standard from Sigma-Aldrich containing 37 FAMEs (Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA), the results were presented as percentages. Other sums of FA are determined as odd-chain fatty acids (OFA) and have beneficial effects on human health [22–25]. The desirable fatty acids (DFA) are also calculated by the following formula: DFA = (C18 : 0 + UFA) according to Vlaicu et al. [13] and Belhaj et al. [26]. These latter are considered as hypocholesterolemic FAs by reducing the low-density lipoprotein impacts [22].

2.3.3. Tocopherol Content. Tocopherols were quantified according to the Ben Moumen et al. [27] protocol using an HPLC system (Shimadzu LC-6AD system) coupled with a DAD detector. The separation was carried out on an Upti-sphere 120 A NH2 silica column ( $4.6 \times 250$  mm, the particle size of 5  $\mu$ m) using a mobile phase composed of an n-hexane/isopropanol mixture (99/1;  $\nu/\nu$ ) with a flow rate of 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. The identification was carried out using commercial standards for tocopherols (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) at 292, 296, and 298 nm. The tocopherol concentration was calculated from the external calibration curve with commercial tocopherols obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA).

2.3.4. Cholesterol Content. The cholesterol content of egg yolk was measured using the method described by Vanderplanck et al. [28]. After saponification with KOH and extraction with diethyl ether, cholesterol was separated from the fat. The mixture was analyzed by gas chromatography using

TABLE 4: Analysis of variance for the effect of Moroccan nonindustrial hemp seed incorporation in the diet of laying hens on fatty acid profile during the experimental period.

	df	Mean squares	F-value	p value
C18:0				
Dose	3	36.495	1138.033	< 0.001
Period	2	4.425	137.981	< 0.001
Dose × period	6	0.975	30.411	< 0.001
Error	96	0.032		
C18:1n9				
Dose	3	728.574	4582.418	< 0.001
Period	2	17.344	109.088	< 0.001
$Dose \times period$	6	25.279	158.992	< 0.001
Error	96	0.159		
C18:2n-6 (LA)				
Dose	3	525.677	4792.460	< 0.001
Period	2	18.368	167.455	< 0.001
$Dose \times period$	6	39.113	356.585	< 0.001
Error	96	0.110		
C18:3n6 (GLA)				
Dose	3	0.178	410.749	< 0.001
Period	2	0.000	0.893	< 0.5
$Dose \times period$	6	0.001	2.311	< 0.050
Error	96	0.000		
C18:3n3 (ALA)				
Dose	3	85.106	5697.618	< 0.001
Period	2	1.174	78.626	< 0.001
$Dose \times period$	6	1.334	89.333	< 0.001
Error	96	0.015		
C20:4n-6 (ARA)				
Dose	3	1.843	222.301	< 0.001
Period	2	0.172	20.750	< 0.001
$Dose \times period$	6	0.099	11.979	< 0.001
Error	96	0.008		
20:5n-3 (EPA)				
Dose	3	0.026	880.377	< 0.001
Period	2	0.000	7.656	0.001
$Dose \times period$	6	0.000	6.395	< 0.001
Error	96	$2.929^{-005}$		
22:6n-3 (DHA)				
Dose	3	0.018	0.866	< 0.500
Period	2	0.506	24.281	< 0.001
$Dose \times period$	6	0.186	8.921	< 0.001
Error	96	0.021		

df: degree of freedom.

a Hewlett-Packard chromatograph (HP 6890 series GC) equipped with a capillary column (HP 5 MS (5 %-phenyl-methylpolysiloxane,  $30m \times 0.25mm$ , 0.25 m film thickness), Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA) and a flame ionization detector. The injector was operated in splitless mode. The operating parameters were as follows: carrier gas:

		Da	y 28			Day	y 56			Day	. 84	
	Control	HS-10%	HS-20%	HS-30%	Control	HS-10%	HS-20%	HS-30%	Control	HS-10%	HS-20%	HS-30%
SFA	$33.315^{a} \pm 0.547$	$33.855^{abc} \pm 0.444$	$34.370^{cd} \pm 0.253$	$35.914^{f} \pm 0.324$	$35.071^{\mathrm{e}}\pm0.384$	$33.622^{\rm ab} \pm 0.398$	$33.557^{ab} \pm 0.257$	$34.669^{\mathrm{de}}\pm0.335$	$33.834^{\rm abc}\pm0.381$	$34.071^{\mathrm{bc}}\pm0.169$	$33.565^{ab} \pm 0.241$	$34.088^{bc} \pm 0.348$
UFA	$66.685^{\rm f} \pm 0.547$	$66.141^{\text{def}} \pm 0.445$	$65.627^{cd} \pm 0.253$	$64.082^{a} \pm 0.324$	$64.929^{b} \pm 0.385$	$66.372^{\rm ef} \pm 0.398$	$66.441^{\mathrm{ef}}\pm0.257$	$65.328^{bc} \pm 0.335$	$66.166^{\text{def}} \pm 0.381$	$65.922^{\mathrm{de}}\pm0.169$	$66.431^{\mathrm{ef}}\pm0.240$	$65.899^{de} \pm 0.348$
MUFA	$34.389^{e}\pm0.277$	$30.959^{\rm d} \pm 0.610$	$27.684^{\rm b} \pm 0.254$	$24.243^{a} \pm 0.486$	$42.181^{\mathrm{g}}\pm0.791$	$30.529^{cd}\pm0.279$	$27.722^{\rm b} \pm 0.201$	$24.344^{a} \pm 0.390$	$38.519^{f} \pm 0.670$	$30.038^{\circ} \pm 0.272$	$27.416^{\mathrm{b}}\pm0.370$	$24.424^{a} \pm 0.376$
PUFA	$32.296^{c} \pm 0.612$	$35.182^{\mathrm{d}}\pm0.253$	$37.943^{\rm f}\pm0.188$	$39.840^{\rm h}\pm0.563$	$22.748^{a} \pm 0.704$	$35.843^{\mathrm{de}}\pm0.404$	$38.719^{g} \pm 0.315$	$40.984^{\rm i}\pm 0.331$	$27.647^{b} \pm 0.539$	$35.884^{e} \pm 0.218$	$39.015^{\rm h}\pm0.302$	$41.477^{i} \pm 0.225$
PUFA n-6	$28.610^{c} \pm 0.502$	$31.765^{\rm d} \pm 0.235$	$32.682^{e} \pm 0.217$	$33.209^{\mathrm{de}}\pm0.223$	$21.022^{a} \pm 0.655$	$32.446^{\mathrm{c}}\pm0.487$	$33.402^8 \pm 0.208$	$34.189^{\rm h}\pm0.253$	$24.636^{\mathrm{b}}\pm0.403$	$32.472^{e} \pm 0.261$	$33.559^{\rm f}\pm 0.301$	$34.428^{\rm h}\pm0.176$
PUFA n-3	$3.685^{c} \pm 0.282$	$3.417^{c} \pm 0.102$	$5.262^{d} \pm 0.224$	$6.630^{e} \pm 0.374$	$1.726^{a} \pm 0.058$	$3.400^{c} \pm 0.225$	$5.316^{\rm d} \pm 0.245$	$6.794^{\mathrm{ef}}\pm0.120$	$3.011^{\rm b} \pm 0.162$	$3.409^{c} \pm 0.138$	$5.456^{\rm d}\pm0.135$	$7.049^{f} \pm 0.725$
n-6/n-3	$7.801^{\circ} \pm 0.554$	$9.302^{\mathrm{d}}\pm0.295$	$6.222^{b} \pm 0.292$	$5.022^{a} \pm 0.262$	$12.185^{e}\pm0.228$	$9.590^{\rm d}\pm0.691$	$6.295^{\mathrm{b}}\pm0.289$	$5.033^{a} \pm 0.077$	$8.198^{\rm c}\pm0.347$	$9.540^{\rm d}\pm0.427$	$6.155^{\mathrm{b}}\pm0.173$	$4.884^{a} \pm 0.042$
DFA	$76.288^{\mathrm{de}}\pm0.531$	$75.885^{cd} \pm 0.422$	$76.340^{\rm def}\pm0.075$	$76.772^{\rm fg}\pm 0.163$	$74.041^{a} \pm 0.308$	$76.169^{cde}\pm0.204$	$76.760^{fg} \pm 0.291$	$77.250^{\rm h}\pm0.159$	$75.146^{\mathrm{b}}\pm0.423$	$75.735^{c} \pm 0.169$	$76.422^{\rm ef}\pm0.176$	$77.070^{\mathrm{gh}}\pm0.287$
OFA	$0.158^{abc}\pm0.006$	$0.150^{\mathrm{a}}\pm0.006$	$0.161^{\rm abc}\pm0.004$	$0.173^{c} \pm 0.021$	$0.159^{abc}\pm0.006$	$0.144^{\mathrm{a}}\pm0.113$	$0.219^{\rm d}\pm0.014$	$0.218^{\rm d}\pm0.013$	$0.159^{\rm abc}\pm0.013$	$0.161^{\rm abc}\pm0.009$	$0.151^{ab} \pm 0.011$	$0.168^{bc}\pm0.008$
Day 28: we fatty acids;	eek 28; day 56: w DFA: desirable	reek 32; day 84: w fatty acids (C18:	eek 36; HS-10%: 0+UFA); OFA: o	hemp seed 10%; dd fatty acids; n-	HS-20%: hemp s -6: PUFA n-6; n-	eed 20%; HS-309 -3: PUFA n-3. Si	%: hemp seed 30 <sup>4</sup> ignificant differer	%; SFA: saturated nces $(p < 0.05)$ be	fatty acids; UFA tween means are	: unsaturated fat shown with diff	ty acids; PUFA: ] ferent lowercase	polyunsaturated letters (a-f).

perio
ntal
imeı
peri
e ex
g th
urin
k dı
j yol
ege
ls of
acid
atty
of fá
tio
le ra
d th
s an
mns
the s
on 1
ens
ղ ցլ
ayir
of ]
diet
the
ni r
atior
pora
ICOL
d ir
o sec
emj
ial h
ustr
ind
nor
can
oroc
fΜ
ct o:
Effe
С
ABLI
Ţ

helium at 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup>; column temperature: 275°C; injector and detector temperatures: 250 and 300°C, respectively; and injection volume: 1  $\mu$ L. The cholesterol concentration in egg yolk was calculated and expressed as mg per g of egg yolk.

2.4. Statistical Analysis. The statistical analyses were carried out using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (IBM SPSS 21). The normal distribution was checked using the Shapiro-Wilk test for quantitative variables. A two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed for fatty acid profile, tocopherol content, and yolk cholesterol content. The Tukey post hoc test was used for the comparison of means. The difference was considered significant at p < 0.05. A principal component analysis (PCA) was performed on the data set to differentiate the results according to the age of the hens and the feed distributed.

## 3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Fatty Acid and Cholesterol Composition. The results in Tables 3-6 show the effect of Moroccan nonindustrial hemp seed incorporation in the diet of laying hens on the fatty acid profile of the egg yolk during the experimental period. The results obtained show varying fluctuations concerning the dose and duration of the experiment; however, the main variations concern the increase in ALA content (Tables 3-6). We note a statistically significant difference (p < 0.05) in the amount of n-3 in the eggs depending on the diet used throughout the experiment and the amount of cannabis seeds supplied. After 84 days (12 weeks) of the feeding period, which corresponded the end of the experiment, the n-3 content increased as the incorporated dose of cannabis seeds increased (Tables 3 and 5). Thus, the percentage of n-3 escalated from 3.01% in the control (0% HS) to 3.41, 5.45, and 7.05% in the eggs from the hens fed, respectively, with 10%, 20%, and 30% of cannabis seeds (Table 5). The detailed analysis of fatty acids reveals that this increase in n-3 correlates specifically with an increase in ALA, with the level rising from 1.62% (control) to 5.47% (HS-30%). However, there are no significant differences in DHA and EPA levels. This last result contradicts most of the previously published results (Fabro et al. [29]; Mierliță [30]. The longchain AGPI metabolism in animals allows the conversion of ALA to DHA and EPA, only if the ratio of n-6 to n-3 is not too high; otherwise, the path of converting LA to ARA will be promoted. In contrast and based on our results, the ARA rates no longer exhibit significant and noticeable variations. These results could be explained by a potential oxidative degradation of DHA, EPA, and ARA (long-chain PUFA) during the extraction of the lipid phase from egg yolks and throughout the other phases of the fatty acid analysis of. In fact, no antioxidants were added during the different phases of the lipid analysis, compared to other studies [13]. This deliberate decision to exclude the antioxidant agents was made to mimic the conditions of an egg consumer or an industry who would be using products made from raw eggs. Moreover, other experiments are being conducted in our laboratory to study the effect of the antioxi-

TABLE 6: Analysis of variance for the effect of Moroccan nonindustrial hemp seed incorporation in the diet of laying hens on fatty acid profile sum ant ratios during the experimental period.

Dose         3         6.719         53.592         <0.001	SFA				
Period         2         2.153         17.174         <0.001           Dose × period         6         5.142         41.017         <0.001	Dose	3	6.719	53.592	< 0.001
Dose × period         6         5.142         41.017         <0.001           Error         96         0.125             UFA         3         6.744         53.798         <0.011	Period	2	2.153	17.174	< 0.001
Error         96         0.125           UFA	$Dose \times period$	6	5.142	41.017	< 0.001
UFA         3         6.744         53.798         <0.01	Error	96	0.125		
Dose         3         6.744         53.798         <0.001           Period         2         2.120         16.916         <0.001	UFA				
Period         2         2.120         16.916         <0.001           Dose × period         6         5.134         40.956         <0.001	Dose	3	6.744	53.798	< 0.001
Dose × period         6         5.134         40.956         <0.001           Error         96         0.125             MUFA          2         31.944         154.802         <0.001	Period	2	2.120	16.916	< 0.001
Error         96         0.125           MUFA	$Dose \times period$	6	5.134	40.956	< 0.001
MUFA         Dose       3       970.495       4703.078       <0.001	Error	96	0.125		
Dose         3         970.495         4703.078         <0.001           Period         2         31.944         154.802         <0.001	MUFA				
Period         2         31.944         154.802         <0.001           Dose × period         6         35.677         172.893         <0.001	Dose	3	970.495	4703.078	< 0.001
Dose × period         6         35.677         172.893         <0.001           Error         96         0.206             PUFA          3         900.335         5049.344         <0.001	Period	2	31.944	154.802	< 0.001
Error         96         0.206           PUFA	Dose × period	6	35.677	172.893	< 0.001
PUFA         508e         3         900.335         5049.344         <0.001           Period         2         31.078         174.296         <0.001	Error	96	0.206		
Dose         3         900.335         5049.344         <0.001           Period         2         31.078         174.296         <0.001	PUFA				
Period         2         31.078         174.296         <0.001           Dose × period         6         61.527         345.062         <0.001	Dose	3	900.335	5049.344	< 0.001
Dose × period         6         61.527         345.062         <0.001           Error         96         0.178              PUFA n-6           3         486.461         3816.600         <0.001	Period	2	31.078	174.296	< 0.001
Error96 $0.178$ PUFA n-60000000000Dose3486.4613816.600<0.001	Dose × period	6	61.527	345.062	< 0.001
PUFA n-6         Dose       3       486.461       3816.600       <0.001	Error	96	0.178		
Dose         3         486.461         3816.600         <0.001           Period         2         16.787         131.707         <0.001	PUFA n-6				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Dose	3	486.461	3816.600	< 0.001
Dose × period         6         40.015         313.948         <0.001           Error         96         0.127         PUFA n-3             PUFA n-3          2         2.289.228         <0.001	Period	2	16.787	131.707	< 0.001
Error       96       0.127         PUFA n-3	Dose × period	6	40.015	313.948	< 0.001
PUFA n-3         Dose       3       91.235       2289.228       <0.001	Error	96	0.127		
Dose         3         91.235         2289.228         <0.001           Period         2         2.237         56.123         <0.001	PUFA n-3				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Dose	3	91.235	2289.228	< 0.001
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Period	2	2.237	56.123	< 0.001
Error         96         0.040           PUFA n-6/PUFA n-3             Dose         3         139.298         1112.580         <0.001	$Dose \times period$	6	2.392	60.012	< 0.001
PUFA n-6/PUFA n-3       3       139.298       1112.580       <0.001	Error	96	0.040		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	PUFA n-6/PUFA	n-3			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Dose	3	139.298	1112.580	< 0.001
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Period	2	15.565	124.319	< 0.001
Error         96         0.125           DFA         - <t< td=""><td>Dose × period</td><td>6</td><td>12.553</td><td>100.264</td><td>&lt; 0.001</td></t<>	Dose × period	6	12.553	100.264	< 0.001
DFA Dose 3 17.423 197.216 <0.001 Period 2 0.746 8.444 <0.001 Dose × period 6 4.008 45.366 <0.001 Error 96 0.088 OFA Dose 3 0.007 52.041 <0.001 Period 2 0.007 55.367 <0.001 Dose × period 6 0.004 31.124 <0.001 Error 96 0.000	Error	96	0.125		
Dose         3         17.423         197.216         <0.001           Period         2         0.746         8.444         <0.001	DFA				
Period         2         0.746         8.444         <0.001           Dose × period         6         4.008         45.366         <0.001	Dose	3	17.423	197.216	< 0.001
Dose × period         6         4.008         45.366         <0.001           Error         96         0.088 <td< td=""><td>Period</td><td>2</td><td>0.746</td><td>8.444</td><td>&lt; 0.001</td></td<>	Period	2	0.746	8.444	< 0.001
Error         96         0.088           OFA         0.007         52.041         <0.001	Dose × period	6	4.008	45.366	< 0.001
OFA Dose 3 0.007 52.041 <0.001 Period 2 0.007 55.367 <0.001 Dose × period 6 0.004 31.124 <0.001 Error 96 0.000	Error	96	0.088		
Dose         3         0.007         52.041         <0.001           Period         2         0.007         55.367         <0.001	OFA				
Period         2         0.007         55.367         <0.001           Dose × period         6         0.004         31.124         <0.001	Dose	3	0.007	52.041	< 0.001
Dose × period         6         0.004         31.124         <0.001           Error         96         0.000           <0.001	Period	2	0.007	55.367	< 0.001
Error 96 0.000	$Dose \times period$	6	0.004	31.124	< 0.001
	Error	96	0.000		

dant's addition on the fatty acid composition (data not shown). Furthermore, other studies on chicken fed cannabis seeds or oil revealed a significant reduction in the expression

.pq		HS-30%
rimental peric	y 84	HS-20%
uring the expe	Da	HS-10%
of egg yolk d		Control
esterol content		HS-30%
ne diet of laying hens on chole	/ 56	HS-20%
	Day	HS-10%
poration in the		Control
np seed incorj		HS-30%
Moroccan nonindustrial he	ıy 28	HS-20%
	Da	HS-10%
TABLE 7: Effect of		Control

 $10.739 \pm 0.844$ 

 $7.991 \pm 0.836$ 

 $9.970 \pm 0.671$ 

 $8.284 \pm 3.651$ 

 $10.739 \pm 0.844$ 

 $7.991 \pm 0.836$ 

 $9.970 \pm 0.671$ 

 $8.284 \pm 3.651$ 

 $9.698 \pm 1.449$ 

 $9.648 \pm 1.002$ 

 $8.368 \pm 0.570$ 

 $9.27 \pm 0.720$ 

Cholesterol (mg/g)

Day 28: week 28; day 56: week 32; day 84: week 36; HS-10%: hemp seed 10%; HS-20%: hemp seed 20%; HS-30%: hemp seed 30%; %: mean ± standard deviation.

		Day	r 28			Day	7 84	
	Control	HS-10%	HS-20%	HS-30%	Control	HS-10%	HS-20%	HS-30%
α-Tocopherol (μg/g)	$50.520^{ab} \pm 3.295$	$40.686^{ab} \pm 0.905$	$52.863^{b} \pm 3.709$	$36.306^{a} \pm 1.964$	$272.037^{e} \pm 6.842$	$128.816^{d} \pm 2.288$	$86.829^{c} \pm 1.054$	$306.303^{f} \pm 12.412$
$\gamma$ -Tocopherol ( $\mu$ g/g)	$25.012^{bc} \pm 1.409$	$32.919^{c} \pm 11.286$	$16.546^{\rm ab}\pm 0.156$	$21.546^{\rm abc}\pm0.118$	$9.411^{a} \pm 1.106$	$32.332^{c} \pm 2.379$	$30.579^{c} \pm 5.224$	$20.726^{\rm abc}\pm0.765$
$\alpha$ -Toco/ $\gamma$ -Toco	$2.019^{a} \pm 2.019$	$1.322^{\rm a} \pm 0.389$	$3.194^{a} \pm 0.193$	$1.685^{a} \pm 0.083$	$29.109^{c} \pm 2.580$	$3.997^{\mathrm{a}}\pm0.200$	$2.888^{a} \pm 0.428$	$14.780^{\rm b} \pm 0.299$
Total to copherol ( $\mu$ g/g)	$75.535^{a} \pm 4.677$	$73.606^{a} \pm 10.183$	$69.408^{a} \pm 3.864$	$57.853^{a} \pm 2.079$	$281.447^{d} \pm 7.946$	$161.148^{c} \pm 4.228$	$117.409^{b} \pm 5.991$	$327.028^{e} \pm 13.085$

of gene coding for hepatic desaturases responsible for longchain PUFA desaturation [31]. The incorporation of cannabis seeds in poultry feed has also increased in LA, which has risen from 21.92% (control) to 31.78% (HS-30%) after 84 days (12 weeks) of the feeding period (Table 3). Several previous studies have found the same trend in the LA rate [32]. However, in other research, the LA rate did not change despite the increase in HS [15, 33]. Our results can be elaborated through the fact that the HS used are rich in ALA but also in LA (Table 1). Nevertheless, the observed increase in n-3 would possibly be responsible for a decrease in egg weight from hens (data not shown). Gonzalez-Esquerra and Leeson [34] as well as Castillo-Badillo et al. [35] observed a reduction in egg weight in treatments including tuna oil or menhaden oil in hen feed layers. This decline would be a result of low blood triglyceride levels, which restricts the amount of lipids available for the development of the egg yolk, as well as from modified estradiol circulation, which would also have an impact on the development of the egg [36]. However, there is currently no clear explanation of how n-3 FAs decrease egg weight. We also note an increase in the rate of another n-6 (GLA), whose level ranges from 0.15% (control) to 0.35% (HS-30%) (Table 3). This increase, which has been shown also in previous studies [29], is explained by the presence of GLA at quite high levels in the cannabis seeds incorporated into food. Indeed, one of the most important characteristics of cannabis seeds is their relatively high GLA content, compared to other oilseeds traditionally used in laying hen feeds, such as soybean or sunflower. Even though LA is the precursor for the synthesis of the long-chain n-6 fatty acids gamma-linolenic acid (GLA), dihomogamma-linolenic acid (DGLA), and arachidonic acid (ARA), none of these n-6 acids react in the same way. LA and ARA promote inflammation, while GLA, through its conversion to DGLA, can reduce inflammation [37]. ARA, which is derived from the elongation and desaturation of GLA, does not exhibit any change with a rate of around 2%, regardless of the diet of the animals, as we have already indicated. The same result had been obtained by other authors [38, 39], who supported their findings by pointing out that the significant increase in ALA levels promotes the n-3 pathway at the detriment of the n-6 pathway; both ways use the same enzymes, in particular the  $\Delta 5$  and  $\Delta 6$ desaturases. The balance of the metabolic pathway depends on the most bioavailable precursor. An excess of n-6 will promote the synthesis of ARA and DPA (C22:5n-6) to the detriment of EPA and DHA. On the other hand, a sufficient amount of n-3 will promote the synthesis of EPA and DHA and inhibit the synthesis of long-chain n-6. Moreover, at the end of the experimentation (day 84), the results show a very significant (p < 0.05) decrease in the n-6/n-3 ratio which goes from 8.19 (control) to 9.54, 6.15, and 4.89 for HS-10%, HS-20%, and HS-30%, respectively (Table 4). All previous studies have found the same phenomenon with usual marked decreases. For example, Mierliță [30] obtained a reduction of 11.07% (control) to only 2.98%, while Shahid et al. [39] obtained a decrease of 16.83% to 4.22%. These differences may be explained by differences in the experimental protocol and duration, or they might be linked to the variety

TABLE 9: Analysis of variance for the effect of Moroccan nonindustrial hemp seed incorporation in the diet of laying hens on cholesterol content and tocopherol composition during the experimental period.

	df	Mean squares	F-value	p value
α-Τοco				
Dose	3	16071.283	543.464	< 0.001
Period	1	140625.354	4755.364	< 0.001
$Dose \times period$	3	18460.871	624.270	< 0.001
Error	16	29.572		
γ-Τοco				
Dose	3	275.048	275.048	< 0.001
Period	1	5.927	0.289	>0.500
$Dose \times period$	3	219.788	10.711	< 0.001
Error	16	20.520		
α-Τοco/γ-Τοco				
Dose	3	217.636	241.916	< 0.001
Period	1	679.060	754.816	< 0.001
$Dose \times period$	3	229.937	255.589	< 0.001
Error	16	.900		
Total Toco				
Dose	3	13592.350	251.142	< 0.001
Period	1	139826.796	2583.541	< 0.001
$Dose \times period$	3	15803.113	291.990	< 0.001
Error	16	54.122		
Cholesterol				
Dose	3	6.733	2.354	>0.050
Period	2	$1.370^{-007}$	0.000	1.000
$Dose \times period$	6	2.456	0.859	>0.500
Error	24	2.861		

α-Toco: α-tocopherol; γ-Toco: γ-tocopherol; df: degree of freedom.

or subspecies of the *Cannabis sativa* used. In almost all previous experiments, the seed cannabis used belongs to the industrial hemp variety; however, in our experiment, we used the *Beldiya* ecotype, which is native to the north of Morocco and is also part of the plants cataloged as nationally prohibited drugs since its THC content exceeds 0.4%.

Results, resumed in Tables 3 and 5, show that regardless of the proportion of cannabis seeds supplied to the poultry diet, the rate of SFA does not significantly change. The main SFA is the palmitic acid (C16:0), its rate slightly decreases (*p* < 0.05) from 24.38% (control) to 22.46% (HS-30%), and this reduction is partially offset by an increase in the stearic acid (C18:0) rate, which rises from 8.98% (control) to 11.17% (HS-30%). The rate of MUFA decreases significantly (*p* < 0.05) passing from 38.51 (control) to 24.42% (HS-30%). This decrease in MUFA specifically affects oleic acid (C18:1). Considering that, in fatty acid metabolism, C16:0 gives way to C18:0 via elongation and eventually to C18:1 by desaturation, the observed fluctuations are now well known. An interesting meta-analysis conducted by Fabro et al. [29], which encapsulates the majority of scientific studies investigating the effects of incorporating seeds, oil cakes, or



FIGURE 1: Projection of fatty acid composition, cholesterol, and tocopherol in the plane defined by two principal components. SFA: saturated fatty acids; UFA: unsaturated fatty acids; PUFA: polyunsaturated fatty acids; DFA: desirable fatty acids (C18:0+UFA); OFA: odd fatty acids; n-6: n-6 PUFA; n-3: n-3 PUFA; Alphatoco: alpha-tocopherol; Gammatoco: gamma tocopherol.

cannabis oil on the fatty acid profile of egg yolk, allows us to validate our findings. In fact, throughout the majority of the publications reviewed in this meta-analysis, the SFA rate does not change while the MUFA rate decreases. These variations are explained by the fact that a high level of n-3 (provided by cannabis seeds) might inhibit the expression of the gene coding for stearoyl-CoA desaturase ( $\Delta$ 9 desaturases) which reduces the conversion of C18:0 to C18:1, leading to a decrease in C18:1 and an increase in C18:0.

The addition of Beldiya cannabis seed HS did not lead to significant differences in cholesterol content. Table 7 shows that this content ranges between 7.99 and 10.73 mg/g of egg yolk, which is slightly lower than the values reported by Mierliță [30], who did not observe any differences after the cannabis-incorporated diet. However, other studies, including those conducted by Skřivan et al. [32] and Shahid et al. [40] had obtained a reduction in cholesterol content that decreased from 19.25 (control) to 11.67 mg/g of egg yolk (HS-25%). According to these authors, this decrease is due to cannabis seeds containing a high concentration of phytosterol, specifically sitosterol, which limits cholesterol absorption through crystallization and coprecipitation, but most importantly through competition at the intestinal absorption level. Kovacs et al. [41] revealed that the cholesterol content of eggs varied according to the species, variety, or stress of the laying cycle rather than the diet.

3.2. Tocopherol Composition. The HPLC-DAD analysis of tocopherols from lipid egg yolk (Table 8) revealed that the main tocopherols detected correspond to the isomers  $\alpha$ - and  $\gamma$ -isomers, while the  $\beta$ - and  $\delta$ -isomers were not detected. These results are consistent with those of several

other subsequent studies: Ko et al. [42], Skřivan et al. [32], and Moghadam et al. [43]. However, other authors including Cherian et al. [44] and McLaughlin and Weihrauch [45] were able to detect all 4 isomers. Regardless of the treatment (age and dose),  $\alpha$ -tocopherol is the major isomer constituting between 56.03% and 96.6% of total tocopherols while the percentage of  $\gamma$ -tocopherol ranges from 3.34 to 43.97% of total tocopherols. Several publications refer to preferential intakes of the  $\alpha$ -isomer compared to other isoforms in mammals [46, 47] and poultry [44]. This preference is explained by the presence of a specific protein that binds  $\alpha$ -tocopherol in hepatocytes and its subsequent transfer by LDL to other tissues, as well as by the potential existence of selection processes that discriminate the uptake or accumulation of  $\gamma$ tocopherol over  $\alpha$ -tocopherol. Results (Tables 8 and 9) show that the total tocopherol content varies depending on the age and dose of cannabis seeds incorporated into the diet (Table 9). According to Wang et al. [48], the main factors influencing the tocopherol content of egg yolk are nutrition, age, and laying hen variety or genotype. A significant increase in total tocopherol content depending on the dose of cannabis seeds incorporated is observed only after 84 days of treatment varying from 281.45 (control) to  $327.03 \,\mu g/g$ egg yolk (HS-30%) (Tables 8 and 9). Thus, 28 days of treatment appear, according to our results, insufficient for the fortification of eggs produced with tocopherols. An indepth analysis of the composition of the two detected isomers (alpha and gamma) reveals dissimilar variations. So, the eggs produced are enriched with alpha-tocopherol during the treatment both in the control and for the different doses of cannabis seeds added varying from 50.52 (control) and 36.3 (HS-30%) after 28 days to 272.03 (control) and

306.3 µg/g egg yolk (HS-30%) after 84 days. However, the most important outcome, which can be derived from the data obtained, is in particular the stabilization of the ytocopherol content. Indeed, regardless of the duration of treatment, the amount of y-tocopherols is of the order 16.54-32.9 µg/g yolk in eggs produced after the inclusion of various doses of cannabis seeds, whereas in the control eggs, there is a very significant decrease in the y-tocopherol content since there is an apparent drop from 25.01 (28 days) to only 9.41  $\mu$ g/g yolk after 84 days of treatment. Few studies have investigated the impact of age on the incorporation of tocopherols in egg yolk. Furthermore, Tang et al. [49] observed a significant age-related increase in  $\alpha$ -tocopherol from 92.984  $\mu$ g/g egg yolk (24 weeks) to 225.0784  $\mu$ g/g egg yolk (36 weeks), as well as constant values of  $\gamma$ -tocopherols (11 to 9.84  $\mu$ g/g egg yolk). On the other hand, Ko et al. [42] found that the level of  $\alpha$ - and  $\gamma$ -tocopherols decreased with age in laying hens. According to Chen et al. [50], the concentration of tocopherols increases with age until the 14th day of the experiment, where it becomes stable. It is not entirely clear from the articles published the reason behind the differences in the tocopherol content in accordance to age. It may be due to variations in the extraction and measurement of tocopherol methods or even due to a metabolic state that is more active or less active depending on age and experimental conditions. It can also be noted that after a 28- or 84-day experiment, the increase in the amount of cannabis seeds in the diet does not lead to an increase in y-tocopherol level (Table 8). Quite the contrary, a slight, nonsignificant decrease can be observed; it could be explained by poor absorption of  $\gamma$ -tocopherol from cannabis seeds, which at high concentrations contains a high amount of fiber and other antinutritional compounds which decreases and hinders the absorption of various nutrients including tocopherols.

Since animals are unable to synthesize tocopherols, provided exclusively by the diet [51], and since the vitamin premixes used did not contain vitamin E, the tocopherols came only from the dietary components of the different formulations. The increase and stability in y-tocopherol content, compared to the control group, can therefore be explained by an enrichment of the diet with  $\gamma$ -tocopherols since the Beldiya cannabis seeds used contain approximately 21 times  $\gamma$  more than  $\alpha$ -tocopherol [21]. Chen et al. [50] already showed that  $\alpha$ -tocopherol has a positive effect on the stability of the yolk as an antioxidant at different degrees of concentration, 25, 45, and 50 µg/g of yolk, yet, it turns into a prooxidant at 75 µg/g and higher. Skřivan et al. [32] conducted a similar 12-week study with different hemp seed varieties, whereas in our study, we used indigenous North Moroccan Cannabis sativa L. seeds cultivated for their recreational use. Moreover, Skřivan et al. [32] have also shown a significant increase in the  $\alpha$ -tocopherol content of egg yolk products when 60 g of cannabis seeds/kg was incorporated into the diet. On the other hand, the level of y-tocopherol increased gradually in the control group, rising from 11 mg/kg MS to 29, 39, and 43 mg/kg MS for cannabis seed incorporation rates of 30, 60, and 90 g/kg, respectively. Similarly, studies conducted on diets supplemented with vitamin

TABLE 10: Three main components explain more than 64.25% of the total information on fatty acid profile, cholesterol content, and tocopherol composition during the experimental period.

Variablas		Principal component	
variables	1	2	3
C18:1n9	-0.986	0.101	-0.044
MUFA	-0.979	0.132	-0.028
PUFA	0.964	-0.212	-0.087
PUFA n-3	0.949	0.017	0.093
C18:3n-3 (ALA)	0.945	0.046	0.136
C18:3n-6 (GLA)	0.930	0.046	0.188
C16:00	-0.907	0.120	0.301
PUFA n-6	0.904	-0.291	-0.155
DFA	0.902	-0.151	-0.296
C18:2n6 (LA)	0.901	-0.296	-0.149
EPA	0.885	0.202	0.310
n-6/n-3	-0.879	-0.003	0.032
C16:1n9	-0.865	0.280	0.108
C18:0	0.863	0.267	0.331
C20:4n-6 (ARA)	-0.761	0.059	-0.020
C15:0	0.667	0.370	0.118
C24:1n9	0.628	0.352	-0.079
C20:3n6	0.521	0.230	-0.050
C20:2n6	0.291	0.753	-0.440
C18:2n6t	-0.390	0.723	-0.278
OFA	0.492	0.622	-0.281
C22:2n6	-0.106	-0.535	0.438
γ-Tocopherol	-0.025	-0.418	0.174
UFA	-0.166	-0.538	-0.791
SFA	0.164	0.541	0.789
C20:3n-3	0.139	-0.602	0.301
α-Tocopherol	-0.044	-0.288	0.174
C20:1n9	-0.089	0.059	-0.125
C22:1n9	0.057	-0.005	-0.060
DHA	0.280	-0.140	-0.409
C16:1n7	-0.237	-0.183	0.118
Cholesterol	0.198	-0.112	0.137

SFA: saturated fatty acids; UFA: unsaturated fatty acids; PUFA: polyunsaturated fatty acids; DFA: desirable fatty acids (C18:0+UFA); OFA: odd fatty acids; n-6: PUFA n-6; n-3: PUFA n-3.

E [52, 53] or  $\alpha$ -tocopherol [54] have shown an increase in the tocopherol content of egg yolks.

3.3. Chemometric Analysis of the Yolk Egg Composition. A principal component analysis (PCA) was performed to identify and determine the correlations between the hens and their yolk egg composition. The PCA allows further exploration of the above results. This analysis was conducted using 32 variables, including fatty acid profile, cholesterol content, and tocopherol composition. It provides an intelligible visualization of the relationship between hens and their egg's fatty acid profile, cholesterol content.



FIGURE 2: Projection of the variables of the four studied groups in the plane defined by two principal components. C-D28: control day 28; C-D56: control day 56; C-D84: control day 84; HS-10%-D28: hemp seed 10% day 28; HS-10%-D56: hemp seed 10% day 56; HS-10%-D84: hemp seed 10% day 84; HS-20%-D28: hemp seed 20% day 28; HS-20%-D56: hemp seed 20% day 56; HS-20%-D84: hemp seed 20% day 84; HS-30%-D28: hemp seed 30% day 28; HS-30%-D84: hemp seed 30% day 84.

Figure 1 presents the projection of the different elements in the plane defined by the two first principal components (PC).

The PC1 and PC2 accounted for 44.60% and 11.96% of the variation, accordingly (Table 10). The PC1 was correlated positively with PUFA, PUFA n-3, PUFA n-6, ALA, and LA and negatively with MUFA and C16:0. The PC2 was characterized positively by C20:2n6, trans-C18:2n6, and SFA. In opposite direction, it was defined by C20:3n3 and UFA. The projection of the studied groups on the plane defined by the two first PC shows clear discrimination between hens based on egg composition (Figure 2). Regardless of the period, the hens of the control groups were located on the left side of Figures 1 and 2 differentiated from the others. However, the SH-20% and SH-30% groups were on the right side of Figure 1, with PUFA, PUFA n-3, PUFA n-6, ALA and LA. The discrimination between the animals under study shows a significant difference which is certainly linked to the impact of hemp seed incorporation in the diet of laying hens.

#### 4. Conclusion

Based on the results of these experiments, we can conclude that the cannabis seed ecotype Beldiya, grown in the Moroccan Rif mountains, can be promoted and valued by using it as a supplement in the diet of poultry to replace, at least partially the oilseeds (soya and sunflower) imported by Morocco at a high expense. This application of cannabis in the hen's diet would provide Moroccan consumers with higher nutritional quality eggs enriched with ALA and tocopherols. Despite being advantageous nutritionally, enriching eggs with PUFAs can unfortunately promote lipid changes (increase unsaturation), leading to undesirable or even toxic products. For this reason, 20% of HS incorporation in laying hen feed is considered as a safe dose. Further studies are required to see whether adding natural antioxidants would be feasible in order to prevent these flaws in lipid oxidation and enhance the oxidative stability of the produced eggs.

#### **Data Availability**

The original data from the paper are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

# **Ethical Approval**

We used data from other papers, and no ethical statement is required.

# **Conflicts of Interest**

The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.

# Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Ministry of National Education, Professional Training, High Education and Scientific Research in collaboration with "National Agency for Medicinal and Aromatic Plants" and "Royal Institute of Specialized Technicians in Livestock-Fouarat" through the VPMA2/ref 2020/1 project.

#### References

- N. Puvača, E. Lika, V. Tufarelli et al., "Influence of different tetracycline antimicrobial therapy of mycoplasma (Mycoplasma synoviae) in laying hens compared to tea tree essential oil on table egg quality and antibiotic residues," *Food*, vol. 9, no. 5, p. 612, 2020.
- [2] N. Combe and E. Fénart, "Les oméga 3: de l'alimentation animale à la nutrition humaine," *Oléagineux, Corps gras, Lipides*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 46–49, 2004.
- [3] J. Vapa Tankosić, N. Puvača, I. Giannenas, V. Tufarelli, and S. Ignjatijević, "Food safety policy in the European Union," *Journal of Agronomy, Technology and Engineering Management*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 712–717, 2022.
- [4] A. P. Simopoulos, "The importance of the omega-6/omega-3 fatty acid ratio in cardiovascular disease and other chronic

diseases," *Experimental Biology and Medicine*, vol. 233, no. 6, pp. 674–688, 2008.

- [5] L. E. Robinson, A. C. Buchholz, and V. C. Mazurak, "Inflammation, obesity, and fatty acid metabolism: influence of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids on factors contributing to metabolic syndrome," *Applied Physiology, Nutrition, and Metabolism*, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 1008–1024, 2007.
- [6] N. G. Kumar, D. Contaifer, P. Madurantakam et al., "Dietary bioactive fatty acids as modulators of immune function: implications on human health," *Nutrients*, vol. 11, no. 12, p. 2974, 2019.
- [7] D. D. Alexander, P. E. Miller, A. J. Vargas, D. L. Weed, and S. S. Cohen, "Meta-analysis of egg consumption and risk of coronary heart disease and stroke," *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*, vol. 35, no. 8, pp. 704–716, 2016.
- [8] E. Ibrahim and M. Mohamed, "Effect of different dietary selenium sources supplementation on nutrient digestibility, productive performance and some serum biochemical indices in sheep," *Egyptian Journal of Nutrition and Feeds*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 53–64, 2018.
- [9] P. E. Munekata, G. Nieto, M. Pateiro, and J. M. Lorenzo, "Phenolic compounds obtained from Olea europaea by-products and their use to improve the quality and shelf life of meat and meat products—a review," *Antioxidants*, vol. 9, no. 11, p. 1061, 2020.
- [10] T. Raza, N. Chand, R. U. Khan, M. S. Shahid, and A. M. Abudabos, "Improving the fatty acid profile in egg yolk through the use of hempseed (*Cannabis sativa*), ginger (*Zingiber officinale*), and turmeric (*Curcuma longa*) in the diet of Hy-Line white leghorns," *Archives Animal Breeding*, vol. 59, no. 2, pp. 183–190, 2016.
- [11] A. Gheorghe, P. A. Vlaicu, M. Olteanu, P. Vişinescu, and R. D. Criste, "Obtaining eggs enriched in polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA). 1. Use of vegetable sources rich in PUFA as functional ingredients in laying hens diets: a review," *Archiva Zootechnica*, vol. 22, pp. 54–85, 2019.
- [12] M. Kouba and J. Mourot, "A review of nutritional effects on fat composition of animal products with special emphasis on n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids," *Biochimie*, vol. 93, no. 1, pp. 13– 17, 2011.
- [13] P. A. Vlaicu, T. D. Panaite, and R. P. Turcu, "Enriching laying hens eggs by feeding diets with different fatty acid composition and antioxidants," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 11, no. 1, article 20707, 2021.
- [14] M. Balant, A. Gras, M. Ruz, J. Valles, D. Vitales, and T. Garnatje, "Traditional uses of *Cannabis*: an analysis of the CANNUSE database," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 279, article 114362, 2021.
- [15] M. Jing, S. Zhao, and J. House, "Performance and tissue fatty acid profile of broiler chickens and laying hens fed hemp oil and HempOmega<sup>TM</sup>," *Poultry Science*, vol. 96, no. 6, pp. 1809–1819, 2017.
- [16] O. Šťastník, M. Jůzl, F. Karásek et al., "The effect of hempseed expellers on selected quality indicators of broiler chicken's meat," *Acta Veterinaria Brno*, vol. 88, no. 1, pp. 121–128, 2019.
- [17] R. Kasula, F. Solis, B. Shaffer et al., "Effect of dietary hemp seed cake on the performance of commercial laying hens," *International Journal of Livestock Production*, vol. 12, pp. 17–27, 2021.
- [18] A. Kamal, E. A. Ikrame, K. Soukayna, A. Saida, A. Mohamed, and O. Adyl, "Natural enrichment of consumption eggs by

omega-3: a micro-study of the market in *Morocco*," *Journal of Agricultural Studies*, vol. 5, no. 4, 2018.

- [19] Y. Taaifi, K. Belhaj, F. Mansouri et al., "Impact of Cannabis seed incorporation in layer diet on productive performance and egg quality traits," *Scientifica*, vol. 2023, Article ID 5565825, 13 pages, 2023.
- [20] E. G. Bligh and W. J. Dyer, "A rapid method of total lipid extraction and purification," *Canadian Journal of Biochemistry* and Physiology, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 911–917, 1959.
- [21] Y. E. A. Taaifi, A. Benmoumen, K. Belhaj et al., "Seed composition of non-industrial hemp (*Cannabis sativa L.*) varieties from four regions in northern Morocco," *International Journal* of Food Science & Technology, vol. 56, no. 11, pp. 5931–5947, 2021.
- [22] P. C. Calder, "Functional roles of fatty acids and their effects on human health," *Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition*, vol. 39, Supplement 1, pp. 18S–32S, 2015.
- [23] K. Dornan, A. Gunenc, B. D. Oomah, and F. Hosseinian, "Odd chain fatty acids and odd chain phenolic lipids (alkylresorcinols) are essential for diet," *Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society*, vol. 98, no. 8, pp. 813–824, 2021.
- [24] K. Kurotani, M. Sato, K. Yasuda et al., "Even- and odd-chain saturated fatty acids in serum phospholipids are differentially associated with adipokines," *PLoS One*, vol. 12, no. 5, article e0178192, 2017.
- [25] P. Nie, B. Pan, M. J. Ahmad et al., "Summer buffalo milk produced in China: a desirable diet enriched in polyunsaturated fatty acids and amino acids," *Food*, vol. 11, no. 21, p. 3475, 2022.
- [26] K. Belhaj, F. Mansouri, M. Sindic et al., "Effect of rearing season on meat and intramuscular fat quality of Beni-Guil sheep," *Journal of Food Quality*, vol. 2021, Article ID 6615169, 9 pages, 2021.
- [27] A. Ben Moumen, F. Mansouri, G. Richard et al., "Biochemical characterisation of the seed oils of four safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius*) varieties grown in north-eastern of Morocco," *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 804–810, 2015.
- [28] M. Vanderplanck, D. Michez, S. Vancraenenbroeck, and G. Lognay, "Micro-quantitative method for analysis of sterol levels in honeybees and their pollen loads," *Analytical Letters*, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1807–1820, 2011.
- [29] C. Fabro, A. Romanzin, and M. Spanghero, "Fatty acid profile of table eggs from laying hens fed hempseed products: a metaanalysis," *Livestock Science*, vol. 254, article 104748, 2021.
- [30] D. E. A. Mierliță, "Fatty acids profile and oxidative stability of eggs from laying hens fed diets containing hemp seed or hempseed cake," *South African Journal of Animal Science*, vol. 49, no. 2, pp. 310–321, 2019.
- [31] I. Halle and F. Schöne, "Influence of rapeseed cake, linseed cake and hemp seed cake on laying performance of hens and fatty acid composition of egg yolk," *Journal für Verbraucherschutz und Lebensmittelsicherheit*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 185– 193, 2013.
- [32] M. Skřivan, M. Englmaierová, T. Vít, and E. Skřivanová, "Hempseed increases gamma-tocopherol in egg yolks and the breaking strength of tibias in laying hens," *PLoS One*, vol. 14, no. 5, article e0217509, 2019.
- [33] N. Gakhar, E. Goldberg, M. Jing, R. Gibson, and J. House, "Effect of feeding hemp seed and hemp seed oil on laying hen performance and egg yolk fatty acid content: evidence of

their safety and efficacy for laying hen diets," *Poultry Science*, vol. 91, no. 3, pp. 701–711, 2012.

- [34] R. Gonzalez-Esquerra and S. Leeson, "Effect of feeding hens regular or deodorized menhaden oil on production parameters, yolk fatty acid profile, and sensory quality of eggs," *Poultry Science*, vol. 79, no. 11, pp. 1597–1602, 2000.
- [35] C. Castillo-Badillo, J. Vázquez-Valladolid, M. González-Alcorta, E. Morales-Barrera, R. Castillo-Domínguez, and S. Carrillo-Domínguez, "The tuna oil as ω-3 fatty acids source for egg of laying hens," *Grasas y Aceites*, vol. 56, pp. 153–159, 2005.
- [36] M. E. Van Elswyk, "Comparison of n-3 fatty acid sources in laying hen rations for improvement of whole egg nutritional quality: a review," *British Journal of Nutrition*, vol. 78, no. 1, pp. S61–S69, 1997.
- [37] S. Sergeant, E. Rahbar, and F. H. Chilton, "Gamma-linolenic acid, dihommo-gamma linolenic, eicosanoids and inflammatory processes," *European Journal of Pharmacology*, vol. 785, pp. 77–86, 2016.
- [38] J. M. Monk, D. M. Liddle, D. J. Cohen et al., "The delta 6 desaturase knock out mouse reveals that immunomodulatory effects of essential n-6 and n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids are both independent of and dependent upon conversion," *The Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry*, vol. 32, pp. 29–38, 2016.
- [39] S. Shahid, N. Chand, R. U. Khan, S. M. Suhail, and N. A. Khan, "Alternations in cholesterol and fatty acids composition in egg yolk of Rhode Island Red x Fyoumi hens fed with hemp seeds (*Cannabis sativa L.*)," *Journal of Chemistry*, vol. 2015, Article ID 362936, 6 pages, 2015.
- [40] M. S. Shahid, Y. Wu, Z. Xiao, T. Raza, X. Dong, and J. Yuan, "Duration of the flaxseed diet promotes deposition of n-3 fatty acids in the meat and skin of Peking ducks," *Food & Nutrition Research*, vol. 63, 2019.
- [41] G. Kovacs, K. Dublecz, F. Husveth et al., "Effects of different hybrids, strains and age of laying hens on the cholesterol content of the table egg," *Acta Veterinaria Hungarica*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 285–294, 1998.
- [42] E.-Y. Ko, R. K. Saini, Y.-S. Keum, and B.-K. An, "Age of laying hens significantly influences the content of nutritionally vital lipophilic compounds in eggs," *Foods*, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 22, 2020.
- [43] M. B. Moghadam, A. Aziza, and G. Cherian, "Choline and methionine supplementation in layer hens fed flaxseed: effects on hen production performance, egg fatty acid composition, tocopherol content, and oxidative stability," *Poultry Science*, vol. 100, no. 9, article 101299, 2021.
- [44] G. Cherian, F. Wolfe, and J. Sim, "Dietary oils with added tocopherols: effects on egg or tissue tocopherols, fatty acids, and oxidative stability," *Poultry Science*, vol. 75, no. 3, pp. 423–431, 1996.
- [45] P. McLaughlin and J. L. Weihrauch, "Vitamin E content of foods," *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, vol. 75, no. 6, pp. 647–665, 1979.
- [46] W. Behrens and R. Madere, "Alpha- and gamma tocopherol concentrations in human serum," *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 91–96, 1986.

- [47] K. Hayes, A. Pronczuk, and J. Liang, "Differences in the plasma transport and tissue concentrations of tocopherols and tocotrienols: observations in humans and hamsters," *Proceedings* of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine, vol. 202, no. 3, pp. 353–359, 1993.
- [48] Z. Wang, X. Pan, W. Zhang, Z. Peng, R. Zhao, and G. Zhou, "Methionine and selenium yeast supplementation of the maternal diets affects antioxidant activity of breeding eggs," *Poultry Science*, vol. 89, no. 5, pp. 931–937, 2010.
- [49] S. G. H. Tang, C. C. Sieo, R. Kalavathy et al., "Chemical compositions of egg yolks and egg quality of laying hens fed prebiotic, probiotic, and synbiotic diets," *Journal of Food Science*, vol. 80, no. 8, pp. C1686–C1695, 2015.
- [50] J. Chen, J. Latshaw, H. Lee, and D. Min, "α-Tocopherol content and oxidative stability of egg yolk as related to dietary α-tocopherol," *Journal of Food Science*, vol. 63, no. 5, pp. 919– 922, 1998.
- [51] K. M. Chan, E. A. Decker, and C. Feustman, "Endogenous skeletal muscle antioxidants," *Critical Reviews in Food Science* and Nutrition, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 403–426, 1994.
- [52] M. Mazalli, D. Faria, D. Salvador, and D. Ito, "A comparison of the feeding value of different sources of fat for laying hens: 2. Lipid, cholesterol, and vitamin e profiles of egg yolk," *Journal* of Applied Poultry Research, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 280–290, 2004.
- [53] H. A. Shahryar, R. Salamatdoust, S. Chekani-Azar, F. Ahadi, and T. Vahdatpoor, "Lipid oxidation in fresh and stored eggs enriched with dietary w 3 and w6 polyunsaturated fatty acids and vitamin E and A dosages," *African Journal of Biotechnol*ogy, vol. 9, no. 12, 2010.
- [54] G. Cherian and J. S. Sim, "Egg yolk polyunsaturated fatty acids and vitamin E content alters the tocopherol status of hatched chicks," *Poultry Science*, vol. 76, no. 12, pp. 1753–1759, 1997.