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1. Introduction

During their growth and development, plants are constantly threatened by an array of adverse environmental conditions. These include abiotic stresses such as drought, heat, and salt, and biotic stresses in the form of various pathogens and insects [1–4]. Plants have numerous transcriptional regulators and transcription factors (TFs) that help them cope with such ecological stresses. These regulators and TFs also have important functions in different aspects of physiological metabolism [5, 6].

VQ motif-containing (VQ) genes have been widely identified in different plants. For example, 34 VQ family members have been identified in Arabidopsis thaliana [7], 75 in soybean (Glycine max) [8], 26 in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) [9], 49 in apple [10], and 59 in tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum L.) [11]. VQ genes have been found to play significant roles in plant growth, development, seed germination, and resistance to abiotic and biotic stresses [12–16]. The first identified VQ gene, sigma factor binding protein1 (SIB1), was found to be involved in resistance to Botrytis cinerea in A. thaliana [12, 13], and AtVQ14 was shown to participate in the HAiku (IKU) pathway, regulating endosperm development and seed size [14].

The WRKY gene family is one of the largest TF superfamilies in eukaryotes [17, 18], and WRKY genes are generally more numerous than VQ genes in various species. There are 56 known WRKY genes in rose (Rosa chinensis) [19], 94 in sorghum (Sorghum bicolor [L.] Moench) [20], 104 in poplar (Populus trichocarpa) [21], and 112 in cotton (Gossypium raimondii) [22]. WRKY genes are associated with seed dormancy, flowering, lignin biosynthesis, and leaf senescence in rice (Oryza sativa), Arabidopsis, Chinese flowering cabbage (Brassica rapa L. ssp. pekinensis), poplar (P. trichocarpa), and wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) [23–28]. WRKY genes also participate extensively in plant responses to abiotic and biotic stresses [29, 30]. VvWRKY30 and GmWRKY12 have been shown to enhance the salt tolerance...
of grapevine (Vitis vinifera) and soybean (G. max), respectively [31, 32], and rice and Arabidopsis WRKY genes have been shown to participate in the plant immune response to pathogens [13, 33].

Interaction models of VQ and WRKY proteins have revealed that their interactions play important roles in plant immunity, growth, and development [5, 34–41]. For example, the interaction between AtVQ10 and AtWRKY8 reduces the damage caused by B. cinerea infection in Arabidopsis [42], and the interaction between MaVQ5 and MaWRKY26 enhances the survival of banana (Musa acuminate) under cold stress [43]. The interaction of AtVQ20 with AtWRKY2 and AtWRKY34 mediates male gametophytic functions and pollen development in Arabidopsis [44, 45].

Prunus species are important members of the Rosaceae family and include various ornamental and economically important fruit trees such as P. yedoensis, P. persica, and P. dulcis [46–51]. Although the different species show a variety of karyotypes in nature [52], Prunus genomes are usually very similar in size and basic synteny [53, 54]. The chromosome numbers of the genus Prunus are x = 8, and Prunus species evolved from a common ancestor with x = 9 [55, 56]. The karyotypes of Prunus plants remain highly conserved, implying close relationships among these species [54, 55]. However, no genome-wide analysis has been performed to investigate the evolutionary patterns of VQ and WRKY genes and their interactions in closely related Prunus species. In this study, we identified VQ and WRKY genes in the genomes of P. yedoensis, P. domestica, P. avium, P. dulcis, P. persica, and P. yedoensis var. nudiflora. We then analyzed the phylogenetic relationships, evolutionary patterns, predicted interaction networks, and expression profiles of the VQ and WRKY genes from these species.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. The Identification of VQ and WRKY Genes. The whole genome sequences of P. yedoensis, P. domestica, P. avium, P. dulcis, P. persica, and P. yedoensis var. nudiflora were downloaded from the Genome Database for Rosaceae (GDR; https://www.rosaceae.org/). All proteins in the genomes of each species were predicted using InterProScan with default parameters. Genes encoding a VQ or WRKY domain were identified as VQ or WRKY genes based on the InterProScan predictions. For genes with multiple transcripts, only the first transcript was retained for further analysis. The amino acid sequences of the VQ domain (PF05678) and the WRKY domain (PF03106) were obtained from the Pfam database (http://pfam.xfam.org/) and used as query sequences in TBLASTN searches against all the nucleotide coding sequences (CDSs) in the six genomes (E value ≤ 10−5) [57]. The BLAST hit sequences were verified by Pfam analysis, and hits that encoded VQ or WRKY domains were considered to be members of the VQ or WRKY gene family. Finally, the VQ and WRKY candidate genes were cross-verified by InterProScan and BLAST analysis. The sequences of VQ and WRKY genes from Fragaria vesca were obtained from previous studies [58, 59].

2.2. Construction of Phylogenetic Trees and Classification of Clades. The CDSs of the two gene families from the six Prunus species and the outgroup F. vesca were converted into amino acid sequences for alignment, and then, the amino acid alignments were converted back to nucleotide alignments to construct the phylogenetic trees. The VQ and WRKY phylogenetic trees were constructed in MEGA 7 using the neighbor-joining (NJ) method with pairwise deletion, and bootstrap values were obtained from 1000 replicates [60]. The trees were divided into various clades using two criteria: (i) each clade should contain one or more genes from F. vesca and (ii) each clade should contain genes from five or six Prunus species.

2.3. Genetic Parameters of VQ and WRKY Genes. Alignments of the CDSs from each clade in the two phylogenetic trees were obtained using ClustalW2 based on the alignment of amino acid sequences from the six Prunus species [61]. MEGA 7 was then used to calculate the synonymous substitution rate (Ks), nonsynonymous substitution rate (Ka), Ka/Ks ratio, and genetic diversity (Pi) values in each clade of the two gene families [60]. Due to Ks saturation, Ks values larger than 1 were discarded in the subsequent analysis. The duplication times (T) of the VQ and WRKY genes were estimated based on a mutation rate of 9.48 × 10−9 point mutations per site per generation and 3 years/generation in peach: T = Ks/[(9.48 × 10−9)/3] [62]. At the same time, the frequency of sequence exchanges in VQ and WRKY genes was examined using the GENECNNV program with default parameters [63].

2.4. Prediction of Interactions between VQ and WRKY Genes. The VQ and WRKY CDSs from A. thaliana were downloaded from TAIR10 (http://www.Arabidopsis.org) [64]. These Arabidopsis genes were used as reference genes to uncover potential interactions between VQ and WRKY genes in the six Prunus species. The VQ and WRKY genes were numbered based on their gene ID orders (Tables S1 and S2). The CDSs of the VQ and WRKY genes from A. thaliana and the six studied species were then aligned, and 12 phylogenetic trees were constructed using the methods described above. Prunus and A. thaliana genes located in the same clade with bootstrap values ≥ 50 were considered to be homologs based on the phylogenetic tree topologies. Interaction relationships between the VQ and WRKY genes in the six Prunus species could then be predicted based on those of their VQ–WRKY homologs in A. thaliana [6–9, 65, 66]. The predicted interaction networks were then visualized using Cytoscape 3.7.1 (https://cytoscape.org/) [67].

2.5. Expression Patterns of VQ and WRKY Genes in P. persica and P. avium. RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) data for two P. persica peach lines (susceptible S38 and resistant R36) infested with the green peach aphid (GPA) from 3 h to 72 h were obtained from a previous study [68]. We then analyzed these data further to examine the expression of VQ and WRKY genes. Differences in VQ and WRKY gene expression were identified based on thresholds of log2(fold
change) | ≥2 and false discovery rate (FDR) adjusted P value < 0.05. Expression heatmaps were created for VQ and WRKY genes that were differentially expressed between the R36 and S38 lines using the heatmap package in RStudio (https://www.rstudio.com/) based on FPKM values (Fragment per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped reads). The FPKM values of VQ and WRKY genes in sweet cherry (P. avium) from 3 to 94 days after full bloom (DAFB) were also used to create heatmaps using the same methods [69]. Pearson’s correlation coefficients (PCCs) between FPKM values of VQ and WRKY genes with predicted interaction relationships were calculated using SPSS version 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. VQ and WRKY Genes in the Six Prunus Species

A total of 222 VQ genes were identified in the six Prunus species: 55 in P. yedoensis, 70 in P. domestica, 25 in P. avium, 23 in P. dulcis, 26 in P. persica, and 23 in P. yedoensis var. nudiflora (Table 1). P. domestica contained the largest number of VQ genes, followed by P. yedoensis. The other four Prunus species had lower and similar VQ gene numbers. Because the P. yedoensis var. nudiflora genome assembly is approximately half the size of the P. yedoensis assembly, it contained approximately half as many VQ genes.

The total number of WRKY genes identified in the six Prunus species (645) was greater than that of VQ genes (Table 1). The number of WRKY genes in each species was also greater than the number of VQ genes. Unsurprisingly, as with the VQ genes, the largest number of WRKY genes (262) was found in P. domestica, and this number was twice that found in P. yedoensis (139). Very similar WRKY gene numbers were found in P. avium (53), P. dulcis (56), and P. persica (58). However, the number of WRKY genes in P. yedoensis var. nudiflora (77) was slightly higher than that in P. avium, P. dulcis, and P. persica.

The average CDS lengths of the VQ and WRKY genes in the six Prunus species were irregular (Table 1), and the average CDS lengths were greater for WRKY genes than for VQ genes in each species.

#### 3.2. Evolutionary Events Associated with VQ and WRKY Genes in the Six Prunus Species

The phylogenetic tree of VQ genes could be divided into 13 clades based on the two criteria described in Materials and Methods (Figure 1), indicating that no fewer than 13 ancient VQ copies existed in the genome of the six species’ common ancestor. Gene duplication and loss events were detected in each VQ clade during the evolution of the six Prunus species. Among all 222 VQ genes in the six species, approximately 60.81% (135/222) and 2.25% (5/222) had undergone gene duplication or gene loss, respectively (Table 2). The two species with the most VQ genes, P. domestica and P. yedoensis, also displayed the highest frequencies of gene duplication. Sixty-six VQ genes in P. domestica and 51 VQ genes in P. yedoensis were associated with 55 and 39 duplication events, respectively. These numbers of genes and duplication events were more than two times greater than those in the other four species. In general, there were very few VQ gene loss events in the six species. Two loss events occurred in P. domestica and P. yedoensis var. nudiflora, and one occurred in P. yedoensis. No loss events occurred in the remaining three species.

A phylogenetic tree was constructed using 645 WRKY genes from the six Prunus species and 61 WRKY genes from F. vesca, and the genes were classified into 32 clades (Figure 2). At least 32 ancient WRKY genes were therefore present in the ancestral species before the differentiation of the six extant Prunus species. Among all the WRKY genes, 66.67% (430/645) and 0.47% (3/645) were associated with gene duplication and loss events, respectively (Table 2). P. domestica was again associated with the most WRKY gene duplication events (223) and the largest number of WRKY genes involved in duplication (254). P. domestica was followed by P. yedoensis, with 103 duplication events and 135 duplication-related genes; these values were much lower than those of P. domestica. In the other four species, the number of WRKY gene duplication events ranged from 17 to 39, and the numbers of associated genes ranged from 29 to 60. Only two WRKY gene loss events were observed in P. avium, and one was observed in P. yedoensis var. nudiflora. No loss events were observed among the WRKY genes of the other species.

#### 3.3. Duplication Times of VQ and WRKY Genes in the Six Prunus Species

The distribution of paralog Ks values revealed the duplication times and scales of the VQ and WRKY gene families. For the VQ genes, the Ks values were not continuously distributed. Most Ks values ranged from 0 to 0.5, and almost none were found between 0.5 and 1.0.

### Table 1: Gene numbers and average lengths of nucleotide coding sequences of VQ and WRKY genes identified in the six Prunus species.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>VQ genes</th>
<th>WRKY genes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gene number</td>
<td>Average length (bp)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. yedoensis</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>660.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. domestica</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>581.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. avium</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>730.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. dulcis</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>663.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. persica</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>731.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. yedoensis var. nudiflora</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>617.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>3985.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This result indicated that almost no VQ gene duplication events occurred at a relatively ancient stage (0.5–1.0); instead, their duplications occurred relatively recently (0–0.5). In particular, the distinct Ks peak from 0 to 0.1 clearly demonstrated that the vast majority of VQ genes in the six species arose from recent duplications. To further investigate VQ gene duplication times, Ks values in the range of 0 to 0.01 were refined into ten smaller units (Figure 3(b)). At this smaller scale, the Ks values were distributed continuously and showed peaks at 0.01–0.02 and 0–0.01. This result indicated that very recent duplications have shaped the VQ genes in the six Prunus species.

The Ks values of paralogous WRKY genes were distributed within the range of 0–0.9 (Figure 3(c)), indicating that duplication events have occurred continuously over the course of WRKY gene evolution. The Ks peak of the WRKY genes was also detected between 0 and 0.1, similar to that of the VQ genes. This indicated that large-scale WRKY gene duplications occurred during the same recent period as the VQ gene duplications. Within the more detailed range of 0 to 0.1, the Ks values peaked at 0–0.01 and then gradually declined from 0.01 to 0.1 (Figure 3(d)). These results showed that the evolution of the WRKY genes in the six species was also driven mainly by very recent duplications. The similar
duplication times of the dominant gene expansions in the two families may be indicative of close evolutionary relationships between some VQ and WRKY genes in the six species.

3.4. Evolutionary Patterns of VQ and WRKY Genes in the Six Prunus Species. In general, the Ka/Ks ratios were greater for the VQ genes than for the WRKY genes. This suggests that fewer functional restrictions operate on the VQ genes than on the WRKY genes (Figure 4(a)). In the VQ gene family, the Ka/Ks ratios for both paralogs and orthologs were generally less than 1 (Figure 4(b)). Among all pairs of VQ genes in the six Prunus species, more than 90% (1201/1307) had undergone purifying selection. The remaining gene pairs had Ka/Ks ratio values greater than 1, indicating that a minority of the VQ genes were under positive selection. There was also a difference between the Ka/Ks ratios of paralogs and orthologs: orthologs had significantly higher Ka/Ks ratios (t-test, P < 0.01). This suggested that paralogs were subjected to more functional restrictions than orthologs among the six species. The Ka/Ks ratios of the WRKY gene pairs were similar to those of the VQ gene pairs; most were less than 1, but approximately 10% (673/6407) were greater than 1. However, the median, mean, and quartiles of the Ka/Ks ratios were slightly higher for the paralogs than for the orthologs (Figure 4(b)).

The Pi values were significantly greater for the VQ genes than for the WRKY genes (t-test, P < 0.01), and the VQ genes therefore displayed greater nucleotide diversity (Figure 4(c)). Among the WRKY genes, the Pi values were significantly higher for paralogs than for orthologs (t-test, P < 0.01; Figure 4(d)).

The number of sequence exchange events was more than four times greater between the WRKY genes (1039) than between the VQ genes (220), a result that reflects the larger numbers of WRKY genes in the six species (Table S3). Significantly more frequent sequence exchanges were detected between orthologs than between paralogs for both VQ (t-test, P < 0.05) and WRKY genes (t-test, P < 0.01).

3.5. Interactions between the VQ and WRKY Genes. Based on the topologies of the phylogenetic trees (Figures S1 and S2), AtVQ and AtWRKY homologs were identified in the six Prunus species (Figure S3). By analogy to the interactions of their Arabidopsis homologs, 41 Prunus VQ genes were predicted to interact with 44 WRKY genes: 8 PyVQ genes with 4 PyWRKY genes in P. yedoensis, 10 PgVQs with 9 PgWRKYs in P. domestica, 3 PvVQs with 6 PvWRKYs in P. avium, 4 PaVQs with 9 PaWRKYs in P. dulcis, 10 PpVQs with 7 PpWRKYs in P. persica, and 6 PcVQs with 9 PcWRKYs in P. yedoensis var. nudiflora. One-to-one, one-to-many, and many-to-many interaction relationships were all predicted between the VQ and WRKY genes of the studied Prunus species. The single predicted one-to-one interaction relationship was PvVQ5-PvWRKY38 in P. avium. PpVQ1-PpWRKY3/PpWRKY31/PpWRKY37 in P. persica and PcVQ10/PcVQ17/PcVQ19-PcWRKY14 in P. yedoensis var. nudiflora were good examples of one-to-many interaction relationships. All the predicted VQ and WRKY genes in P. yedoensis and P. domestica exhibited many-to-many interaction relationships.

3.6. Expression Profiles of PpVQ and PpWRKY Genes in Peach during Aphid Infestation. Eleven PpVQ and 24 PpWRKY genes were significantly differentially expressed between the susceptible peach line, S38, and the resistant line, R36, after GPA infestation for 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 24, 48, and 72 h (Figure 5).

The expression levels of the differentially expressed VQ genes were significantly lower in S38 than in R36 (t-test, P < 0.01), demonstrating that these VQ genes responded more strongly to GPA infestation in the resistant line than in the susceptible line. In particular, two genes (PpVQ22 and PpVQ24) had higher expression levels than the other genes in the two peach lines. They had high expression levels at 0 h, then displayed fluctuating upregulation at subsequent time points in S38 and R36. These patterns indicated that PpVQ22 and PpVQ24 expression continuously fluctuated.
in both lines during GPA infestation. In the R36 line, *PpVQ8* and *PpVQ9* also had relatively high expression levels that displayed wave-like oscillations.

The expression levels of differentially expressed WRKY genes were likewise higher in R36 than in S38. *PpWRKY19* had the highest expression level of the differentially expressed WRKY genes, and its expression also exhibited waves of upregulation from 0 to 72 h in both lines. Similar tendencies were observed for *PpWRKY46* and *PpWRKY53* in both lines and for *PpWRKY7*, *PpWRKY8*, *PpWRKY9*, *PpWRKY16*, *PpWRKY41*, *PpWRKY44*, and *PpWRKY50* in R36 only.

Although some of the same genes exhibited similar expression patterns in both lines, more VQ and WRKY genes had higher expression levels in the resistant line and may have contributed to its ability to defend against GPA infestation.

### 3.7. Expression Patterns of *PvVQ* and *PvWRKY* Genes during Sweet Cherry Exocarp Development

Thirteen *PvVQ* genes and 32 *PvWRKY* genes were differentially expressed during the development of sweet cherry fruit exocarps from 3 to 94 DAFB. The experimental period encompassed three developmental stages: (i) 3–30 DAFB (cell division and
(ii) 31–45 DAFB (seed development), and (iii) 52–94 DAFB (rapid cell expansion; Figure 6). The differentially expressed VQ genes exhibited four expression patterns from full bloom to fruit ripening. The first pattern, which was displayed by genes such as *PvVQ17*, was characterized by higher expression at 3 DAFB. The second pattern, shown by *PvVQ4* and *PvVQ20*, was characterized by similar expression at all three stages. The third pattern involved relatively high expression at 31 and 52 DAFB but lower expression at other time points. *PvVQ7*, *PvVQ16*, and *PvVQ21* displayed this pattern and may therefore be involved in the early phases of seed development and rapid cell expansion. The fourth pattern was shown by *PvVQ12*, *PvVQ19*, and *PvVQ25* and was characterized by relatively low expression at stages I and II but higher expression in the later period of stage III.

The differentially expressed WRKY genes also displayed four expression patterns. *PvWRKY8*, *PvWRKY18*, and *PvWRKY34* displayed the first pattern, with higher expression in the early phase of stage I. *PvWRKY3*, *PvWRKY19*, and *PvWRKY20* represented the second pattern, showing little variation in expression among the three stages. WRKY genes with distinctly higher expression in the early phase of stage II (e.g., *PvWRKY25* and *PvWRKY35*) or in the later phase of stage III (e.g., *PvWRKY13* and *PvWRKY16*) were assigned to the third or fourth pattern, respectively. These results indicated that some *PvVQ* and *PvWRKY* genes were differentially expressed during fruit development and maturation in sweet cherry.

### 3.8. Correlations between Related VQ and WRKY Genes

Using FPKM values of the VQ and WRKY genes in the two peach lines, PCC values were calculated between predicted VQ-WRKY interaction partners (Table 3). Ten VQ-WRKY gene pairs had significant correlations (*P* < 0.05) or very significant correlations (*P* < 0.01) in expression in the resistant peach line, R36. Nine of the significantly correlated
gene pairs had significant positive correlations, but the remaining pair (PpVQ20-PpWRKY37) had a highly significant negative correlation. Only one sweet cherry gene pair (PvVQ22-PvWRKY48) showed a significant correlation in expression. These significantly correlated gene pairs may participate in responses to pathogen infection or in other development-related physiological activities.

4. Discussion

4.1. Gene Duplications Have Shaped the VQ and WRKY Families in Six Prunus Species.

Gene duplication is one of the mechanisms by which genetic materials are produced for plant evolution [70]. Numerous gene families have been shaped by gene duplication in plant genomes. Examples include the VQ gene family in soybean (G. max) and pear (Pyrus bretscheideri) [8, 71] and the WRKY gene family in sorghum (S. bicolor) and potato (Solanum tuberosum) [20, 72].

In this study, at least 60% of the VQ and WRKY family members in six Prunus genomes were generated by gene duplication events. Gene duplication was therefore one of the most important genetic events promoting gene expansions during the evolution of these two gene families in the Prunus species. Although the VQ and WRKY genes of the six species originated from common ancestral VQ and WRKY copies, the different scales of the duplication events they experienced led to different numbers of VQ and WRKY genes in the six genomes. More gene duplication events were detected for the VQ and WRKY genes of P. yedoensis and P.
Figure 5: Continued.
domestica than for those of the other four species; this was the principal cause of the greater gene numbers in P. yedoensis and P. domestica (Table 2).

4.2. Relatively Recent Duplications Were the Main Drivers of VQ and WRKY Gene Expansions in the Six Prunus Species. Recent duplications have driven the expansion and evolution of plant gene families [73], including the NBS-LRR gene families in grapevine (V. vinifera) and poplar (P. trichocarpa) [74]. Most soybean VQ genes have undergone recent segmental duplications [8]. In the present study, the majority of VQ genes in the six Prunus species were generated by recent duplication events, as evidenced by paralogous Ks values that peaked at 0.01–0.02 and 0–0.01 (Figure 3). The

**Figure 5:** Expression of *Prunus persica* VQ and WRKY genes in two peach lines infested with green peach aphids (GPAs). (a) VQ expression in the susceptible peach line, S38. (b) VQ expression in the resistant peach line, R36. (c) WRKY expression in the susceptible peach line, S38. (d) WRKY expression in the resistant peach line, R36.
most recent duplication of the VQ genes appears to have occurred approximately <6.33 million years ago (MYA), based on the mutation rate in peach (Materials and Methods). This duplication therefore arose after the divergence of the *Prunus* species, which occurred between 36 and 44 MYA [47]. Furthermore, the Ks values of WRKY gene paralogs peaked at 0–0.01, indicating that the WRKY genes of the six species began to experience recent expansion events approximately <3.16 MYA. Thus, large-scale, recent gene duplications were the dominant force driving VQ and WRKY gene expansions among the six *Prunus* species. No whole-genome duplication (WGD) events have occurred recently in *Prunus* species such as *P. persica* [49, 75–77]. Therefore, expansions of the VQ and WRKY genes may be only loosely connected with WGDs in these *Prunus* species.
Table 3: Pearson correlation coefficients of the expression levels of predicted VQ–WRKY interaction partners from *Prunus persica* and *Prunus avium*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>VQ gene ID</th>
<th>WRKY gene ID</th>
<th>PCC value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>P. persica</em> <em>R36</em></td>
<td>PpVQ1</td>
<td>PpWRKY3</td>
<td>0.631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ1</td>
<td>PpWRKY31</td>
<td>0.616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ1</td>
<td>PpWRKY37</td>
<td>0.727*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ4</td>
<td>PpWRKY37</td>
<td>−0.222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ8</td>
<td>PpWRKY37</td>
<td>0.950**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ8</td>
<td>PpWRKY44</td>
<td>0.912**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ14</td>
<td>PpWRKY37</td>
<td>0.817*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ14</td>
<td>PpWRKY44</td>
<td>0.810*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ17</td>
<td>PpWRKY50</td>
<td>−0.336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ18</td>
<td>PpWRKY15</td>
<td>−0.294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ18</td>
<td>PpWRKY17</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ18</td>
<td>PpWRKY37</td>
<td>−0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ20</td>
<td>PpWRKY15</td>
<td>0.290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ20</td>
<td>PpWRKY17</td>
<td>−0.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ20</td>
<td>PpWRKY37</td>
<td>−0.850**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ21</td>
<td>PpWRKY15</td>
<td>−0.171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ21</td>
<td>PpWRKY17</td>
<td>−0.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ21</td>
<td>PpWRKY37</td>
<td>0.616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ22</td>
<td>PpWRKY37</td>
<td>0.904**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ22</td>
<td>PpWRKY31</td>
<td>0.904**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ22</td>
<td>PpWRKY37</td>
<td>0.794*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ22</td>
<td>PpWRKY44</td>
<td>0.688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ24</td>
<td>PpWRKY37</td>
<td>0.750*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ24</td>
<td>PpWRKY44</td>
<td>0.671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ1</td>
<td>PpWRKY3</td>
<td>0.090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ1</td>
<td>PpWRKY31</td>
<td>0.702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ1</td>
<td>PpWRKY37</td>
<td>0.364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ4</td>
<td>PpWRKY37</td>
<td>−0.332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ8</td>
<td>PpWRKY37</td>
<td>−0.214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ8</td>
<td>PpWRKY44</td>
<td>0.683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ14</td>
<td>PpWRKY37</td>
<td>−0.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ14</td>
<td>PpWRKY44</td>
<td>−0.432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ17</td>
<td>PpWRKY50</td>
<td>0.613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ18</td>
<td>PpWRKY15</td>
<td>−0.362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ18</td>
<td>PpWRKY17</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ18</td>
<td>PpWRKY37</td>
<td>−0.494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ20</td>
<td>PpWRKY15</td>
<td>−0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ20</td>
<td>PpWRKY17</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ20</td>
<td>PpWRKY37</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ21</td>
<td>PpWRKY15</td>
<td>−0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ21</td>
<td>PpWRKY17</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ21</td>
<td>PpWRKY37</td>
<td>0.384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ22</td>
<td>PpWRKY31</td>
<td>−0.239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PpVQ22</td>
<td>PpWRKY37</td>
<td>−0.699</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant correlation at the 0.05 level. **Significant correlation at the 0.01 level.*

4.3. Different Evolutionary Patterns between VQ and WRKY Gene Families in the Six Prunus Species. The vast majority of VQ and WRKY genes have experienced purifying selection (Figures 4(a) and 4(b); Ka/Ks < 1) to eliminate deleterious mutations and maintain gene functions during evolution [78]. These results are consistent with those for VQ genes from the genomes of three legumes and tobacco (*N. tabacum* L.) [8, 11, 79] and for the WRKY genes of *Arabidopsis*, cotton (*G. raimondii*), and rose (*R. chinensis*) [19, 22, 80]. Therefore, most members of the two gene families in the six species studied here had relatively low variation rates and conserved functions. A fraction of the VQ and WRKY genes were under positive selection (Ka/Ks > 1), which may have been related to their ability to help the plant cope with various environmental conditions [77]. Trends in Ka/Ks distribution were similar between the VQ and WRKY genes. However, Ka/Ks ratios, which are indicative of selection pressure, were higher in the VQ genes than in the WRKY genes. The VQ genes also had more significant nucleotide diversity than the WRKY genes (*t*-test, *P* < 0.01). VQ proteins interact with a variety of partners, and VQ genes therefore encode extremely diverse proteins with different primary structures [37]. Therefore, WRKY genes may show greater conservation and are more likely to be trapped by functional restrictions than VQ genes in the six *Prunus* genomes.

4.4. *PpVQ* and *PpWRKY* Genes Respond Together to Peach Aphid Infestation. VQ and WRKY genes have previously been reported to participate in plant disease response and defense [33, 36, 39, 42]. In the present study, 11 *PpVQ* and 24 *PpWRKY* genes were differentially expressed under GPA infestation in two peach lines (Figure 5). For example, *PpVQ24* expression responded strongly to GPA infestation; *PpVQ24* is a homolog of *AtVQ16* and *AtVQ23*, which are associated with defense against *B. cinerea* in *Arabidopsis* [13]. Likewise, *PpWRKY19*, which displayed the highest expression levels after GPA infestation, is homologous to *AtWRKY54* and *AtWRKY70*. These two *Arabidopsis* WRKY TFs negatively mediate responses to drought and necrotrophic pathogens [39, 81, 82]. These results demonstrate that *PpVQ24* and *PpWRKY19* may be involved in the GPA response in peach.

Overall, *PpVQ* and *PpWRKY* genes responded more strongly to GPA infestation in the resistant line, R36, than in the susceptible line, S38. This was consistent with the
longer duration of defense in R36 than in S38 [68]. Stronger responses of VQ and WRKY genes to pathogen infection in resistant plants have been reported previously in other species. Examples include the \textit{GmVQ} genes in soybean (\textit{G. max}) infested with the common cutworm (\textit{Spodoptera litura Fabricius}), \textit{OsVQ} genes in rice (\textit{O. sativa}) after infection with three pathogens, and WRKY genes in chrysanthemum (\textit{Chrysanthemum morifolium}) after aphid infestation [15, 16, 35].

It is well-known that VQ proteins interact with WRKY TFs to participate jointly in plant defense against pathogens and insects. In peaches subjected to GPA infestation, the FPKM values of ten predicted interacting gene pairs (e.g., \textit{PpVQ8-PpWRKY44}, \textit{PpVQ8-PpWRKY44}, and \textit{PpVQ20-PpWRKY37}) were significantly correlated. Interestingly, the \textit{Arabidopsis} genes \textit{AtVQ16} (SIB2) and \textit{AtVQ23} (SIB1), which are homologs of \textit{PpVQ8}, increase \textit{B. cinerea} resistance by interacting with \textit{AtWRKY33}, which is a \textit{PpWRKY44} homolog [13]. We speculate that \textit{PpVQ} and \textit{PpWRKY} genes with highly correlated expression patterns may interact to respond together to GPA infestation in peach, and this possibility awaits further research.
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