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Lipid disorders are a common clinical challenge in the western countries. In patients with dyslipemia (total cholesterol $>200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, HDL cholesterol $<35 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, LDL cholesterol $>130 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ and triglycerides $>150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ) it is mandatory to normalize blood pressure ( $<130 / 80 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) as well to reduce LDL-C values to normal levels by using drugs to inhibit of endogenous and exogenous cholesterol, to decrease triglycerides, and increases HDL-C up to normal range. It is also essential to maintain for this purpose suitable dietetic measures (reduction of unsatured fats and salt intakes- $<2.5 \mathrm{~g} /$ daily) and without interruption, to support pharmacologic treatment in most of the patients.

## 1. Introduction

During many years I have been observing very often some misunderstanding therapeutic ideas from several physicians about the management of patients with lipid disorders mostly in older hypertensive patients. This situation is directly related to an insufficient therapeutic control due to inadequate drug dosages. However, we must not neglected this point because good control is crucial for clinical point of view and for the prognosis of the patients.

It is well established the relevance to provide some measures for the prevention and delay of atherosclerosis that is closely related to the well-known cardiovascular risk factors, such as, hypertension, smoking habit, dyslipemia, obesity, diabetes, and many others disorders. On the other hand, severe or fatal cardiovascular events related to the risk factors are also important in order to offer the patients a better management to reduce stroke, artery coronary disease, peripheral arteriopathy, and other vascular diseases in western countries.

Hypertension, together with smoking habit and high blood lipid levels, represents the most important pathogenic cause for atherosclerosis and subsequent clinical severe diseases.

The following ideas are based on my clinical experience during many years on this particular clinical disorder.

Table 1: Levels of total cholesterol, triglycerides, HDL cholesterol, and LDL cholesterol according to NECP Guidelines. Adult Panel III (2002).

| Cholesterol | Goal |
| :--- | :---: |
| Ideal | $<200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ |
| High limit | $200-239 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ |
| High | $>239 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ |
| HDL cholesterol | $40-55 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ |
| LDL cholesterol | $50-130 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ |
| Triglycerides | $50-150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ |

## 2. Lowering Lipid Levels

As part of an overall strategy to stop atherosclerosis, control of hypertension is very important ( $\mathrm{BP} \leq 140 / 80 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) in all cases using general measures (diet, salt restriction, relief of stress, regular aerobic exercise, and dietary management: caloric restriction, reduction of cholesterol and saturated fats intake) as well as drug therapy when necessary [1]. Smoking habit, for example, must be stopped as soon as possible, and Current National Guidelines recommend having cholesterol level screening in adults [2]. They also propose to obtain a fasting lipid profile (including total cholesterol, triglycerides,

Table 2: Drugs recommended to normalise lipid disorders.

|  | New statins |
| :--- | :--- |
| Drugs to reduce LDL cholesterol | ACAT inhibitors |
|  | MTP inhibitors |
|  | Bile acids transport inhibitors |
| Specific cholesterol absorption inhibitors (Ezetimibe) |  |
|  | Nicotinic acid |
| Drugs that increase HDL cholesterol | PPAR $\alpha / \beta$ dual agonists |
|  | Lipoprotein lipase activators |
|  | CETP inhibitors |
|  | Fibric acid derivatives |
| Drugs to treat Hypertriglyceridemia | Icosapent ethyl ester |
|  | Doconexent ethyl ester |

ACAT: Acyl-CoA cholesterol acyl transferase; MTP: microsomal triglyceride transfer protein; PPAR: proliferator-activated receptor; CETP: cholesteryl ester transfer protein.

Table 3: National Cholesterol Education Program. Therapeutic target according with the lipids blood levels.

| Category of risk | Target LDL-Ch | LDL-Ch levels for no drugs | LDL-Ch level for drug use |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| IC (risk to 10 years $>20 \%)$ | $<100$ | $\geq 100$ | $>130 ; 100-129$ optional therapy |
| $2+$ risk factors, risk to 10 years $(\leq 20 \%)$ | $<130$ | $\geq 130$ | Risk to 10 years $10-20 \% \geq 130$ risk 10 <br> years $<10 \% \geq 160$ |
| $0-1$ risk factors | $<160$ | $\geq 160$ | $\geq 190 ; 160-189$ LDL-Ch optional lipid <br> drugs |

IC: ischemic coronary disease.

LDL cholesterol, and HDL cholesterol) in patients with known vascular diseases and those with several risk factors or elevated total cholesterol levels.

## 3. Some Frequent Errors Observed in the Clinical Practice

Unfortunately, three types of mistakes are very common present in clinical practice by many physicians: (1) some colleagues take as normal lipid values clearly high and neglecting the reference values expressed on Table 1. At this point, many patients with moderately high cholesterol, triglycerides, LDL-cholesterol and decreased HDL cholesterol with values below ranging between 35 and $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ do not receive any treatment; (2) it is well-known that statin/fibrate combination therapy is not recommended in same patient to treat mixed dyslipemia; but unfortunaly, this procedure is maintain by physicians in many cases. These associations are not adequate because of their increasing side effects rhabdomyolysis, severe and even fatal in some patients; (3) in my clinical experience, the most common error that I have observed is the discontinuation of lipid lowering therapy when normal values are reached; obviously expected, lipid values become again pathologically high after suspension of therapy (statins, fibrates, or ezetimibe) (Table 2).

Nevertheles, thanks to the therapeutic arsenal available today, observed normalization of lipid values in a large percentage of patients. The 4 S study [3] demonstrated for the first time that intensive treatment to decrease lipid
levels achieved reduced mortality rate in patients with coronary heart disease and cholesterol values between 212 and $309 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ (mean: $260 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ). The CARE study [4] showed beneficial effects in patients with history of coronary heart disease and total cholesterol of 175 to $240 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ (mean: $211 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ); the LIPID trial [5] showed an improvement in total cardiovascular mortality rate with cholesterolemia levels below those reported in the 4 S study (mean: $220 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ).

The AFCAPS/TexCAPS study [6] showed the benefit of lipid-lowering treatment of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality rate in primary prevention in individuals with levels between 180 and $264 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ (mean, $221 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ) aged $45-75$ years, as well as a slight decrease of HDL-C levels in individuals with cholesterol values considered within the normal limits ( $180-264 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$; mean: $221 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ). The PROVE-IT [7] demonstrated that intensive lipid-lowering therapy versus standard treatment reduced significantly cardiovascular morbidity and mortality rate after acute coronary syndromes.

These studies have cleared the way to recommend statins therapy in patients treated for secondary prevention (high risk) and primary prevention with other related risk factors (Table 3).

Our experience demonstrated in hypertensive patients older than 60 as the coadministration of ezetimibe/simvastatin + fenofibrate improved atherogenic lipid profile with mixed hyperlipidemia [8]. Another recent study, with participation of my group [9] showed that the association of "extended-released niacin and laropiprant (ERN/LRDT) + statin" significantly improved the lipid profile compared to
the run-in dose doubled, and it was generally well tolerated in patients with primary hypercholesterolemia and mixed dyslipemia. The protocol was the following: after a 2 - to 6week run-in statin (simvastatin 10 or 20 mg or atorvastatin 10 mg ) period, 1216 patients were randomised equally to one of two treatment groups in a double-blind fashion: group 1 received ERN/LRPT ( 1 g ) plus the run-in statin dose and advanced to ERN/LRPT ( 2 g ) after 4 weeks for an additional 8 weeks, with no adjustments to the run-in statin dose; group 2 received simvastatin or atorvastatin at twice their run-in statin dose and remained on this stable dose for 12 weeks.

Some of the molecules under study (clinical trials phase II or III) in which our unit is participating actively are expressed in Merck Pipeline: cardiovascular: MK-0736, MK 6621 (vernakalant), atherosclerosis MK-0524-A (tredaptive), MK-0524B, and MK-0859 (anacetrapib).

In summary, treatment and control of arteriosclerotic vascular disease in hypertensive patients needs the overall treatment of the risk factors; in particular, in patients with dyslipemia, it is necessary to normalize blood pressure, to reduce LDL-C values to normal levels by the inhibition of endogenous and exogenous cholesterol, as well as to decrease triglycerides, and increase HDL-C levels, so that it is essential to maintain dietetic measures (reduction of salt and unsaturated fat intakes) and continuous pharmacologic treatment in most of the patients.

## References

[1] S. Yusuf, K. K. Teo, J. Pogue et al., "Telmisartan, ramipril, or both in patients at high risk for vascular events," New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 358, no. 15, pp. 1547-1559, 2008.
[2] "Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) expert panel on detection, evaluation, and treatment of high cholesterol in adults (Adult Treatment Panel III) final report," Circulation, vol. 106, pp. 3143-3421, 2002.
[3] T. R. Pedersen, "Randomised trial of cholesterol lowering in 4444 patients with coronary heart disease: the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival study (4S)," The Lancet, vol. 344, no. 8934, pp. 1383-1389, 1994.
[4] F. M. Sacks, M. A. Pfeffer, L. A. Moya et al., "The effect of pravastatin on coronary events after myocardial infaction in patients with average cholesterol levels. Cholesterol and recurrents events trial investigators," New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 335, pp. 1001-1009, 1996.
[5] A. Tonkin, P. Alyward, D. Colquhoun et al., "Prevention of cardiovascular events and death with pravastatin in patients with coronary heart disease and a broad range of initial cholesterol levels," New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 339, no. 19, pp. 1349-1357, 1998.
[6] J. R. Downs, M. Clearfield, S. Weis et al., "Primary prevention of acute coronary events with lovastatin in men and women with average cholesterol levels: results of AFCAPS/TexCAPS," Journal of the American Medical Association, vol. 279, no. 20, pp. 16151622, 1998.
[7] C. P. Cannon, E. Braunwald, C. H. McCabe et al., "Intensive versus moderate lipid lowering with statins after acute coronary syndromes," New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 350, no. 15, pp. 1495-1504, 2004.
[8] M. Farnier, E. Roth, B. Gil-Extremera et al., "Efficacy and safety of the coadministration of ezetimibe/simvastatin with fenofibrate in patients with mixed hyperlipidemia," American Heart Journal, vol. 153, no. 2, pp. 335.e1-335.e8, 2007.
[9] S. Shah, R. Ceska, and B. Gil Extremera, "Efficacy and safety of extended release niacin/laropiprant added to statin versus doubling the dose of statin in patients with primary hypercholesterolemia or mixed dyslipidaemia," International Journal of Clinical Practice, vol. 64, pp. 727-738, 2010.


## The Scientific World Journal



