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Prevalence of Shiga Toxin-Producing Escherichia coli O157 and Non-O157 Serogroups Isolated from Fresh Raw Beef Meat Samples in an Industrial Slaughterhouse
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Background. The aims of the current study are the identification of O157 and non-O157 Shiga Toxin-Producing Escherichia coli (STEC) serogroups isolated from fresh raw beef meat samples in an industrial slaughterhouse, determination of antimicrobial resistance patterns, and genetic linkage of STEC isolates.

Materials and Methods. A total of 110 beef samples were collected from the depth of the rump of cattle slaughtered at Hamadan industrial slaughterhouse. After detection of E. coli isolates, STEC strains were identified according to PCR for stx1, stx2, eaeA, and hlyA virulence genes, and STEC serogroups (O157 and non-O157) were identified by PCR. The genetic linkage of STEC isolates was analyzed by the ERIC- (Enterobacterial Repetitive Intergenic Consensus-) PCR method. The antimicrobial susceptibility of STEC isolates was detected by the disk diffusion method according to CLSI guidelines.

Results. Among 110 collected beef samples, 77 (70%) were positive for E. coli. The prevalence of STEC in E. coli isolates was 8 (10.4%). The overall prevalence of O157 and non-O157 STEC isolates was 12.5% (one isolate) and 87.5% (7 isolates), respectively. The hemolysin gene was detected in 25% (2 isolates) of STEC strains. Evaluation of antibiotic resistance indicated that 100% of STEC isolates were resistant to ampicillin, ampicillin-sulbactam, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, and cefazolin. Resistance to tetracycline and ciprofloxacin was detected in 62.5% and 12.5% of isolates, respectively. The analysis of the ERIC-PCR results showed five different ERIC types among the STEC isolates. Conclusion. The isolation of different clones STECs from beef and the presence of antibiotic-resistant isolates indicate that more attention should be paid to the hygiene of slaughterhouses.

1. Introduction

Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli (STEC) is an important cause of gastrointestinal disease in humans. Infections may result in life-threatening disease, e.g., hemolytic-uremic syndrome (HUS) and thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP), due to the consumption of undercooked ground beef and other beef products contaminated with STEC [1]. Although several routes exist for human infection with STEC, beef remains the main source. Because of the nature of the food supply, safety concerns with beef will continue, and the challenges faced by the beef industry will increase at the production and processing levels [2]. Emerging evidence indicates that the E. coli Shiga toxins (stx) constitute a family of several related cytotoxins. At least two of them, Stx1 and Stx2, which are encoded by stxl and stx2 genes, respectively, are known to be associated with human disease [3]. E. coli O26, O45, O91, O103, O111, O113, O121, O128, O145, and O157 are the major STEC serogroups, and all are able to produce Shiga toxins. Some isolates also have eaeA and/or hlyA in addition to stxl and stx2. The eaeA gene is coded to a protein called intimin, the function of which is to create attaching-effacing lesions (A/E) [4]. In addition to the expression of Shiga toxins and
the locus of enterocyte effacement (LEE) pathogenicity island, hlyA is a commonly used marker for the detection of potentially pathogenic E. coli strains, although its exact role in pathogenesis is not completely understood [5]. In Iran, most studies have focused on STEC in dairy and animal stool samples, and little information is available on the prevalence of STEC in fresh beef. No prior research on STEC prevalence has been carried out at the industrial slaughterhouse in Hamadan, western Iran. Thus, this study is conducted to answer questions about the frequency of STEC O157 and non-O157, stx1, stx2, eaeA, and hlyA virulence genes and to evaluate the antibiotic resistance and genetic linkage of the STEC isolated from fresh meat beef of cattle slaughtered at Hamadan industrial slaughterhouse.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sampling and Identification of Escherichia coli Strains. This cross-sectional study was conducted at Hamadan Industrial Slaughterhouse from February to June 2020. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Hamadan University of Medical Sciences (IR.UMSHA.REC.1398.1020). Samples were collected from the available slaughtered cattle at the time of sampling. Beef samples were collected from the rump, cut to approximate dimension 10 cm × 10 cm × 3 cm (~10 grams of sample), and obtained in each case by opening cuts through beef of the slaughtered cattle. The knife was disinfected by alcohol prior and subsequent to taking each sample. The samples were placed into sterile plastic, preserved in a cold box containing ice, and prepared for transport to the microbiology research laboratory at the Department of Microbiology, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences. 2 ml of sterile Phosphate Buffer Saline (PBS) was added to each sample prior to removal from the plastic container, and the beef samples were homogenized, poured into Falcon 50 ml tubes containing 10 ml sterile Nutrient Broth (NB) media, and incubated overnight. The samples were cultured on Eosin Methylene Blue (EMB) and Sorbitol MacConkey agar and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Gram-negative bacilli phenotypically were identified as STEC using an array of biochemical tests such as IMVC and sorbitol fermentation assays [6].

2.2. Molecular Detection of Virulence Genes. A sweep of three E. coli colonies on EM agar were inoculated in Tripticase Soy Broth (TSB) and incubated overnight at 37°C. The genomic DNAs of the E. coli colonies were extracted by boiling [7]. Virulence genes including stx1, stx2, eaeA, hlyA, and STEC serogroups O157, O145, O128, O121, O113, O111, O103, O91, O45, and O26 encoding genes were detected by PCR using specific primers as described previously [8] in a reaction mixture with a total volume of 25 μL in a thermocycler (Bio-Rad, Inc., USA). Multiplex PCR was conducted to detect stx1, stx2, and eaeA genes. The hlyA gene was identified distinctively. Enteropathogenic E. coli or EPEC strains are positive for eae and negative for stx1 and stx2, and STEC strains are stx1 and/or stx2 and eae+/eae-. The PCR reactions for stx1, stx2, and eaeA genes consisted of an initial denaturation step at 94°C for 10 minutes (hly: 94°C, 10 min), followed by 30 cycles of 60 sec at 94°C (hly: 94°C, 1 min), annealing for 60 sec at 55°C (hly: 60°C, 2 min), and extension for 60 sec at 72°C (hly: 72°C, 2 min). A final extension step was performed at 72°C for 7 min (hly: 72°C for 5 min). The PCR reactions for serogroups were prepared as described previously [9]. The PCR products were separated by electrophoresis in 1.2% agarose gels. The results of PCR were confirmed by PCR product sequencing. The PCR products were sequenced by a company (Macrogen/Korea) and aligned in the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) in the NCBI.

2.3. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. The antimicrobial susceptibility of STEC isolates to ampicillin (AMP), amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (AMC), tetracycline (TE), cefazolin (CZ), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (SXT), ampicillin-sulbactam (SAM), gentamicin (GEN), imipenem (IPM), ceftriaxone (CRO), ciprofloxacin (CIP), and ceftizidime (CAZ) disks (MAST Group, UK) was detected by the disk diffusion method according to CLSI 2018 guidelines [10].

2.4. ERIC-PCR. Genetic relatedness of STEC isolates were investigated by the ERIC-PCR technique. This technique was carried out in a thermocycler (Bio-Rad, Inc. USA) using the primer ERIC (F): 5’-ATG TAA GCT CCT GGG GAT and ERIC (R): 5’-AAGTAAGTGACTGGGGTG. The PCR reactions for serogroups were prepared as 94°C, 1 min, annealing for 60 sec at 55°C, and extension for 60 sec at 72°C. The ERIC patterns of bands on agarose gel were analyzed by online data analysis service (inslico.ehu.es). ERIC patterns were clustered by the UPGMA program and compared using the Dice method [11].

3. Results

3.1. Characterization of STEC Isolates. Among the 110 beef samples, 77 isolates (70%) were identified as E. coli. Culture-negative plates were detected in 32 samples (29.1%), and pure E. coli was detected in 68 samples (61.8%). In addition to E. coli, other Enterobacteriaceae members were isolated from mixed cultures of beef samples (Figure 1).

Based on molecular and microbiological tests, 8 (10.4%) and one (1.2%) of the E. coli isolates were characterized as STEC and EPEC, respectively. The stx1, stx1/hly, and stx2/ hly were detected in 5 (62.5%), 1 (12.5%), and 2 (25%) of the STEC isolates, respectively. The hlyA and stx2 genes were detected in 2 (25%) STEC isolates. The prevalence of virulence genes patterns in 8 STEC isolates is illustrated in Figure 2.

On the basis of the molecular detection of STEC serogroups, one STEC isolate belonged to the O157 serogroup and 7 isolates were categorized as non-O157 serogroups. Based on the PCR results, one EPEC strain was positive for the eaeA gene. Analysis of sequencing results indicated that the stx2 gene was 99% identical to the standard strain, while the wzx and
3.2. Antimicrobial Sensitivity Patterns. The results of the antimicrobial susceptibility test conducted on 8 STEC isolates found that all were resistant to ampicillin, ampicillin-sulbactam, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, and cefazolin. Resistance to tetracycline and ciprofloxacin was detected in 5 and 2 of isolates, respectively. All 8 of STEC isolates were susceptible to gentamicin, imipenem, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, ceftriaxone, and ceftazidine.

3.3. STEC ERIC-PCR Typing. Analysis of ERIC-PCR results showed ≥80–100% similarity among STEC isolates (Figure 3). Genetic diversity was established among 8 STEC isolates by detecting 5 different ERIC profiles with a similarity cutoff of ≥95%. In total, we identified 5 different ERIC profiles, including two common types (A and B) and 3 unique types. All 5 isolates in common types A and B harbored the stx1 gene. Unique types of STEC isolates showed different toxin profiles, i.e., hly/stx1 (one isolate) and hly/stx2 (two isolates). The isolate with serogroup O157 showed the unique or single ERIC type. The profiles of ERIC, toxins, and antibiotics of 8 different STEC isolates are compared in Table 1.

4. Discussion
Cattle are considered the major reservoir of Shiga toxin-producing E. coli. This research is the first study in which STEC isolates were isolated and characterized in fresh beef samples from the industrial slaughterhouse in Hamadan, western Iran. In our study, the overall STEC prevalence was 10.4% of the samples, only one STEC isolate was in the O157 serogroup, and 87.5% were non-O157 strains. Our results are within the range of prevalence of STEC in beef samples of prior studies. Osaili et al. [12] found that 7.8% of beef
samples were polluted with O157: H7 STEC in Jordan, while much lower positive incidence was found in raw meat samples from Egypt (3.4%) and in Saudi Arabia (2%) [13, 14]. Our results indicate an occurrence of the serogroup O157 (1.3%) which is comparable to 1.35% reported by Yousefi et al. from Mashhad in Iran [15] and lower than a study conducted in Isfahan, Iran, which reported 6.4% E. coli O157 in bovine carcass samples [16]. Elsewhere in Iran, Momtaz et al. reported 238 (29.02%) ruminant meat samples were positive for the presence of E. coli. They evaluated O157 and non-O157 serogroups of STEC, including O26, O103, O111, O145, O45, O91, O113, O121, and O128 in beef samples, and reported the presence of all these serogroups in their results [9].

The prevalence of the serogroup O157 in our study was slightly higher than in studies conducted in other countries, i.e., 0.3% in the European Union [17], 0.8% in the United States [18], and 1% in Brazil [19], and lower than the prevalence of 2.2% in Nigeria [20] and 1.7% in Australia [21]. Samadpour et al. detected STEC in 23% of beef samples, and the serogroup O157 was not detected in any of the beef samples [22]. Differences in the prevalence of STEC in these studies likely result from differences in research protocols, including sampling methods (e.g., site on beef and number/surface sites sampled) and animal history (e.g., origin, cleanliness, season, and age). Based on the seasonal occurrence of bacteria, literature data indicate that the prevalence of E. coli O157 shedding in cattle appears to be more common in the warmer months [23–25]. In our study, the isolation of E. coli as well as STEC strains was higher in the spring. The age of cattle is one of the items which may influence the prevalence of STEC, as Renter et al. found the prevalence of STEC O157 in feeder cattle is higher than in cow calf or dairy cattle [26]. In our study, most cattle were feeder cattle with near-uniform age.

Resistance to antibiotics is a major concern for animal and human health. Antibiotic-resistant E. coli can spread from food-producing animals to humans, and for this reason, we explored the antimicrobial susceptibility of STEC isolates. In this study, we detected full resistance to ampicillin, ampicillin-sulbactam, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, and cefazolin and also resistance to tetracycline and ciprofloxacin in 5 and 2 of these isolates, respectively. Based on these findings, some beta-lactam antibiotics and tetracycline should not be considered as the first therapeutic choice for treatment of gastrointestinal infections due to E. coli. In contrast, gentamicin, imipenem, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, ceftriaxone, and ceftazidime were found to be most effective on STEC isolates. Previous studies also reported the rates of resistance to antibiotics in STEC strains. In a study from Egypt, approximately half of the STEC isolates were multidrug resistant. The highest antimicrobial resistance rates were found against nalidixic acid (51.4%) and ampicillin (48.6%), whereas the lowest rates were reported against gentamicin (5.7%) and ciprofloxacin (11.4%) [27]. Momtaz et al. reported high-level resistance to penicillin and tetracycline and low-level resistant to ciprofloxacin in STEC isolated from raw beef samples collected from ruminants including beef, sheep, goat, and camel [9].

### Table 1: Comparison of toxins, antibiotic resistance, ERIC profiles, and serogroups of STEC isolates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STEC isolates</th>
<th>ERIC types</th>
<th>Antibiotic-resistance patterns</th>
<th>Toxin profiles</th>
<th>Serogroup</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>AMP/SAM/AMC/CZ/TE/SXT/CIP</td>
<td>hlyA/stx1</td>
<td>Non-O157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>AMP/SAM/AMC/CZ/TE/CIP</td>
<td>hly/stx2</td>
<td>O157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>AMP/SAM/AMC/CZ/TE</td>
<td>hly/stx2</td>
<td>Non-O157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>AMP/SAM/AMC/CZ/TE</td>
<td>stx1</td>
<td>Non-O157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>AMP/SAM/AMC/CZ/TE</td>
<td>stx1</td>
<td>Non-O157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>AMP/SAM/AMC/CZ</td>
<td>stx1</td>
<td>Non-O157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>AMP/SAM/AMC/CZ</td>
<td>stx1</td>
<td>Non-O157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>AMP/SAM/AMC/CZ/TE</td>
<td>stx1</td>
<td>Non-O157</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMP: ampicillin; SAM: ampicillin-sulbactam; AMC: amoxicillin-clavulanic acid; CZ: cefazolin; TE: tetracycline; SXT: trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; CIP: ciprofloxacin.
In a study from Iran, Ranjbar et al. found that 100% of STEC isolated from hospital foods were resistant to ampicillin (similar to our results) and 100% of the isolates were resistant to tetracycline (our study found 62.5% of isolates were resistant). Ranjbar et al. also declared that half of the STEC isolates were resistant to ciprofloxacin and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole [7], whereas in our study, 100% of isolates were susceptible to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole and only 12.5% of them were resistant to ciprofloxacin.

In the current study, ERIC-PCR genotyping demonstrated 5 different ERIC-genotypes from 8 STEC isolates. Therefore, the results of ERIC-PCR typing showed genetic diversity among STEC isolates as well as the different potential sources of contamination with STEC strains. According to ERIC-PCR results, the common types showed similar toxin profiles and close antibiotic-resistant patterns and serogroups (non O157). One of the unique or single ERIC type showed a different serogroup (O157), toxin profile, and antibiotic pattern from other isolates. In the other studies, diversity in STEC isolates was established. Noureldaim et al. found that STEC strains isolated from frozen beef samples sold in Malaysian hyper- and super-markets had originated in India and Australia. STEC strain was categorized into 4 clusters and 2 single isolates at a similarity level of 80%, and based on the results in their study, ERIC-PCR discriminated the isolates better than the Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA-PCR (RAPD) method [28].

Elmonir et al. used a dendrogram map to classify the clinical STEC isolates (from cattle and humans) and food products (milk and beef) from Egypt into 27 different ERIC genotypes. The isolates that belonged to the same serotype were clustered together [27].

There were some limitations in this study due to the need to compare to human samples and the lack of positive control for non-O157 serogroups.

One of the most important limitations of the present study was the small number of fresh beef samples because the COVID-19 pandemic in Iran limited both the sampling process and financial support. Although the ERIC-PCR technique was able to isolate STEC isolates, there are more powerful techniques such as PFGE and MLST. Due to the high cost in terms of equipment and analysis, we selected a cheaper, simpler, and more accessible technique. For future studies and to obtain more accurate and reliable results, the use of more powerful techniques such as MLST and PFGE is suggested.

5. Conclusions

The results of the current study revealed that fresh beef in slaughterhouses can be reservoirs of Shiga toxin-producing E. coli. The results of the current and prior research indicate the usefulness of the ERIC-PCR method in the investigations of genetic linkages of STEC isolates. The isolation of STEC from fresh beef and the presence of antibiotic-resistant isolates lead us to recommend that greater attention be paid to the hygiene of slaughterhouses, in order to control any unsanitary methods in slaughterhouses, and cooked meat products. We further recommend longitudinal studies of slaughterhouse hygiene in order to monitor key trends in biotic communities.
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E.coli: Escherichia coli
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