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## 1. INTRODUCTION.

In his studies of classical function spaces such as $L^{p}$ spaces, $S$. Leader
[7] found it convenient to consider the $L^{p}$ spaces of finitely additive set functions which he denoted by $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{p}}$. All the set functions are real valued and $L^{p}$ can be isometrically embedded in $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{P}}$.

In [9] the work of [7] is generalized in two ways. First the set functions take values in a Banach space $X$. Instead of studying p-summable functions, Orlicz spaces of finitely additive set functions are considered. This space is denoted by $V^{\phi}(X)$. Of course, if we let $p(x)=|x|^{p}$, then $V^{\phi}(X)$ becomes the space of all p-integrable, $X$ valued additive set functions. It is shown that $L^{P}(X)$ is isometrically embedded in $V^{P}(X)$.

In recent years the study of multivalued functions and measures has received considerable attention as have their applications to mathematical economics and control problems. We refer the reader to [1], [2], [3], [4], [6], [8] for a small sample of the work done along these lines.

A multimeasure is defined to be a function from a $\sigma$-algebra into the set of non-empty, bounded closed convex subsets of a Banach space X. Countable additivity is defined through the use of the Hausdorff metric. Let $\hat{\mathrm{m}}$ denote a multimeasure. The set of selectors of $\hat{m}$ plays a very important role in the study of $\hat{m}$. By a selector, we mean an $X$-valued measure $m$ such that $m(A) \varepsilon \hat{m}(A)$ for all A. For example, Godet-Thobie (see [6]) shows that if $\hat{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{A})$ is weakly compact for all $A$, then $\hat{m}$ has at least one selector and in fact a sequence of selectors $\left\{m_{n}\right\}$ such that $\left\{m_{n}(A)\right\}$ is dense in $\hat{m}(A)$ for all A. Conditions are also given on the space $X$ that insure the existence of the sequence $\left\{m_{n}\right\}$.

The main purpose of the present paper is to study the set of selectors of $\hat{m}$ that belong to $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{X})$. Denote that set by $\mathrm{M}_{\hat{\mathrm{m}}}$. We assume here that $\mathrm{p}>1$.

Now $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{P}}$ m is important set to consider because it will be shown that it is a convex closed subset of $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{X})$ that characterizes $\hat{m}$, that is $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{P}} \hat{\mathrm{m}}_{1}=\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{P}} \hat{\mathrm{m}}_{2}$ if and only if $\hat{\mathrm{m}}_{1}=\mathrm{m}_{2}$. The first result deals with the case of a $\sigma$-finite space where the values of $\hat{m}$ are weakly compact subsets of $X$. With certain additional assumptions and using a Radon-Nikodym theorem of Tolstonogov [8], we show that if $M_{\hat{m}}^{p} \neq \phi$, then a sequence $\left\{m_{n}\right\}$ of selectors in $V^{p}(X)$ exists with $\left\{m_{n}(A)\right\}$ dense in $\hat{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{A})$ for all A . This remains true if the values of $\hat{m}$ are assumed to be strongly convex and closed instead of weakly compact.

Next the case where the underlying space is finite is considered. Under certain assumptions using a Radon-Nikodym theorem of Coste [3], the same result is arrived at. These two results are thus along the line of results shown in [6]. Next a new space is naturally introduced, the space $A M_{\hat{f}}{ }_{\text {fी }}$ which is the space of all measures of the form $\sum_{A_{i} \varepsilon \pi} \frac{m_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)}{r\left(A_{i}\right)} r_{A_{i}}$ where $m_{i}$ are selectors of $\hat{m}$ and where $\pi$ denotes a partition (finite), $r$ is the underlying measure and $r_{A_{i}}$ is the contraction of $r$ to $A_{i}$. A result establishes the relation between $A M P$,
 the case where $m$ has absolutely closed convex values is considered.

## 2. NOTATIONS AND PERTINENT RESULTS

The purpose of this section is to present some concepts and state some results that are needed to show our results.

Let $X$ denote a Banach space. It is said that $X$ has the Radon-Nikodym property if every $X$-valued measure with finite variation has a density relative to its variation. It is known that reflexive spaces and separable dual spaces have that property. Throughout this paper we assume $\mathrm{p}>1$.

Let $\Sigma$ denote a $\sigma_{\text {-algebra }}$ of subsets of a set $\Omega$. Let $r$ denote a finitely additive positive set function defined on $\Sigma$. Let $F$ be a finitely additive set function from $\Sigma$ into $X$ with the property $r(A)=0$ implies $F(A)=0$, and

$$
I_{p}(F, B)=\sup _{\pi} \sum_{E_{n} \varepsilon \pi} \frac{\left\|F\left(E_{n}\right)\right\|^{p}}{r\left(E_{n}\right) p^{-1}}
$$

where $\pi$ denotes a finite collection of disjoint subsets of $B$ where $B \in \Sigma$
and $r\left(E_{n}\right)<\infty$.
Now $F$ is said to have finite p-variation if $I_{p}(F)=I_{p}(F, \Omega)<\infty$.
Let $F(\cdot)=\sum_{E_{n} \varepsilon \pi} \frac{F\left(E_{n}\right)}{r\left(E_{n}\right)} r\left[E_{n} \cap(\cdot)\right]$, and $A^{p}(X)$ denote the set of finitely
additive set functions $F$ mapping $\Sigma$ into $X$ with finite p-variation and with the property $r(A)=0$ implies $F(A)=0$.

Let $V^{p}(X)$ be the submanifold of $A^{p}(X)$ consisting of $r$-continuous set functions, that is $\lim _{\mu(E) \rightarrow 0}| | F(E)| |=0$.

It is shown in [9] that when $p>1, A^{p}(X)=V^{p}(X)$.
We denote by $N_{p}(F)=I_{p}(F)^{1 / p}$. It is also shown that $V^{p}(X)$ is a Banach space under the norm $N_{p}$. Moreover the set functions of the form $F_{\pi}$ form a dense subset of $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{X})$ provided that X has the Radon-Nikodym property and $\mathrm{p}>1$.

Let $F$ be a function from $\Omega$ into the non-empty closed bounded convex subsets of $X$. If $F$ has weakly compact values, we define, following Tolstonogov [8],

$$
\int F d r=\left\{\int f d r \mid f \varepsilon L^{\prime}(r) \text { and } f(w) \varepsilon F(w) \text { a.e. }\right\}
$$

Otherwise,

$$
\text { Fdr }=c l\left\{\int f d r \mid f \varepsilon L^{\prime}(r) \text { and } f(w) \varepsilon F(w) \text { a.e. }\right\}
$$

Let $\hat{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{c}}$ denote the non-empty bounded closed convex subsets of X . Following [6] we define

$$
A+B=c 1\{A+B\} \text { for } A \varepsilon \hat{X}_{C}, B \varepsilon \hat{X}_{C}
$$

If $\hat{m}: \Sigma \rightarrow \hat{X}_{c}$, then $\hat{m}$ is called a multi-measure if

$$
\hat{m}\left(U A_{i}\right)=\cdot \Sigma \hat{m}\left(A_{i}\right) \underset{\mathrm{n}}{\lim _{\rightarrow \infty}}\left[\hat{m}\left(A_{1}\right) \dot{m}\left(A_{2}\right)+\ldots+\dot{m}\left(A_{n}\right)\right]
$$

For every sequence of disjoint sets $A_{i} \varepsilon \Sigma$ where the limit is with respect to the Hausdorff metric (It is shown in [6] that + is an abelian and associative operation on $\hat{X}_{c}$ ).

The reader is referred to [3] and [8] for Radon-Nikodym theorems pertaining to multimeasures. If $A \varepsilon \hat{X}_{c},|A|$ will denote the Hausdorff distance between $\{0\}$ and $A$, that is

$$
|A|=\sup _{x \in A} \| x| |
$$

Also acoS will refer to the absolute convex envelope of a subset $S$ of $X$, that is acos is the set of all finite sums of the form

$$
\sum \alpha_{i} s_{i} \text { with } s_{i} \varepsilon S \text { and } \Sigma\left|\alpha_{i}\right| \leqslant 1
$$

Of course, $\overline{\mathrm{acoS}}$ denotes the closure of acoS. Finally X is said to have property $P$ if its dual space $X^{\prime}$ has a sequence $\left\{x_{n}^{*}\right\}$ that separates points of $X$.

## 3. MAIN RESULTS

Let 苗 denote a multi-measure from $\Sigma$ into $\hat{X}_{c}$ and let $M_{P_{\hat{m}}}$ denote all measures $m: \quad \Sigma \rightarrow X$ such that $m(A) \varepsilon \hat{m}(A)$ for all $A \varepsilon \Sigma$ with $m^{\prime} V^{P}(X)$ that is $M_{m}$ is the set of all "selectors of $\hat{m}^{\prime \prime}$ that are in $V^{P}(X) . M_{\text {m }}$ is a closed subset of $V^{p}(X)$.

PROOF. Let $A \varepsilon \Sigma$ with $r(A)<\infty$. Let $m_{i} \varepsilon M_{\text {fll }}$ with $N_{p}\left(m-m_{i}\right) \rightarrow 0$ and $m \varepsilon V^{p}(X)$.
Now for $\varepsilon>0$ and $i$ large enough:

$$
\frac{\left\|m(A)-m_{i}(A)\right\|^{p}}{r(A)^{p-1}}<\varepsilon
$$

Since $\hat{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{A})$ is closed it follows that $\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{A}) \varepsilon \hat{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{A})$. Thus $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{P}_{\hat{\mathrm{m}}}$. Let $(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)$ denote a $\sigma$-finite space. Let $\hat{X}_{W}$ denote all weakly compact convex subsets of $X$. We assume that the convex hull of the average range of $m$ is relatively weakly compact, that is $\left\{\left.U \frac{\hat{m}(K)}{\lambda(K)} \right\rvert\, K \varepsilon \Sigma, K \subset E, 0<\lambda(K)<\infty\right\}$ is relatively compact for every $E \in \Sigma$.

THEOREM 2. Let $m$ be a multi-measure from $\Sigma$ into $X_{W}$. Assume that
(1) The convex hull of the average range of $m$ is relatively compact.
(2) The space $X^{\prime}$ is separable (in particular $X^{\prime}$ satisfies $P$ ).
(3) For every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that $\lambda(A) \delta \delta$ implies $|m(A)|<\varepsilon$.
(4) X has the Radon-Nikodym property.

Then if $M_{\hat{m}}^{P} \neq \phi$ for $p^{>}$, then there exists $\left\{m_{n}\right\}$ a sequence in $M_{m}^{p}$ such that m(A) $=c 1\left\{m_{n}(A)\right\}$ for every $A \varepsilon \Sigma$.

PROOF. From (2) and [6] it follows that there exists a sequence $\left\{\sigma_{n}\right\}$ where $\sigma_{n}$ are selectors of $\hat{m}$ with $m(A)=c 1\left\{\sigma_{n}(A)\right\}$ for $A \varepsilon \Sigma, \sigma_{n}$ are $X$-valued measures. Now $\lambda(A)=0$ implies $m(A)=\{0\}$ by (3). Thus $\sigma_{n} \ll \lambda$. Now (4) implies

$$
v_{n}(A)=\int_{A} f_{n} d \lambda \text { for some } f_{n} \varepsilon L_{X}^{\prime}(\lambda) \text { and all } A \varepsilon \Sigma .
$$

By a theorem of [8], (1) implies that there exists a function $F$ from $\Omega$ into $X_{W}$ such that $\dot{m}(A)=\int_{A} F d \lambda$. Thus $\int_{A} f_{n} d \lambda \varepsilon \int_{A} F d \lambda$ for all $A \varepsilon \Sigma$. We now show that this implies $f_{n}(w) \varepsilon F(w)$ a.e.

Let $\int_{A} h d \lambda \varepsilon \int_{A} F d \lambda$ for all $A \varepsilon \Sigma$. Let $\left\{x_{n}^{*}\right\}$ be a dense sequence in $X '$. Then $x \in F(w)$ if and only if $\left\langle x, x_{n}^{*}\right\rangle \underset{y<f(w)}{\leq \sup ^{\ell}\left\langle y, x_{n}^{*\rangle}\right.}$ for alln.
This is because $F(w)$ is convex, closed and bounded. If $h(w) \notin F(w)$ on a set of positive measure then there exists $A \varepsilon \Sigma$ and $n$ such that $\lambda(A)>0$ and

$$
\left\langle h(w), x_{n}^{*} \gg \sup _{y \in F(w)}^{\left\langle y, x_{n}^{*>}\right.} \text { over } A\right.
$$

Consequently

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\delta_{A} h d \lambda, x_{n}^{*>}=\delta_{A}\left\langle h, x_{n}^{*>d \lambda}>\delta_{A} \sup _{y \varepsilon F(w)}\left\langle y, x_{n}^{*>d \lambda}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \geqslant \sup _{g(w) \varepsilon F(w)} \int_{A}\left\langle g(w), x_{n}^{*>d \lambda} \geqslant \sup _{g(w) \varepsilon F(w)}<\int_{A} g d \lambda, x_{n}^{*>}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\int_{A} g d \lambda \notin c 1\left\{\delta_{A} g d_{\lambda} \mid g(w) \varepsilon F(w)\right\}$. This contradicts $\int_{A} h d \lambda \varepsilon \int_{A} F d \lambda$ for all $A \varepsilon \Sigma$. Thus $f_{n}(w) \varepsilon F(w)$ a.e. for all $n$.

Now let $m_{o} \varepsilon M_{m}^{p}$ then $m_{o}(A)=\int_{A^{\prime}} f_{o} d \lambda$ and by [9], $f_{o} \varepsilon L^{p} X^{\text {. }}$
Let $A_{j}, 1=\left\{w\left|1-1<\left|\left|f_{j}\right|\right| \leqslant 1\right\}\right.$ where 1 is a positive integer. Let $\left\{A_{k}\right\}$ be a partition of $\Omega$ such that $\lambda\left(A_{k}\right)<\infty$. Let

$$
B_{j, 1, k}=A_{j, ~} \cap_{A_{k}} \quad s_{j,\rceil \prime k}=\chi_{B_{j}, 1, k} f_{j}+\chi_{B}^{\prime} j, 1, k_{o}
$$


$\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{j},\rceil,{ }_{\mathrm{k}}} \varepsilon \mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{X})$, also $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{j}} 1, \mathrm{k}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{M}_{\hat{\mathrm{m}}}^{\mathrm{P}}$ since

$$
m_{j}, 1,{ }_{k}(A)=\sigma_{j}\left(A \cap A_{k} \cap A_{j, 1}\right)+m_{0}\left(A n B_{j, \eta, k}\right) \varepsilon \hat{m}(A)
$$

A11 finite sums of the form $\sum_{k} \hat{m}\left(A \cap A_{k}{ }^{`} A_{j, 1}\right)+\underset{k}{\sum \hat{m}}\left(A \cdot A_{k} \Gamma_{j}^{\prime}, l\right)$ are dense in
$\hat{m}(A)$ (for $j$ and 1 fixed) and since

$$
\lambda\left(A_{j, 1}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } 1 \rightarrow \infty \text { (for } j \text { fixed) it is easy to see that (3) implies }
$$

that all finite sums of the form

$$
\sum_{k} m_{j}, \eta_{k}(A) \text { are dense in } \hat{m}(A) \text { as } j \text { and } 1 \text { range over the }
$$

positive integers.
These finite sums form the desired sequence $\left\{m_{n}\right\}$.
This completes the proof.
Let $\hat{X}_{s}$ denote all bounded closed non-empty, strictly convex subsets of $X$, that is $A \varepsilon \hat{X}_{s}$ implies that for all $\mathrm{x}^{\prime} \varepsilon \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ there exists a unique $\mathrm{x} \varepsilon \mathrm{X}$ such that

$$
x^{\prime}(x)=\sup \left\{x^{\prime}(y) \mid y \varepsilon A\right\}
$$

COROLLARY 3. Let $(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)$ be a $\sigma$-finite measure space. Let frime a multimeasure from $\Sigma$ into $\hat{X}_{s}$ assume
(1) $\dot{m}$ is of the form $\dot{m}(A)=\int_{A} F d \lambda$ where $F(w) \varepsilon \hat{X}_{c}$.
(2) $X^{\prime}$ is separable.
(3) X has the Radon-Nikodym property.

Then if $M_{\hat{m}}{ }_{\hat{m}} \neq \phi$ for $\mathrm{p}>1$, then there exists a sequence $\left\{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ in $\mathrm{M}_{\hat{m}} \mathrm{p}_{\text {a }}$ such that

$$
\hat{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{~A})=\mathrm{cl}\left\{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~A})\right\} \text { for all } \mathrm{A} \varepsilon \Sigma
$$

PROOF. From a result in [6] and since $\hat{m}$ has values in $\hat{X}_{s}$ the existence of the sequence $\left\{\sigma_{n}\right\}$ as defined in the proof of theorem 2 follows. The rest of the proof follows as in Theorem 2.

We now consider a measure $\hat{\mathrm{m}}$ from $\Sigma$ into $\hat{\mathrm{X}}_{c}$. We define the variation of $\hat{m}$ by $|\hat{\mathrm{m}}|=\sup \Sigma\left|\hat{\mathrm{m}}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right|$ where the sup is over finite collections of disjoint sets $A_{i}$.

We consider the case where $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ is a finite measure space.

THEOREM 4. Let $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ be a finite measure space. Let $\hat{m}$ be a multimeasure from $\Sigma$ into $\hat{X}_{c}$. Assume:
(1) $\hat{m}$ has $\sigma$-finite variation.
(2) $\mu(a)=0$ implies $\hat{m}(A)=\{0\}$.
(3) $X^{\prime}$ is separable.
(4) X has the Radon-Nikodym property.

Then if $M_{\hat{m}}^{p} \neq \phi$ for $p>1$ then there exists a sequence $\left\{m_{n}\right\}$ in $M_{\hat{m}}{ }_{\hat{m}}$ such that $\hat{m}(A)=\operatorname{cl}\left\{m_{n}(A)\right\}$ for all A $\varepsilon \Sigma$.
PROOF. From a result in [2] and since $\hat{m}$ takes values in $\hat{X}_{c}$ and since (2) holds, $\hat{\mathrm{m}}$ is uniformly continuous with respect to $\mu$. Since $\mu$ is finite by a result of [3] it follows that there exists a function $F$ from $\Omega$ into $\hat{X}_{c}$ such that $\hat{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{A})=\int_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{Fd} \mu$ for all $\mathrm{A} \varepsilon \Sigma$.

The rest of the proof proceeds as in Theorem 2.
We now show that $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{p}}$ ( characterizes f.
COROLLARY 4. Let $\hat{\mathrm{m}}_{1}$ and $\dot{\mathrm{m}}_{2}$ be two multimeasures. Under the hypothesis of theorem 2 or theorem 4

$$
\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{p}} \hat{\mathrm{~m}}_{1}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{m}_{2}} \text { if and only if } \hat{\mathrm{m}}_{1}=\hat{\mathrm{m}}_{2}
$$

PROOF. The proof follows immediately from the statement $\hat{m}(A)=c 1\left\{m_{n}(A)\right\}$. PROPOSITION 5. Let $\hat{m}$ be a multimeasure from $\Sigma$ into $\hat{X}_{c}$ of finite variation and having no atoms. Assume $X$ has the Radon-Nikodym property then $M^{p}$ is a convex set.

PROOF. From a result in [2] and since $\hat{m}$ has no atoms, $\hat{m}(A)$ is convex for all $A \in \Sigma$. It is then straight-forward to check that $M_{\hat{m}}$ is convex. From now on $\gamma$ will denote $\lambda$ when the hypothesis of theorem 2 are verified and $\mu$ when the hypothesis of theorem 4 are verified. Recall that we use the word "partition" as in [9] that is a finite collection of disjoint subsets of finite measure.

THEOREM 6. Assume the hypothesis of theorem 2 or of theorem 4 and assume $M_{\hat{m}}{ }^{\mathrm{m}} \neq \phi$. Then there exists a sequence $\left\{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}$ in $\mathrm{M}_{\hat{\mathrm{m}}}{ }_{\hat{m}}$ such that for $\varepsilon>0$ and $m \varepsilon M^{\mathrm{P}}$ 别 there exists a partition $\pi_{0}$ such that if $\pi$ refines $\pi_{0}$ then there is a subset $\left\{m_{i_{n}}\right\}$ of $\left\{m_{j}\right\}$ such that

$$
N_{p}\left[m-\sum_{E_{n} \varepsilon \pi} \frac{m_{i_{n}}\left(E_{n}\right)}{\gamma\left(E_{n}\right)} \gamma_{E_{n}}\right]<\varepsilon
$$

where $\gamma_{E_{n}}$ denotes the contraction of $\gamma$ to $E_{n}$.
PROOF. Let $\varepsilon>0, \mathrm{~m}_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{m}}$. By [9] there exists a partition $\pi_{0}$ such that if $\pi$ refines $\pi_{0}$ then

$$
N_{p}\left[m-\sum_{E_{n} \varepsilon \pi} \frac{m\left(E_{n}\right) \gamma_{E_{n}}}{\gamma\left(E_{n}\right)}\right]<\varepsilon / 2 .
$$

By theorem 2 or theorem 4 there exists a sequence $\left\{m_{i}\right\}$ in $M_{\hat{m}}^{p}$ such that

$$
m(E)=c l\left\{m_{i}(E)\right\} \text { for all } E \varepsilon \Sigma
$$

Let $\varepsilon^{\prime}>0$ then there exists an integer $i_{n}$ such that

$$
\left\|m_{i_{n}}\left(E_{n}\right)-m\left(E_{n}\right)\right\|<\varepsilon^{\prime} .
$$

$$
\text { Now } \begin{aligned}
& N_{p}\left[\sum_{E_{n} \varepsilon \pi} \frac{m\left(E_{n}\right)}{\gamma\left(E_{n}\right)} \gamma_{E_{n}}-\sum_{E_{n} \varepsilon \pi} \frac{m_{i_{n}}\left(E_{n}\right)}{\gamma\left(E_{n}\right)} \gamma_{E_{n}}\right] \\
& \leqslant \sum N_{p}\left[\frac{m\left(E_{n}\right)-m_{i_{n}}\left(E_{n}\right)}{\gamma\left(E_{n}\right)} \gamma_{E_{n}}\right] \text { and } \\
& I_{p}\left[\frac{m\left(E_{n}\right)-m_{i_{n}}\left(E_{n}\right)}{\gamma\left(E_{n}\right)} \gamma_{E_{n}}=\sup _{\hat{\pi}} \sum_{A_{j} \varepsilon \hat{\pi}} \frac{\left\|m\left(E_{n}\right)-m_{i_{n}}\left(E_{n}\right)\right\|^{p}}{\gamma(E)^{p}} \frac{\gamma_{E_{n}\left(A_{j}\right)^{p}}^{\gamma}}{\gamma\left(A_{j}\right)^{p-1}}\right. \\
\leqslant & \frac{\varepsilon^{\prime} p}{\gamma\left(E_{n}\right)^{p}} \sup _{\hat{\pi}} \sum_{A_{j} \varepsilon 介}\left[\frac{\gamma E_{n}\left(A_{j}\right)}{\gamma\left(A_{j}\right)}\right]^{p-1} \gamma_{E_{n}\left(A_{j}\right)} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon^{\prime} p}{\gamma\left(E_{n}\right)^{p-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

clearly $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ may be picked so that

$$
N_{p}\left[\sum_{E_{n} \varepsilon \pi}^{\prime} \frac{m\left(E_{n}\right)-m_{i_{n}}\left(E_{n}\right)}{\gamma\left(E_{n}\right)} \gamma_{E_{n}}\right]<\varepsilon / 2
$$

This finishes the proof.
Motivated by the above theorem we define $\mathrm{AMP}_{\hat{\mathrm{m}}}$ to be the set of all finite sums of the form $\sum A_{A_{i} \varepsilon \pi} \frac{m^{\prime}{ }_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)}{\gamma\left(A_{i}\right)} \gamma_{A_{i}} \quad$ where $m_{i}{ }^{\varepsilon M^{P}} \hat{m}_{\hat{m}}$.

If $\hat{\mathrm{m}}_{1}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{m}}_{2}$ are multimeasures from $\Sigma$ into $\hat{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{c}}$ we define

$$
\left(\hat{\mathrm{m}}_{1}+\hat{\mathrm{m}}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{A})=\hat{\mathrm{m}}_{1}(\mathrm{~A}) \dot{+} \hat{\mathrm{m}}_{2}(\mathrm{~A})
$$

Also let $A M^{P} \hat{\mathrm{~m}}_{1} \oplus_{\mathrm{s}} A M^{\mathrm{P}} \hat{\mathrm{m}}_{2}$ be the set of all finite sums of the form

$$
\sum_{A_{i} \in \pi}^{<} \frac{m_{1_{i}}\left(A_{i}\right)+m_{2 i}^{\prime}\left(A_{i}\right)}{\gamma\left(A_{i}\right)} \gamma_{A_{i}} \text { with } m_{1 i}^{\prime} \varepsilon M^{p_{\hat{m}_{1}}}, m_{2 i}^{\prime} \varepsilon M^{p_{\hat{m}_{2}}}
$$

That is the partition $\pi$ is kept the same for $\hat{m}_{1}$ and $\hat{m}_{2}$.

THEOREM 7. Let $\hat{m}=\hat{m}_{1}+\hat{m}_{2}$. Under the hypothesis of theorem 2 or theorem 4


PROOF. By theorem 2 or theorem 4 there exists sequences $\left\{m_{i}\right\}$, $\left\{m_{1 i}\right\}$, $\left\{\mathrm{m}_{2 i}\right\}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{MP}_{\hat{\mathrm{m}}}, \mathrm{M}_{\hat{\mathrm{m}}_{1}}, \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{P}} \hat{\mathrm{m}}_{2}$ such that

$$
\hat{m}(A)=c 1\left\{m_{i}(A)\right\}, \hat{m}_{1}(A)=c 1\left\{m_{1 i}(A)\right\}, \hat{m}_{2}(A)=c 1\left\{m_{2 i}(A)\right\} \text { for } A \varepsilon \Sigma
$$

Consider $\Sigma \frac{m^{\prime}{ }_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)}{\gamma\left(A_{i}\right)}{ }^{\gamma} A_{i}$, then as in theorem 6 one may pick $\varepsilon^{\prime}>0$ small enough so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|m^{\prime}{ }_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)-m_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)\right\|<\varepsilon^{\prime} \text { implies } N_{p}\left[\sum \frac{m^{\prime}{ }_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)}{\gamma\left(A_{i}\right)} \gamma_{A_{i}}-\Sigma \frac{m_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)}{\gamma\left(A_{i}\right)} \gamma_{A_{i}}<\varepsilon\right. \\
& \text { Since } \hat{m}(A)=c 1\left\{m_{1 i}(A)+m_{2}(A)\right\} \text { again let } \\
& \left|\left|m_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)-\left(m_{1 k i}+m_{21}\right)\left(A_{i}\right)\right|\right|<\varepsilon \quad \text { "where } \varepsilon \text { " is small enough so that } \\
& N_{p}\left[\Sigma \frac{m_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)}{\gamma\left(A_{i}\right)} \gamma_{A_{i}}-\sum \frac{\left(m_{1 k i}+m_{21 i}\right)\left(A_{i}\right)}{\gamma\left(A_{i}\right)} \gamma_{A_{i}}\right]<\varepsilon \text {. This shows that }
\end{aligned}
$$

the converse is also clear.
Let us note that if $M_{\hat{m}_{1}} \neq \phi, M_{\hat{m}_{2}} \neq \phi$ then whenever m satisfies the above hypothesis, $\hat{\mathrm{m}}_{1}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{m}}_{2}$ do.

Let $\pi$ be the partition $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$, let $\sigma_{\pi}=\sum_{A_{i \varepsilon \pi}} \frac{m^{\prime}{ }_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)}{\gamma\left(A_{i}\right)} \gamma_{A_{i}} \quad$ where $m^{\prime}{ }_{i} \varepsilon M^{p}{ }_{\text {分 }}$
Now let 白 be a multimeasure from $\Sigma$ into $\hat{X}_{c}$, define $(\overline{\operatorname{aco}} \hat{m})(A)=\overline{\operatorname{aco}}(\hat{m}(A))$.
PROPOSITION 8. The following are true.
(1) If $\hat{m}$ is an $\hat{X}_{c}$ valued multimeasure, then so is $\overline{\operatorname{aco}} \hat{m}$.
(2) $M_{\hat{\mathrm{m}}} \subset \operatorname{clAM}_{\hat{\mathrm{m}}} \cdot$
(3) Let $\pi=\left\{\mathrm{A}_{\mathbf{i}}\right\}$ be a partition and assume that the values of $\hat{m}$ are absolutely convex sets in $\hat{X}_{c}$. Then $\sigma_{\pi}(A) \varepsilon \hat{m}(A)$ whenever $\pi$ is a partition of $A$ with

$$
\sum_{A_{i} \varepsilon \pi} \frac{\gamma\left(A_{i} n A\right)}{\gamma\left(A_{i}\right)} \leqslant 1
$$

PROOF. We would like to show $\operatorname{aco} \hat{m}\left(\cup^{\infty} A_{i}\right)=\cdot \sum_{i}^{\infty} \overline{\operatorname{aco}} \hat{m}\left(A_{i}\right)$ for any
$i=1 \quad i=1$ sequence of disjoint sets $A_{i}$.

Now the proof in [5] (p. 415) shows with obvious modifications that

$$
\operatorname{aco}(A+B)=\operatorname{aco} A+\operatorname{aco} B \text {. Thus }
$$

āco (A+B) aco $A+$ aco $B$ and by continuity of +
aco $A+$ acoo $B \therefore a c o A+a c o B=a c o(A+B)$
Thus aco $A+\overline{a c o} B=$ aco $(A+B)$ and by induction $\widetilde{\operatorname{aco}}\left[\cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{m}\left(A_{i}\right)\right]=\cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n}$ aco $\dot{m}\left(A_{i}\right)$

By a result of $[4], J[\overline{\operatorname{aco}} A$, $\overline{\text { aco }} B] \leqslant J[A, B]$ where $A$ and $B$ are in $\hat{X}_{c}$ and $J$ denotes the Hausdorff metric. Thus letting $n$ go to infinity

$$
\left.\overline{\mathrm{a}} \overline{\mathrm{co}} \sum_{-i=1}^{\cdot} \cdot \sum^{\infty} \hat{\mathrm{m}}\left(A_{i}\right)\right]=\cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{\mathrm{a} \overline{\mathrm{co}} \mathrm{~m}}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)
$$

This shows the first part of the theorem.
$\mathrm{M}_{\hat{m}}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{CclAM}_{\hat{\mathrm{m}}}$ follows from [9] since $\mathrm{F}_{\pi}$ are dense in $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{X})$. Finally let $\sigma_{\pi} \varepsilon \mathrm{AM}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{p}}$. Then

$$
\left(\sum_{A_{i} \varepsilon \pi} \frac{m_{i}^{\prime}(A)}{\gamma\left(A_{i}\right)^{\gamma} A_{i}}\right)(A)=\sum_{A_{i} \varepsilon \pi}^{\sum} m_{i}^{\prime}\left(A_{i}\right) \frac{\gamma\left(A_{n} \cap A_{i}\right)}{\gamma\left(A_{i}\right)}
$$

If $\Sigma \frac{\gamma\left(A \cap A_{i}\right)}{\gamma\left(A_{i}\right)} \leqslant 1$ since $m_{i}^{\prime}\left(A_{i}\right) \varepsilon \hat{m}\left(A_{i}\right) \subset \hat{m}(A)$ (this because $0 \varepsilon \hat{m}(B)$ if $\hat{m}(B)$ is absolutely convex for all $\mathrm{B} \varepsilon \Sigma$ ) this implies $\sigma_{\pi}(\mathrm{A}) \varepsilon \hat{m}(\mathrm{~A})$.

## REFERENCES

1. Aumann, R. J. Integrals of set-valued functions, J. Math. Anal. App1. 12 (1965) 1-12.
2. Coste', A. Sur les multimeasures a valeurs fermees bornees d'un espace Banach, C. R. Acad. Sci. 280 (1975) A567--A570.
3. Coste', A. La propriete' de Radon-Nikodym en integration multivoque, C. R. Acad. Sci. 271 (1970) Al515-Al518.
4. Debreu, G. Integration of correspondences, Proc. Fifth Berkeley Sympos. on Mth. Statist. and Probability, Vol. 2, Part 1 (1966) 351-372.
5. Dunford, N. and J. T. Schwartz, Linear Operators, Part 1, New York, 1958.
6. Godet-Thobie, C. Sur les selections de mesures generalisees, C. R. Acad. Sci. $\underline{271}$ (1970) A153-A156.
7. Leader, S. The theory of $L^{p}$ spaces for finitely additive set functions, Ann. of Math. 58 (1953) 528-543.
8. Tolstonogov, A. A. On the Radon-Nikodym and A. A. Ljapunov theorems for a multivalued measure, Dok1. Akad. Nauk, SSSR 225 (1975) 1023-1026.
9. Uhl, J. J. Orlicz spaces of finitely additive set functions, Studia Math. T. 29 (1967) 20-58.


Advances in
Operations Research $=-$


The Scientific World Journal



Journal of
Applied Mathematics
-
Algebra
$\xlongequal{=}$


Journal of Probability and Statistics
$\qquad$


International Journal of Differential Equations


