Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci. Vol. 3 No. 3 (1980) 477-481

## ON THE HANKEL DETERMINANTS OF CLOSE-TO-CONVEX UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS

## **K. INAYAT NOOR**

Department of Mathematics Kerman University Kerman, Iran

(Received July 9, 1979 and in revised form August 29, 1979)

<u>ABSTRACT</u>. The rate of growth of Hankel determinant for close-to-convex functions is determined. The results in this paper are best possible. <u>KEY WORDS AND PHRASES</u>. Starlike and close-to-convex Functions, Hankel Determinant 1980 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES. 30A32.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let K and S\* be the classes of close-to-convex and starlike functions in  $\gamma = \{z: |z| < 1\}$ . Let f be analytic in  $\gamma$  and  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ . The qth Hankel determinant of f is defined for  $q \ge 1$ ,  $n \ge 1$  by

 $H_{q}(n) = \begin{vmatrix} a_{n} & a_{n+1} & \dots & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & \dots & & \\ \vdots & & & \\ a_{n+q-1} & \dots & a_{n+2q-2} \end{vmatrix}$ 

For  $f \in S^*$ , Pommerenke [2] has solved the Hankel determinant problem completely. Following essentially the same method, we extend his results in this paper to the class K.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS.

THEOREM 1. Let  $f \in K$  and  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ . Then, for m = 0, 1, ..., there are numbers  $\gamma_m$  and  $c_{m\mu}$  ( $\mu = 0, ..., m$ ) that satisfy  $|c_{m0}| = |c_{mm}| = 1$  and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma_k \leq 3 , \ 0 \leq \gamma_m \leq \frac{2}{m+1}$$
(2.1)

such that

$$\sum_{\mu=0}^{m} c_{m\mu} a_{n+\mu} = 0(1)n^{-1+\gamma} m \quad (n \to \infty).$$

The bounds (2.1) are best possible.

**PROOF.** Since  $f \in K$ , there exists  $g \in S^*$  such that, for  $z \in \gamma$ 

$$zf'(z) = g(z)h(z), Reh(z) > 0$$
 (2.2)

Now g can be represented as in [1],  $g(z) = z \exp \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{1-ze^{-it}} d\mu(t) \right]$ , where  $\mu(t)$  is an increasing function and  $\mu(2\pi) - \mu(0) = 2$ . Let  $\alpha_1 \ge \alpha_2 \ge \dots$ be the jumps of  $\mu(t)$ , and  $t=\theta_1$ ,  $\theta_2$ ,... be the values at which these jumps occur. We may assume that  $\theta_1 = 0$ . Then  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots \le 2$  and  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_q = 2$ for some q if and only if g is of the form

$$g(z) = z \int_{j=1}^{q} (1-e^{-i\theta} \int_{z}^{-\frac{2}{q}} (2.3)$$

We define  $\phi_m$  by

$$\phi_{m}(z) = \prod_{\mu=1}^{m} (1-e^{\mu}z),$$

and

$$\beta_{m} = \alpha_{m+1} \quad (m = 0, 1, ...)$$

We consider the three cases i.e.

(i)  $0 \le \alpha_1 \le 1$ , (ii)  $1 < \alpha_1 < \frac{3}{2}$ , (iii)  $\frac{3}{2} \le \alpha_1 \le 2$ as in [2] and the first part, that is the bounds (2.1), follows similarly. For the rest, we need the following which is well-known [2].

LEMMA. Let  $\theta_1 < \theta_2 < \ldots < \theta_q < \theta_1 + 2\pi$ , let  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_q$  be real, and  $\lambda > 0, \lambda \ge \lambda_j$  (j=1, ..., q). If

$$\psi(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{q} (1 - e^{-i\theta} j_z)^{-\lambda} j = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$$
(2.4)

then 
$$b_n = 0(1) n^{\lambda-1}$$
 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

We write

$$\phi_{m}(z) = \sum_{\mu=0}^{m} c_{m\mu} z^{m-\mu}$$

and

$$\phi_{m}(z)zf'(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{m} b_{mn} z^{n+m} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+m)a_{mn} z^{n+m}$$
(2.5)

where

$$b_{mn} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} (n+\nu) c_{m-\nu} a_{n-\nu} ,$$
$$a_{mn} = \sum_{\mu=0}^{n} c_{m\mu} a_{n+\mu} , |c_{m0}| = |c_{mm}| = 1.$$

There are two cases.

(a) Let g in (2.2) have the form (3); that is,  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_q = 2$ . With  $\gamma_m = \beta_m$ , it follows that  $\gamma_m \le \frac{2}{m+1}$ ,  $\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 + \ldots \le 3$  and  $\lambda_m = \frac{2}{m+1}$  implies m = q-1,  $\alpha_1 = \ldots = \alpha_q = \frac{2}{q}$ .

Now from (2.2), (2.5) and the Cauchy Integral formula, we have, with

$$B_{m}(r) = \frac{1}{r^{m+n}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} |b_{mk}| r^{k+m},$$

$$(n+m) |a_{mn}| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |\phi_{m}(z)g(z)h(z)| d\theta + B_{m}(r). \qquad (2.6)$$

Applying the Schwarz inequality, we have

$$(n+m)|a_{mn}| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi r^{n+m}} \left( \int_{0}^{2\pi} |\phi_{m}(z)g(z)|^{2} d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{0}^{2\pi} |h(z)|^{2} d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + B_{m}(r) .$$

When we write  $[\phi_m(z)g(z)]^2$  in the form (2.4), the exponents  $-\lambda_j$  satisfy  $\lambda_j \leq 2\gamma_m$  (j=1, ... q: m > 0). Hence, using the Lemma, we have

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} |\phi_{\mathbf{m}}(z)g(z)|^{2} d\theta \leq A n^{-1}, \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$
(2.7)

Also

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |h(z)|^{2} d\theta = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |d_{n}|^{2} r^{2n} (d_{o}=1), Reh(z) > 0$$

But  $|d_n| \leq 2, n \geq 1$ , and so

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |h(z)|^{2} d\theta \leq 1+4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^{2}_{n} = \frac{1+3r^{2}}{1-r^{2}} \leq An, n \geq 1$$
(2.8)

From (2.7) and (2.8), we have

$$(n+m) |a_{mn}| \leq An^{\gamma_{m}} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$
  
i.e.  $a_{mn} = 0(1) n^{\gamma_{m}-1} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$ 

This proves the theorem in this case.

(b) Let g in (2.2) be not of the form (2.3). Then using arguments like those in [2], it follows that, for  $z = re^{i\theta}$ 

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} |\phi_{m}(z)g(z)h(z)| d\theta = 0(1)(1-r)^{-\gamma_{m}}.$$

Hence from (2.6), we have

$$a_{mn} = 0(1)n^{\gamma_m - 1} (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

where  $a_{mn}$  is defined by (5).

480

The function  $f_0: f_0(z) = z(1-z^q)^{-2/q} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (\frac{2/q+\nu-1}{\nu})z^{-\nu}$ , shows that the bounds (1) are best possible. We also note that except in the case where m=(q-1) and g in (2.2) is not of the form (2.3), one can choose  $0 \le \gamma_m > \frac{2}{m+1}$  from theorem (1) and Pommerenke's method [2], we can now easily prove the following

THEOREM 2. Let  $f \in K$  and  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ .

Then for  $q \ge 1$ ,  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$H_{q}(n) = 0(1)n^{2-q} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

This estimate is best possible. In particular, if g in (2.2) is not of the form (2.3), there exists a  $\delta = \delta(q,g) > 0$ such that  $H_q(n) = 0(1)n^{2-q-\delta}$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ).

## REFERENCES

- [1] Pommerenke, Ch. On Starlike and Convex Functions, <u>J. London Math. Soc</u>. <u>37</u> (1962) 209-224.
- [2] Pommerenke, Ch. On the Coefficients and Hankel Determinants of Univalent Functions, J. London Math. Soc. <u>41</u> (1966) 111-122.



Advances in **Operations Research** 



**The Scientific** World Journal







Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com



Algebra



Journal of Probability and Statistics



International Journal of Differential Equations





Complex Analysis





Mathematical Problems in Engineering



Abstract and Applied Analysis



Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society



International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences





Journal of **Function Spaces** 



International Journal of Stochastic Analysis

