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ABSTRACT. This paper discusses the existence and decay of solutions $u(t)$ of the variational inequality of parabolic type:

$$
\left\langle u^{\prime}(t)+A u(t)+B u(t)-f(t), v(t)-u(t)>\geqq 0\right.
$$

for $\forall \quad v \in L^{P}([0, \infty) ; V(p \geqslant 2)$ with $v(t) \in K$ a.e. in $[0, \infty)$, where $K$ is a closed convex set of a separable uniformly convex Banach space $V$, $A$ is a nonlinear monotone operator from $V$ to $V^{*}$ and $B$ is a nonlinear operator from Banach space $W$ to W*. $V$ and $W$ are related as $V \subset W \subset H$ for a Hilbert space $H$. No monotonicity assumption is made on $B$.
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## Introduction

Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space with norm $\mid, V$ be a real separable uniformly convex Banach space with norm $\left\|\|_{V}\right.$ densely imbedded in $H$ and let $K$ be a closed convex subset of $V$ containing 0 . Moreover, let $W$ be a Banach space with norm $\left\|\|_{W}\right.$ such that $V(W$ (H. We suppose that the natural injections from $V$ into $W$ and from $W$ into $H$ are compact and continuous, respectively. We identify $H$ with its dual space $H^{*}$ (i.e., $V\left(W\left(H\left(W^{*}\left(V^{*}\right)\right.\right.\right.$. Pairing between $V^{*}$ and $V$ will be denoted by $\left\langle V^{*}, \mathrm{~V}\right\rangle$ for $\mathrm{V}^{*} \in \mathrm{~V}^{*}$ and $\mathrm{v} \in \mathrm{V}$. Consider the following variational inequality of parabolic type :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle u^{\prime}(t)+A u(t)+B u(t)-f(t), v(t)-u(t)\right\rangle \geqq 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\quad v(t) \in L^{p}([0, \infty) ; V) \quad(p \geq 2)$ with $v(t) \in K$ a.e. in $(0, \infty)$.

A solution $u(t)$ of (1) should satisfy the conditions :
$u(t) \in L_{l o c}^{p}([0, \infty) ; V) \bigcap C([0, \infty) ; H), u^{\prime}(t) \in L_{l o c}^{2}([0, \infty) ; H)$,
$u(t) \in K$ for a.e. $t \in[0, \infty)$ and the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0)=u_{0} \in K \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $A$ is a monotone operator from $V$ to $V$ * and $B$ is a bounded operator from $W$ to $W^{*}$. More precisely we make the following assumptions on them.
$A_{1}$. A is the Fréchet derivative of a convex functional $F_{A}(u)$ on $V$, hemicontinuous on $V$ and satisfies the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{k}_{0}\|\mathrm{u}\|_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{p}} \leqq \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{u}) \quad(\leqq<\mathrm{Au}, \mathrm{u}\rangle\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some $k_{0}>0$ and $p \geq 2$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A u\|_{V^{*}} \leq C_{0}\left(\|u\|_{V}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{0}(\cdot)$ is a monotone increasing function on $[0, \infty)$.
$A_{2}$. $B$ is the Frechet derivative of a functional $F_{B}(u)$ on $W$, continuous on $W$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|B u\|_{W^{*}} \leqq k_{1}\|u\|_{\mathrm{W}}^{\alpha+1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some $k_{1}, \alpha>0$.
Regarding the forcing term $f(t)$ we assume :
$A_{3} . f \in L_{l o c}^{q}\left([0, \infty) ; V^{*}\right) \bigcap_{L_{l o c}}^{2}([0, \infty) ; H)$ with $q=p /(p-1)$ and
$\delta(t) \equiv \max \left\{\left(\int_{t}^{t+1}\|f(s)\|_{\hat{v}^{*}}^{q} d s\right)^{1 / q},\left(\int_{t}^{t+1}|f(s)|^{2} d s\right)^{1 / 2}\right\}$
$\leq$ const. $<\infty$.

Note that no monotonicity condition on $B$ is assumed.
The problem (l) is said 'unperturbed' if $B(t) \equiv 0$, and said 'perturbed' if $B(t) \neq 0$. The unperturbed problem (1) with the initial condition (2) is familiar, and the existence and unique-
ness theorems are known in more general situations than ours (see Lions [5], Brezis [2], Biroli [1], Kenmochi [4], Yamada [13], etc.). However the asymptotic behaviors of solutions as $t \rightarrow \infty$ seem to be known little. In this note we first prove a decay property of solutions of the unperturbed problem (1)-(2) (with $B(t) \equiv 0)$. This result is derived by combining the penalty method with the argument in our previous paper [10], where the nonlinear evolution equations (not inequalities) were treated.

Next we consider the perturbed problem (1)-(2) (i.e., B(t) 70). For the equation $u^{\prime}(t)+A u(t)+B u(t)=f(t) \quad$ (not inequality), the existence of bounded solutions on $[0, \infty)$ in the norm $\left\|\|_{V}\right.$ was proved in [8] (see also [7]). We extend this result to the variational inequality (1)-(2). Recently, similar problems were treated by Ôtani [12] and Ishii [3] in the framework of the theory of subdifferential operators. In their works it is assumed that $f(t) \equiv 0$ or $\int_{0}^{\infty}|f(s)|_{H}^{2}$ ds is small, while here we require only the smallness of $\underset{t}{M \equiv s u p} \delta(t)$. Ishii [3] discussed the decay or blowing up properties of solutions. We also prove a decay property of solutions of the perturbed problem. Our result is much better than the corresponding result of [3].

We employ the so-called penalty method introduced by Lions [5], and the argument is related to the one used in our previous paper [1I], where the nonlinear wave equations in noncylindrical domains were considered.

1. Preliminaries

We prepare some lemmas concerning a penalty functioanl $\beta(u)$. Let $K$ be a closed convex set in $V$ and let $J: V \longrightarrow V$ * be the duality mapping such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|J(u)\|_{V^{*}}=\|u\|_{V},\langle J(u), u\rangle=\|u\|_{V}^{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the penalty functional $\beta(u)$ for $K$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(u)=J\left(u-p_{K} u\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{K}$ is the projection of $V$ to $K$. Recall that $p_{K} u$ $(\in K)$ is determined by
(8)

$$
\left\|u-p_{K} u\right\|_{V}=\min _{w \in K}\|u-w\|_{V}
$$

$\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{u}$ is also characterized as the unique element of K satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle J\left(u-p_{K} u\right), w-p_{K} u>\leq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad w \in K\right. \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a proof see Lions [5]. The following two lemmas are well known.

Lemma 1. (Lions [5])
$\beta(u)$ is a monotone hemicontinuous mapping from $V$ to $V$. .

Lemma 2. (see, e.g., [6])
The projection $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is continuous.

The next lemma plays an essential role in our arguments.

Lemma 3.
Let $u(t) \in C^{1}([0, \infty) ; V)$. Then $\left\|u(t)-p_{K} u(t)\right\|_{V}^{2}$ is differentiable on $[0, \infty)$ and it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|u(t)-p_{K} u(t)\right\|_{V}^{2}=\left\langle\beta(u(t)), u^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof.
The proof can be given by a variant of the way in Biroli [1, lemma 6]. By a standard argument (see Lions [5, Chap II, Prof 8.1]) we know
(11) $\quad \frac{1}{2}\left\|w-p_{K} w\right\|_{V}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|v-p_{K} v\right\|_{V}^{2} \geqq\langle\beta(v), w-v>$
for $w, v \in V$. Then, if $t, t+h>0$ we have

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left\|u(t+h)-p_{K} u(t+h)\right\|_{V}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|u(t)-p_{K} u(t)\right\|_{V}^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\geqq\langle\beta(u(t)), u(t+h)-u(t)\rangle . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $h>0$, we have from (12)

$$
\frac{1}{2 h} \int_{t_{2}}^{t_{2}+h}\left\|u(s)-p_{K} u(s)\right\|_{V}^{2} d s-\frac{1}{2 h} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{1}+h}\left\|u(s)-p_{K} u(s)\right\|_{V}^{2} d s
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\geqq \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}<\beta(u(s)), \frac{u(s+h)-u(s)}{h}>d s \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t_{2}>t_{1} \geqslant 0$, and hence, letting $h \downarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\geqq \quad \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}<\beta(u(s)), u^{\prime}(s)>d s \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, if $h<0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 h} \int_{t_{2}+h}^{t_{2}}\left\|u(s)-p_{K} u(s)\right\|_{V}^{2} d s-\frac{1}{2 h} \int_{t_{1}+h}^{t_{1}}\left\|u(s)-p_{K} u(s)\right\|_{V}^{2} d s \\
& \leq \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}<\beta(u(s)), \frac{u(s+h)-u(s)}{h}>d s
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t_{2}>t_{1}$ with $t_{1}+h>0$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2}\left\|u\left(t_{2}\right)-p_{K} u\left(t_{2}\right)\right\|_{V}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|u\left(t_{1}\right)-p_{K} u\left(t_{1}\right)\right\|_{V}^{2}  \tag{15}\\
& \leqq \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}<\beta(u(s)), u^{\prime}(s)>d s
\end{align*}
$$

for $t_{2}>t_{1} \geq 0$, where we have used the continuity of $p_{K} u(t)$ at $t \geq 0$. The inequalities (14) and (15) are equiavlent to (10).

We conclude this section by stating a lemma concerning a difference inequality, which will be used for the proof of decay of solutions.

Lemma 4. ([9])
Let $\phi(t)$ be a nonnegative function on $[0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\sup _{t \leq s \leq t+1} \phi(t)^{1+r} \leqq C_{0}(\phi(t)-\phi(t+1))+g(t)
$$

with some $C_{0}>0$ and $r \geq 0$. Then
(i) if $r=0$ and $g(t) \leq C_{1} \exp (-\lambda t)$ with some $\lambda>0, C_{1}>0$, then $\phi(t) \leq C_{1}^{\prime} \exp \left(-\lambda^{\prime} t\right)$ for some $C_{1}^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}>0$,
and
(ii) if $r>0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) t^{1+1 / r}=0$, then

$$
\phi(t) \leqq C_{i}^{\prime}(1+t)^{-1 / r} \quad \underline{\text { for }} \text { some } C_{i}^{\prime}>0 .
$$

2. Unperturbed problem

As is mentioned in the introduction we prove here a decay property of solutions of the unperturbed problem (1)-(2). Theorem 1.

Let $u_{0} \in K$ and let $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \delta(t) t^{(p-1) /(p-2)}=0$ if $p>2$ and $\delta(t) \leq C \exp (-\lambda t) \quad(\lambda>0)$ if $p=2$. Then the problem (1)-(2) with $B(t) \equiv 0$ admits a unique solution $u(t)$, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t)\|_{V} \leqq c\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{V}\right)(1+t)^{-1 /(p-2)} \quad \text { if } \quad p>2 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\text { (16)' } \quad\|u(t)\|_{V} \leqq C\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{V}\right) \exp \left(-\lambda^{\prime} t\right) \quad \text { if } \quad p=2
$$

with some $\lambda^{\prime}>0$.

Proof.
Recall that the solution $u$ is given by a limit function of $\left\{u_{\varepsilon}(t)\right\}$ as $\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0$, where $u_{\varepsilon}(t)$ is the solution of the modified equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime}(t)+A u(t)+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \beta(u)=f(t) \quad(\varepsilon>0)  \tag{17}\\
u(0)=u_{0} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $A$ and $\beta$ are monotone hemicontinuous operators from $V$ to $V^{*}$, the problem (16) has a unique solution $u_{\varepsilon}(t)$ such that

$$
u_{\varepsilon}(t) \in L_{l o c}^{p}([0, \infty) ; V) \quad \text { and } u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t) \in L_{l o c}^{2}([0, \infty) ; H)
$$

(Cf. Lions [5, Chap. 2, Th. 1.2., see also Biroli [1], where more general result is given.)

Let $\left\{w_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a basis of $V$. Then, it is known that $u_{\varepsilon}(t)$ is given by the limit function of $\left\{u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)\right\}$ as $m \longrightarrow \infty$, where $u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_{j, m}(t) w_{j}$ is the solution of

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle u_{m, \varepsilon}^{\prime}(t), w_{j}\right\rangle+\left\langle A u_{m, \varepsilon}(t), w_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle f(t), w_{j}\right\rangle  \tag{18}\\
& (j=1,2, \ldots, m)
\end{align*}
$$

with the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m, \varepsilon}(0)=u_{m, \varepsilon}^{0} \quad \longrightarrow \quad u_{0} \quad \text { in } v \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The problem (17)-(18) is a system of ordinary differential equations with respect to $\alpha_{j, m}(t), j=1,2, \ldots, m$, and by the monotonicity and hemicontinuity of $A$ and $\beta$ it is easy to see that this problem admits unique solution such that

$$
u_{m, \varepsilon}(t) \in C^{1}\left([0, \infty) ; v_{m}\right) \subset c^{1}([0, \infty) ; v)
$$

where $V_{m}$ is the $m$-dimensional subspace of $V$ spanned by $\left\{w_{1}\right.$, ..., $\left.\omega_{m}\right\}$. For the proof of Theorem l, it suffices to show that the estimate (16) or (16)' with $u_{m, u_{m}}$ holds with the constants independent of $m$ and $\varepsilon$.

By Lemma 3 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}\left(t_{2}\right)\right)-E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)+\left.\left.\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\right|_{u_{m, \varepsilon}} ^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s  \tag{20}\\
& =\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}<f(s), u_{m, \varepsilon}^{\prime}(s)>d s
\end{align*}
$$

for $t_{2}>t_{1} \geq 0$, where

$$
E_{\varepsilon}(u(t)) \equiv F_{A}(u(t))+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left\|u(t)-p_{K} u(t)\right\|_{V}^{2}
$$

Also we have easily by (18)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left\{<A u_{m, \varepsilon}(s), u_{m, \varepsilon}(s)>+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}<\beta\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(s), u_{m, \varepsilon}(s)>\right\} d s\right. \\
& \quad=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left\{\left\langle f(s), u_{m, \varepsilon}(s)>-<u_{m, \varepsilon}^{\prime}(s), u_{m, \varepsilon}(s)>\right\} d s .\right. \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the similar argument as in [10], the equalities (20)-(21)
imply the estimate (16) or (16)' with $u=u_{m, \varepsilon}$. For completeness, however, we sketch the proof briefly.

By (20) we have

$$
\int_{t}^{t+1}\left|u_{m, \varepsilon}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s \leqq 2\left\{E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)-E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(t+1)\right)\right\}+C \delta(t)\right.
$$

(22)

$$
\equiv D_{\varepsilon}(t)^{2} \cdot \quad(C>0 ; \text { constant })
$$

On the other hand, using the ineqaulity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle A u_{m, \varepsilon}(t), u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)\right\rangle+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left\langle\beta\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)\right), u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)>\geqq E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)\right)\right. \\
& \quad(\text { see }(3) \text { and }(9)),
\end{aligned}
$$

we have from (21)
$\int_{t}^{t+1} E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(s)\right) d s \leqq\left(\int_{t}^{t+1}\|f(s)\|_{V^{*}}^{2} d s\right)^{1 / 2} \sup _{s \in[t, t+1]}\left\|u_{m, \varepsilon}(s)\right\|_{V}$

$$
+\left(\int_{t}^{t+1} \mid u_{m, \varepsilon}^{\prime}(s)^{2} d s\right)^{1 / 2} \sup _{t \leqq s \leqq t+1}\left|u_{m, \varepsilon}(s)\right|
$$

(23)

$$
\leqq C\left(D_{\varepsilon}(t)+\delta(t) \sup _{t \leqq s \leqq t+1} E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(s)\right)^{1 / p}\right.
$$

where hearafter $C$ denotes various constants independent of $m$ and $\varepsilon$. From (23) there exists $t^{*} \in[t, t+1]$ such that

$$
\left.E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}\left(t^{*}\right)\right) \leqq C\left\{D_{\varepsilon}(t)+\delta(t)\right)\right\} \sup _{t \leqq s \leq t+1} E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(s)\right)^{1 / p}
$$

and hence by (20)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{t \leq s \leq t+1} E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(s)\right) \leqq C\left\{\left(D_{\varepsilon}(t)+\delta(t)\right)\right. & \sup _{t \leq s \leq t+1_{\varepsilon}} E_{m, \varepsilon}\left(u_{m}(s)\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \left.+D_{\varepsilon}(t)^{2}+D_{\varepsilon}(t) \delta(t)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and by Young's inequality,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{t \leq s \leq t+1} E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(s)\right) \leqq C\left\{\left(D_{\varepsilon}(t)+\delta(t)\right)^{p /(p-1)}\right.  \tag{24}\\
&\left.+D_{\varepsilon}(t)^{2}+\delta(t)^{2}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

From (24) we can easily see that $E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)\right)$ is bounded on $[0, \infty)$ by a constant depending on $E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(0)\right)$. Since we may assume, without loss of generality, that $u_{m, \varepsilon}(0) \in K$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)\right) \leqq C\left(E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(0)\right)\right) \leqq C\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{V}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C(\cdot)$ denotes various constants depending on the indicated quantity. By (20) and (25) we have
(26)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{t \leq s \leq t+1} E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(s)\right)^{2(p-1) / p} \\
& \leqq C\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{V}, M\right)\left\{E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(t+1)\right)-E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)\right)+\delta(t)^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we set $M \equiv \sup _{t} \delta(t)^{2}$. Applying Lemma 4 we obtain the desired result.

## 3. Perturbed problem

In this section we investigate the existence and decay of solutions of the problem (1)-(2) with B satisfying the assumption $A_{2}$. For this consider the approximate equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle u_{m, \varepsilon}^{\prime}(t)+A u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)+B u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \beta\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)\right)-f(t), w_{j}\right\rangle=0, \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$j=1,2, \ldots, m$, where we set again

$$
u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_{m, j}(t) w_{j} .
$$

and we impose $u_{m, \varepsilon}(0) \in K$ and $u_{m, \varepsilon}(0) \rightarrow u_{0}(\in K)$ in $v$. Using a similar argument as in [7] we derive a priori estimates for $u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)$. We also give a rather brief discussion. First we assume $p>\alpha+2$. By (27) we have
(28)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{\varepsilon, 0}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}\left(t_{2}\right)\right)-G_{\varepsilon, 0}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left|u_{m, \varepsilon}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s \\
& =\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}<f(s), u_{m, \varepsilon}^{\prime}(s)>d s
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
G_{\varepsilon, 0}(u(t))=F_{A}(u(t))+F_{B}(u(t))+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left\|u(t)-p_{K} u(t)\right\|_{V}^{2},
$$

and hence, in particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\varepsilon, 0}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)\right) \leqq G_{\varepsilon, 0}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(0)\right)+\frac{1}{4} \delta(0) \text { if } 0 \leqq t<1 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

which together with the assumption $p>\alpha+2$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)\right\|_{V} \leqq c\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{V}, \delta(0)\right)<\infty \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $0 \leq t<1$. Thus $u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)$ exists on an interval, say $\left[0, t_{m}\right]$, with $t_{m}>1$. If we assume $G_{\varepsilon, 0}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)\right) \leqq G_{\varepsilon, 0}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(t+1)\right)$ for some $t>0$, we have from (28)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t}^{t+1}\left|u_{m, \varepsilon}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s \leqq \delta(t)^{2} \leqq M^{2} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (27) and (31) we have

$$
\int_{t}^{t+1} G_{\varepsilon, 1}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)\right) d s \leqq M^{2}+c \int_{t}^{t+1}\left\|u_{m, \varepsilon}(s)\right\|_{V}^{2} d s
$$

where we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\varepsilon, l}(u)=\left\langle A u+B u+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \beta(u), u\right\rangle . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
G_{\varepsilon, 1}(u) \geqq k_{0}\|u\|_{V}^{p}-k_{1}\|u\|_{W}^{\alpha+2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left\|u-p_{K} u\right\|_{V}^{2}
$$

and since $p>\alpha+2$, there exists a point $t^{*} \in[t, t+1]$ such that

$$
\left\|u_{m, \varepsilon}\left(t^{*}\right)\right\|_{V}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left\|u_{m, \varepsilon}\left(t^{*}\right)-p_{K} u_{m, \varepsilon}\left(t^{*}\right)\right\|_{V}^{2} \leqq C(M)
$$

From this and (28)

$$
G_{\varepsilon, 0}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(t+1)\right) \leqq G_{\varepsilon, 0}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}\left(t^{*}\right)\right)+C \delta(t)^{2} \leqq C(M) .
$$

Thus we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{\varepsilon, 0}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)\right) & \leqq \max \left(C(M), \max _{0 \leq s \leq 1} G_{\varepsilon, 0}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(s)\right)\right) \\
& \leqq C\left(M,\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{V}\right) \quad(\text { by }(29))
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore $u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)$ exists on $[0, \infty)$, satisfying
(32)

$$
\left\|u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)\right\|_{V}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \| u_{m, \varepsilon}
$$

(t) $-p_{K} u_{m, \varepsilon}$
(t) $\|_{V}^{2} \leq c\left(M,\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{V}\right)$.

Of course we know

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t}^{t+1}\left|u_{m, \varepsilon}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s \leqq c\left(M,\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{V}\right) \text { for } t \geqslant 0 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have now derived a priori estimate for $u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)$. Using standard compactness and monotonicity arguments (see Lions [5], Biroli [1] etc.) we can suppose without loss of generality that as $\mathrm{m} \longrightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{m, \varepsilon}(t) \longrightarrow u_{\varepsilon}(t) \text { weakly* in } L^{\infty}([0, \infty) ; V), \\
& u_{m, \varepsilon}^{\prime}(t) \longrightarrow u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t) \text { weakly in } L_{l o c}^{2}([0, \infty) ; V) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& A u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} B\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)\right) \rightarrow X_{\varepsilon}(t) \text { weakly** in } L^{\infty}\left([0, \infty) ; V^{*}\right),  \tag{34}\\
& B u_{m, \varepsilon}(t) \longrightarrow B u_{\varepsilon}(t) \text { strongly in } L^{\text { }}\left([0, \infty) ; W^{*}\right)(\forall r>1)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\varepsilon}(t)=A u_{\varepsilon}(t)+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \beta\left(u_{\varepsilon}(t)\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, with the aid of the inequality

$$
\left\langle\beta(u)-\beta(v), u-v>\geq\left(\left\|u-p_{K} u\right\|_{V}-\left\|v-p_{K} v\right\|_{V}\right)^{2}\right.
$$

for $u, v \quad v$, we know
(36)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)-p_{k} u_{m}(t)\right\|_{V}= & \left\|u_{\varepsilon}(t) \cdot-p_{K} u_{\varepsilon}(t)\right\|_{V} \\
& \quad \text { in } L_{l o c}^{2}([0, \infty))
\end{aligned}
$$

The limit function $u_{\varepsilon}(t)$ satisfies

$$
u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t)+A u_{\varepsilon}(t)+B u_{\varepsilon}(t)+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \beta(u(t))=f(t) \text { a.e. on }[0, \infty)
$$

(37)

$$
u_{\varepsilon}(0)=u_{0}
$$

Furthermore, it holds from (32) and (33) that
(32)' $\quad\left\|u_{\varepsilon}(t)\right\|_{V}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}(t)-p_{K} u_{\varepsilon}(t)\right\|_{V}^{2} \leq C\left(M,\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{V}\right)$
and
(33)'

$$
\int_{t}^{t+1}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s \leqq C\left(M,\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{V}\right)
$$

for $t \geq 0$. Then we may suppose, as $\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0$,

$$
u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t) \longrightarrow u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t) \quad \text { weakly in } L_{l o c}^{2}([0, \infty) ; V)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{\varepsilon}(t) \longrightarrow u(t) \quad \text { weakly* in } L^{\infty}([0, \infty) ; V),  \tag{38}\\
& \text { and in } C_{l o c}([0, \infty) ; H),
\end{align*}
$$ $A u_{\varepsilon}(t) \longrightarrow \dot{\chi}(t) \quad$ weakly** in $L^{\infty}\left([0, \infty) ; V^{*}\right)$

and $\quad \mathrm{Bu}_{\varepsilon}(\mathrm{t}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Bu}(\mathrm{t}) \quad$ strongly in $\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{r}}\left([0, \infty) ; \mathrm{W}^{*}\right)\left({ }_{r}{ }_{r>1}\right)$

Moreover from (32)'

$$
u_{\varepsilon}(t)-p_{K} u_{\varepsilon}(t) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { in } \quad L^{\infty}([0, \infty) ; V)
$$

which implies easily

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \in K \quad \text { a.e. on }[0, \infty) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a standard monotonicity argument (see Biroli [1]) we see $X(t)=A u(t)$ a.e. on $[0, \infty)$, and by (37) we have

$$
\left\langle u^{\prime}(t)+A u(t)+B u(t)-f(t), v(t)-u(t)\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

for $\forall v(t) \in L^{P}([0, \infty) ; V)$ with $v(t) \in K$ a.e. on $[0, \infty)$.
We summarize above result in the following

Theorem 2.
Let $p>\alpha+2$. Then under the assumptions $A_{1}, A_{2}$ and $A_{3}$, the
problem (1)-(2) admits a solution $u(t)$ such that

$$
\|u(t)\|_{V}+\int_{t}^{t+1}\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s \leqq C\left(M,\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{V}\right)<\infty
$$

for $\quad t \geq 0$, where we set $M \equiv \sup \delta(t)$.
Next, we assume $2 \leq p<\alpha+2$. As is already seen, for the existence of solution it suffices to show the boundedness of $u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)$ by a constant independent of $m$ and $\varepsilon$. For this we set further

$$
{\underset{G}{\varepsilon, 0}}(u)=k_{0}\|u\|_{V}^{p}-k_{1} s^{\alpha+2}\|u\|_{V}^{\alpha+2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left\|u-p_{K} u\right\|_{V}^{2}
$$

and

$$
\tilde{G}_{\varepsilon, 1}(u)=\tilde{G}_{\varepsilon, 0}(u)+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left\|u-p_{K} u\right\|_{V}^{2},
$$

where $s$ is a constant such tat $\|u\|_{W} \leq S\|u\|_{V}$ for $u \in V$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\varepsilon, 0}(u) \geqq \tilde{G}_{\varepsilon, 0}(u), G_{\varepsilon, 1}(u) \geqq \tilde{G}_{\varepsilon, 1}(u) \geqq \tilde{G}_{\varepsilon, 0}(u), \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $G_{\varepsilon, 1}(u) \geqslant G_{\varepsilon, 0}(u)-2 k_{1}\|u\|_{W}^{\alpha+2}$ for $u \in V$. Let us determine $x_{0}>0$ and $D_{0}>0$ as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{x \geq 0}\left(k_{0} x^{p}-k_{3} s^{\alpha+2} x^{\alpha+2}\right)=k_{0} x_{0}^{p}-k_{3} s^{\alpha+2} x^{\alpha+2} \equiv D_{0} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then 'the stable set' $U V$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\left\{u \in v \mid G_{\varepsilon, 1}(u)<D_{0} \text { and }\|u\|_{V}<x_{0}\right\} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us assume the initial value $u_{0} \in \mathscr{U} \cap K$, and let $M<M_{0}^{\prime} \equiv$ $2 \sqrt{D_{0}-G} \varepsilon_{, 0}\left(u_{0}\right) \quad(>0)$. We shall show that there exists a constant $M_{0}>0$ such tht if $M<M_{0}, u_{m, \varepsilon}(t) \in \mathcal{W}$ for $t \leq t_{m}$ provided that m is sufficiently large. First, by (29),

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\varepsilon, 0}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)\right) \leqq G_{\varepsilon, 0}\left(u_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{4} M+\eta<D_{0} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $0 \leq t \leq \min \left(l, t_{m}\right)$, for sufficiently small $\eta>0$ and large $m$. The inequality (43) implies $t_{m}>1$. Thus, if our assertion were false, there would exist a time $\overline{\mathrm{t}}>1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\varepsilon, 0}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)\right)<D_{0} \text { if } 0 \leq t<\bar{t} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\varepsilon, 0}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(\bar{t})\right)=D_{0} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (28) with $t_{2}=\bar{t}, t_{1}=\bar{t}-1$ we have easily

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\bar{t}-1}^{\bar{t}}\left|u_{m, \varepsilon}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s \leqq m^{2} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\bar{t}-1}^{\bar{t}} G_{\varepsilon, 1}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(s)\right) d s & \leqq \int_{\bar{t}-1}^{\bar{t}}\left|-u_{m, \varepsilon}^{\prime}(s)+f(s)\right|\left|u_{m, \varepsilon}(s)\right| d s  \tag{47}\\
& \leqq 2 \mathrm{MS}_{1} x_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

where $S_{1}$ is a constant such that

$$
\|u\|_{H} \leqq s_{1}\left\|^{u}\right\|_{V} \quad \text { for } \quad u \in V
$$

Therefore, if we assume $M<M_{0}^{\prime \prime} \equiv D_{0} / 2 S_{1} x_{0}$, there exists a time $t *$ $\in[\bar{t}-1, \bar{t}]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\varepsilon, 1}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}\left(t^{*}\right)\right) \leqq 2 M S_{1} x_{0} \text { and }\left\|u_{m, \varepsilon}\left(t^{*}\right)\right\|_{V} \leqq x(M) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x(M)\left(<x_{0}\right)$ is the smaller root of the numerical equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{0} x^{p}-k_{1} s^{\alpha+2} x^{\alpha+2}=2 M S_{1} x_{0} \quad\left(<D_{0}\right) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use again (28) to obtain

$$
G_{\varepsilon, 0}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(\bar{t})\right) \leqq G_{\varepsilon, 0}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}\left(t^{*}\right)\right)+\frac{1}{4} M^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leqq G_{\varepsilon, 1}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(t *)\right)+\frac{1}{4} M^{2}+2 k_{1} s^{\alpha+2}\left\|u_{m,}(t *)\right\|_{V}^{\alpha+2}  \tag{50}\\
& \leqq 2 M S_{1} x_{0}+\frac{1}{4} M^{2}+2 k_{1} s^{\alpha+2} x(M)^{\alpha+2}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we determine $M_{0}^{m "}>0$ as the largest number such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 k_{1} S^{\alpha+2} x\left(M^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)+2 M^{\prime \prime \prime} S_{1} x_{0}+\frac{1}{4} M^{\prime \prime \prime} 2=D_{0}\left(M_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime} \leqq M_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

and set $M_{0} \equiv \min \left(M_{0}^{\prime}, M_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$. Then, assuming $M<M_{0}$, we have by (51)

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\varepsilon, 0}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(\bar{t})\right)<D_{0} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contradicts to (45). Consequently, if $M K M_{0}, u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)$ exists on $[0, \infty)$ for large $m$ and it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)\right\|_{v}<x_{0}, \int_{t}^{t+1}\left|u_{m, \varepsilon}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s \leqq \text { const. }<\infty \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$ and

$$
G_{\varepsilon, 0}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)\right)<D_{0} \text { for } t \in[0, \infty)
$$

Thus, applying the monotonicity and compactness arguments, we obtain the following

Theorem 3.
Let $2 \leq p<\alpha+2$ and $M<M_{0}$. Then the problem (1)-(2) admits a solution $u$ satisfying

$$
\|u(t)\|_{v} \leqq x_{0} \text { and } \int_{t}^{t+1}\left|u_{m, \varepsilon}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s \leqq \text { const. }<\infty .
$$

Moreover, we note that the approximate solutions $u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)$ ( $m$ : large) satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{\varepsilon, 0}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)\right) \geqq & \tilde{G}_{\varepsilon, 0}\left(u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)\right)  \tag{54}\\
\geqq & \left(k_{0}-k_{1} S^{\alpha+2} x_{0}^{(\alpha+2)-p}\right)\left\|u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)\right\|_{V}^{p} \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left\|u-p_{K} u\right\|_{V}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\left(k_{0}-k_{1} s^{\alpha+2} x_{0}^{(\alpha+2)-p}\right)>0$. Therefore the same argument as in the section 2 yields the following

Theorem 4.
Let $2 \leq p<\alpha+2$ and $M<M_{0}$. Then the solution in Theorem 3 satisfies the decay property :
(i) If $p>2$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \delta(t) t^{(p-1) /(p-2)}=0$, then

$$
\|u(t)\|_{V} \leqq{ }^{\prime} c\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{V}\right)(1+t)^{-1 /(p-2)}
$$

or
(ii) If $p=2$ and $\delta(t) \leq C \exp \{-\lambda t\}(C, \lambda>0)$, then

$$
\|u(t)\|_{V} \leqq C^{\prime} \exp \left\{-\lambda^{\prime} t\right\}
$$

for some $C^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}>0$.
Remark. In [3], Ishii proved that $|u(t)| \leq C(1+t)^{-1 /(p-2)}$ if $p>2$ and $|u(t)| \leq C \exp \{-\lambda t\} \quad(C, \lambda>0)$ if $p=2$ for the case $f \equiv 0$. It is clear that our result is much better, because the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{V}}$ is essentially stronger than the norm $|\cdot| \cdot$
4. An example

Here we give an typical example. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $R^{n}$ and set

$$
\mathrm{V} \equiv \mathrm{w}_{0}^{1, \mathrm{P}}(\Omega), \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{L}^{2}(\Omega) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{w}=\mathrm{L}^{\alpha+2}(\Omega)
$$

with $0<\alpha<\mathrm{pn} /(\mathrm{n}-1)+2$ if $\mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{p}+1$ and $0<\alpha<\infty$ if $\mathrm{n} \leq \mathrm{p}$. We define $\mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}^{*}$ by

$$
\langle A u, v\rangle=\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} d x \quad(p \geqslant 2)
$$

for $u, v \in W_{0}^{1, P}(\Omega)$, and $B: W \rightarrow W^{*}$ by

$$
\mathrm{Bu}=\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x})|\mathrm{u}|^{\alpha} \mathrm{u} \text { for } \mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{~L}^{\alpha+2}(\Omega)
$$

where $d(x)$ is a bounded measurable function on $\Omega$. Moreover we set

$$
k=\left\{u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \mid b(x) \leqq u(x) \leqq a(x) \quad \text { a.e. on } \Omega\right\}
$$

where $a, b$ are measurable function on $\Omega$ with $a(x) \geq 0 \geq b(x)$. Then all the assumptions $A_{1}-A_{2}$ are satisfied. The problem (1) -(2) is equivalent in this case to the problem
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}L u(x, t)=f(x, t) \text { a.e. on } \Omega x[0, \infty) \text { where } b(x)<u(x, t)<a(x), \\ L u(x, t) \leqq f(x, t) \text { a.e. on } \Omega \times[0, \infty) \text { where } u(x, t)=a(x) \\ L u(x, t) \geqq f(x, t) \text { a.e. on } \Omega x[0, \infty) \text { where } u(x, t)=b(x) \\ \text { with the conditions } \\ \left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega=0 \text { a.e. on } \partial \Omega \quad[0, \infty) \text { and } u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x)(\in K) \text { a.e. on } \Omega,}\end{array}\right.$
where

$$
L u=\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} u\right)+d(x)|u|^{\alpha} u .
$$
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