# A DISTRIBUTIONAL REPRESENTATION OF STRIP ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS 

DRAGIŜA MITROVIC

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Technology
University of Zagreb Zagreb, Yugoslavia
(Received January 16, 1981)

ABSTRACT. A strip analytic function converging in the $D^{\prime}$ topology to certain boundary values (from the interior of the strip) is represented as the difference of two generalized Cauchy integrals.
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1. INTRODUCTION.

In the theory of distributional behavior of analytic functions, two following topics are central: (1) the representation of distributions in terms of boundary values of analytic functions; (2) the representation of analytic functions in terms of distributions.

The present paper, influenced by [1, Theorem 97, p. 130] via [2, Theorem 3.6, p. 68], continues the note [3] and contributes to the second topic. In the cited theorem of Beltrami and Wohlers, there is established a decomposition of strip analytic functions into the difference of two Cauchy distributional representations concerning the $S^{\prime}$ topology. Here, a version of this boundary value theorem is proved involving the $D^{\prime}$ topology.
2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES.

Throughout this paper the following symbols will be used:
$t$ : the real coordinate of a point of $\mid R$;
$z, \zeta$ : the complex coordinates of points of $\phi, z=x+i y$;
$\Delta^{+}, \Delta^{-}$: the open upper half-plane $\{z \in \mathbb{Q}: \operatorname{Im}(z)>0\}$ and the open lower half-plane $\{z \in \phi: \operatorname{Im}(z)<0\}$ respectively;
$C^{\infty}=C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ : the vector space of all infinitely differentiable complex valued functions defined on $\mid R$;
$D=D(\mathbb{R})$ : the vector space of all $C^{\infty}$-function with a compact support;
$D^{\prime}=D^{\prime}(\mathbb{R}):$ the space of all continuous linear functionals (Schwartz distributions) on $\mathcal{D}$.

For the completeness we recall a few basic definitions and facts on the spaces $G_{\alpha}=G_{\alpha}(\mid R)$ and $G_{\alpha}^{\prime}=G_{\alpha}^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})$.

Let $\alpha$ be a real number. We say that a function $\phi \in \mathcal{G}_{\alpha}$ if $\phi \in C^{\infty}$ and for each non-negative integer $p$ there exists a constant $M_{p}$ such that $\left|D^{p} \phi(t)\right| \leq M_{p}(1+|t|)^{\alpha}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. A sequence $\left(\phi_{n}\right)=\left(\phi_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to converge to zero in $\mathbb{G}_{\alpha}$ if the following are satisfied: (1) each $\phi_{n} \in \mathcal{G}_{\alpha}$; (2) for each $p$ the sequence ( $D^{p} \phi_{n}$ ) converges uniformly to zero on every compact subset of $\mid R$; (3) for each $p$ there exists a constant $M_{p}$, independent of $n$, such that $\left|D^{p} \phi_{n}(t)\right| \leq M_{p}(1+|t|)^{\alpha}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The space $\mathcal{D}$ is dense in $G_{\alpha}$ (that is, for each $\phi \in G_{\alpha}$ there exists a sequence $\left(\phi_{n}\right)$ in $\mathcal{D}$ which converges to $\dot{\psi}$ in $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}$ ). A linear functional T on $\mathcal{G}$ into $\mathbb{T}$ is continuous if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle T, \phi_{n}\right\rangle=\left\langle T, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{n}\right\rangle=\langle T, \phi\rangle$ for any sequence $\left(\phi_{n}\right)$ that converges to $\phi$ in $G_{\alpha}$. The space $\mathbb{C}_{\alpha}^{\mathrm{n}}$ is the space of all continuous linear functionals (distributions) on $\mathbb{C}_{\alpha}$. Finally, note the proper inclusions $D \subset G_{\alpha}$ and $G_{\alpha}^{\prime} \subset D^{\prime}$.

In the following we shall use the same expression to denote a regular distribution and a function that generates it (when no confusion is possible).

## 3. AUXILIARY RESULTS.

In order to establish the main result, we shall need the following three simple lemmas.

LEMMA 3.1: If $h^{+}(z)$ is a function analytic in $\Delta^{+}$with $h^{+}(z)=0\left(\left|\frac{1}{z}\right|\right)$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ in $\Delta^{+}$, and if $h^{+}(x+i \varepsilon)$ converges to $h_{x}^{+}$in the $D^{\prime}$ topology as $\varepsilon \rightarrow+0$,
that is,

$$
\left\langle h_{x}^{+}, \phi\right\rangle=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow+0}\left\langle h^{+}(x+i \varepsilon), \phi\right\rangle=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow+0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^{+}(x+i \varepsilon) \phi(x) d x
$$

for each $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$, then $h_{x}^{+} \in \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ for all $\alpha<0$.
PROOF. For each $\varepsilon>0$ the function $\mathrm{x} \mapsto \mathrm{h}^{+}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{i} \varepsilon)$ is continuous on $\mid \mathrm{R}$.
Therefore for each $\varepsilon>0$ the linear functional on $\mathcal{D}$ into $\mathbb{C}$ defined by the integral

$$
\left\langle h^{+}(x+i \varepsilon), \phi\right\rangle=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^{+}(x+i \varepsilon) \phi(x) d x
$$

is a regular distribution in $V^{\prime}$. By the hypothesis on the behavior of $h^{+}(z)$ there exist the constants $R>0$ and $A>0$ such that for each $\varepsilon>0$ and all $|x|>R$ the inequality

$$
\left|h^{+}(x+i \varepsilon)\right| \leq \frac{A}{\sqrt{x^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}}}<\frac{A}{|x|}
$$

holds. Then for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$ with a support contained in the set $E=\{x \in \mathbb{R}$ : $|x| \geq r>R\}$ it follows

$$
\left|\left\langle h_{x}^{+}, \phi\right\rangle\right|=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow+0}\left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^{+}(x+i \varepsilon) \phi(x) d x\right| \leq A \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|x|^{-1}|\phi(x)| d x
$$

Thus the distribution $h_{x}^{+}$has the asymptotic bound $|x|^{-1}$. Hence, by Theorem [4, p. 54] it can be extended from $D^{\prime}$ to $\mathbb{G}_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ for all $\alpha<0$. In other words, $h_{x}^{+} \in \mathbb{G}_{\alpha}^{\prime}(\alpha<0)$.

Also, since

$$
\left|\left\langle h^{+}(x+i \varepsilon), \phi\right\rangle\right| \leq A \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|x|^{-1}|\phi(x)| d x
$$

for each $\varepsilon>0$ and all $\phi$ with Supp $\phi \subset E$, we conclude that $h^{+}(x+i \varepsilon)$ is a regular distribution in $\mathbb{G}_{\alpha}^{\prime}(\alpha<0)$.

REMARK 3.1. Perhaps it may be of interest to prove the above result directly. Consider a linear functional on $G_{\alpha}(\alpha<0)$ defined by means of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle h^{+}(x+i \varepsilon), \phi\right\rangle=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^{+}(x+i \varepsilon) \phi(x) d x, \phi \in \mathfrak{G}_{\alpha} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $\varepsilon>0$ the integral (3.1) exists because the integrand is equal to
$O\left(|x|^{-1+\alpha}\right)$. Let $\left(\phi_{n}\right)$ be any sequence which converges to zero in $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We must show that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle h^{+}(x+i \varepsilon), \phi_{n}\right\rangle=0
$$

Let $r$ denote a positive real number. Then we can write

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^{+}(x+i \varepsilon) \phi_{n}(x) d x\right| \leq\left|\int_{|x| \leq r} h^{+}(x+i \varepsilon) \phi_{n}(x) d x\right|+  \tag{3.2}\\
\\
\int_{|x|>r}\left|h^{+}(x+i \varepsilon) \phi_{n}(x)\right| d x .
\end{gather*}
$$

Letting $\delta$ be an arbitrarily small positive real number, we may choose the number $r$ so large ( $r>R$ ) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|x|>r}\left|h^{+}(x+i \varepsilon) \phi_{n}(x)\right| d x \leq A M_{o} \int_{|x|>r}|x|^{-1+\alpha} d x<\delta \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n$. The closed interval [-r, $r$ ] being now fixed, it follows from the convergence of $\left(\phi_{n}\right)$ to zero in $G_{\alpha}$ and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| \leq r} h^{+}(x+i \varepsilon) \phi_{n}(x) d x=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bound (3.3) and the 1imit (3.4) together show that the estimate (3.2) can be made arbitrarily small for 1 arga enough $n$. Consequently, the linear functional (3.1) is a regular distribution in $\mathbb{G}_{\alpha}^{\prime}(\alpha<0)$.

The previous results suggest the following lemma.
LEMMA 3.2. If the function $h^{+}(z)$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.1, then $h^{+}(x+i \varepsilon)$ converges to $h_{x}^{+}$in the $G_{\alpha}^{\prime}(\alpha<0)$ topology as $\varepsilon \rightarrow+0$, that is,

$$
\left\langle h_{x}^{+}, \phi\right\rangle=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow+0}\left\langle h^{+}(x+i \varepsilon), \phi\right\rangle=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow+0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^{+}(x+i \varepsilon) \phi(x) d x
$$

for each $\phi \in \mathcal{G}_{\alpha}(\alpha<0)$.
PROOF. Let $\alpha$ be a negative real number and let $r$ be as in the proof of Lemma
3.1. To consider the limit we write

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^{+}(x+i \varepsilon) \phi(x) d x=\int_{|x| \leq r} h^{+}(x+i \varepsilon) \phi(x) d x+\int_{|x|>r} h^{+}(x+i \varepsilon) \phi(x) d x \quad,
$$

where $\phi \in \mathbb{G}_{\alpha}$. As each function $\phi$ is a $C^{\infty}$-function, for any given compact of $\mathbb{R}$ there exists a function in $D$ that is identical to $\phi$ over this compact [6, p. 4]. So, by the hypothesis the first limit exists. Since $h^{+}(z)$ is analytic and bounded in the domain $\left\{z \in \Delta^{+}:|\operatorname{Re}(z)| \geq r>R\right\}$ it follows that $h^{+}(x+i \varepsilon) \rightarrow h^{+}(x)$ for almost all $|\mathrm{x}| \geq \mathrm{r}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow+0$. At the same time $\left|\mathrm{h}^{+}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{i} \varepsilon)\right|<\frac{\mathrm{A}}{|\mathrm{x}|}$ for all $\varepsilon>0$. Therefore, using the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem,

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow+0} \int_{|x|>r} h^{+}(x+i \varepsilon) \phi(x) d x=\int_{|x|>r} h^{+}(x) \phi(x) d x \in \mathbb{C}
$$

Consequently, there exists a distribution $H \in G_{\alpha}^{\prime}(\alpha<0)$ such that

$$
\left\langle H_{x}, \phi\right\rangle=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow+0}\left\langle h^{+}(x+i \varepsilon), \phi\right\rangle \text { for each } \phi \in G_{\alpha} \text {. This implies } h_{x}^{+}=H_{x} \text { over }
$$

D. But $D$ is dense in $G_{\alpha}$. Hence, $h_{x}^{+}=H_{x}$ over $G_{\alpha}$.

Obviously, the obtained results can be transposed bodily for a function $h^{-}(z)$ analytic in $\Lambda^{-}$with ${h^{-}}^{-}(z)=0\left(\frac{1}{|z|}\right)$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ and generating a regular distribution in $D^{\prime}$ by the integral

$$
\left\langle h^{-}(x-i \varepsilon), \phi\right\rangle=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^{-}(x-i \varepsilon) \phi(x) d x
$$

LEMMA 3.3. If the function $h{ }^{\dagger}(z)$ satisfies the condition of Lemma 3.1 , then

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left\langle h_{t}^{+}, \frac{1}{t-z}\right\rangle & =h^{+}(z) & & \text { for } z \in L^{+},  \tag{3.5}\\
& =0 & & \text { for } z \in \Delta^{-} .
\end{align*}
$$

PROOF. From Lemma 3.1 we know, in particular, that the distribution $h_{t}^{+}$acts on the space $\mathbb{G}_{\alpha}$ with $\alpha=-1$. Since the function $t \mapsto \frac{1}{t-z}$ belongs to this space $(\operatorname{Im}(z) \neq 0)$, the Cauchy representation of $h_{t}^{+}$is well defined. To prove the lemma, we shall first evaluate the limit of the integral

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left\langle h^{+}(t+i \varepsilon), \frac{1}{t-z}\right\rangle
$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow+0$ (observe that this integral exists for each $\varepsilon>0$ ). Let $z$ be any point in $\Delta^{+}$. By the Cauchy integral formular applied to the function

$$
\zeta \mapsto \frac{h^{+}(\zeta+i \varepsilon)}{\zeta-z}
$$

along the closed path consisting of a sufficiently large semicircle in $\Delta^{+}$of
radius $r$ and the segment $[-r, r]$, we get

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{h^{+}(t+i \varepsilon)}{t-z} d t=h^{+}(z+i \varepsilon) \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \Delta^{+} .
$$

For $z \in \Delta^{-}$this integral vanishes. Thus, letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow+0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow+0}\left\langle h^{+}(t+i \varepsilon), \frac{1}{t-z}\right\rangle & =h^{+}(z) \\
& =0 \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \Delta^{+}, \\
& \text {for } z \in \Delta^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now by Lemma 3.2 the representation (3.5) follows.
For a function $h^{-}(z)$ analytic in $\Delta^{-}$and satisfying here the conditions similar to ones of $\mathrm{h}^{+}(z)$, we infer by the same procedure that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left\langle h_{t}^{-}, \frac{1}{t-z}\right\rangle & =h^{-}(z) \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \Delta^{-}, \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

4. THE MAIN RESULT.

We are now prepared to prove the main result of this paper.
THEOREM 4.1. Let $f(z)$ be a function analytic in the strip $\Delta=\{z \in \mathbb{C}$ :
$\left.y_{1}<\operatorname{Im}(z)<y_{2}\right\}$ with $f(z)=0\left(\frac{1}{|z|^{1+\lambda}}\right)$ for some $\lambda>0$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ in $\Delta$. Suppose
that $f_{1}=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow+0} f\left(x+i\left(y_{1}+\varepsilon\right)\right)$ and $f_{2}=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow+0} f\left(x+i\left(y_{2}-\varepsilon\right)\right)$ in the $D^{\prime}$ topology. Then for $y_{1}<\operatorname{Im}(z)<y_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left\langle f_{1}, \frac{1}{t+i y_{1}-z}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left\langle f_{2}, \frac{1}{t+i y_{2}-z}\right\rangle \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Cauchy representation of $f_{1}$ is analytic in the upper half-plane $\operatorname{Im}(z)>y_{1}$, and the Cauchy representation of $f_{2}$ is analytic in the lower half-plane $\operatorname{Im}(z)<\mathrm{y}_{2}$.

PROOF. Let $y_{1}<a<b<y_{2}$. Since $f(z)$ tends uniformly to zero as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ in $\Delta$, an application of Cauchy's integral formula [7, Lemma 1, p.293] leads to the decomposition $f(z)=f^{+}(z)+f^{-}(z)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{+}(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-\infty+i a}^{\infty+i a} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta-z} d \zeta, \\
& f^{-}(z)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-\infty+i b}^{\infty+i b} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta-z} d \zeta .
\end{aligned}
$$

We recall that the function $f^{+}(z)$ is analytic in the upper half-plane $\operatorname{Im}(z)>a$, and $f^{-}(z)$ is analytic in the lower half-plane $\operatorname{Im}(z)<b$. By virtue of the arbitrarily closeness of the points $a$ and $b$ to the points $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ respectively, the strip $\Delta$ is the common domain of analyticity for $f^{+}(z)$ and $f^{-}(z)$. In order to investigate the behavior of these functions at the point at infinity consider the equality

$$
\begin{gather*}
z f^{+}(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-\infty+i a}^{\infty+i a} \frac{z f(\zeta)}{\zeta-z} d \zeta \\
=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-\infty+i a}^{\infty+i a} \frac{\zeta f(\zeta)}{\zeta-z} d \zeta-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-\infty+i a}^{\infty+i a} f(\zeta) d \zeta . \tag{4.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

The integral of the Cauchy type in (4.2) vanishes as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ in the upper halfplane $\operatorname{Im}(z)>y_{1}$, while other one converges since $f(\zeta)=0\left(\frac{1}{|\zeta|^{1+\lambda}}\right), \lambda>0$. From this we conclude that $f^{+}(z)=0\left(\frac{1}{|z|}\right)$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$. Also, from a similar integral representation for $z f^{-}(z)$ we infer $f^{-}(z)=0\left(\frac{1}{|z|}\right)$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ in the lower half-plane $\operatorname{Im}(z)<y_{2}$.

Further, we must verify that the functions $f^{+}(z)$ and $f^{-}(z)$ really converge in the $D^{\prime}$ topology to certain boundary values on $\operatorname{Im}(z)=y_{1}$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z)=y_{2}$ respectively (from the interior of $\Delta$ ). Let $z=x+i(a+\varepsilon)$ be a point in the half-plane $\operatorname{Im}(z)>$ a. Then in the distributional setting

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{f}^{+}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{a}+\varepsilon))= & \frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}}\left\langle\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{ia}), \frac{1}{\mathrm{t}-(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{i} \varepsilon)}\right\rangle \\
\mathrm{f}^{+}\left(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{i}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}+\varepsilon\right)\right)= & \lim _{\mathrm{a} \rightarrow \mathrm{y}_{1}} \mathrm{f}^{+}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{a}+\varepsilon)) \\
& \lim \frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left\langle\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{ia}), \frac{1}{\mathrm{t}-(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{i} \varepsilon)}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 3.1 the analyticity of $f(z)=0\left(\frac{1}{|z|}\right)$ in $\Delta(|z| \rightarrow \infty)$ and the convergence of $f(t+i a)$ to $f_{1}$ as $a \rightarrow y_{1}$ together imply $f_{1} \in \mathbb{G}_{\alpha}^{\prime}(-1 \leq \alpha<0)$. On the other hand, according to Lemma 3.2 we have

$$
f^{+}\left(x+i\left(y_{1}+\varepsilon\right)\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left\langle f_{1}, \frac{1}{t-(x+i \varepsilon)}\right\rangle
$$

Now, in view of the distributional Plemelj formulas [5, Theorem 2] we get

$$
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{x}}^{+}=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow+0} \mathrm{f}^{+}\left(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{i}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}+\varepsilon\right)\right)=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{f}_{1}-\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left\langle\mathrm{f}_{1} * \operatorname{vp} \frac{1}{\mathrm{x}}\right\rangle
$$

in the $D^{\prime}$ topology.
Let $z=x+i(b-\varepsilon)$ be a point in the half-plane $\operatorname{Im}(z)<y_{2}$. Starting from

$$
f^{-}(x+i(b-\varepsilon))=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left\langle f(t+i b), \frac{1}{t-(x-i \varepsilon)}\right\rangle
$$

and proceeding along the same lines as before, we find

$$
\begin{gathered}
f^{-}\left(x+i\left(y_{2}-\varepsilon\right)\right)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left\langle f_{2}, \frac{1}{t-(x-i \varepsilon)}\right\rangle, \\
\xi_{x}^{-}=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow+0} f^{-}\left(x+i\left(y_{2}-\varepsilon\right)\right)=\frac{1}{2} \quad f_{2}+\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left\langle f_{2} * \operatorname{vp} \frac{1}{x}\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

in the $D^{\prime}$ topology.
So we have proved that the function $f^{+}(z)$ [resp. $f^{-}(z)$ ] is analytic in the half-plane $\operatorname{Im}(z)>y_{1}$ [resp. $\left.\operatorname{Im}(z)<y_{2}\right]$ with the order relation $0\left(\frac{1}{|z|}\right)$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$, and that it converges in the $D^{\prime}$ topology to $f_{x}^{+}$on $\operatorname{Im}(z)=y_{1}\left[\right.$ resp. $f_{x}^{-}$on $\left.\operatorname{Im}(z)=y_{2}\right]$. In view of Lemma 3.3, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left\langle f_{t}^{+}, \frac{1}{t+i y_{1}-z}\right\rangle & =f^{+}(z) \quad \text { for } \quad \operatorname{Im}(z)>y_{1} \\
& =0 \quad \text { for } \quad \operatorname{Im}(z)<y_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Analogously,

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left\langle f_{t}^{-}, \frac{1}{t+i y_{2}-z}\right\rangle & =f^{-}(z) \quad \text { for } \quad \operatorname{Im}(z)<y_{2} \\
& =0 \quad \text { for } \quad \operatorname{Im}(z)>y_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we shall compute the value of the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left\langle f^{+}\left(t+i y_{2}\right), \frac{1}{t+i y_{2}-z}\right\rangle \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\operatorname{Im}(z)<y_{2}$. For such $z$ the function

$$
\zeta \mapsto \frac{\mathrm{f}^{+}(\zeta)}{\zeta-z}
$$

is analytic inside the closed path which consists of the segment $\left[-r+i y_{2}\right.$, $r+i y_{2}$ ] and the semicircle $L_{r}$ of radius $r$ lying in $\operatorname{Im}(z)>y_{2}$. According to Cauchy integral theorem, we may write

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-r}^{r} \frac{f^{+}\left(t+i y_{2}\right)}{t+i y_{2}-z} d t+\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{L_{r}} \frac{f^{+}(\zeta)}{\zeta-z} d \zeta=0
$$

The integral along $L_{r}$ tends to zero as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Thus the integral (4.3) is equal to zero for $\operatorname{Im}(z)<y_{2}$. Also, as an immediate consequence of the derivation above,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left\langle f^{-}\left(t+i y_{1}\right), \frac{1}{t+i y_{1}-z}\right\rangle=0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\operatorname{Im}(z)>y_{1}$. Combining the Cauchy representation of $f_{t}^{+}$and $f_{t}^{-}$with (4.4) and (4.3) respectively, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{f}^{+}(\mathrm{z})=\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left\langle\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{t}}^{+}+\mathrm{f}^{-}\left(\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{iy} \mathrm{l}_{1}\right), \frac{1}{\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{iy} y_{1}-z}\right\rangle \text { for } \operatorname{Im}(z)>\mathrm{y}_{1}, \\
& \mathrm{f}^{-}(z)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left\langle\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{t}}^{-}+\mathrm{f}^{+}\left(\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{i} y_{2}\right), \frac{1}{\mathrm{t}+i y_{2}-z}\right\rangle \text { for } \operatorname{Im}(z)<\mathrm{y}_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the decomposition $f(z)=f^{+}(z)+f^{-}(z)$ we see that $f_{1}=f_{t}^{+}+f^{-}\left(t+i y_{1}\right)$ is the boundary value of $f(z)$ on $\operatorname{Im}(z)=y_{1}$ in the $D^{\prime}$ topology and $f_{2}=f_{t}^{-}+$ $f^{+}\left(t+i y_{2}\right)$ is the boundary value of $f(z)$ on $\operatorname{Im}(z)=y_{2}$ in the same topology. Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{f}^{+}(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left\langle\mathrm{f}_{1}, \frac{1}{\mathrm{t}+i y_{1}-z}\right\rangle \text { for } \operatorname{Im}(z)>\mathrm{y}_{1}, \\
& \mathrm{f}^{-}(z)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left\langle f_{2}, \frac{1}{\mathrm{t}+i y_{2}-z}\right\rangle \text { for } \operatorname{Im}(z)<y_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Again returning to the decomposition of the function $f(z)$, the representation (4.1) follows at once.
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