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ABSTRACT. The classes of univalent prestarlike functions $R_{\alpha}, \alpha \geq-1$, of Ruscheweyh [1] and a certain generalization of $\mathrm{R}_{\alpha}$ were studied recently by Al-Amiri [2]. The author studies, among other things, the classes of $p$-valent functions $R(\alpha+p-1)$ where $p$ is a positive integer and $\alpha$ is any integer with $\alpha+p>0$. The author shows in particular that $R(\alpha+p) \subset R(\alpha+p-1)$ and also obtains the radius of $R(\alpha+p)$ for the class $R(\alpha+p-1)$.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. p-valent starlike functions, $p$-valent close-to-convex functions, Hadamard product.

AMS (MOS) SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION (1980) CODES. Primary $30 C 45$.

1. INTRODUCTION.

The classes of univalent prestarlike functions $R_{\alpha}, \alpha \geq-1$, were studied by various authors [1,2]. The author extends these classes to the classes of p-valent starlike functions $R(\alpha+p-1)$, where $p$ is a positive integer and $\alpha$ is any integer greater that -p . The present studies give, along with other results, a method to determine the radius of $R(\alpha+p)$ for the class $R(\alpha+p-1)$.

Let $A_{p}$ denote the class of regular functions in the unit disc $D=\{z:|z|<1\}$ having the power series

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad p \quad \text { a positive integer, } \quad z \in D \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $S^{*}(\beta)$, the subclass of $A_{1}$ whose members are starlike of order $\beta$, $0 \leq \beta<1$.

Ruscheweyh [1] introduced the following classes ' $\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}$ ' of univalent prestarlike functions:

$$
K_{\alpha}=\left\{f(z) \mid f(z) \in A_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{\left(z^{\alpha} f(z)\right)^{(\alpha+1)}}{\left(z^{\alpha-1} f(z)\right)^{(\alpha)}}>\frac{\alpha+1}{2}, z \in D\right\}
$$

$\alpha \in N_{0}=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$; where $F^{(n)}$ denotes the $n$-th derivative of the function $F$. As observed by Ruscheweyh, $f \in K_{\alpha}$ if and only if $\operatorname{Re} \frac{D^{\alpha+1} f(z)}{D^{\alpha} f(z)}>\frac{1}{2}, z \in D$ where $D^{\alpha} f(z)=$ $f(z) * \frac{z}{(1-z)^{\alpha+1}}$. Here '*' denotes the Hadamard product of two regular functions, that is to say if $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ and $g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}$, then $f(z) * g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} b_{n} z^{n}$. Ruscheweyh proved that $K_{\alpha+1} \subset K_{\alpha}$ and $K_{0}=S *\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$. Hence for each $\alpha \in N_{0}, K_{\alpha}$ is a subclass of $S *\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$. Recently, Al-Amiri [2] studied a certain generalization of $K_{\alpha}$, in particular he obtained the radius of $K_{\alpha+1}$ in $K_{\alpha}, \alpha \in N_{0}$. Further Singh and Singh [3] extended the classes $K_{\alpha}$ to the classes $R_{\alpha}$, where

$$
R_{\alpha}=\left\{f(z) \mid f(z) \in A_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{D^{\alpha+1} f(z)}{D^{\alpha} f(z)}>\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}, \quad z \in D\right\}, \quad \alpha \in N_{0} .
$$

They observed that $R_{\alpha}$ is a subclass of $S *(0)$. In this note, we extend their ideas to the class of $p$-valent functions.

We call a function $f(z) \in A_{p}$ to be p-valent starlike if it satisfies $\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}>0, z \in D$. Further, we say that a function $f(z) \in A_{p}$ is $p$-valent close-to-convex if there exists a p-valent starlike function $g(z)$ for which $\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right)>0, z \in D$.

Let $R(\alpha+p-1)$ denote the class of functions $f(z) \in A_{p}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{\left(^{\alpha} f(z)\right)^{(\alpha+p)}}{\left(z^{\alpha-1} f(z)\right)^{(\alpha+p-1)}}\right]>\alpha+p-1, z \in D \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is any integer greater that -p. In Section 2 we shall show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(\alpha+p) \subset R(\alpha+p-1) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $R(0)$ is the class of functions which satisfy

$$
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}>p-1 \geq 0,
$$

it follows by our definition taken from [4] that such functions are p-valent starlike. Hence (1.3) implies that $R(\alpha+p-1)$ contains $p$-valent starlike functions. We denote by $H(\alpha+p-1)$, the classes of functions $f(z) \in A_{p}$ that satisfy the condition
for some $g(z) \in R(\alpha+p-1)$, $\alpha$ integer greater that - $p$.
In Section 4 we shall show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}(\alpha+\mathrm{p}) \subset \mathrm{H}(\alpha+\mathrm{p}-1) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again since $H(0)$ is the class of functions $f$ that satisfy $\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}>0$, where $g$ is starlike, (1.5) implies that $H(\alpha+p-1)$ contains $p$-valent close-to-convex functions.

For $f \in A_{p}$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\alpha+p-1} f(z)=f(z) * \frac{z^{p}}{(1-z)^{\alpha+p}} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is any integer greater than -p. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\alpha+p-1} f_{(z)}=\frac{z^{p}\left(z^{\alpha-1} f(z)\right)^{(\alpha+p-1)}}{(\alpha+p-1)!} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be shown that (1.6) yields the following identity "

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(D^{\alpha+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=(\alpha+p) D^{\alpha+p_{f}} f(z)-\alpha\left(D^{\alpha+p-1} f(z)\right) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.2) and (1.7) it follows that a function $f$ in $A_{p}$ belongs to $R(\alpha+p-1)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{D^{\alpha+p_{f(z)}}}{D^{\alpha+p-1}} \underset{f(z)}{\alpha+p-1} \frac{\alpha+p}{\alpha} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for $p=1$, the classes $R(\alpha+p-1)$ reduce to the classes $R_{\alpha}$ of Singh and Singh [3]. Hence our results are generalizations of Singh and Singh.

$$
\text { From }(1.4) \text { and }(1.7) \text {, it follows that a function } f \text { in } A_{p} \text { belongs to }
$$ $H(\alpha+p-1)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{z\left(D^{\alpha+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{\alpha+p-1} g(z)}\right]>\frac{\alpha+p-1}{\alpha+p} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $g \in R(\alpha+p-1)$.

In Sections 3 and 4 we shall describe some special elements of $R(\alpha+p-1)$ and $H(\alpha+p-1)$, respectively. These elements have integral representations. In Section 5, we introduce the classes $R_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha+p-1)$ via the Hadamard product. Also the radii of $R(\alpha+p)$ in $R(\alpha+p-1)$ and of $R_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha+p)$ in $R_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha+p-1)$ are determined. In Section 6, the classes $\mathrm{R}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha+\mathrm{p}-1, \beta)$ which are extensions of the classes $R_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha+p-1)$, are given. Many authors have considered a variation of these classes, notably Ruscheweyh [1], Suffridge [5], Goel and Sohi [6]. However, this note basically uses the techniques given by Al-Amiri [2].

## 2. THE CLASSES $R(\alpha+p-1)$.

We shall prove the following:
THEOREM 1. $\mathrm{R}(\alpha+\mathrm{p}) \subset \mathrm{R}(\alpha+\mathrm{p}-1)$.
PROOF. Let $f \in R(\alpha+p)$. Define $w(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{D^{\alpha+p^{\prime}} f(z)}{D^{\alpha+p-1} f(z)}=\frac{\alpha+p-1}{\alpha+p}+\frac{1}{\alpha+p} \frac{1-w(z)}{1+w(z)} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $w(z)$ is a regular function in $D$ with $w(0)=0$, $w(z) \neq-1$ for $z \in D$. It suffices to show that $|w(z)|<1, z \in D$, since then (2.1) would imply that $f \in R(\alpha+p-1)$.

Taking logarithmic derivative of both sides of (2.1) and using the identity (1.8) the following is obtained.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{D^{\alpha+p+1} f(z)}{D^{\alpha+p} f(z)}=\frac{1}{(\alpha+p+1)}\left[1+\frac{(\alpha+p)+(\alpha+p-2) w(z)}{1+w(z)}\right. \\
\left.-\frac{2 z w^{\prime}(z)}{(1+w(z))(\alpha+p+(\alpha+p-2) w(z))}\right] . \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

The above equation must yield $|w(z)|<1$ for all $z \in D$, for otherwise by using a lemma of Jack [7] one can obtain $z_{0} \in D$ such that $z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=\operatorname{Kw}\left(z_{0}\right),\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1$ and $K \geq 1$. Consequently (2.2) would yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{D^{\alpha+p+1} f\left(z_{0}\right)}{D^{\alpha+p_{f}} f\left(z_{0}\right)}=\frac{1}{(\alpha+p+1)} & +\frac{(\alpha+p)+(\alpha+p-2) w\left(z_{0}\right)}{(\alpha+p+1)\left(1+w\left(z_{0}\right)\right)}-\frac{2 K w\left(z_{0}\right)}{(\alpha+p+1)\left(1+w\left(z_{0}\right)\right)} \\
& \frac{\left(\alpha+p+(\alpha+p-2) \overline{\left.w\left(z_{0}\right)\right)}\right.}{\left|\alpha+p+(\alpha+p-2) w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{1+w\left(z_{0}\right)}=\frac{1}{2}, \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{w\left(z_{0}\right)}{1+w\left(z_{0}\right)}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

the above equation implies

$$
\operatorname{Re} \frac{D^{\alpha+p+1} f\left(z_{0}\right)}{D^{\alpha+p_{f}} f\left(z_{0}\right)} \leq \frac{\alpha+p}{\alpha+p+1} .
$$

This is a contradiction to the assumption that $f \in R(\alpha+p)$. Hence $f \in R(\alpha+p-1)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.
3. SPECIAL ELEMENTS OF $\mathrm{R}(\alpha+\mathrm{p}-1)$.

In this section we form special elements of the classes $R(\alpha+p-1)$ via the Hadamard product of elements of $R(\alpha+p-1)$ and $h_{\gamma}(z)$, where

$$
h_{\gamma}(z)=\sum_{j=p}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma+p}{\gamma+j} z^{j}, \quad \operatorname{Re} \gamma>-p
$$

THEOREM 2. Let $f \in A_{p}$ satisfy the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{D^{\alpha+p} f(z)}{D^{\alpha+p-1} f(z)}>\frac{2(\gamma+p-1)(\alpha+p-1)-1}{2(\alpha+p)(\gamma+p-1)}, \quad z \in D, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

p a positive integer, $\alpha$ any integer greater than $-p$ and $\gamma \geq-p+2$.
Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=f(z) *_{\gamma}(z)=\frac{\gamma+p}{z^{\gamma}} \cdot \int_{0}^{z} t^{\gamma-1} f(t) d t \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

belongs to $R(\alpha+p-1)$.
PROOF. Let $f \in A_{p}$ satisfy the condition (3.1). From (3.2) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(D^{\alpha+} p_{F(z)}\right)^{\prime}+\gamma\left(D^{\gamma+p_{2}} F(z)\right)=(p+\gamma) D^{\alpha+p_{i}} f(z), \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(D^{\alpha+p-1} F(z)\right)^{\prime}+\gamma\left(D^{\alpha+p-1} F(z)\right)=(p+\gamma) D^{\alpha+p-1} f(z) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define w(z) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{D}^{\alpha+p_{F}}(z)}{D^{\alpha+p-1} F(z)}=\frac{\alpha+p-1}{\alpha+p}+\frac{1}{\alpha+p} \cdot \frac{1-w(z)}{1+w(z)} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $w(z)$ is a regular function in $D$ with $w(0)=0, w(z) \neq-1$ for $z \in D$. It suffices to show that $|w(z)|<1, z \in D$.

Taking the logarithmic derivative of (3.5) and using (1.8) for $F(z)$ one can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(D^{\alpha+p^{2}} F(z)\right)^{\prime}=D^{\alpha+p} F(z) \cdot\left[(\alpha+p) \frac{D^{\alpha+p} F(z)}{D^{\alpha+p-1} F(z)}-\alpha-\frac{2 z w^{\prime}(z)}{(1+w(z))\left(\alpha+p^{+(\alpha+p-2) w(z))}\right.}\right] . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now (3.3) and (3.6) yield

$$
\begin{align*}
(p+\gamma) D^{\alpha+p^{\prime}} & f(z)=D^{\alpha+p_{F}} F(z) \cdot
\end{align*} \quad\left[\gamma-\alpha+\frac{(\alpha+p)+(\alpha+p-2) w(z)}{1+w(z)}\right] .
$$

Use (3.4) and (1.8) to eliminate the derivative and then apply (3.5) to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p+\gamma) D^{\alpha+p-1} f(z)=D^{\alpha+p-1} F(z) \cdot\left[\gamma-\alpha+\frac{(\alpha+p)+(\alpha+p-2) w(z)}{1+w(z)}\right] \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore (3.7), (3.8) and (3.5) give

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{D^{\alpha+} p_{f(z)}}{D^{\alpha+p-1}}=\frac{\alpha+p(z)}{\alpha+} & +\frac{1}{\alpha+p} \\
& \frac{1-w(z)}{(1+w(z))}  \tag{3.9}\\
& -\frac{2 z w^{\prime}(z)}{(\alpha+p)(1+w(z))} \frac{(\gamma+p)+(\gamma+p-2) \overline{w(z)}}{|\gamma+p+(\gamma+p-2) w(z)|^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (3.9) should yield $|w(z)|<1$ for all $z \in D$, for otherwise by Jack's lemma there exists $z_{0} \in D$ with $z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=K w\left(z_{0}\right), K \geq 1$, and $\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1$. Applying this to (3.9) it follows that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{D^{\alpha+p_{f}}}{\left.D^{\alpha+p-1} z_{0}\right)}\right. \\
f\left(z_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right] \quad \leq \frac{\alpha+p-1}{\alpha+p}-\frac{2}{(\alpha+p)} \frac{\gamma+p-1}{4(\gamma+p-1)^{2}} .
$$

This contradicts the assumption on $f$ given by (3.1). Hence $F \in R(\alpha+p-1)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

REMARK 1. For $\gamma=1$ and $p=1$, Theorem 2 reduces to a result given in [3].
The following special cases of Theorem 2 represent some improvement on theorems due to Libera [8] in the sense that much weaker assumptions produce the same results.

By taking $\alpha=0, \mathrm{p}=1$ in Theorem 2 we get
COROLLARY 1. Let $f \in A_{1}$ be such that $\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}>\frac{-1}{2 \gamma}, \gamma \geq 1, z \in D$. Then $F$ is starlike in $D$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\frac{\gamma+1}{z^{\gamma}} \cdot \int_{0}^{z} t^{\gamma-1} f(t) d t \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\alpha=1, p=1$, Theorem 2 reduces to
COROLLARY 2. Let $f \in A_{1}$ be such that $\operatorname{Re}\left[1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right]>-\frac{1}{2 \gamma}, \gamma \geq 1, z \in D$. Then $F(z)$ as given in (3.10) above is convex in $D$.

Using the technique employed in the proof of Theorem 1 and Corollary 2 we obtain the following result.

COROLLARY 3. Let $f \in A_{1}$ be such that $\operatorname{Re} \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}>0, z \in D$ and $g$ be such that $\operatorname{Re}\left[1+\frac{z g^{\prime \prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}\right]>-\frac{1}{2 \gamma}, \gamma \geq 1, z \in D$. Then $F(z)$ as given by (3.10), is close-toconvex, i.e., $\operatorname{Re} \frac{F^{\prime}(z)}{G^{\prime}(z)}>0, z \in D$ and where $G(z)$ is the convex function given by

$$
G(z)=\frac{\gamma+1}{z^{\gamma}} \cdot \int_{0}^{z} t^{\gamma-1} g(t) d t
$$

We state without proof the following theorem since its method of proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.

THEOREM 3. Let $p$ be a positive integer and $\alpha$ be an integer greater than $-p$ and let Re $\gamma \geq-p+1$. Then $F(z)=f(z) * h_{\gamma}(z)$, as given by (3.2), belongs to $R(\alpha+p-1)$ for all $f \in R(\alpha+p-1)$.

In case $\gamma=\alpha$, Theorem 3 can be improved as follows:
THEOREM 4. Let $p$ be a positive integer, and $\alpha$ be any integer greater than $-p$. Then for $f(z) \in R(\alpha+p-1)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \epsilon R(\alpha+p-1),  \tag{3.11}\\
& F(z)=f(z) t_{\alpha}(z)=\frac{p+\alpha}{z^{\alpha}} \cdot \int_{0}^{z} t^{\alpha-1} f(t) d t \in R(\alpha+p) .
\end{align*}
$$

PROOF. Let $f(z) \in R(\alpha+p-1)$. Differentiating (3.11) and then applying the operators $D^{\alpha+p}, D^{\alpha+p-1}$ we get, respectively, by using (1.8)

$$
(\alpha+p) \cdot D^{\alpha+p} f(z)=(\alpha+p+1) D^{\alpha+p+1} F(z)-D^{\alpha+p_{F}}(z)
$$

and

$$
(\alpha+p) D^{\alpha+p-1} f(z)=(\alpha+p) D^{\alpha+p} F(z)
$$

Therefore

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{\alpha+p+1}{\alpha+p} \quad \frac{D^{\alpha+p+1} F(z)}{D^{\alpha+p_{F(z)}}}-\frac{1}{\alpha+p}\right]=\operatorname{Re} \frac{D^{\alpha+p_{f}} f(z)}{D^{\alpha+p-1} f(z)}>\frac{\alpha+p-1}{\alpha+p} .
$$

This implies that

$$
\operatorname{Re} \frac{\mathrm{D}^{\alpha+p+1} F(z)}{D^{\alpha+p} F(z)}>\frac{\alpha+p}{\alpha+p+1}, \quad z \in D .
$$

Hence $F(z) \in R(\alpha+p)$, and this completes the proof of Theorem 4.
REMARK 2. For $p=1$, Theorem 4 reduces to a result of Singh and Singh [3].
4. THE CLASSES $H(\alpha+p-1)$.

We state without proof Theorems 5 and 6 since their proofs use the same technique employed in Theorem 1. See Section 1 for the definition of the classes $\mathrm{H}(\alpha+\mathrm{p}-1)$.

THEOREM 5. $\mathrm{H}(\alpha+\mathrm{p}) \subset \mathrm{H}(\alpha+\mathrm{p}-1)$.
THEOREM 6. If $p$ is any positive integer, $\alpha$ is any integer greater than $-p$, and $\operatorname{Re} \gamma \geq-p+1$, then

$$
F(z)=f(z) * h_{\gamma}(z)=\frac{p+\gamma}{z^{\gamma}} \cdot \int_{0}^{z} t^{\gamma-1} f(t) d t \in H(\alpha+p-1)
$$

whenever $f(z) \in H(\alpha+p-1)$.
5. RADII OF THE CLASSES $R(\alpha+p)$ AND $R_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha+p)$.

Because discussing the problem concerning the radii of the classes $R(\alpha+p)$ and $R_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha+p)$ we define the classes $R_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha+p-1)$. $\quad R_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha+p-1)$ contains functions $f(z) \in A_{p}$ that satisfy the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{\left(z^{\alpha} f(z)\right)^{(\alpha+p)}}{\left(z^{\alpha-1} f(z)\right)^{(\alpha+p-1)}}\right]>\frac{\alpha+p}{2}, \quad z \in D \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is any integer greater than -p . These classes have been studied by Goel and Sohi [6].

From (1.7) and (5.1), it follows that a function $f$ in $A_{p}$ belongs to $R_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha+p-1)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{D^{\alpha+p} f(z)}{D^{\alpha+p-1} f(z)}>\frac{1}{2} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our main interest is to determine the radius of the largest disc $D(r)=$ $\{z:|z|<r\}, 0<r \leq 1$ so that if $f \in R(\alpha+p-1)$ then $\operatorname{Re} \frac{p^{\beta+p_{f}}(z)}{D^{\beta+p-1} f(z)}>\frac{\beta+p-1}{\beta+p}$, $\beta>\alpha, z \quad D(r)$. A partial answer to this problem can be deduced by a simple appli-
cation of a lemma due to (Ruscheweyh and Singh) [9]:
LEMMA 1. If $p(z)$ is an analytic function in the unit disc $D$ with $p(0)=1$, $\operatorname{Re} p(z)>0$ and also

$$
\begin{align*}
|z| & <\frac{|\mu+1|}{\left[A+\left(A^{2}-\left|\mu^{2}-1\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}  \tag{5.3}\\
A & =2(S+1)^{2}+|\mu|^{2}-1
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left[p(z)+S \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)+\mu}\right]>0
$$

The bound given by (5.3) is best possible.
THEOREM 7. Let $p$ be any positive integer, $\alpha$ any integer greater than -p. If $f(z) \in R(\alpha+p-1)$ then

$$
\operatorname{Re} \frac{D^{\alpha+p+1} f(z)}{D^{\alpha+p_{f}}(z)}>\frac{\alpha+p}{\alpha+p+1} \text { for }|z|<r_{\alpha, p} \text {, }
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\alpha, p}=\frac{\alpha+p}{2+\sqrt{3+(\alpha+p-1)^{2}}} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result is sharp.
PROOF. Let $f(z) \in R(\alpha+p-1)$. We define the regular function $q(z)$ on $D$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{D^{\alpha+p} f(z)}{D^{\alpha+p-1} f(z)}=\frac{1}{(\alpha+p)} \quad(q(z)+\alpha+p-1), \quad z \in D . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $\mathrm{q}(0)=1$ and $\operatorname{Re} \mathrm{q}(z)>0$ in $D$.
Taking logarithmic derivative of (5.5) and using (1.8) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{D^{\alpha+p+1} f(z)}{D^{\alpha+p} f(z)}-\frac{\alpha+p}{\alpha+p+1}=\frac{1}{(\alpha+p+1)}\left[q(z)+\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)+\alpha+p-1}\right] \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma (1) with $S=1, \mu=\alpha+p-1$, (5.6) and (5.3) show that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{D^{\alpha+p+1} f(z)}{D^{\alpha+p_{f}}(z)}\right]>\frac{\alpha+p}{\alpha+p+1} \text { for } \\
& |z|<\frac{\alpha+p}{\left[A+\left(A^{2}-\left((\alpha+p-1)^{2}-1\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
A=(\alpha+p)^{2}-2(\alpha+p)+8
$$

Minor computations yield the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A+\left(A^{2}-\left((\alpha+p-1)^{2}-1\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\left(2+\sqrt{3+(\alpha+p-1)^{2}}\right)^{2} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus (5.7) yields the radius $r_{\alpha, p}$ as given by (5.4).
The method of Al-Amiri [2] is used to determine the extremal functions. The extremal functions thus obtained for this theorem are rotations of $f(z)$ where $f(z)$ is given by

$$
\frac{D^{\alpha+p_{f(z)}}}{D^{\alpha+p-1}}=\frac{1}{(\alpha+p)}\left[\frac{1+z}{1-z}+\alpha+p-1\right], \quad z \in D .
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 7.
REMARK 3. For $\alpha=0, p=1$, Theorem 7 gives the well-known radius of convexity for the class of starlike functions: $r_{0,1}=2-\sqrt{3}$.

Now an easy modification of the method used by Al-Amiri [2, Theorem 4] gives the following result.

THEOREM 8. Let $p$ be any positive integer, $\alpha$ any integer greater than -p . If $f(z) \in R_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha+p-1)$, then $f(z)$ satisfies (5.2) with $\alpha$ replaced by $\alpha+1$ for $|z|<r_{\alpha, p}$ where

$$
r_{\alpha, p}=\left[\frac{(\alpha+p-1)+2(\alpha+p+2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(\alpha+p+3)+2(\alpha+p+2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

This result is sharp.
KEMARK 4. For $p=1$, Theorem 8 becomes a special case of a result due to Al-Amiri [2, Theorem 4].
6. THE CLASSES $R_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha+p-1, \beta)$.

By $R_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha+p-1, \beta)$, we denote the classes of functions $f(z) \in A_{p}$ that satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[(1-\beta) \frac{D^{\alpha+p_{f}}(z)}{D^{\alpha+p-1} f(z)}+\beta \frac{D^{\alpha+p+1} f(z)}{D^{\alpha+p_{f}} f(z)}\right]>\frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in D \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $B \geq 0, \mathrm{p}$ any positive integer and $\alpha$ any integer greater than -p . Again using the technique employed in [2], the following theorem is obtained.

THEOREM 9. Let $p$ be any positive integer, $\alpha$ any integer greater than -p. If $f(z) \in R_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha+p-1)$, then $f(z)$ satisfies (6.1) for $|z|<r_{\alpha, p, \beta}$ where

$$
r_{\alpha, p, \beta}=\left[\frac{(\alpha+p+1-2 \beta)+2(\beta(\alpha+p+1+\beta))^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(\alpha+p+1+2 \beta)+2(\beta(\alpha+p+1+\beta))^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

This result is sharp.
REMARK 5. For $\beta=1$, Theorem 9 reduces to Theorem 8. Also for $p=1$, Theorem 9 represents a special case of a theorem due to Al-Amiri [2, Theorem 8].
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