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#### Abstract

The Dieudonné-Schwartz Theorem on bounded sets in a strict inductive limit is investigated for non-strict inductive limits. Its validity is shown to be closely connected with the problem of whether the projective limit of the strong duals is a strong dual itself. A counter-example is given to show that the Dieudonné-Schwartz Theorem is not in general valid for an inductive limit of a sequence of reflexive, Fréchet spaces.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is written for those with at least an elementary knowledge of the theory of locally convex spaces. A good reference is the book of Schaeffer [1]. Let $E_{1} \subset E_{2} \subset \ldots$ be a sequence of locally convex, Hausdorff, linear topological spaces such that each $E_{n}$ is continuously contained in $E_{n+1}$, and such that the union $\infty$ $E=\bigcup_{m=1} E_{m}$ is Hausdorff as a locally convex inductive limit. It is obvious that any bounded subset of a space $E_{n}$ is also bounded in $E$. If each bounded subset of $E$ arises in this way, we shall say that the DSP (Dieudonné-Schwartz Property) holds. A well-known theorem of Dieudonné-Schwartz states that the DSP holds provided each $E_{n}$ is closed in $E_{n+1}$ and has the topology inherited from $E_{n+1}$ (see [1] or [4] II.6.5).

In duality theory an increasing sequence $E_{1} \subset E_{2} \subset \ldots$ corresponds to a decreasing sequence $F_{1} \supset F_{2} \supset \ldots$ where each $F_{n}$ is dual to $E_{n}$. The intersection $F=\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} F_{m}$, endowed with the projective limit topology induced from the weak topologies $\sigma\left(F_{n}, E_{n}\right)$, may be identified with the dual of $E$ relative to the weak topology $\sigma(F, E)$ ([1] IV.4.5). In applications the strong topologies $B\left(F_{n}, E_{n}\right)$ are often of interest, along with the projective limit $\pi(F)$ induced by these on $F$. The strong topology $\beta(F, E)$ is always at least as fine as $\pi(F)$. The problem of determining when $\pi(F)$ equals $\beta(F, E)$ turns out to be closely connected with determination of the validity of the DSP. A precise statement of this is given in the theorem below.

## 2. THE QIU PROPERTY

Recent work by Qiu [2] suggests a slight relaxation of the DSP. Let B be the set of all subsets $B$ of $E$ such that $B$ is bounded in some $E_{n}$. We say that the QP (Qiu Property) holds if each bounded subset of $E$ is contained in the closure of some $B \in B$.

For spaces $V$ and $W$ dual to one another, and a subset $S$ of $V$, we write $\overline{\mathrm{S}}$ for the $\sigma(V, W)$-closure of $S$ in $V$ and $S{ }^{W}$ for the polar of $S$ in $W$. Thus, if <S> denotes the convex hull of S , the Bipolar Theorem ([1] IV.1.5) states that $\overline{\langle S\rangle}{ }^{V}=\left(S^{\mathrm{V}}\right){ }^{\mathrm{W}}$. We note for use below that the polars of the closed, radial, convex bounded subsets of V are just the barrels of $W$, and vice versa.

THEOREM. A necessary and sufficient condition for the $Q P$ to hold is that $\pi(F)=\beta(F, E)$.

PROOF. Suppose first that $\pi(F)=\beta(F, E)$, and let $B$ be an arbitrary bounded subset of $E$. Then $B^{F}$ is a barrel and so contains a $\beta(F, E)$-open neighborhood of 0 . From $\pi(F)=\beta(F, E)$ now follows that there is a barrel $A$ in some $F_{n}$ such that $A \cap F \subset B^{\circ}$. Letting $D=A A^{E_{n}}$, we see that $D$ is bounded in $E_{n}$ and $D^{\circ}{ }^{F} n=A$. Because $\mathrm{DO}^{\mathrm{F}}$ is just $\mathrm{Do}{ }^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{n} \cap \mathrm{F}=\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{F}$, we have $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{F}} \subset \mathrm{B} \circ^{\mathrm{F}}$. Consequently, $\mathrm{B} \subset \overline{\langle\mathrm{B}\rangle^{E}=}$ $\left(B \circ^{F}\right) \circ^{E} C\left(D^{\circ}\right) \circ^{E}$. Since the Bipolar Theorem guarantees that ( $D^{\circ}{ }^{F}$ ) $\circ^{E}$ is just the closure of $D$ in $E$, we have shown that the $Q P$ holds.

Now suppose that the $Q P$ holds, and let $A$ be an arbitrary barrel of $F$. Then $A 0^{E}$ is bounded and so there exists a bounded set $B$ of some $E_{n}$ such that $A^{\circ}$ 配 in the
 a bounded set), it follows that $\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{F}}$ is a $\pi(F)$-neighborhood of 0 . But we have

$$
A^{\circ} \subset \bar{B}^{E} \subset{\overline{\langle B}\rangle^{E} \text { so } \text { so }}^{\text {a }}
$$

$$
B^{\circ}=\left(\overline{\langle B\rangle}{ }^{E}\right) \circ^{F} \subset\left(A^{\circ}\right) \circ^{F}=A .
$$

We have shown that $B(F, E) \subset \pi(F)$. The reverse inequality is evident.
Q.E.D.

## 3. COUNTER-EXAMPLE

It was demonstrated in [3] that the DSP holds when all the $E_{n}$ are reflexive Banach spaces. The following example shows that, for reflexive Fréchet spaces, even the $Q P$ may fail to hold.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $D_{n}$ be the region $\mathbb{R} \backslash\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and let $E_{n}$ be the linear space of functions infinitely differentiable on $D_{n}$. For $n, m \in N$ let $K_{n, m}$ be the compact set $\left\{x \in D_{n}:|x| \leq m,|x-j| \geq \frac{1}{m}\right.$ for all $\left.j=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$ and, for each $f \in E_{n}$, 1et

$$
\|f\|_{h, m}=\sup \left\{m\left|f^{(i)}(x)\right|: x \in K_{n, m}, i=0,1, \ldots, m\right\} .
$$

Then each $E_{n}$, equipped with the locally convex topology generated by the family $\left\{\left\|\|_{n, m}: m=0,1, \ldots\right\}\right.$, is a nuclear Fréchet space ([4] III.8.3). Hence each $E_{n}$ is a Montel space ([4] III.7.2, Corollary 2) and thus reflexive. We proceed to show that $E=\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} E_{n}$ does not have the $Q P$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let $f_{n}(x)=(x-n)^{n-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-(x-n)^{2}}$ and let $c_{n}=\sup \left\{\mid f_{n}^{(i)}: x \in D_{n} \backslash[n-1, n+1], i=0,1, \ldots, n-1\right\}$. Clearly, each $f_{n}$ is in $E_{n}$. Let $V$ be any neighborhood of 0 in $E$. Then, for some $m \in N$, the $\left\|\|_{1, m}\right.$-unit ball $W$ of $E_{1}$ is contained by $V$. Evidently $\frac{1}{n c} f_{n}$ is in $W$ for $n=m+1, m+2$, ... Consequently there exists $k>0$ such that. $h_{n}=\frac{l}{n c_{n}} f_{n} \in k V$ for all $n \in N$-that is, then set $B=\left\{h_{n}: n \in N\right\}$ is bounded in $E$.

Let $D$ be a bounded subset of one of the spaces $E_{n}$. Then the number

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\sup \left\{\left|h^{(n+1)}(x)\right|: x \in\left[n+\frac{1}{2}, n+\frac{3}{2}\right], h \in D\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is finite. Let $p$ be the polynomial (with non-vanishing constant term) such that

$$
h_{n+1}^{(n+1)}(x)=(x-n-1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} p(x) e^{-(x-n-1)^{2}} \text { for all } x \in D_{n+1}
$$

Evidently there exists some $r>2$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf \left\{\left|h_{n+1}^{(n+1)}(x)\right|: x \in\left[n+1-\frac{1}{r}, n+1-\frac{1}{2 r}\right]\right\} \geq M+2 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $K$ be any integer larger than $\frac{1}{2 r}$ and $n+1$. For each $m \in N$, let $S_{m}$ be the $\left\|\|_{m, k}\right.$-unit ball of $E_{n}$. Then, for each $g \in S_{m}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left\{\left|g^{(n+1)}(x)\right|: x \in\left[n+1-\frac{1}{k}, n+1-\frac{1}{2 k}\right]\right\} \leq 1 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evidently this last inequality also holds for all g in the convex hull H of the union $u$ S. From (3.2) and (3.3) follows that the set $h_{n+1}+H$ is a neighborhood of $h_{n+1}$ in $\mathrm{m}=1$
E such that, for each $g \in h_{n+1}+H$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf \left\{\left|g^{(n+1)}(x)\right|: x \in\left[n+1-\frac{1}{k}, n+1-\frac{1}{2 k}\right]\right\} \geq M+1 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, (3.1) and (3.4) imply that $D \cap\left(h_{n+1}+H\right)=\phi$. Thus the OP does not hold.
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